



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@mail.nysed.gov
Twitter: @JohnKingNYSED
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

June 19, 2014

Revised

Jon MacSwan, Superintendent
Cleveland Hill Union Free School District
105 Mapleview Road
Cheektowaga, NY 14225

Dear Superintendent MacSwan:


Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,


John B. King, Jr.
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Donald Ogilvie

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Reviews

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Friday, May 09, 2014

1

Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

1.1) School District's BEDS Number : 140703020000

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

140703020000

1.2) School District Name: CLEVELAND HILL UFSD

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

CLEVELAND HILL UFSD

1.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.3) Assurances Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.3) Assurances Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.3) Assurances Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

1.4) Submission Status

For BOCES or charter schools that did not have an approved APPR plan for the 2012-13 school year only, is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan? For districts, BOCES or charter schools that did have an approved APPR plan for the 2012-13 school year, this must be listed as a submission of material changes to the approved APPR plan.

Submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan

2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Tuesday, May 20, 2014

Page 1

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have state-provided measures, some may teach other courses in addition where there is no state-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

2.1) Assurances Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
2.1) Assurances Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved.	Checked

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For core subjects: grades 6-8 Science and Social Studies, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, required if one exists

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: “[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment.” For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: “GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment.”

2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	ELA	Assessment
K	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Cleveland Hill Developed Kindergarten ELA assessment
1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Cleveland Hill Developed ELA grade 1 assessment
2	State-approved 3rd party assessment	Star Reading Enterprise

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Cleveland Hill UFSD will be measuring growth. The teachers in collaboration with principals will use each student’s pre-assessment score and prior academic history to set individual student growth targets.</p> <p>A HEDI score will be awarded based on the overall percentage of students who meet or exceed their individual growth targets, as compared to the pre-assessment baseline data point.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	85-100% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	45-84% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	21-44% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	0-20% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).

2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	Math	Assessment
K	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Cleveland Hill Developed Kindergarten Math assessment
1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Cleveland Hill Developed 1st grade Math assessment
2	State-approved 3rd party assessment	Star Math Enterprise

	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Cleveland Hill UFSD will be measuring growth. The teachers in collaboration with principals will use each student's pre-assessment score and prior academic history to set individual student growth targets.</p> <p>A HEDI score will be awarded based on the overall percentage of students who meet or exceed their individual growth targets, as compared to the pre-assessment baseline data point.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	85-100% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	45-84% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	21-44% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	0-20% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).

2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Cleveland Hill Developed 6th grade science Assessment
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Cleveland Hill Developed 7th grade science Assessment

	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Cleveland Hill UFSD will be measuring growth. The teachers in collaboration with principals will use each student's pre-assessment score and prior academic history to set individual student growth targets.</p> <p>A HEDI score will be awarded based on the overall percentage of students who meet or exceed their individual growth targets, as compared to the pre-assessment baseline data point.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	85-100% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	45-84% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	21-44% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	0-20% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).

2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Cleveland Hill Developed 6th grade social studies Assessment
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Cleveland Hill Developed 7th grade social studies Assessment
8	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Cleveland Hill Developed 8th grade social studies Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the

assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Cleveland Hill UFSD will be measuring growth. The teachers in collaboration with principals will use each student's pre-assessment score and prior academic history to set individual student growth targets. A HEDI score will be awarded based on the overall percentage of students who meet or exceed their individual growth targets, as compared to the pre-assessment baseline data point.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	85-100% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	45-84% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	21-44% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	0-20% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).

2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

		Assessment
Global 1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Cleveland Hill Developed Global 1 Assessment

Social Studies Regents Courses		Assessment
Global 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Cleveland Hill UFSD will be measuring growth. The teachers in collaboration with principals will use each student's pre-assessment score and prior academic history to set individual student growth targets. A HEDI score will be awarded based on the overall percentage of students who meet or exceed their individual growth targets, as compared to the pre-assessment baseline data point.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	85-100% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	45-84% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	21-44% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	0-20% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).

2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Physics	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Cleveland Hill UFSD will be measuring growth. The teachers in collaboration with principals will use each student's pre-assessment score and prior academic history to set individual student growth targets.</p> <p>A HEDI score will be awarded based on the overall percentage of students who meet or exceed their individual growth targets, as compared to the pre-assessment baseline data point.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	85-100% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	45-84% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	21-44% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	0-20% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).

2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

Math Regents Courses	Assessment
----------------------	------------

Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

NOTE: For Algebra 1, please specify whether your district will be offering the Integrated Algebra Regents, the Common Core Algebra Regents, or both and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Cleveland Hill UFSD will be measuring growth. The teachers in collaboration with principals will use each student's pre-assessment score and prior academic history to set individual student growth targets.</p> <p>A HEDI score will be awarded based on the overall percentage of students who meet or exceed their individual growth targets, as compared to the pre-assessment baseline data point.</p> <p>Cleveland Hill will be administering both the Integrated Algebra Regents Exam and the Common Core Algebra Exam, to students enrolled in Common Core Courses, with the teachers using the higher of the two assessment scores for APPR purposes.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	85-100% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	45-84% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	21-44% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	0-20% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).

2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Cleveland Hill Developed ELA Grade 9 Assessment
Grade 10 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Cleveland Hill Developed ELA Grade 10 Assessment
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	Common Core Regents ELA Exam and NYS Comprehensive English Exam

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

NOTE: For Grade 11 ELA, please specify whether your district will be offering the Comprehensive English Regents, the Common Core English Regents, or both and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.</p>	<p>Cleveland Hill UFSD will be measuring growth. The teachers in collaboration with principals will use each student's pre-assessment score and prior academic history to set individual student growth targets.</p> <p>A HEDI score will be awarded based on the overall percentage of students who meet or exceed their individual growth targets, as compared to the pre-assessment baseline data point.</p> <p>Cleveland Hill will be administering both the Comprehensive ELA Regents Exam and the Common Core English Exam, to students enrolled in Common Core Courses, with the teachers using the higher of the two assessment scores for APPR purposes.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>85-100% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>45-84% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>21-44% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>0-20% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).</p>

2.10) All Other Courses

Fill in, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have Student Learning Objectives. If you need additional space, duplicate this form and upload (below) as an attachment to your APPR plan. You may combine into one line any groups of teachers for whom the answers in the boxes are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above" .

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Option	Assessment
All other courses not named above	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Cleveland Hill Developed Grade and Subject Specific Assessment

For all other courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.</p>	<p>Cleveland Hill UFSD will be measuring growth. The teachers in collaboration with principals will use each student's pre-assessment score and prior academic history to set individual student growth targets.</p> <p>A HEDI score will be awarded based on the overall percentage of students who meet or exceed their</p>
--	---

individual growth targets, as compared to the pre-assessment baseline data point.

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	85-100% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	45-84% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	21-44% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	0-20% of students meet or exceed their individual growth targets (see uploaded chart in Task 2.11).

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. (MS Word)

(No response)

2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/12186/952709-TXEttx9bQW/2.11 Submission from Cleveland Hill UFSD-Modified from EngageNY Exemplar Plan.pdf

2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used assigning points to a teacher's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are the following: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, and students in poverty.

(No response)

2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

2.14) Assurances | Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.

Checked

2.14) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document).	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Monday, June 16, 2014

Page 1

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers. Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment. Additionally, please provide a brief explanation in the HEDI general description box of why you have listed the grade/course as "Not Applicable" (e.g., district/BOCES does not offer this grade/subject; common branch teacher).

Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: "[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment." For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: "GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment."

NOTE: If your district/BOCES is using the same assessment for both the State growth and other comparable measures subcomponent and the locally-selected measures subcomponent, be sure that a different measure of student performance is being used with the assessment (e.g., achievement rather than growth; growth measured in a different manner).

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in

the percentage of a teacher’s students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students’ performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher’s students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause

4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:

(i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 4
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Aimswest Math 5
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 8
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 8
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 8

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: When completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	<p>When a state assessment is used, HEDI scores are based on the percentage of students scoring at or above level 3 on the State test. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a State measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. HEDI points will be based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, who meet or exceed the proficiency target.</p> <p>Similarly, when a 3rd party assessment is used, points are distributed based on the proficiency rate, as determined by that 3rd party vendor, attained for that specific measure. The distribution of the possible aggregate proficiency on a State</p>
--	--

approved 3rd party measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. These possible achievement rates are distributed across the HEDI range, as outlined in the section below.

A 15 Point Conversion Chart is attached, along with a 20 point conversion chart (to be used until VA is implemented)

Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85-100% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, or adopted 3rd Party Measure.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	45-84% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, or adopted 3rd Party Measure.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	21-44% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, or adopted 3rd Party Measure.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-20% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, or adopted 3rd Party Measure.

3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 4
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Aimsweb Math 5
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 8
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 8
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 8

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	<p>When a state assessment is used, HEDI scores are based on the percentage of students scoring at or above level 3 on the State test. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a State measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. HEDI points will be based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, who meet or exceed the proficiency target.</p> <p>Similarly, when a 3rd party assessment is used, points are distributed based on the proficiency rate, as determined by the 3rd party vendor, attained for that specific measure. The distribution of the possible aggregate proficiency on a State approved 3rd party measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. These possible achievement rates are distributed across the HEDI range, as outlined in the section below.</p>
--	---

A 15 Point Conversion Chart is attached, along with a 20 point conversion chart (to be used until VA is implemented). This 20 point chart can be found attached to 3.13

Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85-100% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, or adopted 3rd Party Measure.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	45-84% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, or adopted 3rd Party Measure.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	21-44% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, or adopted 3rd Party Measure.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-20% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, or adopted 3rd Party Measure.

3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

[assets/survey-uploads/12149/952710-rhJdBgDruP/15 and 20 Point Conversion Charts for LSM_1.pdf](#)

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:

(i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Cleveland Hill Developed ELA Kindergarten
1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Cleveland Hill Developed ELA grade 1
2	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Aimsweb ELA 2
3	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 4

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.</p>	<p>When a state assessment is used, HEDI scores are based on the percentage of students scoring at or above level 3 on the State test. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a State measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. HEDI points will be based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, who meet or exceed the proficiency target.</p> <p>Similarly, when a 3rd party assessment is used, points are distributed based on the proficiency rate, as determined by the 3rd party vendor, attained for that specific measure. The distribution of the possible aggregate proficiency on a State approved 3rd party measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. These possible achievement rates are distributed across the HEDI range, as outlined in the section below.</p> <p>Finally, for a district developed measure, a proficiency rate will be calculated for those students who reach a Level 3. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a district developed measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. These possible achievement rates are distributed across the HEDI range, as outlined in the section below.</p>
--	---

Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85-100% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, district developed measure or adopted 3rd Party Measure.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	45-84% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, district developed measure or adopted 3rd Party Measure.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	21-44% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, district developed measure or adopted 3rd Party Measure.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-20% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, district developed measure or adopted 3rd Party Measure.

3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	Cleveland Hill Developed ELA Kindergarten
1	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	Cleveland Hill Developed ELA grade 1
2	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	AimswEB ELA
3	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 4

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>When a state assessment is used, HEDI scores are based on the percentage of students scoring at or above level 3 on the State test. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a State measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. HEDI points will be based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, who meet or exceed the proficiency target.</p> <p>Similarly, when a 3rd party assessment is used, points are distributed based on the proficiency rate, as determined by the 3rd party vendor, attained for that specific measure. The distribution of the possible aggregate proficiency on a State approved 3rd party measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. HEDI points will be based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, who meet or exceed the proficiency target. Finally, for a district developed measure, a proficiency rate will be calculated for those students who reach a Level 3. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a district developed measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. HEDI points will be based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, who meet or exceed the proficiency target.</p>
---	--

Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85-100% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, district developed measure or adopted 3rd Party Measure.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	45-84% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, district developed measure or adopted 3rd Party Measure.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	21-44% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, district developed measure or adopted 3rd Party Measure.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-20% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure, district developed measure or adopted 3rd Party Measure.

3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 8
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 8
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Earth Science Regents

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>When a state assessment is used, HEDI scores are based on the percentage of students scoring at or above level 3 on the State test. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a State measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. HEDI points will be based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, who meet or exceed the proficiency target.</p> <p>For Grade 8, the Earth Science Regents exam is administered to middle school students. The results from the 8th grade students ONLY will be used to calculate the points in this section. The proficiency rate for this assessment is 65 or higher.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85-100% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	45-84% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	21-44% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-20% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure.

3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Cleveland Hill Developed Social Studies grade 6
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Science 8 state assessment
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Science 8 state assessment

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>When a state assessment is used, HEDI scores are based on the percentage of students scoring at or above level 3 on the State test. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a State measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. HEDI points will be based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, who meet or exceed the proficiency target.</p> <p>Finally, for a district developed measure, a proficiency rate will be calculated for those students who reach a Level 3. A Level 3 score is a 65 or higher. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a district developed measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. These possible achievement rates are distributed across the HEDI range, as outlined in the section below.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85-100% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure or district developed assessment.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	45-84% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure or district developed assessment.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	21-44% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure or district developed assessment.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-20% of students are measured as proficient on the State Measure or district developed assessment.

3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents
Global 2	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents
American History	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	HEDI scores are based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, scoring at or above a 65 on a Regents ELA and/or Common Core ELA Regents. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a State measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. HEDI points will be based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, who meet or exceed the proficiency target. In instances where students take both the Common Core ELA Regents and Comprehensive Regents ELA Exam, the HIGHEST of the all measures taken will be utilized.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85-100% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	45-84% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	21-44% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-20% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.

3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents
Earth Science	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents

Chemistry	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents
Physics	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	HEDI scores are based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, scoring at or above a 65 on a Regents ELA and/or Common Core ELA Regents. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a State measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. HEDI points will be based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, who meet or exceed the proficiency target. In instances where students take both the Common Core ELA Regents and Comprehensive Regents ELA Exam, the HIGHEST of the all measures taken will be utilized.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85-100% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	21-44% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.
Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	45-84% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-20% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.

3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents
Geometry	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents
Algebra 2	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

NOTE: As applicable, please specify whether your district will be offering the Integrated Algebra Regents, the Common Core Algebra Regents, or both and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	HEDI scores are based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, scoring at or above a 65 on a Regents ELA and/or Common Core ELA Regents. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a State measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. HEDI points will be based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, who meet or exceed the proficiency target. In instances where students take both the Common Core ELA Regents and Comprehensive Regents ELA Exam, the HIGHEST of the all measures taken will be utilized.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85-100% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	45-84% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	21-44% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-20% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.

3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents
Grade 10 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents
Grade 11 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

NOTE: As applicable, please specify whether your district will be offering the Comprehensive English Regents, the Common Core English Regents, or both and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	HEDI scores are based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, scoring at or above a 65 on a Regents ELA and/or Common Core ELA Regents. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a State measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. HEDI points will be based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, who meet or exceed the proficiency target. In instances where students take both the Common Core ELA Regents and Comprehensive Regents ELA Exam, the HIGHEST of the all measures taken will be utilized.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85-100% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	45-84% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	21-44% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-20% of students are measured as proficient in Regents ELA or CC ELA.

3.12) All Other Courses

Fill in for additional grades/subjects, as applicable. If you need additional space, complete additional copies of this form and upload (below) as attachments.

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
All High School Courses (9-12) NOT listed above or below	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents
All Middle School Courses (6-8) NOT listed above or below	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 8
All Elementary School Courses (6-8) NOT listed above or below	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Science 4
Physical Education grades 9-12	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	Cleveland Hill Developed PE 9-12 Assessments

For all additional courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at	For High School Courses (other than Physical Education), HEDI scores are based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, scoring at or above a 65 on a Regents ELA and/or Common
--	---

3.13, below.

Core ELA Regents. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a State measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. HEDI points will be based on the percentage of students, schoolwide, who meet or exceed the proficiency target.

In instances where students take both the Common Core ELA Regents and Comprehensive Regents ELA Exam, the HIGHEST of the all measures taken will be utilized.

For Middle School Courses, HEDI scores are based on the percentage of Grade 8 students scoring at or above level 3 on the NYS Science 8 Assessment.

For Elementary School Courses, HEDI scores are based on the percentage of Grade 4 students scoring at or above level 3 on the NYS Science 4 Assessment.

Finally, for a district developed measure, a proficiency rate will be calculated for those students who reach a Level 3. The distribution of possible aggregate proficiency on a district developed measure ranges from 0 to 100% proficiency. These possible achievement rates are distributed across the HEDI range, as outlined in the section below.

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES -adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

85-100% of students are measured as proficient on applicable assessments.

For Physical Education, 85 to 100% are measured as proficient on the Physical Education Locally Developed Assessment

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

45-84% of students are measured as proficient on applicable assessments.

For Physical Education, 45 to 84% are measured as proficient on the Physical Education Locally Developed Assessment

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

21-44% of students are measured as proficient on applicable assessments.

For Physical Education, 21 to 44% are measured as proficient on the Physical Education Locally Developed Assessment

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

0-20% of students are measured as proficient on applicable assessments.

For Physical Education, 0 to 20% are measured as proficient on the Physical Education Locally Developed Assessment

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 3.12: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 3.12. (MS Word)

(No response)

3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a teacher's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

(No response)

3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

If teachers are required to use two (or more) locally selected measures, each measure will receive a 0-20 HEDI score (0-15 for VA measures) and those measures will be averaged equally to arrive at a final HEDI score. Rounding rules will apply.

3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

3.16) Assurances Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
3.16) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked

4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Wednesday, May 28, 2014

Page 1

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list. (Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric Rubric	Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2011 Revised Edition)
---------------------------------------	---

Second Rubric, if applicable	Not Applicable
------------------------------	----------------

4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review. Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered by the points assignment indicated immediately below (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	60
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	0
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	0
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	0
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	0
Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	0

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, label accordingly, and combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

[SurveyTools.0] Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
[SurveyTools.1] Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
[SurveyTools.2] Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
[SurveyTools.3] District Variance	(No response)

4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

4.4) Assurances Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Teachers will be assigned a HEDI score from 0 to 60 based on observations, evaluations and evaluator/teacher conferences. In the event where reconciliation between multiple ratings of the same subdomain is required, the teacher will be asked to provide additional evidence related to that domain. This additional evidence will be considered and compared to the critical attributes in Danielson's Framework for Teaching Rubric (2011 edition), with a final decision being made by the lead evaluator. Then, points will be calculated in the following manner:

In order to determine the overall score (0 to 60), the teacher will receive a score of HE, E, D or I on each subcomponent observed within the four Domains of the rubric. Maximum value of Domains 1 and 4 are worth 10 points each, while Domains 2 and 3 are each worth 20 points (maximum). A maximum total of 60 HEDI Points for all 4 Domains will result.

The following process outlined below will be used to calculate a final HEDI score:

- 1) A teacher is, as mentioned above, rated in each subdomain.
- 2) For each domain, values of each subdomain are as follows:

Domain 1 and 4-EACH worth a Maximum Value of 10 Points- The maximum value (Highly Effective) for each subdomain is 1.6667 points. A teacher rated with Effective in any subdomain is given 1.4195 points. One who is rated at Developing earns .8334 points per subdomain, while anyone rated as Ineffective in a subdomain receives 0 points.

Domain 2 and 3-EACH worth a Maximum Value of 20 Points- The maximum value (Highly Effective) for each subdomain is 4 points. A teacher rated with Effective in any subdomain is given 3.4 points. One who is rated at Developing earns 2 points per subdomain, while anyone rated as Ineffective in a subdomain receives 0 points.

To generate a final score, all of the 22 subdomain scores are added. Maximum score that could be achieved is 60 points, while the minimum score is 0, as required by law. When this score ends in the decimal, the score for the 0-60 HEDI score will rounded UP, unless the rounding results in a change in the teacher's HEDI rating category. ONLY in this event, as required, the number will be rounded down.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

[assets/survey-uploads/12179/952711-eka9yMJ855/State Submission-Danielson Rubric for 2011-Conversion Chart for Numerical Values for each component.pdf](#)

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	Teachers rated as Highly Effective are scoring 52-60 points based on evidence from classroom observations, evaluations and teacher/evaluator conferences.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Teachers rated as Effective are scoring 31-51 points based on evidence from classroom observations, evaluations and teacher/evaluator conferences.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Teachers rated as Developing scored 11-30 points based on evidence from classroom observations, evaluations and teacher/evaluator conferences.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Teachers rated as Ineffective scored between 0-10 points based on evidence from classroom observations, evaluations and teacher/evaluator conferences.

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	52-60 points
Effective	31-51 points
Developing	11-30 points
Ineffective	0-10 points

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

Formal/Long	2
Informal/Short	1
Enter Total	3

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

Formal/Long	1
Informal/Short	1
Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Tuesday, April 15, 2014

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness
(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly
Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

The Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

Where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures
Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement
Other Measures of Effectiveness
(60 points)

Overall
Composite Score

Highly Effective
18-20
18-20
Ranges determined locally--see below
91-100

Effective
9-17
9-17
75-90

Developing
3-8
3-8
65-74

Ineffective
0-2
0-2
0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	52-60
Effective	31-51
Developing	11-30
Ineffective	0-10

5.2) The scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

Where Value-Added growth measure applies
Growth or Comparable Measures
Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement
Other Measures of Effectiveness
(60 points)

Overall
Composite Score

Highly Effective
22-25
14-15
Ranges determined locally--see above
91-100

Effective
10-21
8-13
75-90

Developing
3-9
3-7
65-74

Ineffective
0-2
0-2
0-64

6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Wednesday, May 28, 2014

Page 1

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. All TIP plans must include: 1) identification of needed areas of improvement, 2) a timeline for achieving improvement, 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips. Please be sure to update a document with a form layout, with fillable spaces and not just a narrative.

[assets/survey-uploads/5265/143165-Df0w3Xx5v6/APPR TIP form Teachers.pdf](assets/survey-uploads/5265/143165-Df0w3Xx5v6/APPR_TIP_form_Teachers.pdf)

6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

This Agreement is made by and between the Cleveland Hill Union Free School District ("District") and the Cleveland Hill Education Association ("Association"), collectively referred to herein as the "Parties".
In order to implement the requirements of N.Y. Education Law § 3012-c, and notwithstanding any other current bargaining obligation or agreement, the District and the Association hereby agree as follows:

1. Where and to the extent applicable, the Annual Professional Performance Review of classroom teachers shall be a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher development as determined by the District, and will be subject to any procedures which may in the future be negotiated by the District and the Association.

2. Prior to the annual rating becoming final, a teacher receiving an ineffective or developing rating shall meet with the applicable Administrator (or designee if the Administrator is not available) to review all findings relating to the evaluation, including but not limited to any potential procedural or substantive disputes regarding it.

3. Appeals of Annual Professional Performance Reviews shall be limited to only those which rate a classroom teacher as ineffective or developing. A unit member holding the position of classroom teacher may challenge only the substance of the Annual Professional Performance Review, the District's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such Annual Professional Performance Review, the District's compliance with its procedures for conducting the Annual Professional Performance Review, or its issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the Teacher Improvement Plan.

Such challenge must be submitted in writing to the Administrator performing the Annual Professional Performance Review or Teacher Improvement Plan.

There may be only one appeal submitted in relation to any particular Annual Professional Performance Review or Teacher Improvement Plan. The writing should provide any relevant supporting documentation. The appeal must be submitted within ten calendar days of the issuance of the Annual Professional Performance Review or Teacher Improvement Plan or it is deemed waived. The teacher has the burden of demonstrating a clear legal right to the relief requested and the burden of establishing the facts upon which such relief is sought.

Within ten calendar days of receipt of the challenge, the Administrator conducting the Annual Professional Performance Review or Teacher Improvement Plan shall meet with the teacher and his/her union representative to discuss the appeal. Any grounds not raised in the appeal by this point shall be deemed waived for this procedure.

If the teacher received an "ineffective" rating and disagrees with the determination, the teacher may submit a copy of the challenge, the determination, and a written statement explaining in detail the basis for disagreement with the determination, with any relevant supporting documentation, to the Superintendent of Schools within ten calendar days of the date of the determination. Within ten calendar days of receipt of the challenge, the Superintendent shall meet with the teacher and his/her union representative to discuss the appeal. Within ten calendar days of such meeting, the Superintendent shall submit a written determination on the appeal.

A unit member shall be entitled to representation by the CHEA during the course of any appeal authorized by this paragraph. The District shall maintain a record of all documents and materials submitted by either party during such an appeal, which shall thereafter be available for inspection by the unit member and/or the CHEA. The teacher may present any mitigating circumstances that he/she believes relevant during the course of an appeal, which shall be considered by the District along with all other information submitted during the appeal.

A challenge or determination under this section shall be exempt from the grievance and arbitration provisions in the collective negotiations agreement between the Parties, and an Annual Professional Performance Review or Teacher Improvement Plan may not be challenged in any other forum.

3. Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter or diminish the authority of the governing body of a school district or BOCES to grant or deny tenure to or terminate probationary teachers or probationary building principals during the pendency of an appeal pursuant to this section for the statutorily and constitutionally permissible reasons other than the teacher's or principal's performance that is the subject of the appeal"

4. Unit members receiving a mandated TIP will have the right to CHEA representation during the development of said TIP.

5. Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter or diminish the authority of the governing body of a school district or BOCES to grant or deny tenure to or terminate probationary teachers or probationary building principals during the pendency of an appeal pursuant to this section for the statutorily and constitutionally permissible reasons other than the teacher's performance that is the subject of the appeal"

6.4) Training of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators and Certification of Lead Evaluators

Describe the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators. Your description must include 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators, 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability, 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

All evaluators were initially trained through the Erie 1 BOCES Network team. This training will continue, as evaluators/lead evaluators are required to attend this training for certification/re-certification.

As part of the BOCES training, evaluators are provided instruction on Common Core, Data Driven Instruction Making and ensuring Inter-rater reliability. These trainings, through BOCES, occur quarterly and each last 3 hours in duration.

In addition to the above, new evaluators will be trained specifically on the selected teacher evaluation rubric. These new evaluators will also participate in the quarterly trainings at BOCES (as cited above).

6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

- (1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable
- (2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
- (3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart
- (4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice
- (5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.
- (6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals
- (7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
- (8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings
- (9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

-
- Checked
-

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

- | | |
|---|---------|
| 6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured. | Checked |
| 6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured. | Checked |
| 6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later. | Checked |

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Tuesday, January 28, 2014

Page 1

7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth or value-added measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.).

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

PK-5
6-8
9-12
(No response)
(No response)
(No response)
(No response)

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the value-added growth score(s) provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved	Checked

7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed

using the assessments covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. The district must select the type of assessment that will be used with the SLO from the options below.

If any grade/course in the building has a State-provided growth measure AND the principal must have SLOs because fewer than 30% of students in the building are covered, then the SLOs will begin first with the SGP/VA results.

Additional SLOs will then be set based on grades/subjects with State assessments, where applicable.

If additional SLOs are necessary, principals must begin with the grade(s)/course(s) that have the largest number of students using school-wide student results from one of the following assessment options: State-approved 3rd party or district/regional/BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the grade configuration of the school or program the SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the type of assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: “[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment.” For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: “GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment.” For State-approved 3rd party assessments, please include the name of the assessment exactly as it appears in RED on the State-approved list. For State assessments or Regents examinations, please indicate as such in the assessment name.

School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment
Not applicable		

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance. Please describe the process your district is using to measure student growth on the assessments listed for this Task. If applicable, please also include a description of the process for combining the State-provided growth score with the SLO(s) for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	not applicable
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	not applicable
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	not applicable
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	not applicable
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	not applicable

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a principal's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are the following: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, and students in poverty.

NA

7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document .	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Monday, June 16, 2014

Page 1

Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: “[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment.” For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: “GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment.”

Also note: if your district/BOCES is using the same assessment for both the State growth or other comparable measures subcomponent and the locally-selected measures subcomponents, be sure that a different measure of student performance is being used with the assessment (e.g., achievement rather than growth; growth measured in a different manner).

8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

In the table below, please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal’s students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth or value-added measure (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a measure of growth or achievement from the drop-down menu. As a reminder, the grade configurations/programs listed in Task 8.1 should be the same as those listed in Task 7.1.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

Grade Configuration/Program	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
PK-5	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	AimswEB ELA
6-8	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	NYS Science 8
9-12	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Common Core ELA Regents and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.</p>	<p>HEDI scores are based on the percentage of students scoring at or above level 3 on a State test, scoring 65 or higher, or scoring in the proficient range determined by a State-approved 3rd party vendor.</p> <p>For the High School measure, the Principal will use the higher of the two assessment scores, if the Common Core ELA and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents Exams are taken. When the Principal score is out of 20 points, the proficiency rate will be divided by 5 (to get a score out of 20). When the Principal score is out of 15 points, the proficiency rate will be divided by 6.66 (to get a score out of 15).</p> <p>A similar calculation will be used by the Middle School Principal (using Science 8) and the Elementary School Principal (using AIMS Web ELA)</p>
<p>Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>Principal scores 14-15 points based on the formula expressed above. For a Regents/CC ELA Exam, proficient will mean scoring 65 or higher on the exam. For Science 8, proficient will mean scoring 65 or higher on the assessment. For the State-Approved 3rd party assessment measure, only students scoring in the proficient range (as gauged by the 3rd party</p>

vendor) will be viewed as proficient.

Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Principal scores 8-13 points based on the formula expressed above. For a Regents/CC ELA Exam, proficient will mean scoring 65 or higher on the exam. For Science 8, proficient will mean scoring 65 or higher on the assessment. For the State-Approved 3rd party assessment measure, only students scoring in the proficient range (as gauged by the 3rd party vendor) will be viewed as proficient.

Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Principal scores 3-7 points based on the formula expressed above. For a Regents/CC ELA Exam, proficient will mean scoring 65 or higher on the exam. For Science 8, proficient will mean scoring 65 or higher on the assessment. For the State-Approved 3rd party assessment measure, only students scoring in the proficient range (as gauged by the 3rd party vendor) will be viewed as proficient.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Principal scores 0-2 points based on the formula expressed above. For a Regents/CC ELA Exam, proficient will mean scoring 65 or higher on the exam. For Science 8, proficient will mean scoring 65 or higher on the assessment. For the State-Approved 3rd party assessment measure, only students scoring in the proficient range (as gauged by the 3rd party vendor) will be viewed as proficient.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations/programs used in your district or BOCES in which the district/BOCES expects that fewer than 30% of students will receive a State-provided growth score (e.g., K-2, K-3, CTE). Then for each grade configuration, select a measure from the drop-down menu. As a reminder, the grade configurations/programs listed in Task 8.2 should be the same as those listed in Task 7.3.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

(a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)

(b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)

(c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

(d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations

(e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)

(h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Not Applicable		Not Applicable

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	Not Applicable
---	----------------

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a teacher's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

(No response)

8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

Principals will use only one locally selected measure.

8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

8.5) Assurances Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Check

8.5) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check
8.5) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check

9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Monday, June 16, 2014

Page 1

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric Rubric	Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric
---	---

Second rubric (if applicable)	(No response)
-------------------------------	---------------

9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the point assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following point assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	60
---	----

Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents. 0

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, label accordingly, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. [Click here for a](#)

(No response)

9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below if assigning any points to "ambitious and measurable goals":

9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	(No response)
9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	(No response)

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) School visits by other trained evaluators	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	(No response)

9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is updated, this list will be updated within the drop-down menu of approved survey tools.

Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey for Teachers	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 3-5) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 6-12) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Parent Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Teacher/Staff Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
District variance	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Parent Survey)	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Student Surveys)	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Parent Survey	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Student Survey	(No response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

9.6) Assurances Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Principals will earn points through evidence collected using the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric based on specific areas of focus. Principals are rated in 18 sub-domains (which all fall within 6 domains) HEDI categories of: Ineffective (0 point), Developing (2.1667), Effective (2.5 points) and Highly Effective (3.3333 points) are specified for each subdomain. The ratings for all the subdomains are converted to points (see above) and totaled to get a total score (with a maximum of 60 points available). Below are the maximum points for each domain.

Domain 1=6.666
 Domain 2=16.665
 Domain 3=13.332
 Domain 4=9.999
 Domain 5=6.666
 Domain 6=6.666

The lead evaluator of principals, a trained administrator, will collect evidence for each area of focus through a variety of visits, professional dialogue, feedback from teachers, parents, administrators and the overall evaluation of strategic planning and implementation of plans, based on data. Principals will be expected to demonstrate growth in each domain with the use of data. Points /evidence will be collected throughout the year and one composite score (60 points) will be assigned at the end of each school year. Tenured principals will be observed at least 5 times and non-tenured principals will be observed at least 8 times annually.

Standard Rounding Rules will apply. In no case will rounding result in movement between HEDI bands.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<assets/survey-uploads/12205/952716-pMADJ4gk6R/Principal Rating Table for Review Room May 2014.pdf>

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.	54-60 points To achieve this level of success, principals must earn 54-60 points
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	45-53 points To achieve this level of success, principals must earn 45-53 points
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	39-44 points To achieve this level of success, principals must earn 39-44 points
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.	0-38 points To achieve this level of success, principals earn 0-38 points

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	54-60 points
Effective	45-53 points
Developing	39-44 points
Ineffective	0-38 points

9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

Probationary Principals

By supervisor	8
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	8

Tenured Principals

By supervisor	5
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	5

10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Tuesday, January 28, 2014

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

The Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

Where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures
Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement
Other Measures of Effectiveness
(60 points)

Overall Composite Score
Highly Effective
18-20
18-20
Ranges determined locally--see below
91-100
Effective
9-17
9-17
75-90
Developing
3-8
3-8
65-74
Ineffective
0-2
0-2
0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	54-60
Effective	45-53
Developing	39-44
Ineffective	0-38

10.2) The scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

Where Value-Added growth measure applies
Growth or Comparable Measures
Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement
Other Measures of Effectiveness
(60 points)

Overall Composite Score
Highly Effective
22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Wednesday, May 28, 2014

Page 1

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. All PIP plans must include: 1) identification of needed areas of improvement, 2) a timeline for achieving improvement, 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips. Please be sure to update a document with a form layout, with fillable spaces and not just a narrative.

[assets/survey-uploads/5276/146819-Df0w3Xx5v6/CLEVELAND HILL UFSD PIP.docx](#)

11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

A principal who receives a "Developing or Ineffective" rating on his/her APPR shall be entitled to appeal this rating. This appeal must be done in written form and submitted to the Superintendent of Schools who has been trained in accordance with the requirements of the statute and regulation. An evaluation shall not be placed in a principal's personnel file until either the expiration of a

ten (10) business day period during which an appeal could be filed by the principal or the conclusion of the appeal process described herein, whichever is later.

B. The principal must submit a written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his/her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his/her improvement plan. The district upon written request must provide any additional written documents or materials relevant to the appeal for the same. The performance review and/or improvement plan being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal. Any information not submitted at the time the appeal is filed shall not be considered. These concerns are limited to those matters that may be appealed as prescribed in Section 3012-c of the Education Law:

-Substance of evaluation

-Adherence to standards and methods

- Adherence to Commissioner's Regulation

-Compliance with negotiated procedure

-Issuance and/or compliance with terms of an improvement plan

C. A principal may not file more than one appeal on the same evaluation.

D. The burden shall be on the principal appealing a rating of Developing or Ineffective.

E. An appeal must be filed in writing within ten (10) calendar days of the presentation of the document (yearly evaluation and/or improvement plan) to the principal or the right to appeal shall be deemed as waived in all regards.

F. An appeal panel will consist of: District Superintendent, one building level principal of the appellant's choice, one outside panelist from a mutually agreed upon list at District's expense, if required.

G. Within ten (10) calendar days of the receipt of the appeal, the superintendent shall meet with the principal and his/her union representative to discuss the appeal. Within ten (10) calendar days of such meeting, the panel shall submit a written determination of the appeal. A challenge or determination under this section shall be exempt from the grievance and arbitration provisions in the collective negotiations agreement between the Parties, and an Annual Professional Performance Review or Principal Improvement Plan may not be challenged in any other forum. Every effort will be made to make decisions on a timely and expedient manner consistent with education law 3012c.

H. Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter or diminish the authority of the governing body of a school district or BOCES to grant or deny tenure to or terminate probationary teachers or probationary building principals during the pendency of an appeal pursuant to this section for the statutorily and constitutionally permissible reasons other than the teacher's or principal's performance that is the subject of the appeal"

11.4) Training of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators and Certification of Lead Evaluators

Describe the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators. Your description must include 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators, 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability, 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

All evaluators and lead evaluators must be fully trained in the administration and usage of the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric before they are allowed to evaluate any Principals with this instrument. Evaluators and lead evaluators will successfully complete training in the 9 requirements of 30-2.9B in an effort to provide a deep understanding of the elements of the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric and to provide inter-rater reliability. Annual refresher training will be required for evaluators and lead evaluators to ensure common expectations and inter-rater reliability as required in the Commissioner's Regulations.

These lead evaluators and evaluators attend quarterly meetings, of 3 hours in duration, conducted by BOCES to be certified/re-certified.

11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

- (1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable
- (2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
- (3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart
- (4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice
- (5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.
- (6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals
- (7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
- (8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings
- (9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

-
- Checked
-

11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked

11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Thursday, June 19, 2014

Page 1

12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form. Please note that Review Room timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision.

[assets/survey-uploads/12158/952719-3Uqgn5g9Iu/Joint Agreement Sent to State ed on June 19 2014.pdf](#)

File types supported for uploads

PDF (preferred)

Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)

Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)

Open Office (.odt, .ott)

Images (.jpg, .gif)

Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.
Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

Cleveland Hill UFSD

(This document was modified from the exemplar sample plan available on EngageNY. Specifically, it is Sample A from:

<http://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-training-modules>)

HEDI Chart for Student Growth (Task 2.11)																				
% of students meeting or exceeding growth targets																				
Highly Effective			Effective									Developing					Ineffective			
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
95-100	90-94	85-89	81-84	77-80	73-76	69-72	64-68	60-63	55-59	49-54	45-48	41-44	36-40	32-35	28-31	24-27	21-23	15-20	11-14	0-10

15 Point Table

Highly		Effective						Developing					Ineffective		
15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
94-100%	85-93%	78-84%	71-77%	64-70%	57-63%	51-56%	45-50%	39-44%	33-38%	28-32%	24-27%	21-23%	15-20%	11-14%	0-10%

20 Point Table

Highly Effective			Effective									Developing					Ineffective			
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
96-100%	90-95%	85-89%	81-84%	77-80%	73-76%	69-72%	64-68%	60-63%	55-59%	49-54%	45-48%	41-44%	36-40%	32-35%	28-31%	24-27%	21-23%	15-20%	11-14%	0-10%

15 Point Table

Highly		Effective						Developing					Ineffective		
15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
94-100%	85-93%	78-84%	71-77%	64-70%	57-63%	51-56%	45-50%	39-44%	33-38%	28-32%	24-27%	21-23%	15-20%	11-14%	0-10%

20 Point Table

Highly Effective			Effective									Developing					Ineffective			
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
96-100%	90-95%	85-89%	81-84%	77-80%	73-76%	69-72%	64-68%	60-63%	55-59%	49-54%	45-48%	41-44%	36-40%	32-35%	28-31%	24-27%	21-23%	15-20%	11-14%	0-10%

Cleveland Hill Union Free School District
Conversion Chart to be used with Danielson 2011 Rubric

Domain 1 Total Points (Worth 10 of 60 Points)	Highly Effective (100)	Effective (.85)	Developing (.50)	Ineffective (0)
1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy	1.6667	1.4195	0.8334	0
1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students	1.6667	1.4195	0.8334	0
1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes	1.6667	1.4195	0.8334	0
1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources	1.6667	1.4195	0.8334	0
1e: Designing Coherent Instruction	1.6667	1.4195	0.8334	0
1f: Designing Student Assessments	1.6667	1.4195	0.8334	0
Domain 2 Total Points (Worth 20 of 60 Points)	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
2a: Creating an environment of respect and rapport	4	3.4	2	0
2b: Establishing a culture for learning	4	3.4	2	0
2c: Managing classroom procedures	4	3.4	2	0
2d: Managing Student Behavior	4	3.4	2	0
2e: Organizing physical space	4	3.4	2	0
Domain 3 Total Points (Worth 20 of 60 Points)	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
3a: Communicating with students	4	3.4	2	0
3b: Using questioning / prompts and discussion	4	3.4	2	0
3c: Engaging students in learning	4	3.4	2	0
3d: Using Assessment in Instruction	4	3.4	2	0
3e: Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness	4	3.4	2	0
Domain 4 Total Points (Worth 10 of 60 Points)	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
4a Reflecting on Teaching	1.6667	1.4195	0.8334	0
4b Maintaining Accurate Records	1.6667	1.4195	0.8334	0
4c: Communicating with Families	1.6667	1.4195	0.8334	0
4d: Participating in a Professional Community	1.6667	1.4195	0.8334	0
4e: Growing and Developing Professionally	1.6667	1.4195	0.8334	0
4f: Showing Professionalism	1.6667	1.4195	0.8334	0
Total Points Earned	60.00	51.03	30.00	0.00

Cleveland Hill Teacher Improvement Plan

Name of Teacher: _____

Date of TIP Formulation Meeting: _____

Date of TIP Implementation: _____

Parties involved in the formulation and implementation of the TIP:
(Must include Teacher, CHEA Representation and Administrator)

Tentative Schedule of TIP Review/Evaluation Meetings:

--

The parties to this agreement that any modification to this plan must be in writing and will be appended to this document.

Teacher

CHEA Representative

Administrator

Mentor (optional)

Teacher Improvement Plan

<p>Description of Areas in Need of Improvement:</p>	<p>Danielson Rubric Reference Points:</p> <p>Domain:</p> <p>Component:</p> <p>Sub Component:</p>
<p>Improvement Goals:</p>	<p>Standards Referenced for Improvement Goals:</p>
<p>Activities Suggested to Support Improvement:</p>	<p>Log of Activities Completed: (time, date, location, people involved)</p>
<p>Manner of Assessment of Improvement:</p>	<p>Timeframe for achievement of goals:</p>

Cleveland Hill UFSD Principal Rating Table

Principal Rating Conversion	
Rating	Range
Ineffective	0-38.99
Developing	39-44.99
Effective	45-53.99
Highly Effective	54-60

**CLEVELAND HILL UFSD
ANNUAL PROFESSIONAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW PROCESS
PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN**

Purpose: Assistance plan for principals who are rated as developing or ineffective through an annual professional performance review. The PIP is to be implemented no later than 10 days after the first day of student attendance.

PIP: Steps

1. Principal has been notified of the need for additional professional growth during the school year or at the Final Review conference.
2. Develop plan- PIP form provided to identify steps for growth
3. The Principal will participate in monthly review conferences with the lead evaluator for reflection and professional dialogue.
4. At the end of the agreed upon timeframe, the Final Review document and conference will determine one of the following resolutions:
 - A. That the Principal demonstrated improvement and attainment of goals, as stated in the plan, so that he/she will no longer participate in the PIP
 - B. That the Principal did not demonstrate improvement or attainment of goals and is recommended for continuation of a PIP for a second year.
 - C. That the Principal did not demonstrate satisfactory improvement and will be recommended for termination.

Principal's Name _____

Evaluator's Name _____

Start Date of PIP _____

Assignment: School Name _____

Domains (7)	Shared Vision of Learning	School Culture and Instructional Program	Safe Efficient, Effective Learning Environment	Community	Integrity, Fairness, Ethics	Goal Setting and Attainment
Definition of problem						
Standards-based Goals						
Manner improvement will be assessed						
Timeframe for achieving improvement						

Upon rating a principal as ineffective or developing, an improvement plan designed to rectify perceived or demonstrated deficiencies must be developed and commenced not later than ten (10) days after the start of a school year. The Superintendent, in conjunction with the principal and possibly a colleague of choice, must develop an improvement plan that contains:

1. A clear delineation of the deficiencies that resulted in the ineffective or developing assessment.
2. Specific improvement goal/outcome statements.
3. Specific improvement action steps/activities.
4. A reasonable time line for achieving improvement.
5. Required and accessible resources to achieve goal.
6. A formative evaluation process documenting meetings strategically scheduled throughout the year to assess progress. These meetings shall occur at least twice during the year: the first between December 1 and December 15 and the second between March 1 and March 15. A written summary of feedback on progress shall be given within 5 business days of each meeting.
7. A clear manner in which improvement efforts will be assessed, including evidence demonstrating improvement.
8. A formal, final written summative assessment delineating progress made with an opportunity for comments by the principal.

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR plan is the district's or BOCES' complete APPR plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.


The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR plan will be returned or forfeited to the State pursuant to Chapter 57 of the Laws of 2012 and/or 2013, as applicable.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities


- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a TIP or PIP plan, in accordance with the regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with the regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal
- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the regulation and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- If this APPR Plan is being submitted subsequent to July 1, 2013, assure that this was the result of unresolved collective bargaining negotiations

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:  Date: 6-16-14

Teachers Union President Signature:  Date: 6/19/14

Administrative Union President Signature:  Date: 6/18/14

Board of Education President Signature:  Date: 6/18/14