



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

August 25, 2015

Revised

Dr. Angela M. Nagle, Superintendent
East Greenbush Central School District
29 Englewood Avenue
East Greenbush, NY 12061

Dear Superintendent Nagle:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Dr. Gladys Cruz

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Reviews

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Friday, February 27, 2015

1

Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

1.1) School District's BEDS Number : 490301060000

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

490301060000

1.2) School District Name: EAST GREENBUSH CSD

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

EAST GREENBUSH CSD

1.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.3) Assurances Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.3) Assurances Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.3) Assurances Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

1.4) Submission Status

For districts, BOCES, or charter schools that did not have an approved APPR plan in the previous school year, is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan? For districts, BOCES, or charter schools that did have an approved APPR plan for the previous school year, this must be listed as a submission of material changes to the approved APPR plan.

Submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan

2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 08/19/2015

For guidance on the State Growth or Comparable Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections D, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

(25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided measures, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved.	Checked

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For core subjects: grade 8 Science, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- State assessments, *required if one exists*
- List of State-approved 3rd party assessments
- District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: "[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment." For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: "GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment."

2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	ELA	Assessment
K	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grades 4 & 5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr.4 Science Assessment
1	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grades 4 & 5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr.4 Science Assessment
2	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grades 4 & 5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr.4 Science Assessment

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Teachers will receive their HEDI scores based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth targets on the listed assessments (the School Wide percentage will be used for Grades K-2). Growth targets will be set by teachers using baseline data and approved by principals. The District reserves the right to review all targets, require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represents 1 years growth.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	20 points = 95-100 % of students meeting target 19 points = 90-94 % of students meeting target 18 points = 85-89 % of students meeting target

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	17 points = 83-84 % of students meeting target 16 points = 81-82 % of students meeting target 15 points = 79-80 % of students meeting target 14 points = 77-78 % of students meeting target 13 points = 75-76 % of students meeting target 12 points = 73-74 % of students meeting target 11 points = 71-72 % of students meeting target 10 points = 69-70 % of students meeting target 9 points = 68 % of students meeting target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	8 points = 66-67 % of students meeting target 7 points = 64-65 % of students meeting target 6 points = 62-63 % of students meeting target 5 points = 60-61 % of students meeting target 4 points = 58-59 % of students meeting target 3 points = 55-57 % of students meeting target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	2 points = 28-54 % of students meeting target 1 point = 1-27 % of students meeting target 0 points = 0 % of students meeting target

2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Math	Assessment
K	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grades 4 & 5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr.4 Science Assessment
1	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grades 4 & 5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr.4 Science Assessment
2	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grades 4 & 5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr.4 Science Assessment

	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Teachers will receive their HEDI scores based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth targets on the listed assessments (the School Wide percentage will be used for Grades K-2). Growth targets will be set by teachers using baseline data and approved by principals. The District reserves the right to review all targets, require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represents 1 years growth.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	20 points = 95-100 % of students meeting target 19 points = 90-94 % of students meeting target 18 points = 85-89 % of students meeting target

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	17 points = 83-84 % of students meeting target 16 points = 81-82 % of students meeting target 15 points = 79-80 % of students meeting target 14 points = 77-78 % of students meeting target 13 points = 75-76 % of students meeting target 12 points = 73-74 % of students meeting target 11 points = 71-72 % of students meeting target 10 points = 69-70 % of students meeting target 9 points = 68 % of students meeting target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	8 points = 66-67 % of students meeting target 7 points = 64-65 % of students meeting target 6 points = 62-63 % of students meeting target 5 points = 60-61 % of students meeting target 4 points = 58-59 % of students meeting target 3 points = 55-57 % of students meeting target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	2 points = 28-54 % of students meeting target 1 point = 1-27 % of students meeting target 0 points = 0 % of students meeting target

2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
7	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments

	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Teachers will receive their HEDI scores based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth targets on the listed assessments (the School Wide percentage will be used for Grades 6-7). Growth targets will be set by teachers using baseline data and approved by principals. The District reserves the right to review all targets, require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represents 1 years growth.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	20 points = 95-100 % of students meeting target 19 points = 90-94 % of students meeting target 18 points = 85-89 % of students meeting target

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	17 points = 83-84 % of students meeting target 16 points = 81-82 % of students meeting target 15 points = 79-80 % of students meeting target 14 points = 77-78 % of students meeting target 13 points = 75-76 % of students meeting target 12 points = 73-74 % of students meeting target 11 points = 71-72 % of students meeting target 10 points = 69-70 % of students meeting target 9 points = 68 % of students meeting target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	8 points = 66-67 % of students meeting target 7 points = 64-65 % of students meeting target 6 points = 62-63 % of students meeting target 5 points = 60-61 % of students meeting target 4 points = 58-59 % of students meeting target 3 points = 55-57 % of students meeting target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	2 points = 28-54 % of students meeting target 1 point = 1-27 % of students meeting target 0 points = 0 % of students meeting target

2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
7	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
8	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Teachers will receive their HEDI scores based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth targets on the listed assessments (the School Wide percentage will be used for Grades 6-8). Growth targets will be set by teachers using baseline data and approved by principals. The District reserves the right to review all targets, require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represents 1 years growth.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	20 points = 95-100 % of students meeting target 19 points = 90-94 % of students meeting target 18 points = 85-89 % of students meeting target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	17 points = 83-84 % of students meeting target 16 points = 81-82 % of students meeting target 15 points = 79-80 % of students meeting target 14 points = 77-78 % of students meeting target 13 points = 75-76 % of students meeting target 12 points = 73-74 % of students meeting target 11 points = 71-72 % of students meeting target 10 points = 69-70 % of students meeting target 9 points = 68 % of students meeting target

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	8 points = 66-67 % of students meeting target 7 points = 64-65 % of students meeting target 6 points = 62-63 % of students meeting target 5 points = 60-61 % of students meeting target 4 points = 58-59 % of students meeting target 3 points = 55-57 % of students meeting target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	2 points = 28-54 % of students meeting target 1 point = 1-27 % of students meeting target 0 points = 0 % of students meeting target

2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

		Assessment
Global 1	School-/BOCES-wide group/team results based on State assessments	NYS Regents assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA, Living Environment

	Social Studies Regents Courses	Assessment
Global 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Teachers will receive their HEDI scores based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth targets on the listed assessments. The School Wide percentage will be used for students taking Global I. For students in Common Core courses, the District may administer the 2005 Learning Standards Regents and the Common Core Regents as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes. Growth targets will be set by teachers using baseline data and approved by principals. The District reserves the right to review all targets, require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represents 1 years growth.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	20 points = 95-100 % of students meeting target 19 points = 90-94 % of students meeting target 18 points = 85-89 % of students meeting target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	17 points = 83-84 % of students meeting target 16 points = 81-82 % of students meeting target 15 points = 79-80 % of students meeting target 14 points = 77-78 % of students meeting target 13 points = 75-76 % of students meeting target 12 points = 73-74 % of students meeting target 11 points = 71-72 % of students meeting target 10 points = 69-70 % of students meeting target 9 points = 68 % of students meeting target

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	8 points = 66-67 % of students meeting target 7 points = 64-65 % of students meeting target 6 points = 62-63 % of students meeting target 5 points = 60-61 % of students meeting target 4 points = 58-59 % of students meeting target 3 points = 55-57 % of students meeting target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	2 points = 28-54 % of students meeting target 1 point = 1-27 % of students meeting target 0 points = 0 % of students meeting target

2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Physics	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Teachers will receive their HEDI scores based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth targets on the listed assessments. Growth targets will be set by teachers using baseline data and approved by principals. The District reserves the right to review all targets, require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represents 1 years growth.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	20 points = 95-100 % of students meeting target 19 points = 90-94 % of students meeting target 18 points = 85-89 % of students meeting target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	17 points = 83-84 % of students meeting target 16 points = 81-82 % of students meeting target 15 points = 79-80 % of students meeting target 14 points = 77-78 % of students meeting target 13 points = 75-76 % of students meeting target 12 points = 73-74 % of students meeting target 11 points = 71-72 % of students meeting target 10 points = 69-70 % of students meeting target 9 points = 68 % of students meeting target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	8 points = 66-67 % of students meeting target 7 points = 64-65 % of students meeting target 6 points = 62-63 % of students meeting target 5 points = 60-61 % of students meeting target 4 points = 58-59 % of students meeting target 3 points = 55-57 % of students meeting target

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	2 points = 28-54 % of students meeting target 1 point = 1-27 % of students meeting target 0 points = 0 % of students meeting target
--	---

2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

NOTE: For Algebra 1 and Geometry, please specify whether your district will be offering the 2005 Learning Standards version of the assessment in addition to the Common Core version, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Teachers will receive their HEDI scores based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth targets on the listed assessments. When both the Common Core Regents and the 2005 Learning Standards Regents are offered, the District may administer both Regents exams but will administer the Common Core Regents per NYSED guidelines. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes so long as permitted by NYSED. Growth targets will be set by teachers using baseline data and approved by principals. The District reserves the right to review all targets, require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represents 1 years growth.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	20 points = 95-100 % of students meeting target 19 points = 90-94 % of students meeting target 18 points = 85-89 % of students meeting target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	17 points = 83-84 % of students meeting target 16 points = 81-82 % of students meeting target 15 points = 79-80 % of students meeting target 14 points = 77-78 % of students meeting target 13 points = 75-76 % of students meeting target 12 points = 73-74 % of students meeting target 11 points = 71-72 % of students meeting target 10 points = 69-70 % of students meeting target 9 points = 68 % of students meeting target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	8 points = 66-67 % of students meeting target 7 points = 64-65 % of students meeting target 6 points = 62-63 % of students meeting target 5 points = 60-61 % of students meeting target 4 points = 58-59 % of students meeting target 3 points = 55-57 % of students meeting target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	2 points = 28-54 % of students meeting target 1 point = 1-27 % of students meeting target 0 points = 0 % of students meeting target

2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	School-/BOCES-wide group/team results based on State assessments	NYS Regents assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA, Living Environment
Grade 10 ELA	School-/BOCES-wide group/team results based on State assessments	NYS Regents assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA, Living Environment
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	NYS ELA Regents assessment

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

NOTE: For Grade 11 ELA, please specify whether your district will be offering the Comprehensive English Regents in addition to the Common Core English Regents, or just the latter, how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Teachers will receive their HEDI scores based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth targets on the listed assessments (School wide percentage will be used for grades 9-10). For students in Common Core courses, the District may administer the 2005 Learning Standards Regents and the Common Core Regents as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes. Growth targets will be set by teachers using baseline data and approved by principals. The District reserves the right to review all targets, require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represents 1 years growth.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	20 points = 95-100 % of students meeting target 19 points = 90-94 % of students meeting target 18 points = 85-89 % of students meeting target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	17 points = 83-84 % of students meeting target 16 points = 81-82 % of students meeting target 15 points = 79-80 % of students meeting target 14 points = 77-78 % of students meeting target 13 points = 75-76 % of students meeting target 12 points = 73-74 % of students meeting target 11 points = 71-72 % of students meeting target 10 points = 69-70 % of students meeting target 9 points = 68 % of students meeting target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	8 points = 66-67 % of students meeting target 7 points = 64-65 % of students meeting target 6 points = 62-63 % of students meeting target 5 points = 60-61 % of students meeting target 4 points = 58-59 % of students meeting target 3 points = 55-57 % of students meeting target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	2 points = 28-54 % of students meeting target 1 point = 1-27 % of students meeting target 0 points = 0 % of students meeting target

2.10) All Other Courses

Fill in, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have Student Learning Objectives. If you need additional space, duplicate this form and upload (below) as an attachment to your APPR plan. You may combine into one line any groups of teachers for whom the answers in the boxes are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above". Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see:

<http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, the 2nd drop-down option applies to grades 3 and above and the 5th drop-down option applies to grades K-2.

	Course(s) or Subject(s)	Option	Assessment
	Any teacher of Gr. 4-8 ELA or Math who does not receive a State provided growth score	State Assessment	NYS 4-8 ELA and Math Assessments
	K-5 Music/Art	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grades 4 & 5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr.4 Science Assessment
	K-5 PE/Health	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grades 4 & 5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr.4 Science Assessment
	6-8 Art	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
	6-8 Music	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
	6-8 PE/Health	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
	6-8 Technology	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
	7-8 German	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
	7-8 French	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
	7-8 Spanish	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
	6-8 Family Consumer Science	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
	9-12 Art	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Regents assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA, Living Environment
	9-12 Music	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Regents assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA, Living Environment
	9-12 PE/Health	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Regents assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA, Living Environment
	9-12 Technology	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Regents assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA, Living Environment
	9-12 Business	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Regents assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA, Living Environment

	9-12 Foreign Language	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Regents assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA, Living Environment
	Reading	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Assessments depending on grade level: k-5; 6-8; 9-12
	Special Education	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	New York State Assessments depending on grade level: Gr. K-5; 6-8; 9-12
	ELL/ESL	State Assessment	New York State Assessments-NYSSELATS

For all other courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Teachers will receive their HEDI scores based on the school wide percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth targets on the assessments depending on grade level: Grades K-5: NYS Grades 4 and 5 ELA and Math Assessment and NYS Gr. 4 Science Assessment; Gr. 6-8: NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments; Grades 9-12: NYS Regents Assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA and Living Environment. For students in Common Core courses, the District may administer the 2005 Learning Standards Regents and the Common Core Regents as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes. Growth targets will be set by teachers using baseline data and approved by principals. Any teacher of Grades 4-8 ELA or Math who does not receive a NYS provided growth score will develop an SLO. HEDI scores will be based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding the District's minimum rigor for growth of a 2 or higher on the assessments set using baseline data.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets, require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represents 1 years growth.</p> <p>ESL/ELL teachers will receive a HEDI score based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth targets on the NYSESELATS.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	<p>20 points = 95-100 % of students meeting target 19 points = 90-94 % of students meeting target 18 points = 85-89 % of students meeting target</p>
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	<p>17 points = 83-84 % of students meeting target 16 points = 81-82 % of students meeting target 15 points = 79-80 % of students meeting target 14 points = 77-78 % of students meeting target 13 points = 75-76 % of students meeting target 12 points = 73-74 % of students meeting target 11 points = 71-72 % of students meeting target 10 points = 69-70 % of students meeting target 9 points = 68 % of students meeting target</p>
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	<p>8 points = 66-67 % of students meeting target 7 points = 64-65 % of students meeting target 6 points = 62-63 % of students meeting target 5 points = 60-61 % of students meeting target 4 points = 58-59 % of students meeting target 3 points = 55-57 % of students meeting target</p>

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	2 points = 28-54 % of students meeting target 1 point = 1-27 % of students meeting target 0 points = 0 % of students meeting target
--	---

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

(No response)

2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used assigning points to a teacher's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are the following: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, and students in poverty.

n/a.

2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked

Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document).	Checked
Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Checked

3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 08/19/2015

For guidance on the Locally Selected Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections E, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers. Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment. Additionally, please provide a brief explanation in the HEDI general description box of why you have listed the grade/course as "Not Applicable" (e.g., district/BOCES does not offer this grade/subject; common branch teacher).

Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: "[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment." For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: "GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment."

NOTE: If your district/BOCES is using the same assessment for both the State growth and other comparable measures subcomponent and the locally-selected measures subcomponent, be sure that a *different* measure of student performance is being used with the assessment (e.g., achievement rather than growth; growth measured in a different manner).

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment
- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
 - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 4-5 ELA and Math Assessments & NYS Gr. 4 Science Assessment
5	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 4-5 ELA and Math Assessments & Gr. 4 Science Assessment
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 4-5 ELA and Math Assessments & NYS Gr. 4 Science Assessment
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 4-5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr. 4 Science Assessment
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 4-5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr. 4 Science Assessment

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: When completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	Teachers will earn HEDI points based on the percentage of students meeting the targets school wide. Achievement targets will be set by teachers and approved by principals. The 20-point chart in Tasks 3.4-3.12 will be used until a value-added model is implemented.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	15 points= 95-100 14 points = 85-94
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	13 points=84 12 points=79-83 11 points= 76-78 10 points= 73-75 9 points= 71-72 8 points= 69-70
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	7 points= 67-68 6 points=64-66 5 points=61-63 4 points=58-60 3 points=55-57
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	2 points= 28-54 1 point= 1-27 0 points= 0

3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 4-5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr. 4 Science Assessment
5	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 4-5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr. 4 Science Assessment
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 4-5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr. 4 Science Assessment
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 4-5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr. 4 Science Assessment
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 4-5 ELA and Math Assessment & NYS Gr. 4 Science Assessment

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	Teachers will earn HEDI points based on the percentage of students meeting the target school wide. Achievement targets will be set by teachers and approved by principals. The 20 point chart in Tasks 3.4-3.12 will be used until a value-added model is implemented.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	15 points= 95-100 14 points = 85-94

Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	13 points=84 12 points=79-83 11 points= 76-78 10 points= 73-75 9 points= 71-72 8 points= 69-70
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	7 points= 67-68 6 points=64-66 5 points=61-63 4 points=58-60 3 points=55-57
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	2 points= 28-54 1 point= 1-27 0 points= 0

3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

(No response)

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment
- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD developed Grade K ELA Assessment
1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD developed Grade 1 ELA Assessment
2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD developed Grade 2 ELA Assessment
3	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD developed Grade 3 ELA Assessment

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The teachers will earn HEDI points based on the percentage of students meeting their target. Achievement targets will be set by teachers and approved by principals.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	20 points= 95-100 19 points = 90-94 18 points = 85-89
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	17 points=83-84 16 points=81-82 15 points= 79-80 14 points= 77-78 13 points= 75-76 12 points= 73-74 11 points = 71-72 10 points= 69-70 9 points= 68
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	8 points= 66-67 7 points=64-65 6 points=62-63 5 points=60-61 4 points=58-59 3 points= 55-57

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	2 points= 28-54 1 point= 1-27 0 points= 0
--	---

3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD developed Grade K Math Assessment
1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD developed Grade 1 Math Assessment
2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD developed Grade 2 Math Assessment
3	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD developed Grade 3 Math Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Teachers will earn HEDI points based on the percentage of students meeting their target. Achievement targets will be set by teachers and approved by principals.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	20 points= 95-100 19 points = 90-94 18 points = 85-89
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	17 points=83-84 16 points=81-82 15 points= 79-80 14 points= 77-78 13 points= 75-76 12 points= 73-74 11 points = 71-72 10 points= 69-70 9 points= 68
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	8 points= 66-67 7 points=64-65 6 points=62-63 5 points=60-61 4 points=58-59 3 points= 55-57
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	2 points= 28-54 1 point= 1-27 0 points= 0

3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD developed Grade 6 Science assessment
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD developed Grade 7 Science assessment
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Gr. 6-8 ELA, Math, and Science Assessments

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Teachers will earn HEDI points based on the percentage of students meeting their target (For Grade 8 a school wide percentage will be used). Achievement targets will be set by teachers and approved by principals.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	20 points = 95-100 19 points = 89-94 18 points = 85-88
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	17 points= 83-84 16 points= 81-82 15 points=79-80 14 points= 77-78 13 points=75-76 12 points= 73-74 11 points= 71-72 10 points= 69-70 9 points= 68
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	8 points= 66-67 7 points=64-65 6 points= 62-63 5 points= 60-61 4 points= 58-59 3 points= 55-57
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	2 points= 28-54 1 point= 1-27 0 points= 0

3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD Developed Grade 6 Social Studies Assessment
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment

8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD Developed Grade 8 Social Studies Assessment
---	---	---

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Teachers will earn HEDI points based on the percentage of students meeting their target. Achievement targets will be set by teachers and approved by principals.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	20 points = 95-100 19 points = 89-94 18 points = 85-88
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	17 points= 83-84 16 points= 81-82 15 points=79-80 14 points= 77-78 13 points=75-76 12 points= 73-74 11 points= 71-72 10 points=69-70 9 points= 68
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	8 points= 66-67 7 points=64-65 6 points= 62-63 5 points= 60-61 4 points= 58-59 3 points= 55-57
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	2 points= 28-54 1 point= 1-27 0 points= 0

3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD Developed Global 1 Assessment
Global 2	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA and Living Environment
American History	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA and Living Environment

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Teachers will earn HEDI points based on the percentage of students meeting their target (For Global 2 and American History, the school wide percentage will be used). Achievement targets will be set by teachers and approved by principals. For students in Common Core courses, the District may administer the 2005 Learning Standards Regents and Common Core Regents, as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	20 points = 95-100 19 points = 89-94 18 points = 85-88
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	17 points= 83-84 16 points= 81-82 15 points=79-80 14 points= 77-78 13 points=75-76 12 points= 73-74 11 points= 71-72 10 points=69-70 9 points= 68
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	8 points= 66-67 7 points=64-65 6 points= 62-63 5 points= 60-61 4 points= 58-59 3 points= 55-57
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	2 points= 28-54 1 point= 1-27 0 points= 0

3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA and Living Environment
Earth Science	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA and Living Environment
Chemistry	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA and Living Environment
Physics	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA and Living Environment

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Teachers will earn HEDI points based on the school-wide percentage of students meeting/exceeding achievement targets on the listed assessments. Targets will be set by teachers and approved by principals. For students in Common Core courses, the District may administer the 2005 Learning Standards Regents and Common Core Regents, as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	20 points = 95-100 19 points = 89-94 18 points = 85-88
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	17 points= 83-84 16 points= 81-82 15 points=79-80 14 points= 77-78 13 points=75-76 12 points= 73-74 11 points= 71-72 10 points=69-70 9 points= 68
Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	8 points= 66-67 7 points=64-65 6 points= 62-63 5 points= 60-61 4 points= 58-59 3 points= 55-57
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	2 points= 28-54 1 point= 1-27 0 points= 0

3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA and Living Environment
Geometry	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA and Living Environment
Algebra 2	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA and Living Environment

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

NOTE: As applicable, for Algebra 1 and Geometry, please specify whether your district will be offering the 2005 Learning Standards version of the assessment in addition to the Common Core version, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Teachers will earn HEDI points based on the school-wide percentage of students meeting/exceeding achievement targets on the listed assessments. Targets will be set by teachers and approved by principals. For students in Common Core courses, the District may administer the 2005 Learning Standards Regents and Common Core Regents, as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	20 points = 95-100 19 points = 89-94 18 points = 85-88
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	17 points= 83-84 16 points= 81-82 15 points=79-80 14 points= 77-78 13 points=75-76 12 points= 73-74 11 points= 71-72 10 points=69-70 9 points= 68
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	8 points= 66-67 7 points=64-65 6 points= 62-63 5 points= 60-61 4 points= 58-59 3 points= 55-57
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	2 points= 28-54 1 point= 1-27 0 points= 0

3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD developed Grade 9 ELA Assessment
Grade 10 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	EGCSD developed Grade 10 ELA Assessment
Grade 11 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Assessments: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA and Living Environment

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

NOTE: As applicable, please specify whether your district will be offering the Comprehensive English Regents in addition to the Common Core English Regents, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Grades 9 & 10 teachers will earn HEDI points based on the percentage of students meeting the target (Grade 11 will use a school wide percentage). Achievement targets will be set by teachers and approved by principals. For students in Common Core courses, the District may administer the 2005 Learning Standards Regents and Common Core Regents, as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	20 points = 95-100 19 points = 89-94 18 points = 85-88
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	17 points= 83-84 16 points= 81-82 15 points=79-80 14 points= 77-78 13 points=75-76 12 points= 73-74 11 points= 71-72 10 points=69-70 9 points= 68
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	8 points= 66-67 7 points=64-65 6 points= 62-63 5 points= 60-61 4 points= 58-59 3 points= 55-57
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	2 points= 28-54 1 point= 1-27 0 points= 0

3.12) All Other Courses

Fill in for additional grades/subjects, as applicable. If you need additional space, complete additional copies of this form and upload (below) as attachments. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, drop-down option #4 applies to grades 3 and above and drop-down option #8 applies to grades K-2.

	Course(s) or Subject(s)	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
	K-5 ART	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grades K-5 Art Assessment
	K-5 MUSIC	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grade K-5 Music Department Assessment
	K-5 PE/HEALTH	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed K-5 PE/Health Assessment
	6-8 ART	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grade 6-8 Art assessment
	6-8 MUSIC	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grade 6-8 Music assessment
	6-8 PE/HEALTH	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grades 6-8 PE/Health assessment

	6-8 TECHNOLOGY	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grades 6-8 Technology assessment
	Grade 7-8 German	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grades 7-8 German assessment
	Grade 7-8 Spanish	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grades 7-8 Spanish assessment
	Grade 7-8 French	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grades 7-8 French assessment
	Grade 6-8 Family and Consumer Science	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grades 6-8 Family and Consumer Science assessment
	Grades 9-12 ART	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grades 9-12 Art assessment/portfolio
	Grades 9-12 MUSIC	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	District Developed Grades 9-12 Music assessment
	Grades 9-12 PE/HEALTH	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	District Developed Grades 9-12 PE/Health Assessment
	Grades 9-12 TECHNOLOGY	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grades 9-12 Technology assessment
	Grades 9-12 BUSINESS	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grades 9-12 Business assessment
	Grades 9-12 FOREIGN LANGUAGE	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed Grades 9-12 Foreign Language assessment
	READING	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed K-12 Reading Assessment
	SPECIAL EDUCATION	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	EGCSD Developed K-12 Special Education Assessment
	ELL/ESL	3) Teacher specific achievement/growth score computed locally	NYSESLAT

For all additional courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Teachers will earn HEDI points based on the percentage of students meeting their target. Achievement targets will be set by teachers and approved by principals.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES -adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	20 points = 95-100 19 points = 89-94 18 points = 85-88

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	17 points= 83-84 16 points= 81-82 15 points=79-80 14 points= 77-78 13 points=75-76 12 points= 73-74 11 points= 71-72 10 points=69-70 9 points= 68
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	8 points= 66-67 7 points=64-65 6 points= 62-63 5 points= 60-61 4 points= 58-59 3 points= 55-57
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	2 points= 28-54 1 point= 1-27 0 points= 0

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 3.12: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 3.12. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

(No response)

3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a teacher's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

N/A

3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

The Principal, in conjunction with the teacher, will determine the amount of time spent in each subject. For instance: the teacher teaches a 90 minute ELA block versus a 45 minute Math block. The principal will determine the score based on the amount of time spent in each area. The District is weighting the score from each local measure proportionally.

Such as: if the teacher scores 18 points on the ELA assessment and 15 points on the Math assessment, the principal will make the following calculation: 18 times 2, plus 15 = 51, which is then divided by 3.

3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Checked

4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 08/21/2015

For guidance on the Other Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections H and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

Rubric	Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2011 Revised Edition)
Second Rubric, if applicable	(No response)

4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered by the points assignment indicated immediately below (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	60
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	0
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	0
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	0
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	0

Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	0
--	---

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, label accordingly, and combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

Assure that district/BOCES will use survey tool(s) from the State-approved list or approved through the NYSED survey variance process	(No response)
---	---------------

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
District Variance	(No response)
My Student Survey, LLC's Survey of Teacher Practice (STeP) survey for use in grades 3-12	(No response)

4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The District will assign 0-60 points for observations and teacher artifacts. Each year, teachers will be rated based on 4 domains of the Danielson Teachscape evaluation system, which covers each of the NYS Teaching Standards. The Danielson rubric has 4 sections requiring a HEDI rating. The teacher will not be given a HEDI score for each observation (formal or informal) instead the teacher will

receive a summative evaluation. Each of the subcomponents will be rated during each observation. On the summative evaluation, the evaluator will score the teacher holistically, taking into consideration the teachers performance during both informal and formal observations as well as information from artifacts. The teacher will be given HEDI scores for each domain (H=4, E=3, D=2, I=1) and apply the appropriate rating from the Danielson Conversion Chart. The scores from each domain will be added together and divided by 4 (the number of domains) to obtain an overall score, which will then be converted on the Danielson Observation Conversion Chart to obtain a HEDI rating. All 60 points will come from the rubric. A HEDI score of 59-60 will result in an overall rating of highly effective. A HEDI score of 57-58 will result in an overall rating of effective. A HEDI score of 50-56 will result in an overall rating of developing and a HEDI score of 0-49 will result in an overall rating of ineffective.

The rubric score listed in the uploaded chart is the minimum rubric score needed to achieve the corresponding HEDI point value. the rubric score does contain numbers that are not whole numbers, therefore, the numbers are rounded up when the number is .5 or greater. Normal rounding rules will apply, but in no case will rounding result in a teacher moving between HEDI categories.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12179/1464147-eka9yMJ855/APPR%20Plan%20-%20Attach%20d%20Summative-%20AMENDED.doc>

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	See upload: Danielson Rubric Scoring Guide A HEDI score of 59-60 will result in an overall rating of highly effective.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	See upload: Danielson Rubric Scoring Guide A HEDI score of 57-58 will result in an overall rating of effective.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	See upload: Danielson Rubric Scoring Guide A HEDI score of 50-56 will result in an overall rating of developing.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	See upload: Danielson Rubric Scoring Guide A HEDI score of 0-49 will result in an overall rating of ineffective.

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

Formal/Long	3
Informal/Short	0
Enter Total	3

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

Responses Selected:

In Person

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

Responses Selected:

Not Applicable

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

Formal/Long	2
Informal/Short	1
Total	3

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

Responses Selected:

In Person

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

Responses Selected:

In Person

5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 06/04/2015

For guidance on Composite Scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance section I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories	Growth or Comparable Measures	Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement	Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)
Highly Effective	Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.
Effective	Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.
Developing	Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.
Ineffective	Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

The Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

Where there is no Value-Added measure	Growth or Comparable Measures	Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement	Other Measures of Effectiveness (60 points)	Overall Composite Score
Highly Effective	18-20	18-20	Ranges determined locally--see below	91-100
Effective	9-17	9-17		75-90
Developing	3-8	3-8		65-74
Ineffective	0-2	0-2		0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

5.2) The scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

Where Value-Added growth measure applies	Growth or Comparable Measures	Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement	Other Measures of Effectiveness (60 points)	Overall Composite Score
Highly Effective	22-25	14-15	Ranges determined locally--see above	91-100
Effective	10-21	8-13		75-90
Developing	3-9	3-7		65-74
Ineffective	0-2	0-2		0-64

6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 08/21/2015

See NYSED APPR Guidance sections C (APPR Plan Process; Teacher Improvement Plans), J (Evaluators, Training, and Certification, L (Appeals), and M (Data Management). NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. All TIP plans must include: 1) identification of needed areas of improvement, 2) a timeline for achieving improvement, 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips. Please be sure to update a document with a form layout, with fillable spaces and not just a narrative.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5265/141329-Df0w3Xx5v6/APPR%20Plan%20-%20Attach%204%20TIP.doc>

6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review
- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

East Greenbush Central School District

(7) Appeals of Annual Professional Performance Reviews

Probationary Teachers

Probationary teachers may submit a written rebuttal that will be attached to the APPR in the member's personnel file. Probationary

teachers may not appeal the APPR.

Tenured Teachers

Tenured teachers may only appeal an overall evaluation of developing or ineffective for one of the following reasons and the burden of proof rests with the appellant:

1. The substance and rating of the APPR
2. Adherence to standards and methodologies required for such review
3. Adherence to the Commissioner's regulations
4. The issuance and/or implementation of the terms of an improvement plan in connection with an "ineffective or developing" determination

Tenured teachers may only appeal an overall evaluation of developing or ineffective. Teachers may submit written rebuttals of an overall evaluation of "effective" and "highly effective" if desired, but may not appeal the rating. However, the Association and the District agree that the Association reserves the right to appeal "effective" and "highly effective" ratings in the future as determined by the Association.

Procedure

1. A tenured teacher desiring to appeal their APPR composite summary score or TIP (Teacher Improvement Plan) must submit a written statement with a rationale for the appeal, based on the above allowable parameters. The appeal must be made within 15 calendar days of the teacher formally being assigned the rating or the TIP. The written appeal must be submitted to the Superintendent.

2. The Superintendent will notify the Association President of the appeal and schedule an appeal hearing within 30 calendar days of receipt of the appeal. The hearing will be conducted by the INU President or his/her designee and the Superintendent or his/her designee. This two person hearing body must render a decision in writing within 10 calendar days.

This decision may modify the TIP, set aside the rating, and uphold the rating. In the event that the hearing body cannot agree on an outcome, the Director of Pupil Personnel Services will conduct an independent observation of the INU member within 10 school days and render an advisory opinion regarding the at-issue appeal to assist the hearing body in making a decision 5 school days after the observation. Should the Director of Pupil Personnel Services position become vacant or abolished, the parties will meet to determine who will be responsible for conducting such independent advisory reviews.

3. The determination of the appeal pursuant to the above process is final and binding and not subject to any further appeal. Failure of either the district or the local union to abide by the above agreed upon process is subject to the grievance procedure.

The entire appeals process will be timely and expeditious in accordance with Education Law 3012 (c). Any agreed upon changes to this process will be submitted to SED as part of a Material Change Submission, and implemented after approval by SED.

6.4) Training of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators and Certification of Lead Evaluators

Describe the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators. Your description must include 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators, 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability, 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

East Greenbush Central School District

(8) Duration and Nature of Training Provided to Evaluators and Lead Evaluators

(a) The "lead evaluator" is the administrator who is primarily responsible for a teacher's evaluation under Chapter 103. The term "evaluator" shall include any administrator who conducts an observation or evaluation of a teacher.

(b) All evaluators shall successfully complete a training course that meets the minimum requirements prescribed in Chapter 103 and Section 30-2.9 of the regulations thereunder. Such training shall include application and use of the State-approved teacher practice rubric(s) selected by the District for use in evaluations.

(c) Once an evaluator has successfully completed a training course meeting the minimum requirements prescribed in the law and regulations, he/she shall be deemed to be certified by the District as a lead evaluator.

The District will ensure that all evaluators are trained as lead evaluators. The Superintendent will certify lead evaluators upon receipt of proper documentation that the individual has fully completed training. The Superintendent and his/her designee will maintain records of certification of evaluators. Evaluators will be recertified on a periodic basis, to be determined by the District.

The District will establish a process to maintain inter-rater reliability over time in accordance with New York State Education Department guidance and protocols recommended in training for lead evaluators. The District anticipates that these protocols will include measures such as: data analysis; periodic comparisons of assessments and /or annual calibration session across evaluators. The training will include all the NYSED requirements for lead evaluator/evaluator training. All evaluators may do observations, but are prohibited from summative evaluations until they are appropriately certified. All current lead evaluators are properly trained and certified. For the 2012-2013 school year and thereafter, all lead evaluators and principals shall be appropriately trained and certified by September 1st of each school year or thirty (30) days after appointment. The District will work to ensure that lead evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time and that they are re-certified on an annual basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations or applicable collective bargaining agreements. Minimum annual training will occur for a total of 2 days or 12 hours.

6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that all evaluators are properly trained and that lead evaluators, who complete an individual's performance review, will be "certified" to conduct evaluations in the following nine elements:	Checked
---	---------

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or

BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

Assure that the district will maintain inter-rater reliability of evaluators over time.	Checked
---	---------

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 08/04/2015

For guidance on the State Growth or Comparable Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections D, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth or value-added measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.).

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

	Red Mill Elementary School K-5
	Citizen Genet Elementary School K-5
	Bell Top Elementary School K-5
	Green Meadow Elementary School K-5
	Donald P. Sutherland Elementary School K-5
	Howard L. Goff Middle School 6-8
	Columbia High School 9-12

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that the value-added growth score(s) provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved	Checked

7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessments covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. The district must select the type of assessment that will be used with the SLO from the options below.

- If any grade/course in the building has a State-provided growth measure AND the principal must have SLOs because fewer than 30% of students in the building are covered, then the SLOs will begin first with the SGP/VA results.
- Additional SLOs will then be set based on grades/subjects with State assessments, where applicable.
- If additional SLOs are necessary, principals must begin with the grade(s)/course(s) that have the largest number of students using school-wide student results from one of the following assessment options: State-approved 3rd party or district/regional/BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the grade configuration of the school or program the SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the type of assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: “[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment.” For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: “GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment.” For State-approved 3rd party assessments, please include the name of the assessment exactly as it appears in RED on the State-approved list. For State assessments or Regents examinations, please indicate as such in the assessment name.

Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, the 2nd drop-down option applies to grades 3 and above and the 4th drop-down option applies to grades K-2.

	School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment
	Grade K-5	State assessment	As applicable, Grade 3-5 NYS ELA and Math Assessments
	Grades 6-8	State assessment	Grade 6-8 ELA and Math and Grade 8 Science, NYS Assessments
	Grades 9-12	State assessment	ElA and Algebra Regents, as all applicable Regents exams

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance. Please describe the process your district is using to measure student growth on the assessments listed for this Task. If applicable, please also include a description of the process for combining the State-provided growth score with the SLO(s) for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	If the State provides growth scores for the above listed principals and such scores represent less than 30% of the students supervised by that principal, the District will set SLO's for the largest courses in the building until at least 30% of students are covered. Where such courses end in a State assessment, that assessment will be used with the SLO. The State provided scores will then be weighted proportionately with the SLO result(s) for the final HEDI score for the principal. For SLO's principals will receive HEDI points based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding the district's minimum rigor expectation for growth of 2 or higher/65 or higher on the listed NYS assessments, set used historical baseline data. For students in Common Core courses, the District may administer the 2005 Learning Standards Regents and Common Core Regents, as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes.
--	---

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	20 points = 95-100 19 points = 90-94 18 points = 85-89
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	17 points = 83-84 16 points = 81-82 15 points = 79-80 14 points = 77-78 13 points = 75-76 12 points = 73-74 11 points = 71-72 10 points = 69-70 9 points = 68
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	8 points = 66-67 7 points = 64-65 6 points = 62-63 5 points = 60-61 4 points = 58-59 3 points = 55-57
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	2 points = 28-54 1 point = 1-27 0 points = 0

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a principal's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are the following: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, and students in poverty.

Not applicable

7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one State-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
--	---------

Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document .	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Checked

8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 08/07/2015

For guidance on locally selected measures of student achievement or growth, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections E, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: “[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment.” For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: “GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment.”

Also note: if your district/BOCES is using the same assessment for both the State growth or other comparable measures subcomponent and the locally-selected measures subcomponents, be sure that a *different* measure of student performance is being used with the assessment (e.g., achievement rather than growth; growth measured in a different manner).

Also note: no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing/>).

8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

In the table below, please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal’s students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth or value-added measure (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a measure of growth or achievement from the drop-down menu. As a reminder, the grade configurations/programs listed in Task 8.1 should be the same as those listed in Task 7.1.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school

- whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8
- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

	Grade Configuration/Program	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
	K-5	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Building wide score based on student performance on NYS Gr. 4-5 ELA , Math and Gr. 4 Science Assessment
	6-8	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Building wide score based on student performance on NYS Gr. 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
	9-12	(g) % achieving specific level on Regents or alternatives	Building wide score based on student performance on the NYS Regents Assessment: Algebra, Global II, US History, ELA and Living Environment

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.</p>	<p>HEDI points will be allocated to a principal based on the percentage of students building-wide scoring at proficiency or better on the listed assessments. For K-8, proficiency is defined as a score of 3 or better (2 or better for ELL/Special Ed./ students in poverty). For 9-12 courses, proficiency is defined as a score of 65 or better (55 or better for ELL/Special Ed./students in poverty). The 20 point HEDI ranges listed below will be used to assign HEDI points until a value-added model is implemented.</p> <p>For students in Common Core courses, the District may administer the 2005 Learning Standards Regents and Common Core Regents, as permitted by NYS. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>15 points= 95-100 14 points= 85-94</p> <p>20 points = 95-100 19 points = 90-94 18 points = 85-89</p>
<p>Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>13 points= 84 12 points= 79-83 11 points= 76-78 10 points= 73-75 9 points= 71-72 8 points=69-70</p> <p>17 points = 83-84 16 points = 81-82 15 points = 79-80 14 points = 77-78 13 points = 75-76 12 points = 73-74 11 points = 71-72 10 points = 69-70 9 points = 68</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>7 points=67-68 6 points=64-66 5 points=61-63 4 points=58-60 3 points=55-57</p> <p>8 points = 66-67 7 points = 64-65 6 points = 62-63 5 points =60-61 4 points = 58-59 3 points = 55-57</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>2 points=28-54 1 points= 1-27 0 points= 0 The 15 point and 20 point scale is the same for this HEDI rating</p>

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. \(MS Word \)](#)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations/programs used in your district or BOCES in which the district/BOCES expects that fewer than 30% of students will receive a State-provided growth score (e.g., K-2, K-3, CTE). Then for each grade configuration, select a measure from the drop-down menu. As a reminder, the grade configurations/programs listed in Task 8.2 should be the same as those listed in Task 7.3.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

Also note: no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8
- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

	Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	NA
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	NA
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	NA
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	NA
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	NA

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a principal's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

N/A

8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

The District will take an average score of the locally selected measures, by student performance in each grade, level/subject matter. We will take the average of Regent's examinations when calculating Regent's scores.

8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
---	-------

Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Check
Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check
If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Check
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Check

9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 08/21/2015

For guidance on the Other Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections H and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

Rubric	Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric
Second rubric (if applicable)	(No response)

9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the point assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following point assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	50
---	----

Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents.	10
--	----

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, label accordingly, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 9.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below if assigning any points to "ambitious and measurable goals":

Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	Checked
Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	Checked

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
School visits by other trained evaluators	Checked
Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	Checked

9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

Assure that district/BOCES will use survey tool(s) from the State-approved list or approved through the NYSED survey variance process	(No response)
---	---------------

Note: When the State-approved survey list is updated, this list will be updated within the drop-down menu of approved survey tools.

Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey for Teachers	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 3-5) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 6-12) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)

K12 Insight Parent Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Teacher/Staff Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
District variance	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Parent Survey)	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Student Surveys)	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Parent Survey	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Student Survey	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Teacher Survey	(No response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The principals will be rated based on the six domains contained in the selected rubric covering the ISLLC Standards.

Principals will be assigned a HEDI score from 0-60 based on school visits and ambitious and measureable goals, using the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric. In order to determine the overall score (0-60), the Principal will receive scores as follows:

Score of 0-5 for a review of School Documents based on Domains 1,2,5 HE=3-5 E=2 D=1 I= 0

Score of 0-20 for Observations/School Visits based on Domains 1,2,4,6 HE=11-20 E=4-10 D=1-3 I=0

Score of 0-25 for a review of Portfolio based on Domain 1-6 HE=13-25 E=6-12 D=1-5 I=0

Score of 0-10 for Goal Setting based on Domains 1-6 HE=7-10 E=3-6 D=1-2 I=0

A maximum total of 60 HEDI points for all 6 Domains will result. The following process outlined below will be used to calculate a final HEDI score: 50 HEDI points will be determined based on broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions in Domains 1-6 of the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric. The remaining 10 HEDI points will be based on the Other Domain (Goal Setting and Attainment) being evaluated through a review of documentation. School visits by other trained evaluators will also be used to evaluate use of goals.

At least one goal must address the principals contribution to improving teacher effectiveness, which may include one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers, the correlation between student growth scores of teachers granted tenure as opposed to those denied tenure, quality of feedback provided to teachers throughout the year, facilitation of teacher participation in professional development opportunities made available by the school district or BOCES and/or the quality and effectiveness of teacher evaluations conducted under this section.

The two scores will be added together to result in a principals overall other measures HEDI score of 0-60. The single component score will be set after multiple observations are completed based on all the evidence collected and observed.

Administrators receiving a total HEDI score of 46-60 points will result in an overall rating of highly effective. A total HEDI score of 31-45 points will result in an overall rating of effective and a total HEDI score of 21-30 points will result in an overall rating of developing. A total HEDI score of 0-20 points will result in an overall rating of ineffective. All decimals will be rounded to the nearest whole number.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.	A total HEDI score of 46-60 points will result in an overall rating of highly effective
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	A total HEDI score of 31-45 points will result in an overall rating of effective.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	A total HEDI score of 21-30 points will result in an overall rating of developing.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.	A total HEDI score of 0-20 points will result in an overall rating of ineffective.

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	46-60
Effective	31-45
Developing	21-30
Ineffective	0-20

9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

Probationary Principals

By supervisor	2
---------------	---

By trained administrator	1
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	3

Tenured Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	1
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	3

10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Friday, February 27, 2015

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness
(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly
Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

The Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

Where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	46-60
Effective	31-45
Developing	21-30
Ineffective	0-20

10.2) The scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

Where Value-Added growth measure applies
Growth or Comparable Measures
Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement
Other Measures of Effectiveness
(60 points)

Overall
Composite Score

Highly Effective
22-25
14-15
Ranges determined locally--see above
91-100

Effective
10-21
8-13
75-90

Developing
3-9
3-7
65-74

Ineffective
0-2
0-2
0-64

11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 08/21/2015

See NYSED APPR Guidance sections C (APPR Plan Process; Principal Improvement Plans), J (Evaluators, Training, and Certification, L (Appeals), and M (Data Management). NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. All PIP plans must include: 1) identification of needed areas of improvement, 2) a timeline for achieving improvement, 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips. Please be sure to update a document with a form layout, with fillable spaces and not just a narrative.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5276/132165-Df0w3Xx5v6/PIP%208-12.docx>

11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review
- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

The following is the appeals procedure as outlined in the East Greenbush Administrators' Association APPR Plan. Note that they are strict time paramters outlined in the plan:

Appeal Procedure

Definitions & Limitations:

- 1) An evaluation shall mean a principal's annual professional performance review required by Education Law and Regulations of the Commissioner of Education.
- 2) Oral appeals will not be considered or accepted by the Superintendent of Schools.
- 3) The burden of proof lies with the principal initiating an appeal.
- 4) The appeal procedure constitutes the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing and resolving any and all challenges and appeals relating to a principal's evaluation and/or improvement plan.
- 5) A principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same evaluation and/or improvement plan.
- 6) All grounds for appealing a particular evaluation and/or improvement plan must be raised with specificity within the same appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time the appeal is filed shall be deemed waived.
- 7) A principal may not utilize any other contractual grievance procedures, administrative or judicial forums for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to the evaluation and/or improvement plan.
- 8) Appeals related to the issuance of an improvement plan are limited to issues regarding compliance with the requirements prescribed in applicable law and regulations for the issuance of improvement plans.

Probationary Principals

Probationary principals may submit a written rebuttal that will be attached to the APPR in the member's personnel file. Probationary principals may not appeal the APPR.

Tenured Principals

A tenured principal has the right to appeal an evaluation and/or improvement plan in writing to the Superintendent of Schools. Tenured principals may only appeal an overall evaluation for one of the following reasons:

1. Disagreement with the substance of and/or rating of the APPR
2. Failure to adhere to standards and methodologies required for the review
3. Failure to adhere to the Commissioner's Regulations
4. Disagreement with the issuance of or the implementation of the terms of, an improvement plan that has been developed in connection with an "ineffective" or "developing" rating

Tenured principals may only appeal ineffective or developing ratings may be appealed. Tenured principals may submit written rebuttals of determinations of "effective" and "highly effective" if desired, but may not appeal those ratings.

The entire appeals process will be timely and expeditious in accordance with Education Law 3012c.

Appeal Procedure Steps:

1. Evaluation Appeal Procedure: A tenured principal will submit a letter to the Superintendent of Schools within fifteen (15) work days of receiving the evaluation indicating that he/she disagrees with the current evaluation and provides the precise reasons and supporting documentation to refute the evaluation in question. The principal will request that the evaluation and supporting documentation be reviewed and the resulting evaluation be considered for rescoring.

2. Improvement Plan Appeal Procedure: The principal will submit a letter to the Superintendent of Schools within fifteen (15) work days of issuance of the improvement plan indicating that he/she disagrees with the improvement plan and provides the precise reasons and

supporting documentation to refute the improvement plan in question. The principal will request that the improvement plan and implementation procedures be reviewed, supporting documentation be reviewed and considered, and the improvement plan modified or suspended.

3. The Superintendent will notify the EGAA Co-Presidents of the appeal and schedule an appeal hearing within 30 calendar days of the receipt appeal. The hearing will be conducted by one of the EGAA Co-Presidents or their designee and the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee. This two person hearing body must render a decision in writing within ten work days.

This decision may modify the PIP, set aside the rating, or uphold the rating. In the event that the hearing body cannot agree upon an outcome, a mutually agreed upon trained independent evaluator will conduct an independent observation of the EGAA member within ten work days and render an advisory opinion regarding the appeal, to assist the hearing body in making a decision five days after that observation. Within ten work days of receiving the advisory opinion, the Superintendent or his/her designee and the EGAA President or his/her designee will provide a final written decision to the appellant.

4. A decision sustaining an appeal regarding an evaluation and/or improvement plan shall require that the school district revise the evaluation and/or improvement plan, as appropriate in accordance with the decision. A revised version of the evaluation and/or improvement plan shall be placed in the principal's personnel file, and the original successfully appealed evaluation and/or improvement plan shall be redacted accordingly. The revised evaluation and/or newly issued or revised improvement plan shall not be subject to further appeal, nor afford the principal any rights greater than those already available to them in accordance with law, Commissioner Regulations, and Commissioner interpretations of applicable law.

5. The determination of the appeal pursuant to the above process is final and binding and not subject to any further appeal. Failure of either the district or the EGAA to abide by the above agreed upon process is subject to the grievance procedure.

11.4) Training of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators and Certification of Lead Evaluators

Describe the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators. Your description must include 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators, 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability, 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Since September of 2011, administrators in the East Greenbush Central School District have been attending conference and workshops sponsored by the New York State Education Department to receive training in all of the areas outlined below. All trainings have been documented. In almost all cases, the administrators went to the trainings as a team to ensure that all had exposure to the same training and information. During professional development days, trainers were brought into the District so all administrators could receive comprehensive training simultaneously.

Each administrator will document the trainings they attend applicable to each of the 9 areas of Regents Rule 30-2.9. A Lead Evaluator Training Form will be completed by each administrator and certified and approved by the East Greenbush Central School District Board of Education annually. All lead evaluators are re-certified on an annual basis.

Each year all District administrators will participate in lead evaluator training refreshers as they arise, both in the district and out of district for a total of two days. In addition, the District will conduct training to maintain inter-rater reliability. The content of the trainings will include the common core standards, Interpreting and understanding NYSED growth scores, methods to improve student achievement and growth, and refreshers on Teachscape.

Any new administrators will participate in training sessions provided by Questar III BOCES/CAP Region BOCES or the District training for a minimum 2 days.

11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that all evaluators are properly trained and that lead evaluators, who complete an individual's performance review, will be "certified" to conduct evaluations in the following nine elements:

Checked

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

Assure that the district will maintain inter-rater reliability of evaluators over time.

Checked

11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 08/24/2015

Page 1

12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: [APPR District Certification Form](#). Please note that Review Room timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12158/1464155-3Uqgn5g9lu/APPR%20Certification%20Form%208-15.pdf>

File types supported for uploads

- PDF (preferred)
- Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)
- Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)
- Open Office (.odt, .ott)
- Images (.jpg, .gif)
- Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

**East Greenbush Central School District
Summative Evaluation**

TEACHER:		Rating Scale	
SCHOOL:		Highly Effective	4
EVALUATOR:		Effective	3
DATE:		Developing	2
GRADE/LEVEL(S):		Ineffective	1
Non-tenured:	Year: 1 2 3 Tenured:	Total Danielson Rating	

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION	EVIDENCE OBSERVED	RATING
a. Demonstrates knowledge of content and pedagogy		
b. Demonstrates knowledge of students		
c. Selects suitable instructional goals		
d. Demonstrates knowledge of resources		
e. Designs coherent instruction		
f. Provides ongoing assessment of student learning		
2. THE CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT	EVIDENCE OBSERVED	RATING
a. Creates an environment of respect and rapport		
b. Establishes a culture of learning & risk taking		
c. Manages classroom procedures through quick transitions & clearly established routines		
d. Manages student behavior		
e. Organizes Physical Space		

3. INSTRUCTION	EVIDENCE OBSERVED	RATING
a. Communicates clearly and accurately		
b. Uses questioning, discussion, performance expectations & a variety of instructional strategies		
c. Engages students in learning		
d. Using assessments in instruction		
e. Demonstrates flexibility and responsiveness		
4. PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES	EVIDENCE OBSERVED	RATING
a. Reflects on teaching		
b. Maintains accurate records		
c. Communicates timely with parents/guardians, colleagues, & administrators		
d. Contributes to the school & district supports school goals & initiatives		
e. Grows and develops professionally		
f. Shows professionalism in demeanor, appearance and communication		

Signature of Evaluator: _____ Date: _____

Signature of Evaluator: _____ Date: _____

Signature of Teacher: _____ Date: _____

Note: Your signature denotes that you have received a copy of this report but it does not necessarily indicate agreement. If you believe any portion is not accurate, please state your concern in writing to the evaluator.

Original: Office of Asst. Superintendent
 C: Teacher, Administrator(s)

Danielson Observation Conversion Chart

HEDI Bands: I = 0-49; D = 50-56; E = 57-58; H = 59-60

1	1.008	1.017	1.025	1.033	1.042	1.05	1.058	1.067	1.075	1.083	1.092	1.1	1.108	1.115	1.123	1.131
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16

1.138	1.146	1.154	1.162	1.169	1.177	1.185	1.192	1.2	1.208	1.217	1.225	1.233	1.242	1.25	1.258	1.267
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33

1.275	1.283	1.292	1.3	1.308	1.317	1.325	1.333	1.342	1.35	1.358	1.367	1.375	1.383	1.392	1.4	1.5	1.6
34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	50.7

1.7	1.8	1.9	2	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.7
51.4	52.1	52.8	53.5	54.2	54.9	55.6	56.3	57	57.2	57.4	57.6	57.8	58	58.2	58.4	58.6	58.8	59	59.3	59.5

3.8	3.9	4.0
59.8	60.0	60.25 (round to 60)

East Greenbush Central School District
 TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN WORKSHEET
 (To be completed jointly by teacher and administrator)

Name: [teacher's name] **Date:** [date] **Building:** [name of building] **Grade/Subject:** [teacher's assignment(s)]

<p align="center">Needed Areas Of Improvement/Rationale</p>	<p align="center">Differentiated Activities To Support Improvement</p>	<p align="center">Timeline For Achieving Improvement</p>	<p align="center">Manner In Which Improvement Will Be Assessed</p>
<p>Domain 1: Planning & Preparation</p>			<p>Teacher will:</p> <p>District will:</p>
<p>Domain 2: The Classroom Environment</p>			<p>Teacher will:</p> <p>District will:</p>

Domain 3: Instruction			Teacher will: District will:
Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities			Teacher will: District will:

Teacher's Comments:

Administrator's Comments:

Teacher's Signature

Date

Administrator's Signature

Date

Teacher's Union Official

Date

Superintendent's Signature

Date

EGAA Principal Improvement Plan
East Greenbush Central School District

Name of Principal _____

School Building _____ Academic Year _____

Deficiency that promulgated the “ineffective” or “developing” performance rating:

Improvement Goal/Outcome:

Action Steps/Activities:

Timeline for completion:

Required and Accessible Resources, including identification of responsibility for provision:

Dates of formative evaluation on progress (Superintendent and principal initial each date to confirm the meeting):

December:

March:

Other:

Evidence to be provided for Goal Achievement:

Assessment Summary: Superintendent is to attach a narrative summary of improvement progress, including verification of the provision of support and resources as outlined above no later than 10 work

days after the identified completion date. Such summary shall be signed by the superintendent and principal with the opportunity for the principal to attach comments.

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan will be returned or forfeited to the State pursuant to Chapter 57 of the Laws of 2012 and/or 2013, as applicable.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR Plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) or Principal Improvement Plan (PIP), in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal

- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- Assure that any third party assessment that is administered for use to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date:

Angela M. Nayle, Ph. D. 8/24/15

Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

Sean P. Crall 8/24/15

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

[Signature] *John J. Caputo* 8/24/15

Board of Education President Signature: Date:

Shay Hamm 8/24/15

For APPR plans submitted to the Commissioner on or after March 2, 2014 for use in the 2014-15 school year and thereafter the school district or BOCES also makes the following specific assurance with respect to their APPR plan:

Pursuant to Section 30-2.3(a)(4) of the Rules of the Board of Regents, the Superintendent, District Superintendent or Chancellor attests that for the 2014-15 school year and thereafter the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for the grade; and the amount of time devoted to test preparation using traditional standardized assessments under standardized testing conditions for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, performance assessments, formative assessments, and diagnostic assessments is not included in this calculation. Additionally, these calculations do not supersede the requirements of a section of the 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program (IEP) of a student with a disability.

Superintendent / District Superintendent / Chancellor Signature: Date:

Angela M. Naylor, Ph.D. 8/24/15