



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Ave., Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@mail.nysed.gov
Twitter: @JohnKingNYSED
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

August 22, 2013

Revised

Michelle A. Spasiano, Superintendent
Franklinville Central School District
31 North Main Street
Franklinville, NY 14737

Dear Superintendent Spasiano:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved for the 2012-2013 school year. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,


John B. King, Jr.
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Lynda Quick

NOTES: If your district/BOCES has provided for value-added measures (15 points vs. 20 points scale and categorization of your district/BOCES's grade configurations) in your APPR and no value-added measures are approved by the Board of Regents for a grade/subject and/or grade configuration for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly. Conversely, if your district/BOCES has not provided for value-added measures in your district/BOCES's APPR submission and value-added measures are approved for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Reviews: 2012-13

Created Friday, May 11, 2012

Updated Wednesday, May 29, 2013

1

Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

1.1) School District's BEDS Number : 041101040000

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

041101040000

1.2) School District Name: FRANKLINVILLE CSD

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

FRANKLINVILLE CSD

1.3) School Improvement Grant (SIG) Districts Only

SIG districts only: Indicate whether this APPR plan is for SIG schools only or for the entire district. Other districts and BOCES, please skip this question.

(No response)

1.4) Award Classification

Please check if the district has applied for and/or has been awarded any of the following (if applicable):

(No response)

1.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

| | |
|---|---------|
| 1.5) Assurances Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents | Checked |
| 1.5) Assurances Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later | Checked |
| 1.5) Assurances Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval | Checked |

1.6) Is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan?

Submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan

1.7) Is this submission for an annual or multi-year plan?

If the plan is multi-year, please write the years that are included.

Annual (2012-13)

2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created Friday, May 11, 2012

Updated Thursday, August 22, 2013

Page 1

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

(25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have state-provided measures, some may teach other courses in addition where there is no state-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects for 2012-13, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

| | |
|--|---------|
| 2.1) Assurances Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable. | Checked |
| 2.1) Assurances Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13. | Checked |

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For core subjects: grades 6-8 Science and Social Studies, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*
If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or

District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

| | ELA | Assessment |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| K | State-approved 3rd party assessment | STAR Reading Enterprise, ELA, K |
| 1 | State-approved 3rd party assessment | STAR Reading Enterprise ELA, 1 |
| 2 | State-approved 3rd party assessment | STAR Reading Enterprise ELA, 2 |

| | ELA | Assessment |
|---|------------------|----------------------------|
| 3 | State assessment | 3rd Grade State Assessment |

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.

The Teacher with the approval of the Principal will be setting a class wide growth target based on baseline and preassessment data. HEDI scores will be awarded based on the % of students who meet or exceed their growth target.

| | |
|---|--|
| Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). | 20 - 92-100 85-100% of the students meeting the SLO 19 - 89-91 target will result in a highly effective score 18 - 85-88 |
| Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). | 17 - 84 16 - 83 15 - 82 14 - 81 13 - 80 12 - 79 76-84% of the students meeting the SLO 11 - 78 target will result in an effective score 10 - 77 9 - 76 |
| Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). | 8 - 75 70-75% of the students meeting the SLO 7 - 74 target will result in a developing score 6 - 73 5 - 72 4 - 71 3 - 70 |
| Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). | 2 - 69 0-69% of the students meeting the SLO 1 - 68 target will result in an ineffective score 0 - 0-67 |

2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

| | Math | Assessment |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| K | State-approved 3rd party assessment | STAR Math Enterprise - Math, K |
| 1 | State-approved 3rd party assessment | STAR Math Enterprise - Math, 1 |
| 2 | State-approved 3rd party assessment | STAR Math Enterprise- Math, 2 |

| | Math | Assessment |
|---|------------------|----------------------------|
| 3 | State assessment | 3rd Grade State Assessment |

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

| | |
|---|--|
| Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below. | The Teacher with the approval of the Principal will be setting a class wide growth target based on baseline and preassessment data. HEDI scores will be awarded based on the % of students who meet or exceed their growth target. |
| Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). | 20 - 92-100 85-100% of the students meeting the SLO 19 - 89-91 target will result in a highly effective 18 - 85-88 score |
| Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). | 17 - 84 76-84% of the students meeting the SLO 16 - 83 target will result in an effective score 15 - 82 14 - 81 |

13 - 80
 12 - 79
 11 - 78
 10 - 77
 9 - 76

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

8 - 75 70-75% of the students meeting the SLO
 7 - 74 target will result in a developing score
 6 - 73
 5 - 72
 4 - 71
 3 - 70

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

2 - 69 0-69% of student meeting the SLO
 1 - 68 target will result in an ineffective score
 0 - 0-67

2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

| | Science | Assessment |
|---|--|--|
| 6 | District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment | Franklinville Central School District developed 6 Grade Science Assessment |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| 7 | District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment | Franklinville Central School District developed 7th Grade Science Assessment |
|---|--|--|

| | Science | Assessment |
|---|------------------|------------------------------------|
| 8 | State assessment | 8th Grade State Science Assessment |

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.

The Teacher with the approval of the Principal will be setting a class wide growth target based on baseline and preassessment data. HEDI scores will be awarded based on the % of students who meet or exceed their growth target.

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

20 - 92-100 85-100 % of the students reaching the SLO
 19 - 89-91 target will result in a highly effective score
 18 - 85-88

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

17-84 76-84% of the students reaching the SLO
 16-83 target will result in an effective score
 15-82
 14-81
 13-80
 12-79
 11-78
 10-77
 9-76

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

8 - 75 70-75% of the students reaching the SLO
 7 - 74 target will result in a developing score

6 - 73
 5 - 72
 4 - 71
 3 - 70

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

2 - 69 0-69% of the students reaching the SLO
 1 - 68 will result in an ineffective score
 0 - 0-67

2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

| | Social Studies | Assessment |
|---|--|---|
| 6 | District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment | Franklinville Central School District developed 6th grade Social Studies Assessment/using |
| 7 | District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment | Franklinville Central School District developed 7th grade Social Studies Assessment |
| 8 | District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment | Franklinville Central School District developed 8th grade Social Studies Assessment |

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

| | |
|---|--|
| Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below. | The Teacher with the approval of the Principal will be setting a class wide growth target based on baseline and preassessment data. HEDI scores will be awarded based on the % of students who meet or exceed their growth target. |
| Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students. | 20 - 92-100 85-100% of the students reaching the SLO 19 - 89-91 target will result in a highly effective score 18 - 85-88 |
| Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students. | 17 - 84 16 - 83 15 - 82 14 - 81 76-84% of the students reaching the SLO 13 - 80 target will result in an effective score 12 - 79 11 - 78 10 - 77 9 - 76 |
| Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students. | 8 - 75 70-75% of the students reaching the SLO 7 - 74 target will result in a developing score 6 - 73 5 - 72 4 - 71 3 - 70 |
| Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students. | 2 - 69 0-69% of the students reaching the SLO 1 - 68 target will result in an ineffective score 0 - 0-67 |

2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

| | | Assessment |
|----------|---|---|
| Global 1 | District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment | Franklinville Central School District developed Global 1 Assessment |

| Social Studies Regents Courses | | Assessment |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Global 2 | Regents assessment | Regents assessment |
| American History | Regents assessment | Regents assessment |

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

| | |
|---|--|
| Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below. | The Teacher with the approval of the Principal will be setting a class wide growth target based on baseline and preassessment data. HEDI scores will be awarded based on the % of students who meet or exceed their growth target. |
| Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students. | 20 - 92-100 85 -100% of the students reaching the SLO 19 - 89-91 target will result in an effective score 18 - 85-88 |
| Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students. | 17 - 84 16 - 83 15 - 82 14 - 81 76-84% of the students reaching the SLO 13 - 80 target will result in an effective score 12 - 79 11 - 78 10 - 77 9 - 76 |
| Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students. | 8 - 75 70-75% of the students reaching the SLO 7 - 74 target will result in a developing score 6 - 73 5 - 72 4 - 71 3 - 70 |
| Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students. | 2 - 69 0-69% of the students reaching the SLO 1 - 68 target will result in an ineffective score 0 - 0-67 |

2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

| | Science Regents Courses | Assessment |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Living Environment | Regents Assessment | Regents assessment |
| Earth Science | Regents Assessment | Regents assessment |
| Chemistry | Regents Assessment | Regents assessment |
| Physics | Regents Assessment | Regents assessment |

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

| | |
|---|--|
| Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below. | The Teacher with the approval of the Principal will be setting a class wide growth target based on baseline and preassessment data. HEDI scores will be awarded based on the % of students who meet or exceed their growth target. |
| Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students. | 20 - 92-100 85-100% of the students reaching the SLO 19 - 89-91 target result in a highly effective score 18 - 85-88 |
| Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students. | 17 - 84 16 - 83 15 - 82 14 - 81 76-84% of the students reaching the SLO 13 - 80 target result in an effective score 12 - 79 11 - 78 10 - 77 9 - 76 |
| Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students. | 8 - 75 70-75% of the students reaching the SLO 7 - 74 target result in a developing score 6 - 73 5 - 72 4 - 71 3 - 70 |
| Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students. | 2 - 69 0-69% of the students reaching the SLO 1 - 68 target result in an ineffective score 0 - 0-67 |

2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

| | Math Regents Courses | Assessment |
|-----------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Algebra 1 | Regents assessment | Regents assessment |
| Geometry | Regents assessment | Regents assessment |
| Algebra 2 | Regents assessment | Regents assessment |

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

| | |
|---|--|
| Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below. | The Teacher with the approval of the Principal will be setting a class wide growth target based on baseline and preassessment data. HEDI scores will be awarded based on the % of students who meet or exceed their growth target. |
| Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students. | 20 - 92-100 85-100% of the students reaching the SLO 19 - 89-91 target result in a highly effective score 18 - 85-88 |
| Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students. | 17 - 84 16 - 83 15 - 82 14 - 81 76-84% of the students reaching the SLO 13 - 80 target result in an effective score 12 - 79 11 - 78 10 - 77 9 - 76 |
| Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students. | 8 - 75 70-75% of the students reaching the SLO 7 - 74 target result in a developing score 6 - 73 5 - 72 4 - 71 3 - 70 |
| Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students. | 2 - 69 0-69% of the students reaching the SLO 1 - 68 target result in an ineffective score 0 - 0-67 |

2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

| | High School English Courses | Assessment |
|--------------|--|---|
| Grade 9 ELA | District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment | Franklinville Central School District developed 9th grade ELA assessment |
| Grade 10 ELA | District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment | Franklinville Central School District developed 10th grade ELA assessment |
| Grade 11 ELA | Regents assessment | NYS Comprehensive Regents English Assessment |

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

| | |
|---|--|
| Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below. | The Teacher with the approval of the Principal will be setting a class wide growth target based on baseline and preassessment data. HEDI scores will be awarded based on the % of students who meet or exceed their growth target. |
| Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students. | 20 - 92-100 85-100% of the students reaching the SLO 19 - 89-91 target will result in a highly effective score 18 - 85-88 |
| Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students. | 17 - 84 76-84% of the students reaching the SLO 16 - 83 target will result in an effective score 15 - 82 14 - 81 13 - 80 12 - 79 11 - 78 10 - 77 9 - 76 |
| Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students. | 8 - 75 70-75% of the students reaching teh SLO 7 - 74 target will result in a developing score 6 - 73 5 - 72 4 - 71 3 - 70 |
| Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students. | 2 - 69 0-69% of the students reachinig the SLO 1 - 68 target will result in an ineffective score 0 - 0-67 |

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. (MS Word)

(No response)

2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5364/128444-TXEttx9bQW/SLO Template.pdf

2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

(No response)

2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

| | |
|--|---------|
| 2.14) Assurances Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures. | Checked |
| 2.14) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws. | Checked |
| 2.14) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded. | Checked |
| 2.14) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized. | Checked |
| 2.14) Assurances Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html). | Checked |
| 2.14) Assurances Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO. | Checked |
| 2.14) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction. | Checked |
| 2.14) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range. | Checked |
| 2.14) Assurances Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms. | Checked |

3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created Friday, May 11, 2012

Updated Friday, July 26, 2013

Page 1

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers. Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment.

.Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers. The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

1) The change in percentage of a teacher’s students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students’ level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students’ performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher’s students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students’ performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher’s students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause

4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:

(i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

| | Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures | Assessment |
|---|---|--|
| 4 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS 3, 4, 5, and 6th grade ELA assessments & NYS 3, 4, 5, & 6th grade Math assessments |
| 5 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS 3, 4, 5, & 6th grade ELA assessment & NYS 3,4,5, & 6th grade Math assessment |
| 6 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS 3, 4, 5, & 6th grade ELA assessment & NYS 3, 4, 5, & 6th grade Math assessments |
| 7 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 7 & 8 ELA assessments & NYS grade 7 & 8 Math assessments |
| 8 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 7 & 8 ELA assessments & NYS grade 7 & 8 Math assessments |

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.</p> | <p>Locally Selected Measures of achievement/Group Metrics 20% - Elementary Teachers (Grades K-6) The locally selected measures of achievement will be calculated as follows for all Elementary teachers: The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows: $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).</p> <p>Grades 7 & 8/Group Metrics</p> <p>The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows: $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).</p> |
| <p>Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p> | <p>Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 4 - 8 ELA. Teachers receiving a target score of 14 or 15 receive a HEDI score in the highly effective range.</p> |
| <p>Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p> | <p>Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District's adopted expectations for achievement for Grades 4-8 ELA. Teachers receiving a target score of between 8 - 13 receive a HEDI score of effective.</p> |
| <p>Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p> | <p>.Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 4-8 ELA. Teachers reaching a target score of between 3 - 7 receive a HEDI score of developing</p> |
| <p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p> | <p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 4-8 ELA. Teachers reaching a target score of between 0 - 2 receive a HEDI score of ineffective</p> |

3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

| | Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures | Assessment |
|---|---|--|
| 4 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 ELA assessments & NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 Math assessments |
| 5 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 ELA assessments & NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 Math assessments |
| 6 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 ELA assessments & NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 Math assessments |
| 7 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 7 & 8 ELA assessments & NYS grade 7 & 8 Math assessments |
| 8 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 7 & 8 ELA assessments & NYS grade 7 & 8 Math assessments |

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.

Elementary Teachers (Grades K-6)/Group Metrics -
 The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows:
 $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).

Grades 7 & 8/Group Metrics
 The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows:
 $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).

| | |
|---|---|
| Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 4 - 8 Math. Teachers receiving a target score of 14 or 15 receive a HEDI score in the highly effective range. |
| Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District's adopted expectations for achievement for 4-8 Math. Teachers receiving a target score of between 8 - 13 receive a HEDI score of effective. |
| Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | .Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 4-8 Math. Teachers reaching a target score of between 3 - 7 receive a HEDI score of developing |
| Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 4-8 Math. Teachers reaching a target score of between 0 - 2 receive a HEDI score of ineffective |

3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

(No response)

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers. The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above

4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:

(i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

| | Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures | Assessment |
|---|---|--|
| K | 6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 ELA assessments & NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 Math assessments |
| 1 | 6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 ELA assessments & NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 Math assessments |
| 2 | 6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 ELA assessments & NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 Math assessments |
| 3 | 6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 ELA assessments & NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 Math assessments |

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

| | |
|---|--|
| Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below. | Locally Selected Measures of achievement/Group Metrics – 20% Elementary Teachers (Grades K-6)/Group Metrics - The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows: $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the$ |
|---|--|

Count of students performing at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).

Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades K-3 ELA. Teachers receiving a target score of 18-20 receive a HEDI score in the highly effective range.

Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District's adopted expectations for achievement for Grades K-3 ELA. Teachers receiving a target score of between 9-17 receive a HEDI score of effective.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

.Developing (3-8 points) Results are below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades K-3ELA. Teachers reaching a target score of between 3 - 8 receive a HEDI score of developing

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades K-3 ELA. Teachers reaching a target score of between 0 - 2 receive a HEDI score of ineffective

3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

| | Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures | Assessment |
|---|---|--|
| K | 6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 ELA assessments & NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 Math assessments |
| 1 | 6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 ELA assessments & NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 Math assessments |
| 2 | 6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 ELA assessments & NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 Math assessments |
| 3 | 6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 ELA assessments & NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 Math assessments |

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

| | |
|---|--|
| Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below. | Locally Selected Measures of achievement/Group Metrics – 20% Elementary Teachers (Grades K-6) The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows: $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down). |
| Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades K-3 Math. Teachers receiving a target score of 18-20 receive a HEDI score in the highly effective range. |
| Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District's adopted expectations for achievement for Grades K-3 Math. Teachers receiving a target score of between 9 - 17 receive a HEDI score of effective. |
| Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | .Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades K-3 Math. Teachers receiving a target score of between 3 - 8 receive a HEDI score of developing |
| Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District adopted expectations for achievement for grades K-3 Math. Teachers receiving a target score of between 0 - 2 receive a HEDI score of ineffective |

3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

| | Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures | Assessment |
|---|---|--|
| 6 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 ELA assessments & NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 Math assessments |
| 7 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 7 & 8 ELA assessments & NYS grades 7 & 8 Math assessments |
| 8 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 7 & 8 ELA assessments & NYS grades 7 & 8 Math assessments |

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

| | |
|--|---|
| Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at | Locally Selected Measures of achievement/Group Metrics 20% - Elementary Teachers (Grades K-6) |
|--|---|

3.13, below.

The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows:
 $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).

Grades 7 & 8 -

The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows:
 $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 6-8 Science. Teachers receiving a target score of 18-20 receive a HEDI score in the highly effective range.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Effective (9-17points) Results meet District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 6-8 Science. Teachers receiving a target score of between 9-17 receive a HEDI score of effective.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

.Developing (3 -8points) Results are below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 6-8 Science. Teachers reaching a target score of between 3 - 8 receive a HEDI score of developing

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 6-8 Science. Teachers reaching a target score of between 0 - 2 receive a HEDI score of ineffective

3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

| | Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures | Assessment |
|---|---|--|
| 6 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 ELA assessments & NYS grade 3, 4, 5, 6 Math assessments |
| 7 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS grade 7 & 8 ELA assessments & NYS grades 7 & 8 Math assessments |

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.

Locally Selected Measures of achievement/Group Metrics – 20%

Elementary Teachers (Grades K-6)

The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows:
 $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).

Grades 7 & 8/Group Metrics -

The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows:
 $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 6-8 Social Studies. Teachers receiving a target score of 18-20 receive a HEDI score in the highly effective range.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District's adopted expectations for achievement for Grades 6-8 Social Studies. Teachers receiving a target score of between 9-17 receive a HEDI score of effective.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

.Developing (3 - 8points) Results are below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 6-8 Social Studies. Teachers reaching a target score of between 3 - 8 receive a HEDI score of developing.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 6-8 Social

grade/subject.

Studies. Teachers reaching a target score of between 0 - 2 receive a HEDI score of ineffective

3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

| | Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures | Assessment |
|------------------|---|--|
| Global 1 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment & NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment |
| Global 2 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment & NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment |
| American History | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment & NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment |

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

| | |
|---|---|
| Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below. | <p>Locally Selected Measures of achievement/Group Metrics 20% - High School Teachers (Grades 9-12)</p> <p>The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows: $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).</p> <p>Scores on Regents exams will convert to a 1-4 scale as follows:</p> <p>1 = 0-54 2 = 55-64 3 = 65-84 4 = 85-100</p> |
| Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District's adopted expectations for achievement for High School Social Studies. Teachers receiving a target score of 18-20 receive a HEDI score in the highly effective range. |

| | |
|--|--|
| Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | Effective (9-17points) Results meet District's adopted expectations for achievement for High School Social. Studies. Teachers receiving a target score of between 9-17 receive a HEDI score of effective. |
| Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | .Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades High School Social Studies. Teachers reaching a target score of between 3 - 8 receive a HEDI score of developing |
| Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades High School Social Studies. Teachers reaching a target score of between 0 - 2 receive a HEDI score of ineffective |

3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

| | Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures | Assessment |
|--------------------|---|--|
| Living Environment | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment & NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment |
| Earth Science | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment & NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment |
| Chemistry | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment & NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment |
| Physics | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment & NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment |

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

| | |
|---|--|
| Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below. | Locally Selected Measures of achievement/Group Metrics 20% - High School Teachers (Grades 9-12) The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows: $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied |
|---|--|

times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).

Scores on Regents exams will convert to a 1-4 scale as follows:

- 1 = 0-54
- 2 = 55-64
- 3 = 65-84
- 4 = 85-100

Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District's adopted expectations for achievement for High School Science. Teachers receiving a target score of 18 - 20 receive a HEDI score in the highly effective range.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Developing (3-8 points) Results are below District's adopted expectations for achievement for High School Science. Teachers receiving a target score of between 3-8 receive a HEDI score of developing.

Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District's adopted expectations for achievement for High School Science. Teachers reaching a target score of between 9-17 receive a HEDI score of effective.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades High School Science. Teachers reaching a target score of between 0 - 2 receive a HEDI score of ineffective

3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

| | Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures | Assessment |
|-----------|---|---|
| Algebra 1 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment & NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment |
| Geometry | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment & NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment |
| Algebra 2 | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS Comoprehensive ELA Regents assessment & NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment |

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.

Locally Selected Measures of achievement/Group Metrics 20%
-

High School Teachers (Grades 9-12)

The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows:
 $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).

Scores on Regents exams will convert to a 1-4 scale as follows:

- 1 = 0-54
- 2 = 55-64
- 3 = 65-84
- 4 = 85-100

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District's adopted expectations for achievement for High School Math. Teachers receiving a target score of 18-20 receive a HEDI score in the highly effective range.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District's adopted expectations for achievement for High School Math. Teachers receiving a target score of between 9-17 receive a HEDI score of effective.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

.Developing (3-8 points) Results are below District's adopted expectations for achievement for High School Math. Teachers reaching a target score of between 3 - 8 receive a HEDI score of developing

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District's adopted expectations for achievement for High School Math. Teachers reaching a target score of between 0 - 2 receive a HEDI score of ineffective

3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

| | Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures | Assessment |
|--------------|---|--|
| Grade 9 ELA | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment & NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment |
| Grade 10 ELA | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment & NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment |
| Grade 11 ELA | 6(ii) School wide measure computed locally | NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment & NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment |

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.</p> | <p>Locally Selected Measures of achievement/Group Metrics 20% - High School Teachers (Grades 9-12) The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows: $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).</p> <p>Scores on Regents exams will convert to a 1-4 scale as follows:</p> <p>1 = 0-54 2 = 55-64 3 = 65-84 4 = 85-100</p> |
| <p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p> | <p>Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District's adopted expectations for achievement for High School ELA. Teachers receiving a target score of 18-20 receive a HEDI score in the highly effective range.</p> |
| <p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p> | <p>Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District's adopted expectations for achievement for High School ELA. Teachers receiving a target score of between 9-17 receive a HEDI score of effective.</p> |
| <p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p> | <p>.Developing (3 - 8points) Results are below District's adopted expectations for achievement for High School ELA. Teachers reaching a target score of between 3 - 8 receive a HEDI score of developing</p> |
| <p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p> | <p>.Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District's adopted expectations for achievement for High School ELA. Teachers reaching a target score of between 0 - 2 receive a HEDI score of ineffective</p> |

3.12) All Other Courses

Fill in for additional grades/subjects, as applicable. If you need additional space, complete additional copies of this form and upload (below) as attachments.

| Course(s) or Subject(s) | Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures | Assessment |
|-------------------------|---|------------|
|-------------------------|---|------------|

| | |
|--|--|
| Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES -adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District's adopted expectations for achievement for all other courses. Teachers receiving a target score of 18-20 receive a HEDI score in the highly effective range. |
| Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | Effective (9-17points) Results meet District's adopted expectations for achievement for all other courses. Teachers receiving a target score of between 9-17 receive a HEDI score of effective. |
| Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | .Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District's adopted expectations for achievement for all other courses. Teachers reaching a target score of between 3 - 8 receive a HEDI score of developing |
| Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District's adopted expectations for achievement for all other courses. Teachers reaching a target score of between 0 - 2 receive a HEDI score of ineffective |

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 3.12: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 3.12. (MS Word)

(No response)

3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

(No response)

3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

(No response)

3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

n/a

3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

| | |
|--|---------|
| 3.16) Assurances Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent. | Checked |
|--|---------|

| | |
|--|---------|
| 3.16) Assurances Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws. | Checked |
| 3.16) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded. | Checked |
| 3.16) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized. | Checked |
| 3.16) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction. | Checked |
| 3.16) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent. | Checked |
| 3.16) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district. | Checked |
| 3.16) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. | Checked |
| 3.16) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent. | Checked |

4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created Friday, May 11, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 21, 2013

Page 1

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric

Not Applicable

4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

| | |
|--|----|
| Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points] | 60 |
| One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators | 0 |
| Observations by trained in-school peer teachers | 0 |
| Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool | 0 |
| Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool | 0 |
| Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts | 0 |

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

| | |
|---|---------------|
| [SurveyTools.0] Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2 | (No response) |
| [SurveyTools.1] Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5 | (No response) |
| [SurveyTools.2] Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey | (No response) |
| [SurveyTools.3] District Variance | (No response) |

4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

| | |
|---|---------|
| 4.4) Assurances Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year. | Checked |
| 4.4) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction. | Checked |
| 4.4) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent. | Checked |
| 4.4) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district. | Checked |

4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Teachers will be assigned a HEDI score from 0-60 based on observations and evaluations conducted using the NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric. In order to determine this score (0-60), based on evidence collected across multiple observations, the lead evaluator will assign a score of 1 to 4 for elements within the 7 standards of the rubric. Based on evidence from the observations, the lead evaluator will assign a point value to each observed element. The score from elements within each standard will be averaged to determine an average score out of 1-4 for each standard. Once all Standards are scored they will be averaged together and rounded to the nearest hundredth resulting in an Overall Rubric score out of 1-4. The Overall Rubric Score will then convert to a 0-60 HEDI score using the uploaded conversion chart in Task 4.5. For the purposes of scoring the rubric, HEDI rating categories will convert to numerical values as follows:
Highly Effective = 4

Effective = 3
 Developing = 2
 Ineffective = 1

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5091/128398-eka9yMJ855/APPR - Teachers Scale_2.doc

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

| | |
|---|---|
| Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards. | The teacher's practice consistently shows strong effective instructional practice and professional expertise, across all standards. The teacher adeptly differentiates to meet the students diverse needs. The result of this teachers professional practice is consistent positive student growth and achievement. Target score of 59-60 |
| Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards. | The teacher is committed to effective instructional practice across all standards, that positively impacts student growth and achievement. Target score 57-58 |
| Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards. | There is an entry level of commitment to effective instructional practice across all standards, however the teacher needs more focus and professional development to improve the inconsistent student growth and achievement. Target score of 50-56 |
| Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards. | The teacher is minimally committed (or no commitment) to effective instructional practice across all standards. There is a consistent lack of student growth and achievement. Target score 0-49 |

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

| | |
|------------------|-------|
| Highly Effective | 59-60 |
| Effective | 57-58 |
| Developing | 50-56 |
| Ineffective | 0-49 |

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

| | |
|--|--------------|
| 4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Formal/Long | Minimum of 2 |
|--|--------------|

| | |
|---|---|
| 4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Informal/Short | 0 |
| 4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Enter Total | 2 |

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Formal/Long | 0 |
| Informal/Short | 0 |

Independent evaluators

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Formal/Long | 0 |
| Informal/Short | 0 |

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- Not Applicable
-

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

| | |
|--|--------------|
| 4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Formal/Long | Minimum of 2 |
| 4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Informal/Short | 0 |
| 4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Total | 2 |

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Formal/Long | 0 |
| Informal/Short | 0 |

Independent evaluators

| | |
|-------------|---|
| Formal/Long | 0 |
|-------------|---|

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- Not Applicable
-

5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Wednesday, September 26, 2012

Updated Wednesday, May 29, 2013

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (60 points)

Overall Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

| | |
|------------------|-------|
| Highly Effective | 59-60 |
| Effective | 57-58 |
| Developing | 50-56 |
| Ineffective | 0-49 |

5.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

**Other Measures of Effectiveness
(60 points)**

**Overall
Composite Score**

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created Tuesday, September 25, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 21, 2013

Page 1

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

| | |
|---|---------|
| 6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year | Checked |
| 6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas | Checked |

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

[assets/survey-uploads/5265/181801-Df0w3Xx5v6/Teacher Improvement Plan Form.docx](#)

6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Notification of the Appeal

In order to be timely, the notification of the APPR appeal shall be filed, in writing, within fifteen (15) school days after the teacher has received the APPR. Notification of the appeal shall be provided to the superintendent of schools or her designee.

Supervising Administrator's written response to Appeal

Within fifteen (15) school days of receipt of an appeal, the supervising administrator must submit a detailed written response. The response must include any and all additional documents or written materials that are specific to the point(s) of disagreement and/or are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. Material not submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal.

Decisions on Appeal

Step 1 - Conference with the supervising administrator shall take place within fifteen (15) days of the receipt of the appeal. The bargaining unit member shall upon request be entitled to an Association representative being present. The conference shall be an informal meeting wherein the authoring administrator and the employee are able to discuss the evaluation and the areas of dispute, and should take place no more than (within) fifteen (15) days after the bargaining unit member received the administrator's written response. If the bargaining unit member is not satisfied with the outcome, he/she may proceed to the second step. The second step shall be initiated by the unit member notifying the Committee in writing, within fifteen (15) school days of the conclusion of the conference.

Step 2 - APPR Review Committee. The Committee make up shall be:

- a. One tenured administrator, certified to conduct evaluations, appointed by the Superintendent or her designee. The administrator appointed shall not be the administrator who authored the evaluation.
- b. Two tenured teachers appointed by the President of the Association or her designee.

The committee will convene within 15 days of receipt of the appeal by the unit member.

The committee shall reach its finding using the consensus model. The determination of the committee will be delivered in writing, and the decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the appeal within fifteen (15) days of its first being convened. The committee shall have the authority to rescind, modify, or affirm the rating. A new evaluation may be ordered. The decision of the committee is binding unless the bargaining unit member filing the appeal is not satisfied with the decision.

If consensus is not reached, the Committee shall write up the opposing viewpoints and submit the opposing viewpoints to the supervising administrator, the bargaining unit member, the Association President, and the Superintendent within fifteen (15) days of its first being convened.

Step - 3 Superintendent

- a. Within fifteen (15) school days of receipt of the Level 2 response, if a bargaining unit member is not satisfied with such response the bargaining unit member must submit the appeal to the Superintendent of Schools, or the Superintendent's designee. The Superintendent or designee will be provided all documentation submitted in both the appeal and the evaluator's response.
- b. Within fifteen (15) school days of receipt of the bargaining unit member's appeal, the Superintendent or designee will conduct a hearing lasting no more than one day, at which the bargaining unit member (and representative at the option of the member) and the evaluator (and representative at the option of the evaluator) will be allowed to present oral arguments in support of the appeal and the response, respectively.
- c. Within fifteen (15) school days of the Superintendent hearing, the Superintendent or designee will issue a written determination to the bargaining unit member, the Teacher's Association President and the evaluator.

The entire appeals record will be part of the teacher's APPR.

The district assures that the appeals process will be timely and expeditious in compliance with Education Law 3012c.

6.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

All Lead Evaluators/Evaluators will receive ongoing training by our Cattaraugus/Allegany BOCES Network Team and also receive training by certified NYSUT Lead Evaluator Trainers. Specific attention will be given to ensure inter-rater reliability. Throughout the school year, Lead Evaluators will continue to seek professional development opportunities from our network team, as well as collaborating together in our Administrative Learning Team to strengthen our effectiveness as evaluators and our inter-rater reliability, prior to re-certification. The Franklinville Central School District's Board of Education will certify and recertify the Lead Evaluators at the yearly July reorganization board meeting, based on the Superintendent's recommendation.

The training will include the following requirements for evaluators/lead evaluators:

1. NYS Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators or ISLLC standards and their related functions;
2. Evidence-based observation techniques grounded in research;
3. Application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model;

4. Application and use of approved teacher or principal practice rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher's or principal's practice;
5. Application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.;
6. Application and use of any State-approved locally-selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals;
7. Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System;
8. Scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principals' overall rating and their subcomponent ratings; and
100
9. Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities.

6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

• Checked

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

| | |
|---|---------|
| 6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured. | Checked |
| 6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured. | Checked |
| 6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later. | Checked |
| 6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions. | Checked |
| 6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process. | Checked |
| 6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal. | Checked |

6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

| | |
|---|---------|
| 6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner. | Checked |
| 6.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them. | Checked |
| 6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements. | Checked |

7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created Wednesday, September 26, 2012

Updated Wednesday, December 05, 2012

Page 1

7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

| |
|---------------|
| PK - 6 |
| 7 - 12 |
| (No response) |

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

| | |
|--|---------|
| 7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable | Checked |
| 7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13 | Checked |

7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessment covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. District-determined assessments from the options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the school or program type this SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Please remember that State assessments must be used with SLOs if applicable to the school or program type.

| School or Program Type | SLO with Assessment Option | Name of the Assessment |
|------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below. | (No response) |
| Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). | (No response) |
| Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). | (No response) |
| Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). | (No response) |
| Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). | (No response) |

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which

include: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

n/a

7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

| | |
|--|---------|
| 7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures. | Checked |
| 7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws. | Checked |
| 7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized. | Checked |
| 7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html . | Checked |
| 7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction. | Checked |
| 7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range. | Checked |
| 7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms. | Checked |

8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created Wednesday, September 26, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 21, 2013

Page 1

Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8
- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)

(h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

| Grade Configuration | Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures | Assessment |
|---------------------|---|--|
| PK-6 | (d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation | NYS 3, 4, 5 & 6th grade ELA assessments and NYS 3,4,5, and 6th grade Math assessments |
| 7-12 | (d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation | For 7th & 8th grade: NYS ELA, grade 7 & 8 assessment and NYS Math, grades 7 & 8 assessment, For grades 9-12 NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment & NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.</p> | <p>The local measure for Principals shall be calculated as follows: Elementary Principal (Grades K-6)/Group Metrics - $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).</p> <p>Grades 7 & 8/Group Metrics - The local measure for the Middle School Principal shall be calculated as follows: $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be</p> |
|--|--|

divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).

High School Principal (Grades 7-12) Group Metrics -
The local measure for teachers shall be calculated as follows:
 $100 \times [(Count\ of\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ of\ students\ performing\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$. This will be calculated separately for Math and ELA and will be summed together to yield a number out of a maximum of 400. That number will be divided by 400 which will yield a percentage in the form of a decimal between zero and 1. That percentage will be multiplied times 20 (or 15 when VA is implemented) and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, < .5 rounds down).

Scores on Regents exams will convert to a 1-4 scale as follows:

- 1 = 0-54
- 2 = 55-64
- 3 = 65-84
- 4 = 85-100

To create a weighted average for the Middle School and High School scores for which the Middle/High School principal is responsible for the following must occur as a weighted average.
Middle School Score multiplied by .333 (or 1/3)
High School Score multiplied by .666 (or 2/3)

These totals will be added to create a sum total and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, <.5 rounds down).

Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 3-6 ELA and 3-6 Math, 7 & 8 the grade ELA and math assessment, and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment, or NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment. The Principals receiving a target score of 14 or 15 receive a HEDI score in the highly effective range.

Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District's adopted expectations for achievement for Grades 3-6 ELA and 3-6 Math, NYS 7 & 8 grade ELA or Math, and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents assessment and NYS Integrated Algebra Regents assessment. Principals receiving a target score of between 8 - 13 receive a HEDI score of effective.

Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

.Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 3-6 ELA and 3-6 Math. NYS ELA and math grades 7 & 8, and NYS Comprehensive ELA Regents, and NYS Integrated Algebra, Regents assessment. Principals reaching a target score of between 3 - 7 receive a HEDI score of developing

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District's adopted expectations for achievement for grades 3-6 ELA and 3-6 Math, NYS ELA and math grades 7 & 8, or NYS

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

(a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)

(b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)

(c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

(d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations

(e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)

(h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State

Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

| Grade Configuration | Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures | Assessment |
|---------------------|---|------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below. | (No response) |
| Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | (No response) |
| Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | (No response) |
| Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | (No response) |
| Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject. | (No response) |

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

n/a

8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

To create a weighted average for the Middle School and High School scores for which the Middle/High School principal is responsible for the following must occur as a weighted average.

Middle School Score multiplied by .333 (or 1/3)

High School Score multiplied by .666 (or 2/3)

These totals will be added to create a sum total and rounded to the nearest whole number (> or equal to .5 rounds up, <.5 rounds down).

8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

| | |
|---|-------|
| 8.5) Assurances Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent | Check |
| 8.5) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws. | Check |
| 8.5) Assurances Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded. | Check |
| 8.5) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized. | Check |
| 8.5) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction. | Check |
| 8.5) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent. | Check |
| 8.5) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district. | Check |
| 8.5) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. | Check |
| 8.5) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent. | Check |

9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created Wednesday, September 26, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 21, 2013

Page 1

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric

(No response)

9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the points assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

| | |
|---|----|
| Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points] | 60 |
|---|----|

| | |
|--|---|
| Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents. | 0 |
|--|---|

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 9.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below (if applicable):

| | |
|--|---------------|
| 9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric. | (No response) |
| 9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance). | (No response) |

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

| | |
|---|---------------|
| 9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool | (No response) |
| 9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool | (No response) |
| 9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool | (No response) |
| 9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) School visits by other trained evaluators | (No response) |
| 9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source) | (No response) |

9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is posted, this form will be updated with dropdown menus of approved survey tools.

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey for Teachers | (No response) |
| K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 3-5) for Principal Evaluation in New York | (No response) |
| K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 6-12) for Principal Evaluation in New York | (No response) |
| K12 Insight Parent Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York | (No response) |
| K12 Insight Teacher/Staff Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York | (No response) |
| District variance | (No response) |
| Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Parent Survey) | (No response) |
| Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Student Surveys) | (No response) |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| NYC School Survey-2012 Parent Survey | (No response) |
| NYC School Survey-2012 Student Survey | (No response) |
| NYC School Survey-2012 Teacher Survey | (No response) |

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

| | |
|---|---------|
| 9.6) Assurances Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year. | Checked |
| 9.6) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction | Checked |
| 9.6) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent. | Checked |
| 9.6) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES. | Checked |

9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Principals will be evaluated based on evidence observed during school visits using the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric (MPPR). In order to determine their score (0-60), based on evidence collected across multiple school visits, the lead evaluator will assign a score of 1 to 4 for subcomponents within the six domains of the rubric. Once all Standards are scored, they will be averaged together and rounded to the nearest hundredth resulting in an overall rubric score of 1-4. The overall score will then convert to a 0-60 HEDI score using the uploaded conversion chart in task 9.7. For the purposes of scoring the rubric, HEDI rating categories will convert to numerical values as follows:

Highly Effective - 4
 Effective - 3
 Developing - 2
 Ineffective - 1

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5143/182456-pMADJ4gk6R/APPR - Principal Scale.doc

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

| | |
|--|-------|
| Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards. | 59-60 |
| Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards. | 57-58 |
| Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards. | 50-56 |

Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.

0-49

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

| | |
|------------------|-------|
| Highly Effective | 59-60 |
| Effective | 57-58 |
| Developing | 50-56 |
| Ineffective | 0-49 |

9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

Probationary Principals

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| By supervisor | 2 |
| By trained administrator | 0 |
| By trained independent evaluator | 0 |
| Enter Total | 2 |

Tenured Principals

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| By supervisor | 2 |
| By trained administrator | 0 |
| By trained independent evaluator | 0 |
| Enter Total | 2 |

10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Thursday, September 27, 2012

Updated Monday, July 15, 2013

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (60 points)

Overall Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

| | |
|------------------|-------|
| Highly Effective | 59-60 |
| Effective | 57-58 |
| Developing | 50-56 |
| Ineffective | 0-49 |

10.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

**Other Measures of Effectiveness
(60 points)**

**Overall
Composite Score**

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created Friday, May 11, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 21, 2013

Page 1

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

| | |
|--|---------|
| 11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year | Checked |
| 11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas | Checked |

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in your school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

[assets/survey-uploads/5276/128519-Df0w3Xx5v6/Principal Improvement Plan.dot](#)

11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Component Five: Appeal Process

A. A principal who receives a "Developing" or "Ineffective" rating on his/her APPR shall be entitled to appeal this rating. This appeal must be done in written form and submitted to the Superintendent of Schools who has been trained in accordance with the requirements of the statute and regulations. An evaluation shall not be placed in a principal's personnel file until either the expiration of a thirty (30) business day period during which an appeal could be filed by the principal or conclusion of the appeal process described herein, whichever is later.

B. The principal must submit a written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his/her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his/her improvement plan. The district upon written request must provide any additional written documents or materials relevant to the appeal for the same. The performance review and/or improvement plan being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal. Any information not submitted at the time the appeal is filed shall not be considered. These concerns are limited to those matters that may be appealed as prescribed in Section §3012-c of Education Law:

- a. Substance of evaluation
- b. Adherence to the standards and methods
- c. Adherence to Commissioner's Regulations
- d. Compliance with negotiated procedure
- e. Issuance and/or compliance with terms or an improvement plan

C. A principal may not file more than one appeal on the same evaluation.

D. The burden shall be on the principal appealing the rating of "Developing" or "Ineffective".

E. An appeal must be filed in writing within thirty (30) calendar days of the presentation of the document (yearly evaluation and/or improvement plan) to the principal or the right to appeal shall be deemed as waived in all regards.

F. An appeal Panel will consist of:

1 District Office Administrator

1 Building Level Administrator of Appellant's choice

1 Outside panelist of the Appellant's choice – from a mutually agreed upon list of candidates at District's expense if required. The cost is not to exceed \$350.

G. The Superintendent or designee will respond to the appeal with a written response acknowledging the appeal and directing further administrative action. This correspondence will be made within fifteen (15) calendar days of the receipt of the appeal. The response will include all additional documents or written materials relevant to the point(s) of disagreement that support the district's response. Any such information that is not submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered on behalf of the district in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal.

H. The Appeal Panel and appellant will meet within ten (10) calendar days of the written response to review the appeal and either modify the principal evaluation rating or deny the appeal. The appeal hearing shall be conducted in no more than one business day unless extenuating circumstances are present and all parties agree to a second day. The principal shall have the prerogative to determine whether the appeal shall be open to the public or not.

I. The principal shall have the opportunity to present his/her case which may include the representation of witnesses and/or affidavits in lieu of testimony, and then the school district may refute the presentation. If the school district does present a case the principal will have the right to present a rebuttal case.

J. A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than ten (10) calendar days from the close of the hearing. The appeal shall be based on a written record, comprised of the principal's appeal papers and any documentary evidence accompanying the appeal, as well as the school district's response to the appeal and additional documentary evidence submitted with such papers. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the principal's appeal. If the appeal is sustained, the reviewer may set aside or modify a rating. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the principal, the Superintendent and all members of the Appeal Panel.

11.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

Lead Evaluator/Evaluator Training

All Lead Evaluators/Evaluators will receive ongoing training by our Cattaraugus/Allegany BOCES Network Team and also receive training by certified NYSUT Lead Evaluator Trainers. Specific attention will be given to ensure inter-rater reliability. Throughout the school year, Lead Evaluators will continue to seek professional development opportunities from our network team, as well as collaborating together in our Administrative Learning Team to strengthen our effectiveness as evaluators and our inter-rater reliability, prior to re-certification. The Franklinville Central School District's Board of Education will certify and recertify the Lead Evaluators at the yearly July reorganization board meeting, based on the Superintendent's recommendation.

The negotiated agreement between Franklinville Central School District and the Franklinville Administrative Team:

1. The immediate supervisor who participates in the evaluation of principal for the purpose of determining an APPR rating shall be fully trained and/or certified as required by Education Law 3012-c and the implementing Regulations of the Commissioner of Education prior to conducting a principal evaluation. "Lead evaluator" is the administrator who is primarily responsible for a principal's APPR composite score rating under Chapter 103.
2. The Board of Education will certify lead evaluators upon recommendation of the Superintendent and proper receipt of documentation that the individual has fully completed training. The district will maintain records of certification of evaluators.
3. The District will establish a process to maintain inter-rater reliability over time in accordance with NYSED guidance and protocols recommended in training for lead evaluators.
4. The training will include the following requirements for evaluators/lead evaluators:
 1. NYS Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators or ISLLC standards and their related functions;
 2. Evidence-based observation techniques grounded in research;
 3. Application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model;
 4. Application and use of approved teacher or principal practice rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher's or principal's practice;
 5. Application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.;
 6. Application and use of any State-approved locally-selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals;
 7. Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System;
 8. Scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principals' overall rating and their subcomponent ratings; and 100
 9. Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities.

11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

• Checked

11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

| | |
|--|---------|
| 11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured. | Checked |
| 11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured. | Checked |
| 11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later. | Checked |
| 11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions. | Checked |
| 11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process. | Checked |
| 11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal. | Checked |

11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

| | |
|--|---------|
| 11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner. | Checked |
| 11.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them. | Checked |
| 11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements. | Checked |

12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created Wednesday, September 26, 2012

Updated Thursday, August 22, 2013

Page 1

12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form

[assets/survey-uploads/5581/182439-3Uqgn5g9Iu/APPR District Certification Form 08-22-13.pdf](#)

File types supported for uploads

PDF (preferred)

Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)

Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)

Open Office (.odt, .ott)

Images (.jpg, .gif)

Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

| Highly Effective 59 - 60 Points | | Effective 57 - 58 | | Developing 50 - 56 Points | | Ineffective 0 - 49 Points | |
|--|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| 4 | 60 points | 3.4 | 58 points | 2.4 | 56 points | 1.4 | 49 points |
| 3.9 | 60 points | 3.3 | 58 points | 2.3 | 56 points | 1.39 to 1.395 | 48 points |
| 3.8 | 59 points | 3.2 | 58 points | 2.2 | 55 points | 1.394 to 1.385 | 47 points |
| 3.7 | 59 points | 3.1 | 58 points | 2.1 | 54 points | 1.384 to 1.375 | 46 points |
| 3.6 | 59 points | 3.0 | 58 points | 2.0 | 54 points | 1.374 to 1.365 | 45 points |
| 3.5 | 59 points | 2.9 | 57 points | 1.9 | 53 points | 1.364 to 1.355 | 44 points |
| | | 2.8 | 57 points | 1.8 | 52 points | 1.354 to 1.345 | 43 points |
| | | 2.7 | 57 points | 1.7 | 51 points | 1.344 to 1.335 | 42 points |
| | | 2.6 | 57 points | 1.6 | 50 points | 1.334 to 1.325 | 41 points |
| | | 2.5 | 57 points | 1.5 | 50 points | 1.324 to 1.315 | 40 points |
| | | | | | | 1.30 | 39 points |
| | | | | | | 1.29 | 38 points |
| | | | | | | 1.28 | 37 points |
| | | | | | | 1.27 | 36 points |
| | | | | | | 1.26 | 35 points |
| | | | | | | 1.25 | 34 points |
| | | | | | | 1.24 | 33 points |
| | | | | | | 1.23 | 32 points |
| | | | | | | 1.22 | 31 points |
| | | | | | | 1.21 | 30 points |
| | | | | | | 1.20 | 29 points |
| | | | | | | 1.19 | 28 points |
| | | | | | | 1.18 | 27 points |
| | | | | | | 1.17 | 26 points |
| | | | | | | 1.16 | 25 points |
| | | | | | | 1.15 | 24 points |
| | | | | | | 1.14 | 23 points |
| | | | | | | 1.13 | 22 points |
| | | | | | | 1.12 | 21 points |
| | | | | | | 1.11 | 20 points |
| | | | | | | 1.10 | 19 points |
| | | | | | | 1.09 | 18 points |
| | | | | | | 1.08 | 17 points |
| | | | | | | 1.07 | 16 points |
| | | | | | | 1.06 | 15 points |
| | | | | | | 1.05 | 14 points |
| | | | | | | 1.04 | 13 points |
| | | | | | | 1.03 | 12 points |
| | | | | | | 1.02 | 11 points |
| | | | | | | 1.01 | 10 points |
| | | | | | | 1.009 | 9 points |
| | | | | | | 1.008 | 8 points |
| | | | | | | 1.007 | 7 points |
| | | | | | | 1.006 | 6 points |
| | | | | | | 1.005 | 5 points |
| | | | | | | 1.004 | 4 points |
| | | | | | | 1.003 | 3 points |
| | | | | | | 1.002 | 2 points |
| | | | | | | 1.001 | 1 point |
| | | | | | | 0 | 0 points |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|-------|-------|------------------|----|----|----|-----------|----|----|----|----|-------------------|----|----|----|----|--------------------|----|----|------|
| Target(s) | <i>What is the expected outcome (target) of students' level of knowledge of the learning content at the end of the instructional period?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HEDI Scoring | <i>How will evaluators determine what range of student performance "meets" the goal (effective) versus "well-below" (ineffective), "below" (developing), and "well-above" (highly effective)?</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | HIGHLY EFFECTIVE | | | EFFECTIVE | | | | | | | | | DEVELOPING | | | | | INEFFECTIVE | | | |
| | 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | <u>13</u> | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | 92-100 | 89-91 | 85-88 | 84 | 83 | 82 | 81 | 80 | 79 | 78 | 77 | 76 | 75 | 74 | 73 | 72 | 71 | 70 | 69 | 68 | 0-67 |
| Rationale | <i>Describe the reasoning behind the choices regarding learning content, evidence, and target and how they will be used together to prepare students for future growth and development in subsequent grades/courses, as well as college and career readiness.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

New York State Student Learning Objective Template

All SLOs MUST include the following basic components:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Population | <i>These are the students assigned to the course section(s) in this SLO - all students who are assigned to the course section(s) must be included in the SLO. (Full class rosters of all students must be provided for all included course sections.)</i> |
| Learning Content | <i>What is being taught over the instructional period covered? Common Core/National/State standards? Will this goal apply to all standards applicable to a course or just to specific priority standards?</i> |
| Interval of Instructional Time | <i>What is the instructional period covered (if not a year, rationale for semester/quarter/etc)?</i> |
| Evidence | <i>What specific assessment(s) will be used to measure this goal? The assessment must align to the learning content of the course.</i> |
| Baseline | <i>What is the starting level of students' knowledge of the learning content at the beginning of the instructional period?</i> |

Franklinville Central School

Teacher Improvement Plan

Name: _____

School Building/Position _____

Academic Year _____

Deficiency that promulgated the “developing” or “ineffective” performance rating:

Improvement Goal(s)

Action Steps/Activities

Timeline for completion:

Required and Accessible Resources (including responsibility for provision)

Date(s) for formative evaluation on progress (lead evaluator and teacher initial each date to confirm the meeting):

December _____

March _____

Other if needed _____

Evidence of Goal Achievement:

Administrator Signature/Date:

Superintendent Signature/Date:

| Highly Effective 59 - 60 Points | | Effective 57 - 58 | | Developing 50 - 56 Points | | Ineffective 0 - 49 Points | |
|--|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| 4 | 60 points | 3.4 | 58 points | 2.4 | 56 points | 1.4 | 49 points |
| 3.9 | 60 points | 3.3 | 58 points | 2.3 | 56 points | 1.39 to 1.395 | 48 points |
| 3.8 | 59 points | 3.2 | 58 points | 2.2 | 55 points | 1.394 to 1.385 | 47 points |
| 3.7 | 59 points | 3.1 | 58 points | 2.1 | 54 points | 1.384 to 1.375 | 46 points |
| 3.6 | 59 points | 3.0 | 58 points | 2.0 | 54 points | 1.374 to 1.365 | 45 points |
| 3.5 | 59 points | 2.9 | 57 points | 1.9 | 53 points | 1.364 to 1.355 | 44 points |
| | | 2.8 | 57 points | 1.8 | 52 points | 1.354 to 1.345 | 43 points |
| | | 2.7 | 57 points | 1.7 | 51 points | 1.344 to 1.335 | 42 points |
| | | 2.6 | 57 points | 1.6 | 50 points | 1.334 to 1.325 | 41 points |
| | | 2.5 | 57 points | 1.5 | 50 points | 1.324 to 1.315 | 40 points |
| | | | | | | 1.30 | 39 points |
| | | | | | | 1.29 | 38 points |
| | | | | | | 1.28 | 37 points |
| | | | | | | 1.27 | 36 points |
| | | | | | | 1.26 | 35 points |
| | | | | | | 1.25 | 34 points |
| | | | | | | 1.24 | 33 points |
| | | | | | | 1.23 | 32 points |
| | | | | | | 1.22 | 31 points |
| | | | | | | 1.21 | 30 points |
| | | | | | | 1.20 | 29 points |
| | | | | | | 1.19 | 28 points |
| | | | | | | 1.18 | 27 points |
| | | | | | | 1.17 | 26 points |
| | | | | | | 1.16 | 25 points |
| | | | | | | 1.15 | 24 points |
| | | | | | | 1.14 | 23 points |
| | | | | | | 1.13 | 22 points |
| | | | | | | 1.12 | 21 points |
| | | | | | | 1.11 | 20 points |
| | | | | | | 1.10 | 19 points |
| | | | | | | 1.09 | 18 points |
| | | | | | | 1.08 | 17 points |
| | | | | | | 1.07 | 16 points |
| | | | | | | 1.06 | 15 points |
| | | | | | | 1.05 | 14 points |
| | | | | | | 1.04 | 13 points |
| | | | | | | 1.03 | 12 points |
| | | | | | | 1.02 | 11 points |
| | | | | | | 1.01 | 10 points |
| | | | | | | 1.009 | 9 points |
| | | | | | | 1.008 | 8 points |
| | | | | | | 1.007 | 7 points |
| | | | | | | 1.006 | 6 points |
| | | | | | | 1.005 | 5 points |
| | | | | | | 1.004 | 4 points |
| | | | | | | 1.003 | 3 points |
| | | | | | | 1.002 | 2 points |
| | | | | | | 1.001 | 1 point |
| | | | | | | 0 | 0 points |



**TEN BROECK ACADEMY &
FRANKLINVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL**

31 NORTH MAIN STREET ♦ FRANKLINVILLE, NEW YORK 14737
FAX: (716) 676-8041

Principal Improvement Plan

Upon rating a principal as ineffective or developing, an improvement plan designed to rectify perceived or demonstrated deficiencies must be developed and commenced not after than ten (10) days after the start of a school year. The Superintendent or designee, in conjunction with the principal and possible a colleague of choice must develop an improvement plan that contains:

Deficiencies:

Goals and outcomes:

Action steps and activities:

Resources:

If more than one (1) deficiency, please duplicate the above information for each deficiency on a separate sheet of paper.



TEN BROECK ACADEMY & FRANKLINVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL

31 NORTH MAIN STREET ♦ FRANKLINVILLE, NEW YORK 14737
FAX: (716) 676-8041

Evaluation Process

Meetings will be strategically scheduled throughout the year to assess progress, documenting a formative evaluation process. These meeting shall occur at least twice during the year, the first meeting shall be between December 1st and December 15th; and the second meeting between March 1st and March 15th. A written summary of feedback on progress shall be given within five (5) business days of each meeting.

First Meeting

Summary of feedback and improvement efforts:

Evidence:

Principal signature: _____ Date: _____

Superintendent signature: _____ Date: _____

Second Meeting

Summary of feedback and improvement efforts:

Evidence:

Principal signature: _____ Date: _____

Superintendent signature: _____ Date: _____

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a TIP or PIP plan, in accordance with the regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with the regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal
- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)

- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the regulation and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- If this APPR Plan is being submitted subsequent to July 1, 2012, assure that this was the result of unresolved collective bargaining negotiations

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date:

Michelle Fusco 8/22/13

Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

Dunklee 8/22/13

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

Spallett 8/22/13

Board of Education President Signature: Date:

Richard O. Wray 8/22/13