



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Ave., Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@mail.nysed.gov
Twitter: @JohnKingNYSED
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

January 4, 2013

Peter J. Turner, Superintendent
Carthage Central School District
25059 Woolworth Street
Carthage, NY 13619

Dear Superintendent Turner:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved for the 2012-2013 school year. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,



John B. King, Jr.
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Jack Boak

NOTES: If your district/BOCES has provided for value-added measures (15 points vs. 20 points scale and categorization of your district/BOCES's grade configurations) in your APPR and no value-added measures are approved by the Board of Regents for a grade/subject and/or grade configuration for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly. Conversely, if your district/BOCES has not provided for value-added measures in your district/BOCES's APPR submission and value-added measures are approved for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Reviews: 2012-13

Created Tuesday, August 21, 2012

Updated Friday, January 04, 2013

1

Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

1.1) School District's BEDS Number : 222201060000

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

222201060000

1.2) School District Name: CARTHAGE CSD

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

CARTHAGE CSD

1.3) School Improvement Grant (SIG) Districts Only

SIG districts only: Indicate whether this APPR plan is for SIG schools only or for the entire district. Other districts and BOCES, please skip this question.

Not applicable

1.4) Award Classification

Please check if the district has applied for and/or has been awarded any of the following (if applicable):

(No response)

1.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.5) Assurances Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

1.6) Is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan?

Re-submission to address deficiencies

1.7) Is this submission for an annual or multi-year plan?

If the plan is multi-year, please write the years that are included.

Annual (2012-13)

2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, August 21, 2012

Updated Friday, January 04, 2013

Page 1

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

(25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have state-provided measures, some may teach other courses in addition where there is no state-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects for 2012-13, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

2.1) Assurances Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
2.1) Assurances Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13.	Checked

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For core subjects: grades 6-8 Science and Social Studies, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or

District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	ELA	Assessment
K	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES developed assessment Task for K, ELA
1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES developed assessment Task for 1, ELA
2	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES developed assessment Task for 2, ELA

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each teacher will develop SLOs with pre and post test assessments that will be approved by the principal. The assessments will have an expected level of performance. Students will be expected to make progress from the baseline assessment to meet and maintain the target score. The number of students making progress or meeting and exceeding the target may be determined from three different approaches. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their SLO targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results meet achievement on levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are well-below levels on district goals.

2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	Math	Assessment
K	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES developed Assessment Grade K, math.
1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES developed Assessment Grade 1, math.
2	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES developed Assessment Grade 2, math.
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each teacher will develop SLOs with pre and post test assessments that will be approved by the principal. The assessments will have an expected level of performance. Students will be expected to make progress from the baseline assessment to meet and maintain the target score. The number of students making progress or meeting and exceeding the target may be determined from three different approaches. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their
---	---

	SLO targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results meet achievement on levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are well-below levels on district goals.

2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	CarthageCSD developed Assessment Grade 6, Science
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Carthage CSD developed Assessment Grade 7, Science
	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each teacher will develop SLOs with pre and post test assessments that will be approved by the principal. The assessments will have an expected level of performance. Students will be expected to make progress from the baseline assessment to meet and maintain the target score. The number of students making progress or meeting and exceeding the target may be determined from three different approaches. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their SLO targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results meet achievement on levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well-below levels on district goals.

2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Carthage CSD developed Assessment Grade 6, Social Studies
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Carthage CSD Assessment Grade 7, Social Studies
8	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Carthage CSD developed Assessment Grade 8, Social Studies

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.

Each teacher will develop SLOs with pre and post test assessments that will be approved by the principal. The assessments will have an expected level of performance. Students will be expected to make progress from the baseline assessment to meet and maintain the target score. The number of students making progress or meeting and exceeding the target may be determined from three different approaches. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their SLO targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.

Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.

Results meet achievement on levels on district goals.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.

Results are below achievement levels on district goals.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.

Results are well-below levels on district goals.

2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

Assessment

Global 1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Carthage CSD developed Assessment, Global 1
----------	---	---

	Social Studies Regents Courses	Assessment
Global 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each teacher will develop SLOs with pre and post test assessments that will be approved by the principal. The assessments will have an expected level of performance. Students will be expected to make progress from the baseline assessment to meet and maintain the target score. The number of students making progress or meeting and exceeding the target may be determined from three different approaches. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their SLO targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	Results meet achievement on levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	Results are well-below levels on district goals.

2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Physics	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances

in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each teacher will develop SLOs with pre and post test assessments that will be approved by the principal. The assessments will have an expected level of performance. Students will be expected to make progress from the baseline assessment to meet and maintain the target score. The number of students making progress or meeting and exceeding the target may be determined from three different approaches. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their SLO targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	Results meet achievement on levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	Results are well-below levels on district goals.

2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each teacher will develop SLOs with pre and post test assessments that will be approved by the principal. The assessments will have an expected level of performance. Students will be expected to make progress from the baseline assessment to meet and maintain the target score. The number of students making progress or meeting and exceeding the target may be determined from three different approaches. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their SLO targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	Results meet achievement on levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	Results are well-below levels on district goals.

2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Carthage CSD developed Assessment Grade 9, ELA
Grade 10 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Carthage CSD developed Assessment Grade 10, ELA
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	English Regents Assessment

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each teacher will develop SLOs with pre and post test assessments that will be approved by the principal. The assessments will have an expected level of performance. Students will be expected to make progress from the baseline assessment to meet and maintain the target score. The number of students making progress or meeting and exceeding the target may be determined from three different approaches. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their SLO targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	Results meet achievement on levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	Results are well-below levels on district goals.

2.10) All Other Courses

Fill in, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have Student Learning Objectives. If you need additional space, duplicate this form and upload (below) as an attachment to your APPR plan. You may combine into one line any groups of teachers for whom the answers in the boxes are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above" .

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Option	Assessment
Art K-8	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD Developed Assessment, grade specific, Art
Music K-8	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD Developed Assessment, grade specific, Music
Physical Education K-12	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD Developed Assessment, grade specific, Phys. Ed
AIS Math K-4	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES developed Assessment grade specific, AIS Math
AIS Reading K-4	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES developed Assessment grade specific, AIS Reading
12:1:1 Special Education Class K-2	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD developed Assessment grade and subject specific
12:1:1 Special Education Class 3-12	State Assessment	NYSAA
12:1:3:1 Special Education Class 3-8	State Assessment	NYSAA
Home Careers Grade 7	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD developed Assessment grade 7, Home Careers
Technology Grade 6	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD developed Assessment grade specific, Technology
Languages Other Than English	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD developed Assessment grade specific, LOTE
Reading 5-12	State-approved 3rd party assessment	Scholastic Reading Inventory, grade specific
Advanced Placement Courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD developed Assessment grade specific, AP
Technology Courses 9-12	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD developed Assessment grade specific, Technology
Fine Arts 9-12	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD developed Assessment grade specific, Fine Arts
AIS 9-12	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD developed Assessment grade and subject specific
Agriculture 9-12	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD developed Assessment grade specific, Agriculture
Health 6, 10-12	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD developed Assessment grade specific, Health
Financial Applications 10-12	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD developed Assessment grade specific, Financial Applications
All Other Teachers not indicated	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Carthage CSD developed Assessment grade and content area specific

For all other courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each teacher will develop SLOs with pre and post test assessments that will be approved by the principal. The assessments will have an expected level of performance. Students will be expected to make progress from the baseline assessment to meet and maintain the target score. The number of students making progress or meeting and exceeding the target may be determined from three different approaches. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their SLO targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	Results meet achievement on levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	Results are well-below levels on district goals.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. (MS Word)

(No response)

2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5364/165646-TXEttx9bQW/SLO Charts with Teacher 20 Pt HEDI Chart.pdf

2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

None

2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

2.14) Assurances Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html).	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created Thursday, October 04, 2012

Updated Friday, January 04, 2013

Page 1

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers. Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment.

.Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher’s students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students’ level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students’ performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher’s students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students’ performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher’s students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause

- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
 - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments Grade 4 ELA
5	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments Grade 5 ELA

6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments Grade 6 ELA
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments Grade 7 ELA
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments Grade 8 ELA

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	The local portion will measure student achievement using a scoring mechanism that scales assessment scores on the locally developed assessments to performance levels that are calculated the same for all 4-8 ELA teachers. Achievement targets are established by the District. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their achievement targets according to the downloaded 15 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet achievement levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-below achievement levels on district goals.

3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments Grade 4 Math
5	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments Grade 5 Math
6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments Grade 6 Math
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments Grade 7 Math

8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments Grade 8 Math
---	---	---

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	The local portion will measure student achievement using a scoring mechanism that scales assessment scores on the locally developed assessments to performance levels that are calculated the same for all 4-8 Math teachers. Achievement targets are established by the District. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their achievement targets according to the downloaded 15 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet achievement levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-below achievement levels on district goals.

3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

[assets/survey-uploads/5139/188878-rhJdBgDruP/TEACHER 15 pt HEDI Chart.pdf](#)

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher’s students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students’ level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students’ performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher’s students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students’ performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher’s students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above

- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
 - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

- 7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES Developed Assessments Grade K ELA

1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES Developed Assessments Grade 1 ELA
2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES Developed Assessments Grade 2 ELA
3	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES Developed Grade 3 ELA Assessments

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The local portion will measure student achievement using a scoring mechanism that scales assessment scores on the locally developed assessments to performance levels that are calculated the same for all K-3 ELA teachers. Achievement targets are established by the District. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their achievement targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet achievement levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-below achievement levels on district goals.

3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES Developed Assessments Grade K Math
1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES Developed Assessments Grade 1 Math
2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES Developed Assessments Grade 2 Math
3	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES Developed Grade 3 Math Assessments

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The local portion will measure student achievement using a scoring mechanism that scales assessment scores on the locally developed assessments to performance levels that are calculated the same for all K-3 math teachers. Achievement targets are established by the District. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their achievement targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet achievement levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well below achievement levels on district goals.

3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments Grade 6 Science
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments Grade 7 Science
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments Grade 8 Science

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The local portion will measure student achievement using a scoring mechanism that scales assessment scores on the locally developed assessments to performance levels that are calculated the same for all 6-8 Science teachers.
---	--

	Achievement targets are established by the District. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their achievement targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet achievement levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well below achievement levels on district goals.

3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	5) District, regional, or BOCES—developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessment, Grade 6 Social Studies
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES—developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments, Grade 7 Social Studies
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES—developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments, Grade 8 Social Studies

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The local portion will measure student achievement using a scoring mechanism that scales assessment scores on the locally developed assessments to performance levels that are calculated the same for all 6-8 Social Studies teachers. Achievement targets are established by the District. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their achievement targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet achievement levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well below achievement levels on district goals.

3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessment, Global 1
Global 2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessment, Global 2
American History	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessment, American History

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The local portion will measure student achievement using a scoring mechanism that scales assessment scores on the locally developed assessments to performance levels that are calculated the same for all Global 1 2 and American History teachers. Achievement targets are established by the District. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their achievement targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet achievement levels on district goals.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well below achievement levels on district goals.

3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessment, Living Environment
Earth Science	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessment Earth Science,
Chemistry	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessment, Chemistry
Physics	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD Developed Assessment ,Physics

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The local portion will measure student achievement using a scoring mechanism that scales assessment scores on the locally developed assessments to performance levels that are calculated the same for all Living Environment, Earth Science, Chemistry and Physics teachers. Achievement targets are established by the District. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their achievement targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.

for grade/subject.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Results are well below achievement levels on district goals.

3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD developed Assessment, Algebra 1
Geometry	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD developed Assessment, Geometry
Algebra 2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD developed Assessment, Algebra 2

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The local portion will measure student achievement using a scoring mechanism that scales assessment scores on the locally developed assessments to performance levels that are calculated the same for all Algebra 1, Geometry, and Algebra 2 teachers. Achievement targets are established by the District. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their achievement targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet achievement levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well below achievement levels on district goals.

3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD developed Assessment, Grade 9 ELA
Grade 10 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD developed Assessment, Grade 10 ELA
Grade 11 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Carthage CSD developed Assessment, Grade 11 ELA

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The local portion will measure student achievement using a scoring mechanism that scales assessment scores on the locally developed assessments to performance levels that are calculated the same for all Grade 9, 10 11 ELA teachers. Achievement targets are established by the District. Teacher HEDI scores will be determined by the percentage of students meeting their achievement targets according to the downloaded 20 point HEDI chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet achievement levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below achievement levels on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well below achievement levels on district goals.

3.12) All Other Courses

Fill in for additional grades/subjects, as applicable. If you need additional space, complete additional copies of this form and upload (below) as attachments.

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5139/188878-y92vNseFa4/LOCAL Assessment Options 1 and 2 with 20 pt HEDI chart.pdf

3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

None

3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

Teachers with more than one locally selected measure will have their scores combined commensurate with the ratio of students tested or the number of assessments administered to the same population.

3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

3.16) Assurances Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
3.16) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked

4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created Friday, October 05, 2012
Updated Thursday, January 03, 2013

Page 1

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2011 Revised Edition)

Not Applicable

4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	32
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	0
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	0
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	0
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	0
Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	28

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

[SurveyTools.0] Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
[SurveyTools.1] Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
[SurveyTools.2] Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
[SurveyTools.3] District Variance	(No response)

4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

4.4) Assurances Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Carthage CSD will use the revised Danielson Rubric in the evaluation of teachers as it pertains to the Other Measures (60 points). Other measures used to evaluate a teacher's performance will include a formal observation, an informal observation, and an evidence binder for a total of 60 points. The formal observation is awarded a total of 22 points, the informal observation is awarded 10 points and the evidence binder is awarded 28 points. The teacher's score is the sum of the points awarded for each of these measures. Because this system requires raw scores, conversion charts are not needed. Instead, the following process will be used:

Formal Observation (22 Points):

- For domain's 1, 2 and 4, the evaluator will assign each sub-component a score of 0-4. These scores will then be averaged to determine a score for the given domain.*
- The APPR Committee has deemed the instructional domain (3) as deserving of the most value and have thus assigned it 10 points.*

The following procedure will be used to calculate the instructional domain only:
o Each sub-component for domain 3 will be assigned a score of 0-4. The scores will then be added together and divided by 2 to get a score with a maximum potential of 10 points. Conventional rounding rules will apply at this point to arrive at a whole number score for this domain.

The total score for the formal observation will be the sum of the scores for each domain.

Informal Observation (10 points):

The APPR Committee acknowledges that the short form is representative of an informal walk through observation of not less than ten minutes. Using the attached short form, points will be awarded for each sub component within the four domains of the Danielson rubric that are observed during the short unannounced classroom visit. It is important to note that domain three (3) is awarded more weight than the other three domains, as listed on the attached teacher short form.

The total score for the informal observation will be the sum of the scores of each domain.

Evidence Binder (28 Points):

The third measure used to evaluate teacher performance for this category is the evidence binder, a collection of artifacts that demonstrates the teacher's adherence to the four domains that are the core of the Danielson's rubric and the sub-components within each domain. Teachers are asked to include three artifacts which demonstrate evidence of each of the four domains. Each domain is worth 7 points for a maximum total of 28. The committee acknowledges that one artifact will not be likely to demonstrate all sub-components within that domain, but each artifact must show proficiency in at least one of the sub-components. The sub-components that are observed will be scored on the following scale:

0 – Ineffective

3 – Developing

5 – Effective

7 – Highly Effective

Each piece of evidence (artifact) will receive a score of 0-7 based on the sub-component exhibited. All three pieces of evidence will be averaged for an overall score for each domain. The score for all domains will be added for a sum total of 0-28 points, rounding up using conventional methods.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

[assets/survey-uploads/5091/189735-eka9yMJ855/Teacher Eval- Short Form and evidence binder rubric_3.pdf](#)

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	A score is calculated for each teaching standard. These scores are combined for a total score. A total score of 59-60 is Highly Effective.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A score is calculated for each teaching standard. These scores are combined for a total score. A total score of 57-58 is Effective.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A score is calculated for each teaching standard. These scores are combined for a total score. A total score of 50-56 is Developing.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A score is calculated for each teaching standard. These scores are combined for a total score. A total score of 0-49 is Ineffective.

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Formal/Long	2
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Informal/Short	1
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Enter Total	3

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Formal/Long	1
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Informal/Short	1
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, October 09, 2012

Updated Thursday, December 13, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

5.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

**Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement**

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created Friday, October 05, 2012
Updated Wednesday, January 02, 2013

Page 1

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

[assets/survey-uploads/5265/189804-Df0w3Xx5v6/Teacher Improvement Plan x_1.pdf](#)

6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review
- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

CCSD Appeals Procedure

1. Governing Body to Adjudicate the Appeal: The governing body shall be defined as the "Appeal Committee" (hereinafter "Committee"). The Committee make up shall be:

- a) Two administrators selected by the Superintendent or his/her designee, neither of whom authored the evaluation.*
- b) Two tenured teachers selected by the President of the Association or his/her designee.*

2. Committee Function:

- a) The employee and authoring administrator will both be given the opportunity to discuss the evaluation procedure and/or substantive content at issue in a separate and confidential meeting with the Committee.*
- b) The Committee shall have the right to ask questions of the teacher being evaluated and the evaluating administrator. The Committee shall have the right to collect any and all information necessary to make an informed decision.*
- c) The Committee shall reach their finding using the consensus model. If consensus is not reached, the Committee shall write up the opposing viewpoints and submit the opposing viewpoints to the evaluation authoring administrator, the employee, the Association President, and the Superintendent. The Committee will present these viewpoints to the Superintendent. The Superintendent shall make the final decision. All decisions made by the Superintendent are final and not subject to further appeal.*

3. Timeline:

The following timelines will be strictly adhered to unless extended by mutual agreement but not to exceed 5 days. Any mutual agreed upon extensions will be timely and expeditious in accordance with Education Law 3012-c. Failure of the teacher to meet a deadline will nullify the appeal; failure of the district to meet a timeline will allow movement of the appeal to the next level.

- a) The employee must forward the evaluation appeal within ten (10) workdays of receipt of the evaluation. said appeal must be submitted in writing to the Superintendent of Schools and the Association President. (See Appendix Q)*
- b) The Superintendent and Association President shall charge the Committee to hold a meeting within five (5) workdays of receipt of the appeal.*
- c) The Committee shall issue its findings to the Superintendent, Association President, the employee and the authoring administrator within five (5) workdays of the meeting.*
- d) If the teacher accepts the decision of the Committee the appeal is complete.*
- e) If the Committee is unable to reach consensus, the Superintendent will be given five (5) days to meet and render a final decision. Extensions by mutual agreement will be timely and expeditious in accordance with NYS Education Law 3012-C.*

APPR's sent to teachers over the summer will be sent by certified mail to the teacher's home address. The failure to file an appeal within these timeframes shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned unless extended by mutual agreement. Extensions by mutual agreement will be timely and expeditious in accordance with NYS Education Law 3012-C.

4. Findings:

- a) The Committee and/or the Superintendent are authorized to overturn a section of the evaluation. Said ability to overturn a section of the evaluation does not negate the fact that the evaluation was completed in a timely fashion.*
- b) The Committee and/or the Superintendent are authorized to overturn the entire evaluation if the evaluation was procedurally flawed.*
- c) The Committee and/or the Superintendent are authorized to overturn a section or the entire evaluation and required course of action so as to enhance the professional growth of the employee.*
- d) The Committee and/or the Superintendent are authorized to affirm the evaluation and require a course of action so as to enhance the professional growth of the employee.*
- e) The Committee and/or the Superintendent are authorized to affirm the evaluation.*

The entire appeals record will be part of the teacher's APPR

6.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

Duration and Nature of Training Provided to Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

- (a) The "lead evaluator" is the administrator who is primarily responsible for a teacher's evaluation under Chapter 103. The term "evaluator" shall include any District administrator who conducts an observation or evaluation of a teacher.*
- (b) All evaluators shall successfully complete a training course that meets the minimum requirements prescribed in Chapter 103 and Section 30-2.9 of the regulations there under. Such training shall include application and use of the State-approved teacher rubric, Danielson 2011, selected by the APPR Committee for use in CTA evaluations.*
- (c) Once an evaluator has successfully completed a training course meeting the minimum requirements prescribed in the law and regulations, he/she shall be deemed to be certified by the Board of Education as a lead evaluator.*

(d) The District's training for evaluators and lead evaluators will include: multiple sessions provided by the Jefferson Lewis BOCES Network Team, reflecting their training at the State Education Department. Additionally, the administrators will be trained in the selected rubric, "Charlotte Danielson 2011". Training will be ongoing, they will be required to keep a log, mylearningplan.com, of sessions attended and the evaluators and lead evaluators will be certified by the Carthage Board of Education.

(e) Nothing herein shall be construed to prohibit an evaluator who is properly certified by the State as a school administrator from conducting classroom observations or school visits as part of an annual professional performance review under Chapter 103 prior to completion of the training required by said Chapter or the regulations there under, as long as such training is successfully completed prior to completion of the annual professional performance review.

(f) Recertification will occur in the same manner.

(g) Successful completion of training will ensure inter-rater reliability

6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall

rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

-
- Checked
-

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created Wednesday, October 10, 2012

Updated Wednesday, January 02, 2013

Page 1

7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

K-4
5-8
9-12
(No response)
(No response)
(No response)
(No response)

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13	Checked

7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessment covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. District-determined assessments from the options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the school or program type this SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Please remember that State assessments must be used with SLOs if applicable to the school or program type.

School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment
K-4	State assessment	NYS 3/4 ELA/Math Assessments

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	The Carthage Central School District will use both the NYS grade 4 ELA and math assessments, and the NYS Grade 3 ELA and math assessments to measure student growth, for State Growth for principals. The State will provide the HEDI results for the grade 4 ELA and math SLOs which will then be weighted proportionally with the grade 3 ELA and Math SLO results (see HEDI chart for Grade 3). Our process for establishing growth targets for grade 3 ELA and Math requires principals and their supervisors to exam a variety of baseline data together to set rigorous, yet achievable targets. Data to be reviewed includes pre assessment results as well as historical academic data.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are well above achievement levels on district goals.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results meet levels on district goals.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are below on district goals.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are well below on district goals.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5365/192749-lha0DogRNw/PRINCIPAL 20 Pt HEDI Chart.pdf

7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

None

7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html .	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created Wednesday, October 10, 2012

Updated Friday, January 04, 2013

Page 1

Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K-4	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES Developed K-4 ELA and Math Grade Level Specific Assessments
5-8	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Carthage CSD Developed 5-8 ELA and Math Grade Level Specific Assessments
9-12	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Carthage CSD Developed Assessments for each course (9-12) in ELA, Math, Science and Social Studies

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	The local portion will measure student achievement using a scoring mechanism that scales assessment scores on the locally developed assessments to performance levels. Achievement targets are established by the District. See the downloaded 15 point HEDI Chart.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well above district goals for similar students.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet district goals for similar students.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below district goals for similar students.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Results are well below district goals for similar students.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

[assets/survey-uploads/5366/192746-qBFVOWF7fC/PRINCIPAL 15 pt HEDI Chart.pdf](#)

8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:<!--

(a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)

(b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)

(c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

(d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations

(e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)

(h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th

grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K-4	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Jefferson-Lewis BOCES developed Assessment ELA and Math Grades K-4

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	The local portion will measure student achievement using a scoring mechanism that scales assessment scores on the locally developed assessments to performance levels. Achievement targets are established by the District. See the downloaded 20 point HEDI Chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well above district goals for similar students.
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet district goals for similar students.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below district goals for similar students.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well below district goals for similar students.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5366/192746-T8MIGWUVm1/PRINCIPAL 20 Pt HEDI Chart.pdf

8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

None

8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

All principals will be receiving one measure, therefore there will be no need to combine multiple measures.

8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

8.5) Assurances Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check
8.5) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check

9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created Tuesday, October 16, 2012

Updated Friday, January 04, 2013

Page 1

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric

(No response)

9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the points assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	60
---	----

Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents.	0
--	---

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 9.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below (if applicable):

9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	(No response)
9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	(No response)

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) School visits by other trained evaluators	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	(No response)

9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is posted, this form will be updated with dropdown menus of approved survey tools.

Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey for Teachers	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 3-5) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 6-12) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Parent Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Teacher/Staff Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
District variance	(No response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

9.6) Assurances Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The district shall use the LCI Multidimensional rubric for principal evaluation as the basis for the 60 "Other" points allocated to measures of leadership and management. The superintendent's assessment shall be based on a least 2 visits of 30 minutes or more to the school while in session; one visit will be announced. The agenda for the announced visit will be mutually agreed upon between the superintendent and principal. One or more additional visit(s) will be unannounced. Visits are to be completed no later than April 30. Three additional sources of information for the superintendent's consideration in applying the rubric shall be:

a. School documents related to components of the rubric. These shall be provided to the superintendent by May 31.

b. The superintendent shall consider the following discussions and reviews in assessing performance of the principal in leadership and management: 1.) The principal and superintendent shall conduct a joint critical analysis of the NYS School Report Card (or other similar NYS accountability report) no later than November 22, including identification of actions to be taken to address components and district resources to be made available to the principal and building. 2.) No later than May 31, the principal and superintendent shall meet to review the related initiatives and actions of the principal over the year as well as the availability and use of district provided resources.

In order to determine the principal's score on the rubric, each of the six domains of the rubric are rated (H - Highly Effective, E-Effective, D- Developing, or I - Ineffective) by the supervisor. The HEDI points are tallied based on the number of ratings per each domain and then multiplied according to the formula indicated on the form.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5143/197934-pMADJ4gk6R/Hybrid Holistic Mathematical Rubric Scoring Methodology_1.pdf

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.

Based on Carthage CSD goals and priorities the principals overall performance and results exceeds the level of

	performance expected as assessed by the LCI Multi Dimensional Rubric.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	Based on Carthage CSD goals and priorities the principals overall performance and results meets the level of performance expected as assessed by the LCI Multi Dimensional Rubric.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	Based on Carthage CSD goals and priorities the principals overall performance and results needs improvement in order to meet the level of performance expected as assessed by the LCI Multi Dimensional Rubric.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.	Based on Carthage CSD goals and priorities the principals overall performance and results does not meet the level of performance expected as assessed by the LCI Multi Dimensional Rubric.

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	57-60
Effective	53-56
Developing	49-52
Ineffective	0-48

9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

Probationary Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

Tenured Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Tuesday, October 16, 2012

Updated Thursday, December 06, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

**Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement**

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	57-60
Effective	53-56
Developing	49-52
Ineffective	0-48

10.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created Tuesday, October 16, 2012

Updated Wednesday, January 02, 2013

Page 1

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in your school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

[assets/survey-uploads/5276/197961-Df0w3Xx5v6/Principal Improvement Plan.pdf](assets/survey-uploads/5276/197961-Df0w3Xx5v6/Principal%20Improvement%20Plan.pdf)

11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

*Carthage Central School District
Principal APPR Appeal Process*

CHALLENGES IN AN APPEAL:

Appeals are limited to those identified by Education Law §3012-c, as follows:

1. The substance of the annual professional performance review;

(2) The school district's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews;

(3) The adherence to the Commissioner's regulations, as applicable to such reviews;

(4) Compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans; and

(5) The school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan.

RATINGS THAT MAY BE APPEALED:

Appeals of annual professional performance reviews may be brought for ineffective, developing. An appeal may only be initiated once a principal receives the overall composite score and rating.

PROHIBITION AGAINST MORE THAN ONE APPEAL

A principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review. The issuance of an improvement plan may prompt an appeal independent of the performance review. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within such appeal. Any grounds not raised shall be deemed waived.

TIME FRAME FOR FILING APPEAL

All appeals shall be filed in writing. The act of mailing the appeal shall constitute filing.

An appeal of a performance review must be filed no later than fifteen (15) business days of the date when the principal receives his/her final annual professional performance review. If a principal is challenging the issuance of a principal improvement plan, the appeal must be filed with fifteen (15) business days of issuance of such plan. An appeal of the implementation of an improvement plan shall be within fifteen (15) business days of the failure of the district to implement any component of the plan.

The failure to file an appeal within these timeframes shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned. An extension of the time may be given in extenuating circumstances an appeal may be granted by the Superintendent upon written request. Extensions will be timely and expeditious in accordance with Education Law 3012-c.

When filing an appeal, the principal must submit a written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his or her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his or her improvement plan. Supportive evidence about the challenges may also be submitted with the appeal. Any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal must be provided by the district upon written request for same. The performance review and/or improvement plan being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal.

TIME FRAME FOR DISTRICT RESPONSE

Within ten (10) business days of receipt of an appeal, the district must submit a detailed written response to the appeal. The response must include all additional documents or written materials relevant to the point(s) of disagreement that support the district's response. Any such information that is not submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered on behalf of the district in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal. The principal initiating the appeal shall receive a copy of the response filed by the school district, and all additional information submitted with the response, at the same time the school district files its response. Additional material supporting the challenges may be submitted by the principal up to the date of the hearing.

DECISION PROCESS FOR APPEAL

Within five (5) business days of the district's response, a panel of three (3) reviewers shall be chosen. The President of the CSAA shall select one reviewer; the Superintendent shall select one reviewer, and they shall mutually agree on the third member. The parties agree that:

- a. *The hearing panel shall hear appeals in a timely manner after the appeal is made, but in no event shall it be less than five (5) business days or more than fifteen (15) business days after the panel is selected, unless a mutually agreed upon date is established. The appeals process will be timely and expeditious in compliance with education law 3012-C.*
- b. *The hearing shall be conducted in no more than one business day unless extenuating circumstances cause both parties to agree to a second day.*
- c. *The parties shall have the ability to be represented by either legal counsel, union representative, or appear pro se;*
- d. *The parties shall exchange an anticipated witness list no less than two (2) business days before the scheduled hearing date;*
- e. *The district shall have the opportunity to present its case supporting the rating or improvement plan, and then the principal may refute the presentation. These presentations may include the presentation of material, witnesses and/or affidavits in lieu of testimony.*

DECISION

A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than ten (10) business days from the close of the hearing. Such decision shall be a final administrative decision.

The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for the determination on each of the specific issues raised in the appeal. The panel must either, affirm, set aside or modify a district's rating or improvement plan. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the principal and the district representative.

EXCLUSIVITY OF SECTION 3012-C APPEAL PROCEDURE

This appeal procedure shall constitute the means for initiating, reviewing and resolving challenges to a principal performance review or improvement plan. A principal may not resort to any other contractual grievance procedures for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to a professional performance review and/or improvement plan.

OTHER

1. *The district and bargaining unit for the principal shall maintain a list of no less than three (3) mutually agreed upon hearing officers.*
2. *The cost of the hearing shall be the responsibility of the district.*
3. *In addition to any further limitations agreed to within the APPR agreement, an evaluation shall not be placed in a principal's personnel file until either the expiration of the fifteen (15) business day period in which to file a notice of appeal without action being taken by the principal or the conclusion of the appeal process described herein, whichever is later.*
4. *A principal who takes advantage of the appeals process described herein does not waive his/her right to submit a written rebuttal to the final evaluation. A principal who elects to submit a written rebuttal to his/her evaluation prior to the expiration of the fifteen (15) business days in which to file a notice of appeal does not waive her/his right to file an appeal.*

11.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

Duration and Nature of Training Provided to Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

(a) The "lead evaluator" is the administrator who is primarily responsible for a principal's evaluation under Chapter 103. The term "evaluator" shall include any administrator who conducts an observation or evaluation of a principal.

(b) All evaluators shall successfully complete a training course that meets the minimum requirements prescribed in Chapter 103 and Section 30-2.9 of the regulations there under. Such training shall be ongoing and include application and use of the State-approved principal practice rubric selected by the District for use in evaluations.

(c) Once an evaluator has successfully completed a training course meeting the minimum requirements prescribed in the law and regulations, he/she shall be deemed to be certified by the Board of Education as a lead evaluator of principals.

(d) The District's training for evaluators and lead evaluators will include: multiple sessions provided by the Jefferson Lewis BOCES Network Team, reflecting their training at the State Education Department. Additionally, the administrators will be trained in the selected rubric, "Multi Dimensional Rubric". Training will be ongoing, they will be required to keep a log, mylearningplan.com, of sessions attended and the evaluators and lead evaluators will be certified by the Carthage Board of Education.

(e) Nothing herein shall be construed to prohibit an evaluator who is properly certified by the State as a district administrator from conducting observations as part of an annual professional performance review under Chapter 103 prior to completion of the training required by said Chapter or the regulations there under, as long as such training is successfully completed prior to completion of the annual professional performance review.

(f) Recertification will occur in the same manner.

(g) Successful completion of training will ensure inter-rater reliability.

11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher’s or principal’s overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

-
- Checked
-

11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created Wednesday, October 17, 2012

Updated Friday, January 04, 2013

Page 1

12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form

<assets/survey-uploads/5581/199004-3Uqgn5g9Iu/Signature Page 1-4-13 APPR.pdf>

File types supported for uploads

PDF (preferred)

Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)

Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)

Open Office (.odt, .ott)

Images (.jpg, .gif)

Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

Setting Targets

Approach 1: Set a common growth target

___% of students, including special populations, will grow by ___ percentage points or more on their summative assessment compared to their pre-test for the standards. (e.g., Student E’s target is 60 more than 30, or 90.)

Student	Pre-Test Score	Summative Target
Student A	10	70
Student B	20	80
Student C	5	65
Student D	0	60
Student E	30	90
Student F	10	70

Setting Targets

Approach 2: Set a growth to mastery target

___% of students, including special populations, will grow to score ___% or higher on the summative assessment for the selected standards. (e.g. 85% of students, including special populations, will grow to score 75% or higher)

Student	Pre-Test Score	Summative Target
Student A	10	75
Student B	20	75
Student C	5	75
Student D	0	75
Student E	30	75
Student F	10	75

Setting Targets

Approach 3: Set differentiated growth targets by student.

___% of students, including special populations, will meet or exceed their individualized target. (e.g. 85%)

Student	Pre-Test Score	Summative Target
Student A	10	80
Student B	20	80
Student C	5	75
Student D	0	70
Student E	30	85
Student F	10	80

HEDI Rating Scale:	Highly Effective 18 – 20 Effective 9 – 17	Percent Meeting Target	83%
	Developing 3 – 8 Ineffective 0 - 2	Subcomponent HEDI Rating	Effective

HEDI Standards

Highly Effective (18-20 points)	Effective (9-17 points)	Developing (3-8 points)	Ineffective (0-2 points)
Results are well above state average for similar students.	Results meet state average for similar students.	Results are below average for similar students.	Results are well below state average for similar students.

HEDI Criteria

The method by which different levels of student growth will translate into one of four rating categories: Highly Effective, Effective, Developing and Ineffective.

	HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE							DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE					
HEDI	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Scoring	97-100	91-96	85-90	81-84	77-80	73-76	71-72	68-70	65-67	64	63	61-62	59-60	57-58	55-56	53-54	51-52	50	36-49	18-35	0-17

TEACHER

HEDI and Percentages for the 20% SLO & 20% Local

Carthage Central School District APPR

	HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE									DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE			
HEDI	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	<u>13</u>	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Scoring	97-100	91-96	85-90	81-84	77-80	73-76	71-72	68-70	65-67	64	63	61-62	59-60	57-58	55-56	53-54	51-52	50	36-49	18-35	0-17

TEACHER

HEDI and Percentages for the 15% Local

Carthage Central School District APPR

HEDI Scoring	Highly Effective		Effective						Developing					Ineffective		
	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	93-100	85-92	80-84	75-79	70-74	65-69	63-64	61-62	58-60	56-57	54-55	52-53	50-51	36-49	18-35	0-17

Local Assessment Data (20 % Teacher APPR)
Average Conversion Calculation (option 1)

Teacher: _____

Student Name			Post Assessment Score		HEDI Rating Scale	
					Class Average on Post Assessment	Points for Composite Evaluation
1	aa		65		0 - 17	0
2	bb		75		18 - 35	1
3	cc		59		36 - 49	2
4	dd		71		50	3
5	ee		63		51 - 52	4
6	ff		45		53 - 54	5
7	gg		80		55 - 56	6
8	hh		87		57 - 58	7
9	i		79		59 - 60	8
10	j		81		61 - 62	9
11	k		57		63	10
12	l		60		64	11
13	m		50		65 - 67	12
14	n		92		68 - 70	13
15	o		81		71 - 72	14
16	p		75		73 - 76	15
17	q		78		77 - 80	16
18	r		67		81 - 84	17
19	s		86		85 - 90	18
20	t		72		91 - 96	19
21	u		83		97 - 100	20
22	v		88			
23	w		90			
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						

Student Scores

Average =
(this page only)

73.22

Teacher Points

(from Conversion Table
this page only)

15

All Student Scores

Average =

73.22

Total Teacher Points

(from Conversion Table
for all students)

15

HEDI Rating	Highly Effective:	18 -20	Developing:	3 - 8
	Effective:	9 - 17	Ineffective:	0 - 2

Local Assessment Data (20 % Teacher APPR)
Average Conversion Calculation (option 1)

Teacher: _____

Student Name			Post Assessment Score		HEDI Rating Scale	
					Class Average on Post Assessment	Points for Composite Evaluation
41				0 - 17	0	
42				18 - 35	1	
43				36 - 49	2	
44				50	3	
45				51 - 52	4	
46				53 - 54	5	
47				55 - 56	6	
48				57 - 58	7	
49				59 - 60	8	
50				61 - 62	9	
51				63	10	
52				64	11	
53				65 - 67	12	
54				68 - 70	13	
55				71 - 72	14	
56				73 - 76	15	
57				77 - 80	16	
58				81 - 84	17	
59				85 - 90	18	
60				91 - 96	19	
61				97 - 100	20	
62						
63						
64						
65						
66						
67						
68						
69						
70						
71						
72						
73						
74						
75						
76						
77						
78						
79						
80						

Student Scores
Average = (this page only) #DIV/0!

Teacher Points
(from Conversion Table this page only) #####

All Student Scores
Average = 73.22

Total Teacher Points
(from Conversion Table for all students) 15

HEDI Rating	Highly Effective:	18 -20	Developing:	3 - 8
	Effective:	9 - 17	Ineffective:	0 - 2

Local Assessment Data (20 % Teacher APPR)
Average Conversion Calculation (option 1)

Teacher: _____

			HEDI Rating Scale	
Student Name		Post Assessment Score	Class Average on Post Assessment	Points for Composite Evaluation
81			0 - 17	0
82			18 - 35	1
83			36 - 49	2
84			50	3
85			51 - 52	4
86			53 - 54	5
87			55 - 56	6
88			57 - 58	7
89			59 - 60	8
90			61 - 62	9
91			63	10
92			64	11
93			65 - 67	12
94			68 - 70	13
95			71 - 72	14
96			73 - 76	15
97			77 - 80	16
98			81 - 84	17
99			85 - 90	18
100			91 - 96	19
101			97 - 100	20
102				
103				
104				
105				
106				
107				
108				
109				
110				
111				
112				
113				
114				
115				
116				
117				
118				
119				
120				

Student Scores

Average =
(this page only)

#DIV/0!

Teacher Points

(from Conversion Table
this page only)

#####

All Student Scores

Average =

73.22

Total Teacher Points

(from Conversion Table
for all students)

15

HEDI Rating	Highly Effective:	18 -20	Developing:	3 - 8
	Effective:	9 - 17		Ineffective:

Local Assessment Data (20 % Teacher APPR)
Average Conversion Calculation (option 1)

Teacher: _____

Student Name		Post Assessment Score		HEDI Rating Scale	
				Class Average on Post Assessment	Points for Composite Evaluation
121				0 - 17	0
122				18 - 35	1
123				36 - 49	2
124				50	3
125				51 - 52	4
126				53 - 54	5
127				55 - 56	6
128				57 - 58	7
129				59 - 60	8
130				61 - 62	9
131				63	10
132				64	11
133				65 - 67	12
134				68 - 70	13
135				71 - 72	14
136				73 - 76	15
137				77 - 80	16
138				81 - 84	17
139				85 - 90	18
140				91 - 96	19
141				97 - 100	20
142					
143					
144					
145					
146					
147					
148					
149					
150					
151					
152					
153					
154					
155					
156					
157					
158					
159					
160					

Student Scores

Average =
(this page only)

#DIV/0!

Teacher Points

(from Conversion Table)
this page only

#####

All Student Scores

Average =

73.22

Total Teacher Points

(from Conversion Table
for all students)

15

HEDI Rating	Highly Effective:	18 - 20	Developing:	3 - 8
	Effective:	9 - 17	Ineffective:	0 - 2

Local Assessment Data (20 % Teacher APPR)
Performance Level Calculation (option 2)

Teacher: _____

Student Name		Score	Performance Level	Performance Level Scale	
1	aa	65	3	Assessment Score	Performance Level
2	bb	75	3	0 - 54	1
3	cc	59	2	55 - 64	2
4	dd	71	3	65 - 84	3
5	ee	63	2	85 - 100	4
6	ff	45	1		
7	gg	80	3		
8	hh	87	4	Teacher Points = $\frac{\# \text{ Level 2} + 2(\# \text{ Level 3}) + 2(\# \text{ Level 4})}{\text{Number of Students Assessed}} \times 10$	
9	i	79	3		
10	j	81	3		
11	k	57	2		
12	l	60	2		
13	m	50	1		
14	n	92	4		
15	o	81	3		
16	p	75	3		
17	q	78	3		
18	r	67	3		
19	s	86	4		
20	t	72	3		
21	u	83	3		
22	v	88	4		
23	w	90	4		
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					

# of Level 1 =	2
# of Level 2 =	4
# of Level 3 =	12
# of Level 4 =	5

Number of Students (This Page Only)	23
--	----

Teacher Performance (This Page Only)	17
---	----

Total Students	23
----------------	----

Total Teacher Points (from Conversion Formula for <u>all</u> students)	17
--	----

HEDI Rating	Highly Effective:	18 - 20	Developing:	3 - 8
	Effective:	9 - 17		Ineffective:

Local Assessment Data (20 % Teacher APPR)
Performance Level Calculation (option 2)

Teacher _____

Student Name		Score	Performance Level	Performance Level Scale	
41				Assessment Score	Performance Level
42				0 - 54	1
43				55 - 64	2
44				65 - 84	3
45				85 - 100	4
46					
47					
48				Teacher	$\frac{\# \text{ Level 2} + 2(\# \text{ Level 3}) + 2(\# \text{ Level 4})}{\text{Number of Students Assessed}} \times 10$
49				Points =	
50					
51					
52					
53					
54				# of Level 1 =	0
55				# of Level 2 =	0
56				# of Level 3 =	0
57				# of Level 4 =	0
58					
59				Number of Students	0
60				(This Page Only)	
61					
62					
63				Teacher Performance	#DIV/0!
64				(This Page Only)	
65					
66					
67					
68					
69					
70					
71				Total Students	23
72					
73					
74				Total Teacher Points	17
75				(from Conversion Formula	
76				for <u>all</u> students)	
77					
78					
79					
80					

HEDI Rating	Highly Effective:	18 -20	Developing:	3 - 8
	Effective:	9 - 17		Ineffective:

Local Assessment Data (20 % Teacher APPR)
Performance Level Calculation (option 2)

Teacher: _____

Student Name		Score	Performance Level	Performance Level Scale	
81				Assessment Score	Performance Level
82				0 - 54	1
83				55 - 64	2
84				65 - 84	3
85				85 - 100	4
86					
87					
88				Teacher $\frac{\# \text{ Level 2} + 2(\# \text{ Level 3}) + 2(\# \text{ Level 4})}{\text{Number of Students Assessed}} \times 10$	
89					
90					
91					
92					
93					
94				# of Level 1 =	0
95				# of Level 2 =	0
96				# of Level 3 =	0
97				# of Level 4 =	0
98					
99				Number of Students (This Page Only)	0
100					
101				Teacher Performance (This Page Only)	#DIV/0!
102					
103					
104					
105					
106					
107					
108					
109					
110				Total Students	23
111					
112					
113					
114				Total Teacher Points (from Conversion Formula for <u>all</u> students)	17
115					
116					
117					
118					
119					
120					

HEDI Rating	Highly Effective: 18 - 20		Developing: 3 - 8
	Effective: 9 - 17		Ineffective: 0 - 2

Local Assessment Data (20 % Teacher APPR)
Performance Level Calculation (option 2)

Teacher: _____

Student ID	Student Name	Score	Performance Level	Performance Level Scale	
121				Assessment Score	Performance Level
122				0 - 54	1
123				55 - 64	2
124				65 - 84	3
125				85 - 100	4
126					
127					
128				Teacher $\frac{\# \text{ Level 2} + 2(\# \text{ Level 3}) + 2(\# \text{ Level 4})}{\text{Number of Students Assessed}} \times 10$	
129				Points =	
130					
131					
132					
133					
134				# of Level 1 =	0
135				# of Level 2 =	0
136				# of Level 3 =	0
137				# of Level 4 =	0
138					
139				Number of Students (This Page Only)	0
140					
141					
142					
143				Teacher Performance (This Page Only)	#DIV/0!
144					
145					
146					
147					
148					
149					
150				Total Students	23
151					
152					
153					
154				Total Teacher Points (from Conversion Formula for <u>all</u> students)	17
155					
156					
157					
158					
159					
160					

HEDI Rating	Highly Effective:	18 -20		Developing:	3 - 8
	Effective:	9 - 17		Ineffective:	0 - 2

TEACHER

HEDI and Percentages for the 20% SLO & 20% Local

Carthage Central School District APPR

	HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE									DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE			
HEDI	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	<u>13</u>	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Scoring	97-100	91-96	85-90	81-84	77-80	73-76	71-72	68-70	65-67	64	63	61-62	59-60	57-58	55-56	53-54	51-52	50	36-49	18-35	0-17

Annual Professional Performance Review



TEACHERS- SHORT FORM

Name:	Bldg. & Assignment:	Date & Time Observed:
--------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------------

Short Form is Worth 10 Points

DOMAIN	COMMENTS: (required if rated developing or ineffective)	Elements Observed = HEDI Points Awarded															
1. Planning and Preparation a) Knowledge of content and pedagogy b) Knowledge of students c) Setting instructional outcomes d) Knowledge of resources e) Designing coherent instruction f) Designing student assessments		0-2															
		0 = 0															
		1-2 = .5															
		3-4 = 1															
		5-6 = 2															
2. Classroom Environment a) Creating an environment of respect and rapport b) Establishing a culture for learning c) Managing classroom procedures d) Managing student behavior e) Organizing physical space		0-2															
		0 = 0															
		1 = .5															
		2-3 = 1															
		4-5 = 2															
3. Instruction a) Communicating with students b) Using questioning/prompts and discussion c) Engaging students in learning d) Using assessment in instruction e) Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness		0-4															
		0 = 0															
		1-2 = 2															
		3-4 = 3															
		5 = 4															
4. Professional Responsibilities a) Reflecting on teaching b) Maintaining accurate records c) Communicating with families d) Participating in a professional community e) Growing and developing professionally f) Showing professionalism		0-2															
		0 = 0															
		1-2 = .5															
		3-4 = 1															
		5-6 = 2															
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>0-2</td> <td>Hedi Scales</td> <td>0-4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Highly Effective</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Effective</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.5</td> <td>Developing</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>Ineffective</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table>	0-2	Hedi Scales	0-4	2	Highly Effective	4	1	Effective	3	0.5	Developing	2	0	Ineffective	0	Total Points	
0-2	Hedi Scales	0-4															
2	Highly Effective	4															
1	Effective	3															
0.5	Developing	2															
0	Ineffective	0															

Signature of Staff Member:	Date:
Administrator Sign Off:	Date:

When the employee has been given the opportunity to examine the material, he/she must indicate this by affixing his/her signature thereto. This signature does not necessarily indicate agreement with the contents.

Annual Professional Performance Review



EVIDENCE BINDER

Name:	Bldg. & Assignment:
--------------	--------------------------------

Domain	Artifact	Sub-component Observed	Score	Total Average
1. Planning and Preparation a) Knowledge of content and pedagogy b) Knowledge of students c) Setting instructional outcomes d) Knowledge of resources e) Designing coherent instruction f) Designing student assessments				0-7
2. Classroom Environment a) Creating an environment of respect and rapport b) Establishing a culture for learning c) Managing classroom procedures d) Managing student behavior e) Organizing physical space				0-7
3. Instruction a) Communicating with students b) Using questioning/prompts and discussion c) Engaging students in learning d) Using assessment in instruction e) Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness				0-7
4. Professional Responsibilities a) Reflecting on teaching b) Maintaining accurate records c) Communicating with families d) Participating in a professional community e) Growing and developing professionally f) Showing professionalism				0-7
Total Points				

Signature of Staff Member:	Date:
Signature of Administrator:	Date:

When the employee has been given the opportunity to examine the material, he/she must indicate this by affixing his/her signature thereto. This signature does not necessarily indicate agreement with the contents.

Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP)



Staff Member:	CTA Representative:
Administrator(s):	Meeting Date:

A. Identification of the specific area(s) to be improved.

<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Planning and Preparation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> 1a. Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy <input type="checkbox"/> 1b. Demonstrating Knowledge of Students <input type="checkbox"/> 1c. Setting Instructional Outcomes <input type="checkbox"/> 1d. Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources <input type="checkbox"/> 1e. Designing Coherent Instruction <input type="checkbox"/> 1f. Designing Student Assessments 	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Classroom Environment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> 2a. Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport <input type="checkbox"/> 2b. Establishing a Culture for Learning <input type="checkbox"/> 2c. Managing Classroom Procedures <input type="checkbox"/> 2d. Managing Student Behavior <input type="checkbox"/> 2e. Organizing Physical Space
<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Instruction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> 3a. Communicating with Students <input type="checkbox"/> 3b. Using Questioning/Prompts and Discussion <input type="checkbox"/> 3c. Engaging Students in Learning <input type="checkbox"/> 3d. Using Assessment in Instruction <input type="checkbox"/> 3e. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness 	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Professional Responsibilities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> 4a. Reflecting on Teaching <input type="checkbox"/> 4b. Maintaining Accurate Records <input type="checkbox"/> 4c. Communicating with Families <input type="checkbox"/> 4d. Participating in a Professional Community <input type="checkbox"/> 4e. Growing and Developing <input type="checkbox"/> 4f. Showing Professionalism

**B. What evidence will demonstrate that the staff member has improved in the identified area(s)?
(Should be specific and measurable.)**

C. Identify the actions that will be taken to help the staff member improve and the timeline for completing them. (e.g. participating in professional development, visitation of other teachers, professional reading, mentors, etc.)

Action	Timeline

D. List who will support the staff member and monitor progress in the change effort.

Name(s)	Role

E. Post TIP Assessment

Teacher completed the specified improvements within the identified timeline:

<u>Administrator</u> <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	<u>Staff Member</u> <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
---	--

	Sign Below	Date
Staff Member Signature:		
Administrator(s) Signature:		
CTA Representative Signature:		
Meeting Date:		

THIS FORM IS TO BE ATTACHED TO THE APPR.

PRINCIPAL

HEDI and Percentages for the 20% SLO & 20% Local

Carthage Central School District APPR

	HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE									DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE			
HEDI	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	<u>13</u>	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Scoring	97-100	91-96	85-90	81-84	77-80	73-76	71-72	68-70	65-67	64	63	61-62	59-60	57-58	55-56	53-54	51-52	50	36-49	18-35	0-17

PRINCIPAL

HEDI and Percentages for the 15% Local

Carthage Central School District APPR

HEDI Scoring	Highly Effective		Effective						Developing					Ineffective		
	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	93-100	85-92	80-84	75-79	70-74	65-69	63-64	61-62	58-60	56-57	54-55	52-53	50-51	36-49	18-35	0-17

PRINCIPAL

HEDI and Percentages for the 20% SLO & 20% Local

Carthage Central School District APPR

	HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE									DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE			
HEDI	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	<u>13</u>	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Scoring	97-100	91-96	85-90	81-84	77-80	73-76	71-72	68-70	65-67	64	63	61-62	59-60	57-58	55-56	53-54	51-52	50	36-49	18-35	0-17

SECTION III: "OTHER" MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS (60 POINTS)

HYBRID HOLISTIC/MATHEMATICAL RUBRIC SCORING METHODOLOGY

In order to determine a principal's score on the rubric, each of the six domains of the rubric are rated HEDI by the supervisor. Site visits and other negotiated sources of evidence should be considered when the evaluator is rating each domain.

SHARED VISION OF LEARNING	H E D I
SCHOOL CULTURE AND INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAM	H E D I
SAFE, EFFICIENT, EFFECTIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT	H E D I
COMMUNITY	H E D I
INTEGRITY, FAIRNESS, ETHICS	H E D I
POLITICAL, SOCIAL, ECONOMIC, LEGAL, AND CULTURAL CONTEXT	H E D I

Number of ratings given:	Multiplier (based on 6 domains)	HEDI Points Earned:
H _____	X 15	_____
E _____	X 10	_____
D _____	X 5	_____
I _____	X 0	_____
Total points for ratings on 6 domains		_____ (out of 90)

Conversion to 60 points

HEDI RATNGS POINTS	Other Measures Points/60	Other Measure Rating
80-90 65-79	60 59	H
50-64 45-49	58 57	E
20-44 15-19	56 55	D
13-14 11-12 9-10 5-8 1-4 0-0	50 40 30 20 10 0	I

Score for Other Measures (rubric): _____ **Rating for Other Measures (rubric):** _____

Carthage Central School District Principal Improvement Plan Process

Upon rating a principal as ineffective or developing, an improvement plan designed to rectify perceived or demonstrated deficiencies must be developed and commenced no later than ten (10) school days after the start of a school year. The superintendent or designee, in conjunction with the principal, must develop an improvement plan that contains:

1. A clear delineation of the deficiencies that resulted in the ineffective or developing assessment.
2. Specific improvement goal/outcome statements.
3. Specific improvement action steps/activities.
4. A reasonable time line for achieving improvement.
5. Required and accessible resources to achieve goal.
6. A formative evaluation process documenting meetings strategically scheduled throughout the year to assess progress. These meetings shall occur at least twice during the year: the first between December 1 and December 15 and the second between March 1 and March 15. A written summary of feedback on progress shall be given within 5 business days of each meeting.
7. A clear manner in which improvement efforts will be assessed, including evidence demonstrating improvement.
8. A formal, final written summative assessment delineating progress made with an opportunity for comments by the principal.
9. A delineation of what needs to be included in an Evidence Binder.

Principal Improvement Plan

Name of Principal _____

School Building _____ Academic Year _____

Deficiency that promulgated the “ineffective” or “developing” performance rating:

Improvement Goal/Outcome:

Action Steps/Activities:

Timeline for completion:

Required and Accessible Resources, including identification of responsibility for provision:

Dates of formative evaluation on progress (lead evaluator and principal initial each date to confirm the meeting):

December:

March:

Other:

Evidence to be provided for Goal Achievement:

Assessment Summary: Superintendent is to attach a narrative summary of improvement progress, including verification of the provision of support and resources as outlined above no later than 10 days after the identified completion date. Such summary shall be signed by the superintendent and principal with the opportunity for the principal to attach comments.


Principal's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Superintendent's Signature: _____ Date: _____

- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the regulation and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- If this APPR Plan is being submitted subsequent to July 1, 2012, assure that this was the result of unresolved collective bargaining negotiations

Signatures, dates


Superintendent Signature: Date:

 1-4-13


Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

 1-4-13

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

 1-4-13

Board of Education President Signature: Date:

 1-4-13

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a TIP or PIP plan, in accordance with the regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with the regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal
- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)