



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Acting Commissioner of Education
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

June 3, 2015

Revised

Dr. Marie Wiles, Superintendent
Guilderland Central School District
8 School Road, P.O. Box 18
Guilderland Center, NY 12085-0018

Dear Superintendent Wiles:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth R. Berlin
Acting Commissioner

Attachment

c: Charles Dedrick

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Reviews

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Friday, September 19, 2014

1

Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

1.1) School District's BEDS Number : 010802060000

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

010802060000

1.2) School District Name: GUILDERLAND CSD

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

GUILDERLAND CSD

1.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.3) Assurances Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.3) Assurances Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.3) Assurances Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

1.4) Submission Status

For districts, BOCES, or charter schools that did not have an approved APPR plan in the previous school year, is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan? For districts, BOCES, or charter schools that did have an approved APPR plan for the previous school year, this must be listed as a submission of material changes to the approved APPR plan.

Submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan

2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 05/05/2015

For guidance on the State Growth or Comparable Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections D, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

(25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided measures, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved.	Checked

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For core subjects: grade 8 Science, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- State assessments, *required if one exists*
- List of State-approved 3rd party assessments
- District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: "[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment." For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: "GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment."

2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	ELA	Assessment
K	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	4th and 5th Grade New York State ELA Assessment
1	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	4th and 5th Grade New York State ELA Assessment
2	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	4th and 5th Grade New York State ELA Assessment

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>For grades K-2: The district will utilize the State-provided growth scores for ELA in grades 4 and 5. The HEDI score provided for each grade/subject will be weighted proportionately based on the number of students and combined to result in a final HEDI score for the applicable teachers. When the value-added model is implemented, the 25-20 conversion chart in Task 2.11 will be utilized.</p> <p>For Grade 3 teachers: The teacher, in collaboration with the principal, will establish class-wide growth targets using preassessment baseline data received by the Guilderland CSD developed grade level ELA assessment. The principal will approve the growth target. Based on overall percentage of students who meet growth targets, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using uploaded 20 point conversion chart.</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.

2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Math	Assessment
K	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	4th and 5th Grade New York State Math Assessment
1	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	4th and 5th Grade New York State Math Assessment
2	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	4th and 5th Grade New York State Math Assessment

	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	For grades K-2: The district will utilize the State-provided growth scores for Math in grades 4 and 5. The HEDI score provided for each grade/subject will be weighted proportionately based on the number of students and combined to result in a final HEDI score for the applicable teachers. When the value-added model is implemented, the 25-20 conversion chart in Task 2.11 will be utilized. For Grade 3 teachers: The teacher, in collaboration with the principal, will establish class-wide growth targets using pre-assessment baseline data received by the Guilderland CSD developed grade level math assessment. The principal will approve the growth target. Based on overall percentage of students who meet growth targets, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using uploaded 20 point conversion chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------

2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	6, 7 and 8th Grade New York State ELA and Math Assessments
7	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	6, 7 and 8th Grade New York State ELA and Math Assessments

	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	For grades 6 and 7: The district will utilize the State-provided growth scores for ELA and math in grades 6 through 8. The HEDI score provided for each grade/subject will be weighted proportionately based on the number of students and combined to result in a final HEDI score for the applicable teachers. When the value-added model is implemented, the 25-20 conversion chart in Task 2.11 will be utilized. For Grade 8 teachers: The teacher, in collaboration with the principal, will establish class-wide growth targets using pre-assessment baseline data received by the Guilderland CSD developed grade level science assessment. The principal will approve the growth target. Based on overall percentage of students who meet growth targets, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using uploaded 20 point conversion chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.

2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	6, 7 and 8th Grade New York State ELA and Math Assessments

7	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	6, 7 and 8th Grade New York State ELA and Math Assessments
8	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	6, 7 and 8th Grade New York State ELA and Math Assessments

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	For grades 6 through 8: The district will utilize the State-provided growth scores for ELA and Math in grades 6 through 8. The HEDI score provided for each grade/subject will be weighted proportionately based on the number of students and combined to result in a final HEDI score for the applicable teachers. When the value-added model is implemented, the 25-20 conversion chart in Task 2.11 will be utilized.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.

2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

		Assessment
Global 1	School-/BOCES-wide group/team results based on State assessments	Grades 9-12 New York State Regents Exams: Common Core/Integrated Algebra 1, Comprehensive English, Common Core/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Algebra II/Trigonometry, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History

	Social Studies Regents Courses	Assessment
Global 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.</p>	<p>Teachers, in collaboration with the principal, will establish course-wide growth targets using pre-assessment baseline data received by the Guilderland CSD developed social studies assessments in Global 2 and American History. Based on overall percentage of students who meet growth targets based on the Regents exam in Global 2 and and the regents exam American History, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using uploaded 20 point conversion chart. Teachers of Global 1, in collaboration with the principal, will establish course-wide growth targets using pre-assessment baseline data received by the Guilderland CSD developed assessments across all Regents level courses (Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra II/Trig, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry, Comprehensive English, Global 2, US History, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, Living Environment). Based on the overall percentage of students school-wide who meet growth targets on Regents exams, a corresponding HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point conversion chart. The principal will approve all growth targets. Where applicable the Integrated/Common Core Algebra and 2005 Standards/Common Core Geometry Regents will be administered. The higher of the two scores will be used. When the Integrated Algebra/2005 Standards Geometry Regents are no longer allowable, only the Common Core assessments will be administered. In addition, the Comprehensive English Regents will be administered, and starting in the 2015-16 school year, only the Common Core English Regents will be administered.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.</p>

2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Physics	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Teachers, in collaboration with the principal, will establish course-wide growth targets using pre-assessment baseline data received by the Guilderland CSD developed grade level science assessment. The principal will approve the growth target. Based on overall percentage of students who meet growth targets based on Regents exam performance, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using uploaded 20 point conversion chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.

2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

NOTE: For Algebra 1 and Geometry, please specify whether your district will be offering the 2005 Learning Standards version of the assessment in addition to the Common Core version, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Teachers, in collaboration with the principal, will establish course-wide growth targets using pre-assessment baseline data received by the Guilderland CSD developed grade level math assessment. The principal will approve the growth target. Based on overall percentage of students who meet growth targets based on Regents exam performance, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using uploaded 20 point conversion chart. Where applicable the Integrated/Common Core Algebra and 2005 Standards/Common Core Geometry Regents will be administered. The higher of the two scores will be used. When the Integrated Algebra/2005 Standards Geometry Regents are no longer allowable, only the Common Core assessments will be administered.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------

2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	School-/BOCES-wide group/team results based on State assessments	Grades 9-12 New York State Regents Exams: Common Core/Integrated Algebra 1, Comprehensive English, Common Core/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Algebra II/Trigonometry, Living Environment, Chemistry, Earth Science, Physics, US History, Global History
Grade 10 ELA	School-/BOCES-wide group/team results based on State assessments	Grades 9-12 New York State Regents Exams: Common Core/Integrated Algebra 1, Comprehensive English, Common Core/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Algebra II/Trigonometry, Living Environment, Chemistry, Earth Science, Physics, US History, Global History
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	NYS Comprehensive English Regents

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

NOTE: For Grade 11 ELA, please specify whether your district will be offering the Comprehensive English Regents in addition to the Common Core English Regents, or just the latter, how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.</p>	<p>Teachers, in collaboration with the principal, will establish course-wide growth targets using pre-assessment baseline data received by the Guilderland CSD developed grade 11 English assessments. Based on overall percentage of students who meet course wide growth targets based on the Regents exam, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using uploaded 20 point conversion chart. Teachers of Grades 9 and 10 ELA, in collaboration with the principal, will establish course-wide growth targets using pre-assessment baseline data received by the Guilderland CSD developed assessments across all Regents level courses (Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra II/Trig, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry, Comprehensive English, Global 2, US History, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, Living Environment). The principal will approve the growth target. Based on the overall percentage of students, school wide who meet growth targets on Regents exams, a corresponding HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point conversion chart.</p> <p>Where applicable the Integrated/Common Core Algebra and 2005 Standards/Common Core Geometry Regents will be administered. The higher of the two scores will be used. When the Integrated Algebra/2005 Standards Geometry Regents are no longer allowable, only the Common Core assessments will be administered. In addition, the Comprehensive English Regents will be administered, and starting in the 2015-16 school year, only the Common Core English Regents will be administered.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.</p>

2.10) All Other Courses

Fill in, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have Student Learning Objectives. If you need additional space, duplicate this form and upload (below) as an attachment to your APPR plan. You may combine into one line any groups of teachers for whom the answers in the boxes are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above". Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, the 2nd drop-down option applies to grades 3 and above and the 5th drop-down option applies to grades K-2.

	Course(s) or Subject(s)	Option	Assessment
	K-5 Art	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Guilderland CSD developed grades K- 5 Art assessments
	K-12 ESL	State Assessment	NYSESLAT
	K-5 Reading	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	Grades 4 and 5 New York State ELA and Math Assessments
	K-5 AIS Math	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	Grades 4 and 5 New York State Math Assessments
	6-8 Reading	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	Grades 6-8 New York State ELA Assessments

	6-8 AIS Math	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	Grades 6-8 New York State Math Assessments
	9-12 Reading	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	New York State Comprehensive Regents in ELA, US History and Global History
	4-8 Self- Contained Special Education	State Assessment	Grade Specific NYS Assessments in ELA and Math
	K-5 Physical Education Teachers	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Guilderland CSD developed grade level Physical Education assessment
	All other 6-8 Teachers	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	Grades 6-8 New York State Assessments in ELA and Math
	All other 9-12 Teachers	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	Grades 9-12 New York State Regents Exams: Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Comprehensive English, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Algebra II/Trigonometry, Living Environment, Chemistry Physics, US History, Global History
	All other K-5 Teachers	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	Grades 4 and 5 New York State Assessments in ELA and Math
	Grades 4 through 8 ELA and Math Teachers not receiving a state provided growth score	State Assessment	New York State ELA/Math Assessments

For all other courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.</p>	<p>For grades K-8 utilizing school-wide measures: The district will utilize the building-wide State-provided growth scores for the applicable teachers. The HEDI score provided for each grade/subject will be weighted proportionately based on the number of students and combined to result in a final HEDI score for the applicable teachers. When the value-added model is implemented, the 25-20 conversion chart in Task 2.11 will be utilized.</p> <p>For grades 9-12 utilizing school-wide measures: Teachers, in collaboration with the principal, will establish individual student growth targets using pre-assessment baseline data. The principal will approve the growth target. Based on the overall percentage of students school-wide who meet growth targets on Regents exams, a corresponding HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point conversion chart.</p> <p>For all other courses, teachers, in collaboration with the principal, will establish class-wide growth targets using pre-assessment baseline data. The principal will approve the growth target. Based on the overall percentage of students who meet growth targets, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using uploaded 20 point conversion chart.</p> <p>Where applicable the Integrated/Common Core Algebra and 2005 Standards/Common Core Geometry Regents will be administered. The higher of the two scores will be used. When the Integrated Algebra/2005 Standards Geometry Regents are no longer allowable, only the Common Core assessments will be administered. In addition, the Comprehensive English Regents will be administered, and starting in the 2015-16 school year, only the Common Core English Regents will be administered.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>See uploaded conversion chart from 2.11.</p>

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12186/590264-TXEttx9bQW/HEDI%202.11.pdf>

2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used assigning points to a teacher's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are the following: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, and students in poverty.

Not Applicable

2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document).	Checked
Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Checked

3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 04/02/2015

For guidance on the Locally Selected Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections E, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers. Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment. Additionally, please provide a brief explanation in the HEDI general description box of why you have listed the grade/course as "Not Applicable" (e.g., district/BOCES does not offer this grade/subject; common branch teacher).

Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: "[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment." For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: "GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment."

NOTE: If your district/BOCES is using the same assessment for both the State growth and other comparable measures subcomponent and the locally-selected measures subcomponent, be sure that a *different* measure of student performance is being used with the assessment (e.g., achievement rather than growth; growth measured in a different manner).

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment
- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
 - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 3-5 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
5	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 3-5 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, Math and Science Assessments

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: When completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	Based on the overall percentage of students school-wide, who score a level 2 or higher on NYS ELA, Math and Science assessments, a corresponding 0-15 HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 15 point conversion chart in 3.3. Until such time as the Value-Added model is implemented, the HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point conversion chart in 3.13.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded attachments for 15 point in 3.3 and 20 point conversion charts in 3.13
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded attachments for 15 point in 3.3 and 20 point conversion charts in 3.13
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded attachments for 15 point in 3.3 and 20 point conversion charts in 3.13
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded attachments for 15 point in 3.3 and 20 point conversion charts in 3.13

3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 3-5 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
5	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 3-5 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, math and science Assessments
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, math and science Assessments
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA, math and science Assessments

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	Based on the overall percentage of students, school-wide, who score a level 2 or higher on NYS ELA, Math and Science assessments, a corresponding 0-15 HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 15 point conversion chart in 3.3. Until such time as the Value-Added model is implemented, the HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point conversion chart in 3.13.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded attachments for 15 point 3.3 and 20 point conversion charts in 3.13
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded attachments for 15 point in 3.3 and 20 point conversion charts in 3.13

Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded attachments for 15 point in 3.3 and 20 point conversion charts in 3.13
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded attachments for 15 point in 3.3 and 20 point conversion charts in 3.13

3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12149/590265-rhJdBgDruP/Guilderland Central School District Chart 15 point 3.3.pdf>

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment
- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
 - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 3-5 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
1	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 3-5 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
2	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 3-5 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
3	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 3-5 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Based on the percentage of 3-5 students school-wide who score 2 or above on NYS ELA, Math and Science assessments, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point conversion chart in 3.13.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13

3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 3-5 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments

1	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 3-5 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
2	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 3-5 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
3	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 3-5 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Based on the percentage of 3-5 students school-wide who score 2 or above on NYS ELA, Math and Science assessments, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point conversion chart in 3.13.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13

3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 6-8 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 6-8 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 6-8 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Based on the percentage of 6-8 students school-wide who score 2 or above on NYS ELA, Math and Science assessments, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point conversion chart in 3.13.
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13

3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 6-8 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 6-8 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 6-8 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Based on the percentage of 6-8 students school-wide who score 2 or above on NYS ELA, Math and Science assessments, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point conversion chart in 3.13.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13

3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History
Global 2	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History
American History	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Based on the percentage of students in the school who score 65 or above on NYS Regents Exams noted above, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point conversion chart in 3.13. Where applicable the Integrated/Common Core Algebra and 2005 Standards/Common Core Geometry Regents will be administered. The higher of the two scores will be used. When the Integrated Algebra/2005 Standards Geometry Regents are no longer allowable, only the Common Core assessments will be administered. In addition, the Comprehensive English Regents will be administered, and starting in the 2015-16 school year, only the Common Core English Regents will be administered.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13

3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History
Earth Science	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History
Chemistry	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History
Physics	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Based on the percentage of students in the school who score 65 or above on NYS Regents Exams noted above, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point conversion chart in 3.13. Where applicable the Integrated/Common Core Algebra and 2005 Standards/Common Core Geometry Regents will be administered. The higher of the two scores will be used. When the Integrated Algebra/2005 Standards Geometry Regents are no longer allowable, only the Common Core assessments will be administered. In addition, the Comprehensive English Regents will be administered, and starting in the 2015-16 school year, only the Common Core English Regents will be administered.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13

3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History
Geometry	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History
Algebra 2	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

NOTE: As applicable, for Algebra 1 and Geometry, please specify whether your district will be offering the 2005 Learning Standards version of the assessment in addition to the Common Core version, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Based on the percentage of students in the school who score 65 or above on NYS Regents Exams noted above, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point conversion chart in 3.13. Where applicable the Integrated/Common Core Algebra and 2005 Standards/Common Core Geometry Regents will be administered. The higher of the two scores will be used. When the Integrated Algebra/2005 Standards Geometry Regents are no longer allowable, only the Common Core assessments will be administered. In addition, the Comprehensive English Regents will be administered, and starting in the 2015-16 school year, only the Common Core English Regents will be administered.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13

3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History
Grade 10 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History
Grade 11 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Common Core Algebra 1/Integrated Algebra, Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/2005 Standards-based Geometry Regents, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, Earth Science, US History, Global History

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

NOTE: As applicable, please specify whether your district will be offering the Comprehensive English Regents in addition to the Common Core English Regents, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Based on the percentage of students in the school who score 65 or above on NYS Regents Exams noted above, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point conversion chart in 3.13. Where applicable the Integrated/Common Core Algebra and 2005 Standards/Common Core Geometry Regents will be administered. The higher of the two scores will be used. When the Integrated Algebra/2005 Standards Geometry Regents are no longer allowable, only the Common Core assessments will be administered. In addition, the Comprehensive English Regents will be administered, and starting in the 2015-16 school year, only the Common Core English Regents will be administered.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See uploaded conversion chart for 3.13

3.12) All Other Courses

Fill in for additional grades/subjects, as applicable. If you need additional space, complete additional copies of this form and upload (below) as attachments. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, drop-down option #4 applies to grades 3 and above and drop-down option #8 applies to grades K-2.

	Course(s) or Subject(s)	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
	All other K-5 Teachers	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 3-5 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
	All other 6-8 Teachers	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Grades 6-8 NYS ELA, Math and Science Assessments
	All other 9-12 Teachers	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Regents Examinations in Integrated Algebra 1/Common Core Algebra 2/Trigonometry, Common Core Geometry/ 2005 Standards Geometry, Comprehensive English, Living Environment, Chemistry, Physics, EArth Science, US History, Global History

3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a teacher’s score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Not Applicable

3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district’s process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

Not Applicable

3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked

Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.

Checked

4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 03/23/2015

For guidance on the Other Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections H and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

Danielson's Framework for Teaching

(No response)

4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered by the points assignment indicated immediately below (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	60
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	0
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	0
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	0
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	0
Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	0

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, label accordingly, and combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
District Variance	(No response)
My Student Survey, LLC's Survey of Teacher Practice (STeP) survey for use in grades 3-12	(No response)

4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Teachers will be rated for all components within the domains included in the Danielson 2007 rubric. For each component, teachers will receive a holistic rating score between one and four based on evidence collected and ratings provided over multiple observations. After all observations are complete, component scores will be averaged to calculate a score for each of the four domains. Domain scores will then be weighted so that domains two and three count twice and domains one and four count once. A sub-component score between one and four will then be calculated. The sub-component score will then be translated into a HEDI rating as described below. The rubric scores listed on the chart are the minimum scores necessary to achieve the corresponding HEDI point value.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12179/590266-eka9yMI855/Copy of 60 point conversion chart 4.5.pdf>

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	Refer to uploaded conversion chart for 4.5.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Refer to uploaded conversion chart for 4.5.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Refer to uploaded conversion chart for 4.5.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Refer to uploaded conversion chart for 4.5.

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

Formal/Long	3
Informal/Short	0
Enter Total	3

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

In Person

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

Not Applicable

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

Formal/Long	2
Informal/Short	0
Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

In Person

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

Not Applicable

5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Wednesday, September 10, 2014

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness
(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly
Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

The Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

Where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures
Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement
Other Measures of Effectiveness
(60 points)

Overall
Composite Score

Highly Effective
18-20
18-20
Ranges determined locally--see below
91-100

Effective
9-17
9-17
75-90

Developing
3-8
3-8
65-74

Ineffective
0-2
0-2
0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

5.2) The scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

Where Value-Added growth measure applies
Growth or Comparable Measures
Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement
Other Measures of Effectiveness
(60 points)

Overall
Composite Score

Highly Effective
22-25
14-15
Ranges determined locally--see above
91-100

Effective
10-21
8-13
75-90

Developing
3-9
3-7
65-74

Ineffective
0-2
0-2
0-64

6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Monday, December 22, 2014

Page 1

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. All TIP plans must include: 1) identification of needed areas of improvement, 2) a timeline for achieving improvement, 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips. Please be sure to update a document with a form layout, with fillable spaces and not just a narrative.

assets/survey-uploads/5265/162823-Df0w3Xx5v6/TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN for submission to SED_1.docx

6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Appeal procedures

1. Only tenured teachers who receive a rating of “ineffective” and “developing” on their Annual Professional Performance Review (“APPR”) may appeal their APPR through the procedure herein. Ratings of “effective” and “highly effective” may not be appealed. A teacher may file only one appeal from a single APPR. Those eligible for an appeal shall simply be referred to as “teacher” below.

Probationary teachers may not file appeals through the procedure herein or any other procedure but may submit a written response which shall be filed with the APPR.

“APPR” and “evaluation” are used interchangeably herein. “Business days” as used herein shall be defined as those days other than weekends and declared holidays, that the District’s Central Office is open.

2. A teacher has ten (10) business days from the first day that teachers return to school at the beginning of the school year to submit a written appeal to the Superintendent setting forth any and all objections to the APPR completed. During that ten day period, the teacher may request in writing to meet with the evaluating administrator. The purpose of such meeting is for the teacher and evaluating administrator to discuss possible changes to the evaluation based upon information provided by the teacher. The evaluating administrator shall advise the teacher in writing whether there will be a change in the evaluation either at the meeting or as soon as practicable within the initial ten (10) day time frame.

3. If the teacher submits, that appeal must be based only upon one or more of the following grounds:

a. the substance of the annual professional performance review;

b. the school district’s adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews pursuant to Section 3012-c of the Education Law;

c. the school district’s adherence to the regulations of the commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures; and,

d. the school district’s failure to issue and/or implement the terms of a Teacher Improvement Plan, where required under Education Law Section 3012-c.

The written appeal document must clearly identify the grounds for appeal, and shall explain, in detail, why and how the APPR should be modified. Failure to articulate a particular basis for the appeal shall be deemed a waiver of that claim. The burden of establishing that the APPR should be modified shall rest with the teacher.

4. The Superintendent, or his or her designee, will inform the evaluating administrator and the GTA President that the teacher has initiated the appeals process. The Superintendent will provide a copy of the appeal and the evaluation to the evaluating administrator, GTA President, and Appeals Committee (“Committee”, see below) within ten (10) business days of receipt of the appeal from the evaluated teacher.

The evaluating administrator may, at his/her option, provide a written response to the appeal within three (3) business days of receipt of the Superintendent’s notification that an appeal has been filed. If a response is submitted, it must be submitted to the Superintendent, appealing teacher, GTA President, and to the Appeals Committee for its consideration of the appeal.

5. Appeals shall be referred for consideration to an APPR Appeal Committee (“Committee”), a standing committee made up of two tenured administrators from within the District appointed by the Superintendent of Schools, and two tenured teachers from within the District appointed by the President of the GTA. Members shall be appointed for a term of three years and all members shall be required to complete the training required of lead evaluators under the APPR regulations. All APPR training expenses shall be paid by the District. Appointments for committee members and substitutes for committee members will be completed by the GTA and the District, no later than ten (10) school days after the start of the school year. The Committee shall determine its own rules and operating procedures, which may be altered as the Committee may deem necessary to hear any appeal.

6. An individual teacher or administrator personally involved in an evaluation shall be ineligible to serve as a Committee member for that specific appeal. Should this occur, the appealing teacher shall have the option of:

a. having the appeal considered by one administrator and one teacher from the Committee; or,

b. having a substitute replace the ineligible Committee member for that specific appeal only. Substitutes will be appointed as alternate members to the committee at the same time the original committee members are appointed. Lead evaluator training shall not be required for any substitute(s) appointed.

7. The Committee will convene within ten (10) calendar days of receipt from the Superintendent of the written appeal. The teacher’s written appeal, APPR, and evaluating administrator’s response (if any) shall comprise the record on appeal. Members of the Committee will receive the appeal record at least 48 hours in advance of the scheduled meeting.

8. All Committee deliberations will be conducted privately and remain confidential except as is required below to further process an appeal.

- a. The Committee will evaluate the merits of the appeal based on review of submitted written documentation.
 - b. If the Committee comes to consensus and is in agreement on whether the appeal should be denied or granted, a single written determination shall be prepared and issued. This determination shall be provided to the appealing teacher, evaluating administrator, GTA president, and the Superintendent of Schools within two (2) calendar days of the meeting of the Committee.
 - c. If the Committee cannot reach consensus, the matter shall be referred to the Superintendent of Schools immediately following the meeting of the Committee. Each member of the Committee (individually or jointly with another member) may submit to the Superintendent within three (3) calendar days of the meeting of the Committee a written statement describing his or her conclusions, justifications, and recommendation for disposition of the appeal. Any Committee Member statements submitted shall not be disclosed to either the appealing teacher or evaluating administrator. The Superintendent of Schools will review all statements and the record on appeal and will make the final determination. The Superintendent's final determination shall be in writing and shall be issued within ten (10) business days of the Committee's notice that it could not reach a determination or, if applicable, within ten (10) business days of the Superintendent's receipt of any written Committee statements referenced above. Copies of the Superintendent's determination shall be provided to the appealing teacher, evaluating administrator and GTA president.
 - d. A copy of the APPR, the teacher's appeal, and the final written determination (Superintendent or Committee) shall be placed in the teacher's personnel file. A complete copy of the record on appeal, including any Committee Member statements, shall be separately maintained in a file in the Superintendent's office.
9. The determination (by either the Committee or Superintendent) shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the teacher's appeal. If the appeal is sustained in whole or in part, the Committee or the Superintendent may modify a rating or order the rating vacated.
10. The determination of the appeal pursuant to the above process is final and binding. It is not subject to any further appeal pursuant to the contractual grievance procedure, or to any administrative or judicial tribunal. However, the failure of either the District or the GTA to abide by the above agreed upon process shall be subject to the grievance procedure set forth in the parties' collective bargaining agreement. The grievance procedure will be carried out in a timely and expeditious manner.
11. The parties agree that the Appeal process described herein shall be subject to review upon the mutual agreement of the parties. In the event of such agreement, parties agree to convene a committee comprised of three representatives of the Association and three representatives of the District to conduct such review. If during such review the parties agree upon changes to the appeal process, such changes shall be incorporated into this review process language. If the parties cannot agree upon changes proposed by either party, then the review process described herein shall remain unchanged. If the appeals process is amended it will comply with education law 3012-c.

6.4) Training of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators and Certification of Lead Evaluators

Describe the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators. Your description must include 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators, 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability, 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

The District will ensure that all lead evaluators/evaluators are properly trained and certified, as required by Education Law §3012-c and the implementing Regulations of the Commissioner of State Education prior to conducting any teacher evaluation.

A. Nature of Training Provided to Certify Evaluators of Teachers:

Evaluator training is to be provided Capital Region BOCES network team. It is anticipated that trained administrators will continue to turn-key all training information to new evaluators as well as yearly retraining for all.

All lead evaluators/evaluators will participate in a minimum of 8 hours of training.

This training shall include training on 9 minimum requirements outlined in the Commissioner's Regulations in section 30-2.9(b) and will also include but is not limited to the following:

1. New York State Teaching Standards
2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
3. Application and use of the Student Growth Percentile model and the Value-Added Growth Model
4. Application and use of the state-approved Framework for Teaching rubric, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher's practice
5. Application and use of any assessment tools that the district uses to evaluate teachers

6. Development and Application of any locally selected measures of student achievement
7. Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
8. Scoring methodology used to evaluate teachers
9. Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

B. Certification of Evaluators

The Superintendent or her/his designee will certify lead evaluators upon confirmation of participation. The Superintendent will maintain records of certification of evaluators.

C. Recertification and Inter-rater Reliability

The Superintendent or her/his designee will ensure that lead evaluators participate in annual training and are recertified as appropriate. The district has provided additional training (during administrative staff meetings and leadership development programs) both at the building and district level for all evaluators.

In order to ensure that evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time and appropriate certification, each evaluator will be required to be re-certified annually. Re-certification shall be achieved by completion of district provided refresher programs.

D. Elimination of Examiner Bias

Evaluators will make good faith efforts to provide accurate evaluations, aligned to The Framework for Teaching based on evidence, without bias.

6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

- (1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable
- (2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
- (3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart
- (4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice
- (5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.
- (6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals
- (7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
- (8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings
- (9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

-
- Checked
-

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 05/11/2015

For guidance on the State Growth or Comparable Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections D, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth or value-added measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.).

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

	K-5
	6-8
	9-12
	(No response)

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that the value-added growth score(s) provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved	Checked

7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessments covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. The district must select the type of assessment that will be used with the SLO from the options below.

- If any grade/course in the building has a State-provided growth measure AND the principal must have SLOs because fewer than 30% of students in the building are covered, then the SLOs will begin first with the SGP/VA results.
- Additional SLOs will then be set based on grades/subjects with State assessments, where applicable.
- If additional SLOs are necessary, principals must begin with the grade(s)/course(s) that have the largest number of students using school-wide student results from one of the following assessment options: State-approved 3rd party or district/regional/BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the grade configuration of the school or program the SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the type of assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: “[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment.” For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: “GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment.” For State-approved 3rd party assessments, please include the name of the assessment exactly as it appears in RED on the State-approved list. For State assessments or Regents examinations, please indicate as such in the assessment name.

Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, the 2nd drop-down option applies to grades 3 and above and the 4th drop-down option applies to grades K-2.

	School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment
	K-5	State assessment	3-5 New York State ELA/Math Assessments
	6-8	State assessment	6-8 New York State ELA/Math Assessments
	9-12	State assessment	New York State Regents Exam in ELA/Algebra and all other applicable Regents Exams

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance. Please describe the process your district is using to measure student growth on the assessments listed for this Task. If applicable, please also include a description of the process for combining the State-provided growth score with the SLO(s) for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	The district will utilize the State-provided growth score for the above listed principals. If such score represents less than 30% of the students supervised by the principal, the district will set SLOs for the largest course(s) in the building until at least 30% of students are covered. Where such courses end in a State assessment, that assessment will be used with the SLO. The State-provided score will then be weighted proportionately with the SLO result(s) for a final HEDI score. The SLO process will be as follows: based upon baseline data, the principal in collaboration with the superintendent will set individual growth targets for each student. The superintendent will approve all targets. The principal will receive a HEDI score based upon the percent of students reaching their targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See upload in 2.11.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See upload in 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See upload in 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See upload in 2.11.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a principal's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are the following: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, and students in poverty.

Not Applicable

7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one State-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document .	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked

Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Checked

8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 04/02/2015

For guidance on locally selected measures of student achievement or growth, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections E, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: "[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment." For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: "GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment."

Also note: if your district/BOCES is using the same assessment for both the State growth or other comparable measures subcomponent and the locally-selected measures subcomponents, be sure that a *different* measure of student performance is being used with the assessment (e.g., achievement rather than growth; growth measured in a different manner).

Also note: no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing/>).

8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

In the table below, please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth or value-added measure (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a measure of growth or achievement from the drop-down menu. As a reminder, the grade configurations/programs listed in Task 8.1 should be the same as those listed in Task 7.1.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school

- whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
 - (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8
 - (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
 - (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
 - (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
 - (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
 - (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

	Grade Configuration/Program	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
	K-5	(a) achievement on State assessments	Grades 4-5 NYS ELA and Math Assessment
	6-8	(a) achievement on State assessments	Grades 6-8 NYS ELA and Math Assessment
	9-12	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	All NYS Regents examinations

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.</p>	<p>The district will establish an achievement target for student performance in K-5 and 6-8 buildings of a Level 2 or higher on state assessments and in grades 9-12 a proficiency level of 65 or higher on all Regents exams. The district will administer the NYS Comprehensive English Regents and both the Integrated Algebra I Regents and the NYS Common Core Integrated Algebra Regents; students will receive the higher of the two scores. Based on the overall percentage of students who achieve the applicable achievement target, a corresponding HEDI score of 0-15 will be determined using the 15 point conversion chart uploaded for 8.1. Until such time the Value Added model is implemented, a 0-20 point conversion chart upload in 8.1 shall be used to calculate the HEDI score.</p> <p>Where applicable the Integrated/Common Core Algebra and 2005 Standards/Common Core Geometry Regents will be administered. The higher of the two scores will be used. When the Integrated Algebra/2005 Standards Geometry Regents are no longer allowable, only the Common Core assessments will be administered. In addition, the Comprehensive English Regents will be administered, and starting in the 2015-16 school year, only the Common Core English Regents will be administered.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>see 15 and 20 point HEDI conversion charts in 8.1</p>
<p>Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>see 15 and 20 point HEDI conversion charts in 8.1</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>see 15 and 20 point HEDI conversion charts in 8.1</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>see 15 and 20 point HEDI conversion charts in 8.1</p>

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12190/590270-qBFVOWF7fC/Principals Local Assessment 15 Point 8.1_CuYsHJv.pdf

8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations/programs used in your district or BOCES in which the district/BOCES expects that fewer than 30% of students will receive a State-provided growth score (e.g., K-2, K-3, CTE). Then for each grade configuration, select a measure from the drop-down menu. As a reminder, the grade configurations/programs listed in Task 8.2 should be the same as those listed in Task 7.3.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

Also note: no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help->

[reduce-local-testing](#)).

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8
- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

	Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	No principals included in section 8.2
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	No principals included in section 8.2
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	No principals included in section 8.2

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	No principals included in section 8.2
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	No principals included in section 8.2

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a principal's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Not Applicable

8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

Not Applicable

8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Check
Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check

<p>If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.</p>	<p>Check</p>
<p>Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.</p>	<p>Check</p>
<p>Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.</p>	<p>Check</p>
<p>Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.</p>	<p>Check</p>

9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Monday, December 22, 2014

Page 1

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric Rubric	Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric
-----------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------

Second rubric (if applicable)	(No response)
-------------------------------	---------------

9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the point assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following point assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	60
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents. 0

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, label accordingly, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. [Click here for a](#)

(No response)

9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below if assigning any points to "ambitious and measurable goals":

9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	(No response)
9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	(No response)

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) School visits by other trained evaluators	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	(No response)

9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is updated, this list will be updated within the drop-down menu of approved survey tools.

Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey for Teachers	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 3-5) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 6-12) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Parent Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Teacher/Staff Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
District variance	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Parent Survey)	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Student Surveys)	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Parent Survey	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Student Survey	(No response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

9.6) Assurances Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Process for Determination of 60 Points for Principal Effectiveness

1. The district shall utilize the Multi-Dimensional Principal Performance Rubric for principal evaluation as the basis for the 60 “Other” points allocated to measures of leadership and management.

2. Procedures for collecting evidence for use on the Multi-Dimensional Principal Performance Rubric

The superintendent’s assessment shall be based on upon at least two (2) visits of 30 minutes or more to the school while school is in session. At least one of the visits shall be unannounced. Principals shall provide a complete list of all scheduled building level activities, including but not limited to building cabinet, leadership team meetings, PTA meeting, TALC, open house, etc., involving the principal no later than September 15 of each school year. Principals will have the opportunity to update this list of activities to include those scheduled after September 15th. Visits are to be completed no later than May 31. Non-tenured principals shall have at least one formal evaluation visit prior to January 31st of each year.

Ongoing collection of supporting evidence related to components of the rubric shall be provided to and collected by the Superintendent throughout the year. Evidence should be submitted during monthly meetings; all evidence should be gathered by May 31st, with the exception of evidence generated by activities that can only occur during the month of June (e.g. Moving Up Ceremonies, Graduation, etc.).

The principal’s self-analysis on the rubric for the superintendent’s consideration and discussion and shall be completed no later than May 1st of each year.

All of the evidence gathered above will be reviewed holistically to generate a score of one to four for each of the indicators (I = 1; D=2; E= 3; H = 4)

3. Distribution of the Local 60 Points

The principal will be rated on each of the 22 indicators in the rubric on a scale of one to four. The 22 scores will be averaged to calculate an overall average score. The overall average score (from one to four) will be converted to a HEDI score using the chart below. The conversion chart is attached.

HEDI Rating Overall Rubric Average Score 60 Point Distribution for “Other Measure” Score
 Ineffective 1 – 1.4 0 – 49

Developing 1.5 – 2.2 50 - 55
 Effective 2.3 – 3.2 56 - 58
 Highly Effective 3.3 – 4 59 - 60

The final rubric scores listed on the chart are the minimum scores necessary to obtain the corresponding HEDI rating.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/12205/590271-pMADJ4gk6R/Copy of 60 point conversion chart 9.7.xlsx

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.	An overall Rubric average score between 3.3-4.0 will equate to a score of 59-60
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	An overall Rubric average score between 2.3-3.2 will equate to a score of 56-58
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	An overall Rubric average score between 1.5-2.2 will equate to a score of 50-55
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.	An overall Rubric average score between 1.0-1.4 will equate to a score of 0-49

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	56-58
Developing	50-55
Ineffective	0-49

9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

Probationary Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

Tenured Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Tuesday, December 16, 2014

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness
(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly
Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

The Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

Where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	56-58
Developing	50-55
Ineffective	0-49

10.2) The scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

Where Value-Added growth measure applies
 Growth or Comparable Measures
 Locally-selected Measures of
 growth or achievement
 Other Measures of Effectiveness
 (60 points)

Overall
 Composite Score

Highly Effective
 22-25
 14-15
 Ranges determined locally--see above
 91-100

Effective
 10-21
 8-13
 75-90

Developing
 3-9
 3-7
 65-74

Ineffective
 0-2
 0-2
 0-64

11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Monday, December 22, 2014

Page 1

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. All PIP plans must include: 1) identification of needed areas of improvement, 2) a timeline for achieving improvement, 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips. Please be sure to update a document with a form layout, with fillable spaces and not just a narrative.

[assets/survey-uploads/5276/162862-Df0w3Xx5v6/Principal Improvement Plan.docx](#)

11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Principal APPR Appeal Process

The District's Annual Professional Performance Review Plan shall include an appeal process enabling tenured principals to appeal evaluation decisions in accordance with the following procedure. All steps in the process and the resolution of the appeal will occur in a timely and expeditious manner.

1. Only a tenured principal (hereinafter simply “principal”) may appeal an APPR and only APPR with ratings on a composite score of “ineffective” or “developing” may be appealed. Principals who receive a rating of “highly effective” or “effective” shall not be permitted to appeal their rating. Probationary principals may not file appeals through the procedure herein or any other procedure but may submit a written response which shall be filed with the APPR.

2. Before an appeal is filed, the principal may request an informal meeting with the superintendent to discuss his or her concerns regarding the composite score. Such meeting shall occur within 5 (five) school days after the composite score is received; the superintendent shall provide a written response to the concerns raised by the principal within 5 (five) school days of the meeting. If the concern is not resolved in a manner that is satisfactory to the principal, he or she may initiate the formal appeal process as described below:

3. CHALLENGES IN AN APPEAL:

Principals may only appeal their rating based on the following grounds:

- the substance of the annual professional performance review;
- the school district’s adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews pursuant to Section 3012-c of the Education Law;
- the school district’s adherence to the regulations of the commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures; and
- the school district’s failure to issue and/or implement the terms of a Principal Improvement Plan, where required under Education Law Section 3012-c.

ten (10)

4. APPEAL PROCESS

Within ten (10) school days of the receipt of an APPR with a composite score of “ineffective” or “developing” the Principal may submit a written appeal to the superintendent setting forth any and all objections to the APPR. If the Principal and Superintendent informally met to discuss the APPR rating as described above, and the principal chooses to submit a formal appeal, he or she must do so within five (5) days of his/her receipt of the superintendent’s written response as noted above. If the Principals is filing his or her appeal on the basis of the district’s failure to issue and/or implement a Principal Improvement Plan, he or she must do so within ten (10) days of the district’s failure to issue or implement such plan.

The Principal’s written appeal must clearly identify the grounds for appeal and shall explain in detail, why and how the APPR should be modified. No new evidence may be submitted after submission of the appeal. Failure to articulate a particular basis for the appeal shall be deemed a waiver of that claim. A principal can file only one appeal from a single APPR.

The burden of establishing that the APPR should be modified shall rest with the principal.

Upon receipt of the written appeal, the superintendent must (within 5 business days) provide a written response to the appeal.

A Principal reserves the right to withdraw his/her appeal at any time during the process. This withdrawal shall be made in writing.

5. APPEAL COMMITTEE

Appeals shall be referred for consideration to a Principal APPR Appeal Committee (“committee”). The committee shall consist of one administrator from the district office (selected by the Superintendent), one principal from the Principals’ Association (selected by the president of the Association) and one administrator/superintendent from outside the district mutually agreed upon by the parties (i.e. neighboring or retired administrator, etc.) By July 15 of each year, the District and Principals’ Association will agree upon a list of potential independent administrators from which the third member of the committee will be selected. The appealing principal may not be appointed to the committee for his/her own appeal.

The Appeal Committee shall meet within fifteen (15) school days from the date of the Superintendent’s response (to the Principal’s formal appeal) to review the appeal. The appeal will consist of the written record (original APPR, original supporting documentation, the Principal’s appeal, superintendent’s response to the appeal). The committee will decide whether the appeal should be denied or sustained and, if sustained, how the APPR should be amended. The committee must reach consensus (defined as an agreement that all members of the committee can support). The committee retains the right to seek clarifying information from the Principal and the Superintendent regarding the materials provided as part of the appeal. However, no new materials may be submitted by either party. All committee deliberations will be conducted privately and remain confidential except as required to further process the appeal as outlined below. The committee is to provide its determination in writing within 10 school days of its meeting. The decision shall be submitted to the superintendent and appealing principal.

6. APPEAL DECISION:

If the committee cannot reach consensus, the appeal will go to a mutually agreed upon third party hearing officer, who will review the written record, including the findings of the committee. The hearing officer shall receive the record of the appeal within 5 days of the committee's submission of its determination. The hearing officer shall be selected from a list of mutually agreed upon hearing officers agreed upon between the Superintendent and Association President no later than July 15th of each year. The list shall continue unless and until modified by mutual agreement. Each member of the committee (individually or jointly with another member) may submit to the hearing officer, as part of the appeal a written statement describing his/her conclusions, justifications and a recommendation for the disposition of the appeal. The hearing officer may proceed on the submitted record alone to make a determination or, at his/her discretion, convene the parties for any clarifying questions. This process is specifically not designed to be a hearing process. Rather, it is intended to be an independent, expeditious, and qualified determination. Cost of the hearing officer shall be borne equally by the district and the principal. The hearing officer must render a decision within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the record. The decision of the hearing officer shall be final and binding.

7. FILE COPY

A copy of the APPR, the principal's appeal, and the final written determination shall be placed in the principal's personnel file. A complete copy of the record on appeal shall be kept separately in the Superintendent's Office.

11.4) Training of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators and Certification of Lead Evaluators

Describe the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators. Your description must include 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators, 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability, 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

The District will ensure that all lead evaluators/evaluators are properly trained and certified, as required by Education Law §3012-c and the implementing Regulations of the Commissioner of State Education prior to conducting any principal evaluation. Lead evaluators/evaluators will participate in no less than 8 hours of training.

A. Nature of Training Provided to Certify Evaluators of Principals:

Training will be provided by the Capital Region BOCES, the Council of School Superintendents and the Capital Area School Development Organization.

Training addresses the nine minimum requirements outlined in Regents Rules 30-2.9 including, but are not limited to the following:

1. The New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators/and leadership standards and their related functions;
2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research;
3. Application and use of the state-approved Multi-Dimensional Principal Performance Rubric for use in the evaluation of building principals, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a principal's practice
4. Application and use of the state approved principal rubric selected by Guilderland CSD for use in the evaluation of building principals, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a principal's practice.
5. Application and use of the assessment tools that the Guilderland CSD utilizes to evaluate its building principals, including but not limited to school visits, review of collected artifacts/evidence, building principals' goals, etc.;
6. Application and use of state approve locally selected measures of student achievement used by Guilderland to evaluate its principals;
7. Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System;
8. Scoring methodology used to evaluate principals;
9. Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

B. Certification of Evaluators

The Board of Education will certify lead evaluators upon confirmation of participation. The Superintendent will maintain records of certification of evaluators.

C. Re-certification and Inter-rater Reliability

The Superintendent (only evaluator of principals) will participate in annual training and will be recertified by the Board of Education each year. Capital Region BOCES, NYSCOSS and CASDA have been utilized to provide training.

D. Elimination of Examiner Bias

The evaluator will make a good faith effort to provide accurate evaluations, aligned to the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric, based on evidence, without bias.

11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

-
- Checked
-

11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created: 11/07/2013

Last updated: 05/13/2015

Page 1

12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: [APPR District Certification Form](#). Please note that Review Room timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12158/746311-3Uqgn5g9lu/APPR%20certification513.pdf>

File types supported for uploads

- PDF (preferred)
- Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)
- Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)
- Open Office (.odt, .ott)
- Images (.jpg, .gif)
- Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

State “Growth” Score Conversion Chart: Section 2.11*

Component Score	Range of Student Performance
20	95%-100% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
19	90%-94% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
18	86%-89% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
17	83%-85% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
16	78%-82% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
15	75%-77% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
14	73%-74% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
13	71%-72% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
12	69%-70% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
11	67%-68% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
10	64%-66% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
9	61%-63% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
8	58%-60% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
7	55%-57% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
6	52%-54% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
5	49%-51% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
4	45%-48% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
3	41%-44% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
2	28%-40% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
1	15%-27% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).
0	0%-14% of students meet growth target from baseline measure (e.g. pre-assessment) to post-assessment (end of course/ summative).

*The district reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth.

State “Growth” Score Conversion Chart: Section 2.11*

	25 Point Conversion	20 Point Conversion
Highly Effective	25	20
	24	19
	23	19
	22	18
Effective	21	17
	20	17
	19	16
	18	16
	17	15
	16	15
	15	14
	14	13
	13	12
	12	11
Developing	11	10
	10	9
	9	8
	8	8
	7	7
	6	6
Ineffective	5	5
	4	4
	3	3
	2	2
	1	1
	0	0

*The district reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth.

Guilderland Central School District Chart 3.3

Component Score	Range of Student Performance
15	93-100% of students meet or exceed achievement target
14	86-92% of students meet or exceed achievement target
13	81-85% of students meet or exceed achievement target
12	75-80% of students meet or exceed achievement target
11	71-74% of students meet or exceed achievement target
10	67-70% of students meet or exceed achievement target
9	64-66% of students meet or exceed achievement target
8	61-63% of students meet or exceed achievement target
7	56-60% of students meet or exceed achievement target
6	51-55% of students meet or exceed achievement target
5	48-50% of students meet or exceed achievement target
4	45-47% of students meet or exceed achievement target
3	41-44% of students meet or exceed achievement target
2	28-40% of students meet or exceed achievement target
1	16-27% of students meet or exceed achievement target
0	0-15% of students meet or exceed achievement target

20 Point Chart for Local Assessments: Section 3.13

Component Score	Range of Student Performance
20	95%-100% of students meet or exceed achievement target
19	90%-94% of students meet or exceed achievement target
18	86%-89% of students meet or exceed achievement target
17	83%-85% of students meet or exceed achievement target
16	78%-82% of students meet or exceed achievement target
15	75%-77% of students meet or exceed achievement target
14	73%-74% of students meet or exceed achievement target
13	71%-72% of students meet or exceed achievement target
12	69%-70% of students meet or exceed achievement target
11	67%-68% of students meet or exceed achievement target
10	64%-66% of students meet or exceed achievement target
9	61%-63% of students meet or exceed achievement target
8	58%-60% of students meet or exceed achievement target
7	55%-57% of students meet or exceed achievement target
6	52%-54% of students meet or exceed achievement target
5	49%-51% of students meet or exceed achievement target
4	45%-48% of students meet or exceed achievement target
3	41%-44% of students meet or exceed achievement target
2	28%-40% of students meet or exceed achievement target
1	15%-27% of students meet or exceed achievement target
0	0%-14% of students meet or exceed achievement target

Total Average Score Conversion Score

	1	0 Ineffective
	1.016	1
	1.017	2
	1.025	3
	1.033	4
	1.042	5
	1.05	6
	1.058	7
	1.067	8
	1.075	9
	1.083	10
	1.092	11
	1.1	12
	1.108	13
	1.115	14
	1.123	15
	1.131	16
	1.138	17
	1.146	18
	1.154	19
	1.162	20
	1.169	21
	1.177	22
	1.185	23
	1.192	24
	1.2	25
	1.208	26
	1.217	27
	1.225	28
	1.233	29
	1.242	30
	1.25	31
	1.258	32
	1.267	33
	1.275	34
	1.283	35
	1.292	36
	1.3	37
	1.308	38
	1.317	39
	1.325	40
	1.333	41
	1.342	42
	1.35	43
	1.358	44

1.367	45
1.375	46
1.383	47
1.392	48
1.4	49
1.5	50 Developing
1.6	51
1.7	51
1.8	52
1.9	53
2	54
2.1	54
2.2	55
2.3	56
2.4	56
2.5	57 Effective
2.6	57
2.7	57
2.8	58
2.9	58
3	58
3.1	58
3.2	58
3.3	59 Highly Effective
3.4	59
3.5	59
3.6	59
3.7	60
3.8	60
3.9	60
4	60

TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN

<i>Domains/ Practices in Need of Improvement</i>	<i>Expected outcomes/performance and Recommended Action Steps</i>	<i>Available supports and resources</i>	<i>Responsibilities</i>	<i>Timeline</i>	<i>Evidence of Achievement</i>

Date of conference: _____

Teacher signature: _____

Date: _____

Evaluator signature _____

Date: _____

Locally Selected Measures of Achievement for Principals 15 and Point Chart: Section 8.1

Component Score	Range of Student Performance
15	93-100% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
14	86-92% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
13	81-85% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
12	75-80% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
11	71-74% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
10	67-70% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
9	64-66% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
8	61-63% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
7	56-60% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
6	51-55% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
5	48-50% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
4	45-47% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
3	41-44% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
2	28-40% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
1	16-27% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
0	0-15% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
Component Score	Range of Student Performance
20	95-100% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
19	90-94% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
18	86-89% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
17	83-85% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
16	78-82% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
15	75-77% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
14	73-74% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
13	71-72% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
12	69-70% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
11	67-68% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
10	64-66% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
9	61-63% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
8	58-60% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
7	55-57% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
6	52-54% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
5	49-51% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
4	45-48% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
3	41-44% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
2	28-40% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
1	15-27% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments
0	0-14% of students meet or exceed achievement target on state assessments

Total Average Score Conversion Score

1	0 Ineffective
1.016	1
1.017	2
1.025	3
1.033	4
1.042	5
1.05	6
1.058	7
1.067	8
1.075	9
1.083	10
1.092	11
1.1	12
1.108	13
1.115	14
1.123	15
1.131	16
1.138	17
1.146	18
1.154	19
1.162	20
1.169	21
1.177	22
1.185	23
1.192	24
1.2	25
1.208	26
1.217	27
1.225	28
1.233	29
1.242	30
1.25	31
1.258	32
1.267	33
1.275	34
1.283	35
1.292	36
1.3	37
1.308	38
1.317	39
1.325	40
1.333	41
1.342	42
1.35	43
1.358	44

1.367	45
1.375	46
1.383	47
1.392	48
1.4	49
1.5	50 Developing
1.6	51
1.7	51
1.8	52
1.9	53
2	54
2.1	54
2.2	55
2.3	56 Effective
2.4	56
2.5	57
2.6	57
2.7	57
2.8	58
2.9	58
3	58
3.1	58
3.2	58
3.3	59 Highly Effective
3.4	59
3.5	59
3.6	59
3.7	60
3.8	60
3.9	60
4	60

1. Principal Improvement Plan

A Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) is designed to provide support for the principal through communication, discussion and collaboration in identified areas in need of growth or improvement. A Principal Improvement Plan may be implemented for a principal at any time during the year to address concerns identified by the Superintendent.

However, when a principal receives a composite rating on the APPR of “ineffective” or “developing”, the principal must be placed on a Principal Improvement Plan that is designed to rectify perceived or demonstrated deficiencies. The improvement plan must be developed and commenced no later than ten (10) school days after the start of a school year. The superintendent, in conjunction with the principal, must develop an improvement plan that contains:

1. A clear delineation of the deficiencies that resulted in the “ineffective” or “developing” “assessment.”
2. Specific improvement goal/outcome statements.
3. Specific improvement action steps/activities.
4. A reasonable time line for achieving improvement.
5. Required and accessible resources to achieve goal.
6. A formative evaluation process including meetings strategically scheduled throughout the year to assess progress. Dates of those meeting will be mutually agreed upon and included in the timeline of the plan. The superintendent will provide written feedback on progress within 5 school days of those scheduled meetings.
7. A clear manner in which improvement efforts will be assessed, including evidence demonstrating improvement.

If at the conclusion of the improvement plan timeline, the plan’s goals are met, the improvement plan will terminate. The superintendent shall determine if, and to what extent, the goals are met; this information will be communicated in writing to the principal and signed by both parties.

Principal Improvement Plan Template

Areas(s) in Need of Improvement/Domains	Expected Goals/ Outcomes	Action Steps and Supports	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline	Evidence of Achievement of Goals

Date of Conference _____

Principal Signature _____ Date _____

Evaluator Signature _____ Date _____

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan will be returned or forfeited to the State pursuant to Chapter 57 of the Laws of 2012 and/or 2013, as applicable.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
 - Assure that the entire APPR Plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
 - Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
 - Assure that the APPR Plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
 - Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
 - Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
 - Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
 - Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
 - Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) or Principal Improvement Plan (PIP), in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations
 - Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal

- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- Assure that any third party assessment that is administered for use to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date:

Lauri Williams 5.12.15

Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

Trina C. McHamara 5/12/15

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

Ma. Fehred 5/12/15



Board of Education President Signature: Date:

Barbara Fraterigo 5/12/15

For APPR plans submitted to the Commissioner on or after March 2, 2014 for use in the 2014-15 school year and thereafter the school district or BOCES also makes the following specific assurance with respect to their APPR plan:

Pursuant to Section 30-2.3(a)(4) of the Rules of the Board of Regents, the Superintendent, District Superintendent or Chancellor attests that for the 2014-15 school year and thereafter the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for the grade; and the amount of time devoted to test preparation using traditional standardized assessments under standardized testing conditions for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, performance assessments, formative assessments, and diagnostic assessments is not included in this calculation. Additionally, these calculations do not supersede the requirements of a section of the 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program (IEP) of a student with a disability.

Superintendent / District Superintendent / Chancellor Signature: Date:

	
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------