



**STUDENT ASSESSMENTS
AND ASSOCIATED GROWTH MODELS FOR
TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL EVALUATION**

FORM C

PUBLICLY AVAILABLE SERVICES SUMMARY

This form will be posted on the New York State Education Department's Web site and distributed through other means for all applications that are approved in conjunction with this RFQ to allow districts and BOCES to understand proposed offerings in advance of directly contacting Assessment Providers regarding potential further procurements.

Assessment Provider Information	
Name of Assessment Provider:	WSWHE BOCES
Assessment Provider Contact Information:	Katie Jones
Name of Assessment:	ART - K, 1, 2,3,4,5,6,7-8, STUDIO ART
Nature of Assessment:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASSESSMENT FOR USE WITH STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES WITH A TARGET SETTING MODEL; OR <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPLEMENTAL ASSESSMENT WITH AN ASSOCIATED GROWTH MODEL: <input type="checkbox"/> GAIN SCORE MODEL <input type="checkbox"/> GROWTH-TO-PROFICIENCY MODEL <input type="checkbox"/> STUDENT GROWTH PERCENTILES <input type="checkbox"/> PROJECTION MODELS <input type="checkbox"/> VALUE-ADDED MODELS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
What are the grade(s) for which the assessment can be used to generate a 0-20 APPR score?	K, 1, 2,3,4,5,6,7-8, AND STUDIO ART
What are the subject area(s) for which the assessment can be used to generate a 0-20 APPR score?	Grade level specific General Art and/or studio Art
What are the technology requirements associated with the assessment?	No requirements
Is the assessment available, either for free or through purchase, to other districts or BOCES in New York State?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

Please provide an overview of the assessment for districts and BOCES. Please include:

- A description of the assessment;
- A description of how the assessment is administered;
- A description of how scores are reported (include links to sample reports as appropriate);
- A description of how the Assessment Provider supports implementation of the assessment, including any technical assistance. (3 pages max)

This assessment is available for pre and post assessment. It is aligned to the Priority Content established by teachers on a regional basis for Art.

The assessment is comprised of multiple choice questions open ended tasks for students to complete. Each task is aligned to the expectations of an identified competency or skill. Assessments are created aligned to an identified set of priority standards set by regional teachers of technical trade. (Appendix A) Tasks on the pre and post are aligned to the standards proportionally but are not repeated from pre to post. A set of test forms has been developed to ensure a repeat of question from pre to post is avoided.

The assessment is administered during an established time frame (e.g. 25 minutes etc.). An administration guide is provided to all LEAs for consistent, secure administration of the assessment. Assessments are deployed electronically to each LEA on a secure server (password protected). A window for assessment administration is created and shared with participating LEAs. The assessment administration practices are guided by the NYS 3-8 administration guide, and are updated as needed.

Teachers of these courses are expected to score pre assessments according to a rubric and answer key collaboratively developed through a regional project. A scoring guide is provided to participating LEAs to assist in the scoring process. For scoring, it is recommended to establish an assurance consistency set to set a baseline for scoring with participating scorers. This should occur when scoring pre and post assessments.

After scoring of the pre assessments, target charts are provided to participating LEAs. The expectation is that these targets are applied to the pretest data to ensure a consistent target setting methodology across these assessments.

Technical Assistance is provided to any LEA upon request. Traditionally the supports of the administration guide, scoring guide and target charts are sufficient for LEAs. In the case where unpredicted issues arise or clarification is needed, LEAs may contact the assessment provider for guidance and support.

Please provide an overview of the student-level growth model or target setting model for SLOs for districts and BOCES, along with how student-level growth scores are aggregated to the create teacher-level scores, and how those teacher-level scores are converted to New York State's 0-20 metric.

This assessment is available for pre and post assessment. It is aligned to an identified set of priority standards set by regional teachers of the subject. Assessments are created aligned to a set of priority standards (Appendix A). This assessment utilizes an answer key and rubrics collaboratively developed through a regional project.

The target setting methodology used for this assessment is commonly referred to as Gap Closing (1/2 to 100). Targets are set and provided to the participating LEAs. Rosters of student performance for this assessment would then add the established target. Upon completion of post testing, an LEA would establish if each student grew to the established target. The aggregate number of students growing to the established target would be converted to a percent of the whole group with pre and post assessment data. This percentage would then be applied to the HEDI Scale if this were identified as the only measure to be accounted for in a teachers SLO. If other measures are to be combined, a weighted average based on students would be used to combine scores and the overall score would then be applied to the HEDI scale. The practice of including as many of students in a teachers score is recommended.

Additionally, LEAs who choose to utilize a small N or alternative target setting methodology are provided targets that are aligned to approaching, meeting or exceeding target to allow for the use of this model based on locally negotiated options.

New York State Next Generation Assessment Priorities	
Please provide detail on how the proposed supplemental assessment or assessment to be used with SLOs addresses each of the Next Generation Assessment Priorities below.	
Characteristics of Good ELA and Math Assessments (only applicable to ELA and math assessments):	Not Applicable
Assessments Woven Tightly Into the Curriculum:	Currently no interim assessment exist in this system. However, local teachers are used in developing these tasks and are reliant on their classroom practices to ensure a direct alignment into their classroom curriculum
Performance Assessment:	The test balances basic knowledge questions (multiple choice) and open ended/performance tasks. All performance tasks in this assessment allow for the student to demonstrate their individual knowledge and understanding.
Efficient Time-Saving Assessments:	Assessments are deployed through technology on a secure sever. LEAs are able to assess students within an administration window to ensure consistency across the system. The number of tasks are limited to the minimum amount necessary to show student understanding.
Technology:	Technology may be used to facilitate efficiency in scoring time if a districts chooses to do so.
Degree to which the growth model must differentiate across New York State's four levels of teacher effectiveness (only applicable to supplemental assessments):	Not Applicable



**STUDENT ASSESSMENTS FOR
TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL EVALUATION**

FORM H

**APPLICANT CERTIFICATION FORM –ASSESSMENTS FOR USE WITH STUDENT
LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

Please read each of the items below and check the corresponding box to ensure the fulfillment of the technical criteria.

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE "FORM H" FOR EACH APPLICANT. CO-APPLICANTS SHOULD SUBMIT SEPARATE FORMS.

The Applicant makes the following assurances:

Assurance	Check each box:
The assessment is rigorous, meaning that it is aligned to the New York State learning standards or, in instances where there are no such learning standards that apply to a subject/grade level, alignment to research-based learning standards.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
To the extent practicable, the assessment must be valid and reliable as defined by the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The assessment can be used to measure one year's expected growth for individual students.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For K-2 assessments, the assessment is not a "Traditional Standardized Assessment" as defined in Section 1.3 of this RFQ.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For assessments previously used under Education Law §3012-c, the assessment results in differentiated student-level performance. If the assessment has not produced differentiated results in prior school years, the applicant assures that the lack of differentiation is justified by equivalently consistent student results based on other measures of student achievement.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For assessments not previously used in teacher/principal evaluation, the applicant has a plan for collecting evidence of differentiated student results such that the evidence will be available by the end of each school year.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
At the end of each school year, the applicant will collect evidence demonstrating that the assessment has produced differentiated student-level results and will provide such evidence to the Department upon request. ²	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

² Please note, pursuant to Section 2.3 of this RFQ, an assessment may be removed from the approved list if such assessment does not comply with one or more of the criteria for approval set forth in this RFQ

To be completed by the Copyright Owner/Assessment Representative of the assessment being proposed and, where necessary, the co-applicant LEA:

WSWHE BOCES 1. Name of Organization (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	<i>K. Jones</i> 4. Signature of Authorized Representative (PLEASE USE BLUE INK)
Katie Jones 2. Name of Authorized Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	5. Date Signed <i>2/17/14</i>
Lead Coordinator of School Improvement 3. Title of Authorized Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	

1. Name of LEA (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	4. Signature of School Representative (PLEASE USE BLUE INK)
2. School Representative's Name (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	5. Date Signed
3. Title of School Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	



STUDENT ASSESSMENTS
AND ASSOCIATED GROWTH MODELS FOR
TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL EVALUATION

FORM C

PUBLICLY AVAILABLE SERVICES SUMMARY

This form will be posted on the New York State Education Department's Web site and distributed through other means for all applications that are approved in conjunction with this RFQ to allow districts and BOCES to understand proposed offerings in advance of directly contacting Assessment Providers regarding potential further procurements.

Assessment Provider Information	
Name of Assessment Provider:	WSWHE BOCES
Assessment Provider Contact Information:	Katie Jones
Name of Assessment:	
Nature of Assessment:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASSESSMENT FOR USE WITH STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES WITH A TARGET SETTING MODEL; OR <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPLEMENTAL ASSESSMENT WITH AN ASSOCIATED GROWTH MODEL: <input type="checkbox"/> GAIN SCORE MODEL <input type="checkbox"/> GROWTH-TO-PROFICIENCY MODEL <input type="checkbox"/> STUDENT GROWTH PERCENTILES <input type="checkbox"/> PROJECTION MODELS <input type="checkbox"/> VALUE-ADDED MODELS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
What are the grade(s) for which the assessment can be used to generate a 0-20 APPR score?	K, 1,2,3-4, 5-6, 7-8 9-12
What are the subject area(s) for which the assessment can be used to generate a 0-20 APPR score?	Music
What are the technology requirements associated with the assessment?	No requirements; LEAs may choose to use these assessment through Computer Based Testing (CBT) where available
Is the assessment available, either for free or through purchase, to other districts or BOCES in New York State?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

Please provide an overview of the assessment for districts and BOCES. Please include:

- A description of the assessment;
- A description of how the assessment is administered;
- A description of how scores are reported (include links to sample reports as appropriate);
- A description of how the Assessment Provider supports implementation of the assessment, including any technical assistance. (3 pages max)

This assessment is available for pre and post assessment. It is aligned to the Priority Content established by teachers on a regional basis for Music.

The assessment is comprised of multiple choice questions open ended tasks for students to complete. Each task is aligned to the expectations of an identified competency or skill. Assessments are created aligned to an identified set of priority standards set by regional teachers of technical trade. (Appendix A) Tasks on the pre and post are aligned to the standards proportionally but are not repeated from pre to post. A set of test forms has been developed to ensure a repeat of question from pre to post is avoided.

The assessment is administered during an established time frame (e.g. 25 minutes etc.). An administration guide is provided to all LEAs for consistent, secure administration of the assessment. Assessments are deployed electronically to each LEA on a secure server (password protected). A window for assessment administration is created and shared with participating LEAs. The assessment administration practices are guided by the NYS 3-8 administration guide, and are updated as needed.

Teachers of these courses are expected to score pre assessments according to a rubric and answer key collaboratively developed through a regional project. A scoring guide is provided to participating LEAs to assist in the scoring process. For scoring, it is recommended to establish an assurance consistency set to set a baseline for scoring with participating scorers. This should occur when scoring pre and post assessments.

After scoring of the pre assessments, target charts are provided to participating LEAs. The expectation is that these targets are applied to the pretest data to ensure a consistent target setting methodology across these assessments.

Technical Assistance is provided to any LEA upon request. Traditionally the supports of the administration guide, scoring guide and target charts are sufficient for LEAs. In the case where unpredicted issues arise or clarification is needed, LEAs may contact the assessment provider for guidance and support.

Please provide an overview of the student-level growth model or target setting model for SLOs for districts and BOCES, along with how student-level growth scores are aggregated to create teacher-level scores, and how those teacher-level scores are converted to New York State's 0-20 metric.

This assessment is available for pre and post assessment. It is aligned to an identified set of priority standards set by regional teachers of the subject. Assessments are created aligned to a set of priority standards (Appendix A). This assessment utilizes an answer key and rubrics collaboratively developed through a regional project.

The target setting methodology used for this assessment is commonly referred to as Gap Closing (1/2 to 100). Targets are set and provided to the participating LEAs. Rosters of student performance for this assessment would then add the established target. Upon completion of post testing, an LEA would establish is each student grew to the established target. The aggregate number of students growing to the established target would be converted to a percent of the whole group with pre and post assessment data. This percentage would then be applied to the HEDI Scale if this were identified as the only measure to be accounted for in a teachers SLO. If other measures are to be combined, a weighted average based on students would be used to combine scores and the overall score would then be applied to the HEDI scale. The practice of including as many of students in a teachers score is recommended.

Additionally, LEAs who choose to utilize a small N or alternative target setting methodology are provided targets that are aligned to approaching, meeting or exceeding target to allow for the use of this model based on locally negotiated options.

New York State Next Generation Assessment Priorities	
Please provide detail on how the proposed supplemental assessment or assessment to be used with SLOs addresses each of the Next Generation Assessment Priorities below.	
Characteristics of Good ELA and Math Assessments (only applicable to ELA and math assessments):	Not applicable
Assessments Woven Tightly Into the Curriculum:	Currently no interim assessment exist in this system. However, local teachers are used in developing these tasks and are reliant on their classroom practices to ensure a direct alignment into their classroom curriculum.
Performance Assessment:	The test balances basic knowledge questions (multiple choice) and open ended/performance tasks. All performance tasks in this assessment allow for the student to demonstrate their individual knowledge and understanding.
Efficient Time-Saving Assessments:	Assessments are deployed through technology on a secure sever. LEAs are able to assess students within an administration window to ensure consistency across the system. The number of tasks are limited to the minimum amount necessary to show student understanding.
Technology:	Technology may be used to facilitate efficiency in scoring time if a districts chooses to do so.
Degree to which the growth model must differentiate across New York State's four levels of teacher effectiveness (only applicable to supplemental assessments):	Not Applicable



**STUDENT ASSESSMENTS FOR
TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL EVALUATION**

FORM H

**APPLICANT CERTIFICATION FORM –ASSESSMENTS FOR USE WITH STUDENT
LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

Please read each of the items below and check the corresponding box to ensure the fulfillment of the technical criteria.

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE "FORM H" FOR EACH APPLICANT. CO-APPLICANTS SHOULD SUBMIT SEPARATE FORMS.

The Applicant makes the following assurances:

Assurance	Check each box:
The assessment is rigorous, meaning that it is aligned to the New York State learning standards or, in instances where there are no such learning standards that apply to a subject/grade level, alignment to research-based learning standards.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
To the extent practicable, the assessment must be valid and reliable as defined by the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The assessment can be used to measure one year's expected growth for individual students.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For K-2 assessments, the assessment is not a "Traditional Standardized Assessment" as defined in Section 1.3 of this RFQ.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For assessments previously used under Education Law §3012-c, the assessment results in differentiated student-level performance. If the assessment has not produced differentiated results in prior school years, the applicant assures that the lack of differentiation is justified by equivalently consistent student results based on other measures of student achievement.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For assessments not previously used in teacher/principal evaluation, the applicant has a plan for collecting evidence of differentiated student results such that the evidence will be available by the end of each school year.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
At the end of each school year, the applicant will collect evidence demonstrating that the assessment has produced differentiated student-level results and will provide such evidence to the Department upon request. ²	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

² Please note, pursuant to Section 2.3 of this RFQ, an assessment may be removed from the approved list if such assessment does not comply with one or more of the criteria for approval set forth in this RFQ

To be completed by the Copyright Owner/Assessment Representative of the assessment being proposed and, where necessary, the co-applicant LEA:

WSWHE BOCES 1. Name of Organization (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	<i>K Jones</i> 4. Signature of Authorized Representative (PLEASE USE BLUE INK)
Katie Jones 2. Name of Authorized Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	2/17/14 5. Date Signed
Lead Coordinator of School Improvement 3. Title of Authorized Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	
1. Name of LEA (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	4. Signature of School Representative (PLEASE USE BLUE INK)
2. School Representative's Name (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	5. Date Signed
3. Title of School Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	