



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK / ALBANY, NY 12234

COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION
PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

August 22, 2012

Dr. Susan A. Agruso, Superintendent
Kings Park Central School District
180 Lawrence Road
Kings Park, NY 11754

Dear Superintendent Agruso:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved for the 2012-2013 school year. As a reminder, we are relying on the certification and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval.

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2, the Department will continue to work with districts to help ensure compliance with the statute and the regulations. We will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may ask for a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results. Please be advised that, if any provisions of your APPR plan violate the statute or the regulations, the Department reserves the right to require your district to correct and/or resolve such violations.

The Department looks forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,



John B. King, Jr.
Commissioner

c: Michael Mensch

NOTE: If your district/BOCES has provided for value-added measures (15 points vs. 20 points scale and categorization of your district/BOCES's grade configurations) in your APPR and no value-added measures are approved by the Board of Regents for a grade/subject and/or grade configuration for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly. Conversely, if your district/BOCES has not provided for value-added measures in your district/BOCES's APPR submission and value-added measures are approved for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly.

Annual Professional Performance Reviews: 2012-13

Created Thursday, May 03, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 15, 2012

1

Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

1.1) School District's BEDS Number : 580805060000

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

580805060000

1.2) School District Name: KINGS PARK CSD

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

KINGS PARK CSD

1.3) School Improvement Grant (SIG) Districts Only

SIG districts only: Indicate whether this APPR plan is for SIG schools only or for the entire district. Other districts and BOCES, please skip this question.

(No response)

1.4) Award Classification

Please check if the district has applied for and/or has been awarded any of the following (if applicable):

(No response)

1.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.5) Assurances Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

1.6) Is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan?

Re-submission to address deficiencies

1.7) Is this submission for an annual or multi-year plan?

If the plan is multi-year, please write the years that are included.

Annual (2012-13)

2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created Thursday, May 03, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 15, 2012

Page 1

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

(25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have state-provided measures, some may teach other courses in addition where there is no state-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects for 2012-13, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

2.1) Assurances Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
2.1) Assurances Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13.	Checked

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For core subjects: grades 6-8 Science and Social Studies, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or

District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	ELA	Assessment
K	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
1	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
2	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, Renaissance Learning

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.

The SLOs for K-3 ELA will utilize State approved 3rd party assessments. For grade 3, the STAR assessment will be used as a pretest, and targets will be set for the 3rd Grade State Assessment. The same assessments will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. Growth targets will be set based on the pretest of the students assigned to the teacher. Students' pretest scores will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11.

	Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	Math	Assessment
K	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
1	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
2	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for K-3 Math will utilize State approved 3rd party assessments. For grade 3, the STAR assessment will be used as a pretest, and targets will be set for the 3rd Grade State Assessment. The same assessments will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. Growth targets will be set based on the pretest of the students assigned to the teacher. Students' pretest scores will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Kings Park Developed Grade 6 Science Final Exam
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Kings Park Developed Grade 7 Science Final Exam

	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for Grades 6-7 Science will utilize the Kings Park Developed Science Final Exams. The SLO for 8th grade Science will utilize the 8th Grade State Science assessment. The same assessments will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Kings Park Developed Grade 6 Social Studies Final Exam
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Kings Park Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Final Exam
8	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Kings Park Developed Grade 8 Social Studies Final Exam

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for grades 6-8 Social Studies will be rigorous and comparable. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

		Assessment
Global 1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Kings Park Developed Global 1 Social Studies Final Exam
Social Studies Regents Courses		Assessment
Global 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for high school Social Studies Regents Courses will be rigorous and comparable. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same course. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the Regents assessment score or the District Developed Assessment for Global 1 score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the
---	--

growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Physics	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for high school Regents Science Courses will be rigorous and comparable. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same course. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the Regents assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for high school Regents Mathematics Courses will be rigorous and comparable. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same course. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the Regents assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Kings Park Developed Grade 9 ELA Final Exam
Grade 10 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Kings Park Developed Grade 10 ELA Final Exam
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	ELA Regents

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances

in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for high school English Language Arts Courses will be rigorous and comparable. The Kings Park Developed ELA Final Examinations will be used for grades 9 and 10. The ELA Regents will be used for grade 11. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same course. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.10) All Other Courses

Fill in, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have Student Learning Objectives. If you need additional space, duplicate this form and upload (below) as an attachment to your APPR plan. You may combine into one line any groups of teachers for whom the answers in the boxes are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above" .

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Option	Assessment
All other secondary English courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Kings Park Developed Course Specific English Final Examinations
All other secondary Math courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Kings Park Developed Course Specific Mathematics Final Examinations
All other secondary Science courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Kings Park Developed Course Specific Science Final Examinations
All other secondary Social Studies courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Kings Park Developed Course Specific Social Studies Final Examinations
All other secondary Foreign Language courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Kings Park Developed Course Specific Foreign Language Final Examinations
All Technology courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Kings Park Developed Course Specific Technology Final Examinations
All Physical Education courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Kings Park Developed Course Specific Physical Education Performance Assessments
All Health courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Kings Park Developed Course Specific Health Final Examinations
All Art courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Kings Park Developed Course Specific Art Portfolio Assessments
All Music courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Kings Park Developed Course Specific Music Performance Assessments
All Home Economics courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Kings Park Developed Course Specific Home Economics Final Examinations

ESL K-8	State Assessment	NYSESLAT
ESL 9-12	State Assessment	NYSESLAT
Library	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Kings Park Developed Course Specific Library Portfolio Assessments
Speech	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Kings Park Developed Course Specific Speech Assessments
Reading	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Reading Enterprise, Renaissance Learning

For all other courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for the courses listed in 2.10 will be rigorous and comparable. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same course and grade. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the assessment/final examination score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. (MS Word)

(No response)

2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5364/124530-TXEttx9bQW/APPR 20 point conversion scale 6-21-12.docm

2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

All SLOs will have targets set based on prior academic achievement (academic history). For example, to determine growth in grade 9 English Language Arts (ELA), the student's performance on the grade 8 State Assessment in ELA will be used as the baseline. No other controls will be used.

2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

2.14) Assurances Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html).	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created Thursday, May 03, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 15, 2012

Page 1

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers. Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment.

.Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade

math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause

4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:

(i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Reading Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Reading Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Reading Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Reading Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Reading Enterprise, Renaissance Learning

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at	State approved 3rd party assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the
--	---

3.3, below.	achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 15. The negotiated scale is shown in 3.3. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 15.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.

3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	State approved 3rd party assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 15. The negotiated scale is shown in 3.3. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 15.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.

3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5139/124532-rhJdBgDruP/APPR 15 point conversion scale 6-21-12.doc

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment
- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
1	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
2	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
3	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, Renaissance Learning

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	State approved 3rd party assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The negotiated scale is shown in 3.13. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
1	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
2	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
3	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	State approved 3rd party assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The negotiated scale is shown in 3.13. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Grade 6 Science Assessments
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Grade 7 Science Assessments
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Grade 8 Science Assessments

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The District's KP Developed Science Assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The negotiated scale is shown in 3.13. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Grade 6 Social Studies Assessments
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessments
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Grade 8 Social Studies Assessments

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The District's KP Developed Social Studies Assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The negotiated scale is shown in 3.13. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Global 1 Social Studies Assessments
Global 2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Global 2 Social Studies Assessments
American History	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed American History Social Studies Assessments

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The District's high school KP Developed Social Studies Assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The negotiated scale is shown in 3.13. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Living Environment Science Assessments
Earth Science	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Earth Science Assessments
Chemistry	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Chemistry Science Assessments
Physics	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Physics Science Assessments

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The District's high school KP Developed Science Assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The negotiated scale is shown in 3.13. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Algebra 1 Math Assessments

Geometry	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Geometry Math Assessments
Algebra 2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Algebra 2 Math Assessments

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The District's high school KP Developed Math Assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The negotiated scale is shown in 3.13. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Grade 9 ELA Assessments
Grade 10 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Grade 10 ELA Assessments
Grade 11 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	KP Developed Grade 11 ELA Assessments

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The District's high school KP Developed ELA Assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The negotiated scale is shown in 3.13. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

3.12) All Other Courses

Fill in for additional grades/subjects, as applicable. If you need additional space, complete additional copies of this form and upload (below) as attachments.

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
All other secondary English courses	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	KP Developed Course Specific English Assessments
All other secondary Math courses	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	KP Developed Course Specific Math Assessments
All other secondary Science courses	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	KP Developed Course Specific Science Assessments
All other secondary Social Studies courses	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	KP Developed Course Specific Social Studies Assessments
All secondary Foreign Language courses	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	KP Developed Course Specific Foreign Language Assessments
All Technology courses	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	KP Developed Course Specific Technology Assessments
All Physical Education courses	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	KP Developed Course Specific Physical Education Performance Assessments
All Health courses	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	KP Developed Course Specific Health Assessments
All Art courses	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	KP Developed Course Specific Art Portfolio Assessments
All Music courses	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	KP Developed Course Specific Music Performance Assessments
All Home Economic courses	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	KP Developed Course Specific Home Economic Assessments
ESL K-8	4) State-approved 3rd party	STAR Reading Enterprise, Renaissance Learning

ESL 9-12	4) State-approved 3rd party	STAR Reading Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
Reading	4) State-approved 3rd party	STAR Reading Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
Library	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	KP Developed Course Specific Library Portfolio Assessments
Speech	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	KP Developed Course Specific Speech Assessments

For all additional courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The District's KP Developed Course Specific Assessments and State-approved 3rd party assessments in the courses listed above will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level and subject area. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The negotiated scale is shown in 3.13. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES -adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 3.12: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 3.12. (MS Word)

(No response)

3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

All assessments for local measures are aligned to the standards for each course of study. Targets will be set based on students' prior academic history. All targets will be reviewed by the building principal and superintendent to ensure that all targets correlate to students' potential and foster improved academic performance. No other controls will be used in setting targets for local measures.

3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

Achievement targets are set for each student. The number of students meeting the target will be divided by the total number of students in the teacher's classes to identify the overall percentage of students meeting the target. The percentage is then converted to a scale score of 0 to 20 or 0 to 15. This method ensures proportional accountability based on the percentage of students assessed by each locally selected measure.

3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

3.16) Assurances Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
3.16) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked

4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created Thursday, May 03, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 15, 2012

Page 1

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

Danielson's Framework for Teaching

Not Applicable

4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	38
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	0
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	0
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	0
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	0
Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	22

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

[SurveyTools.0] Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
[SurveyTools.1] Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
[SurveyTools.2] Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
[SurveyTools.3] District Variance	(No response)

4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

4.4) Assurances Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The district will use the Danielson 2007 Rubric and will weight the four domains as follows: Domain 1 Planning and Preparation 15 Points; Domain 2 Classroom Environment 8 Points; Domain 3 Instruction 30 Points; Domain 4 Professional Responsibilities 7 Points. The 38 points from Domains 2 and 3 will be based on multiple classroom observations including formal and informal observations. The 22 points from Domain 1 and 4 will be based on evidence of student development with the use of a structured review of lesson plans, student portfolios and other artifacts of teacher practices. At the beginning of each year, the teacher, the principal, the Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum, Instruction and Personnel, and the superintendent will determine what artifacts are appropriate evidence for the 22 points from Domains 1 and 4. It was agreed that no teacher can receive a rating of highly effective if the teacher has a score of 0 in any domain. The points will be assessed in the aggregate for each domain rather than reflect each specific element within the domains. Specifically the evaluator will review all available data and evidence as they reflect the elements in each of the four domains. A teacher's overall performance can be rated at any score point from 0 to 60.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5091/124533-eka9yMJ855/HEDI Rating Categories teachers.doc

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	A rating of highly effective is achieved by demonstrating exemplary performance in planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities and earning an overall score of 56 to 60 points.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A rating of effective is achieved by demonstrating strong performance in planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities and earning an overall score of 51 to 55 points.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A rating of developing is achieved by demonstrating a need for improvement in the performance of planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities and earning an overall score of 41 to 50 points.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A rating of ineffective is identified by poor performance in planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities and earning an overall score of 0 to 40 points.

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	56-60
Effective	51-55
Developing	41-50
Ineffective	0-40

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Formal/Long	3
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Informal/Short	1
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Enter Total	4

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Formal/Long	1
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Informal/Short	1
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- In Person
-

5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Thursday, May 03, 2012

Updated Tuesday, June 26, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	56-60
Effective	51-55
Developing	41-50
Ineffective	0-40

5.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

**Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement**

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created Thursday, May 03, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 15, 2012

Page 1

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

assets/survey-uploads/5265/124535-Df0w3Xx5v6/KP TIP 8-10-12_1.doc

6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Each teacher will receive at least one observation – formal or informal – by the end of the first semester in the school year. In the case of a probationary teacher or a tenured teacher whose last APPR rating was ineffective, the teacher will receive a formal observation within the first 10 weeks of the school year. A teacher who receives an observation score in the developing or ineffective range may request another observation by the same or a different administrator. In addition to the regular submission of lesson plans, additional artifacts will be collected throughout the school year, and at least one artifact must be submitted by the teacher each quarter. Artifacts

will include portfolios of student work and other evidence for the elements in Domain 1 and Domain 4 of the Danielson 2007 rubric. The final HEDI rating for the other measures of effectiveness comprising the 60 points will be provided to the teacher by June 1. The District and the Kings Park Classroom Teachers Association recognize and understand that in very limited extraordinary circumstances this portion of the APPR may be delayed by no more than a few days.

The Composite Effectiveness Score will be presented to the teacher no later than September 1 of the following school year. A teacher, who receives a rating of ineffective on the Composite Effectiveness Score of the APPR for the first time, will be placed on a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) according to the procedures outlined in Section 6.2 of this application. The teacher will be assigned a mentor mutually agreed to by the District and the Kings Park Classroom Teachers Association. The mentor will assist that teacher in making improvement to avoid a second consecutive rating of ineffective. The teacher has a right to refuse the assignment of a mentor.

A tenured teacher who receives a rating of ineffective on his/her Composite Effectiveness Score may appeal the ineffective rating to the Superintendent of Schools no later than 15 work days after receiving the ineffective rating. Within 5 work days of receiving the appeal, the superintendent will schedule a meeting with the teacher making the appeal. The teacher may bring a union representative to this meeting. The meeting will be held within 10 work days of the filing of the appeal unless it is mutually agreed upon between the teacher and the superintendent to meet outside the 10-day requirement. Since the APPR may not be finalized prior to the end of the school year, allowances will be made for approved vacation time which will not count toward the 10-day requirement. The meeting will occur in a timely and expeditious manner and no later than 30 days after the filing of the appeal.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the reasons for the appeal and to gather any information the teacher may wish to submit to the superintendent for consideration. Following this meeting, the superintendent will meet with the teacher's principal and supervisor (e.g., Administrator for Pupil Personnel, Athletic Director) to discuss the purpose of the appeal and to gather relevant evidence from the principal and supervisor. This evidence will relate to the reason given for the appeal: (1) substance of the APPR, (2) adherence to applicable standards and methodologies, and/or (3) adherence to regulations of the Commissioner, compliance with locally negotiated procedures, and implementation of the teacher improvement plan (TIP) if applicable.

The superintendent will consider all information received during these meetings and review all observation reports and other evidence used to determine the rating (if the appeal relates to the substance of the APPR) before rendering a final decision on the appeal. The superintendent's decision will be rendered within 10 work days after meeting with the teacher. The superintendent's decision regarding the appeal of the ineffective rating is final.

For probationary teachers, the APPR will be used as a significant factor in the determination of employment based upon instructional performance. All components of the APPR that are available will be reviewed by the superintendent. The superintendent will review the probationary teacher's APPR before making a decision regarding a recommendation for tenure or termination. This review may take place before the Composite Effectiveness Score is available. This does not preclude the superintendent from notifying a probationary teacher of his/her recommendation to discontinue his/her employment at any time during their probationary period. All decisions regarding employment will comply with Education Law section 3012-c.

6.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

The principals will serve as the lead evaluators for the teachers in the Kings Park Central School District. The district has selected and received agreement with the Kings Park Classroom Teachers' Association to utilize the Danielson 2007, Framework for Teaching Rubric. As lead evaluators our principals will continue to participate in ongoing training that is offered by BOCES and the district. These sessions have targeted the key elements that are required for the certification as a lead evaluator. The district provides professional development to principals at its bi-weekly administrative meetings and at several half-day afterschool training sessions for all evaluators.

The district has dedicated much of its time with administrative staff to enhance their working knowledge of the New York State Standards; the State Reporting System; the development of local assessments; and the use of growth and value added models. The district also has made a concerted effort to offer training in the area of evidence based observations. The district will continue to require lead evaluators to attend BOCES and district sponsored training which will target the following elements that are required for certification as a lead evaluator: the New York State Teaching Standards; growth models for student achievement; evidence based observations that are aligned to the Danielson 2007 rubric; artifacts of teacher practices such as lesson plans; use of the STAR Renaissance assessments; use of the state wide instructional reporting system; the generation of scores for each subcomponent of the composite effectiveness score; and the evaluation of teachers of English Language Learners and Students with Disabilities.

The Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum, Instruction, and Personnel attends training provided by the State Education Department

and BOCES. He then serves as the district's turn-key trainer and provides that training to the principals and other administrators.

In order to enhance and ensure inter-rater reliability, the district is conducting professional development for all principals and district administrators through which the Danielson 2007 rubric is analyzed and applied to teaching scenarios. Each principal and administrator watches a video showing a classroom lesson and gathers evidence. At the end of the video, the evidence is evaluated using the rubric. Then the principals and administrators compare the evidence each gathered and their evaluation using the rubric. The discussion focuses on similarities and differences to teach everyone to gather appropriate evidence and apply the rubric accurately and consistently.

As part of their ongoing training, the assistant superintendent, the superintendent and the principals will conduct a minimum of two classroom visits with each principal using Danielson 2007 Rubric during the 2012-2013 school year and will compare the evidence that was collected from each visitation and the alignment to the rubric. This data will be used to determine inter-rater reliability and to provide evidence to the assistant superintendent and the superintendent that the principal has met the qualifications for lead evaluator.

Each principal will conduct group walkthroughs and classroom observations with all building level administrators participating in the evaluation of teachers so that each observes the same classroom instruction, gathers evidence during the lesson and uses the rubric to evaluate the evidence. The group then compares their evaluations and discusses differences leading to a fuller understanding of the rubric and its application. The principal will ensure that each building level administrator is able to gather appropriate evidence and apply the rubric accurately and consistently. At least once each year the assistant superintendent will conduct a walkthrough with each building level administrator to evaluate his/her success at gathering evidence and applying the rubric. This data will also be used to ensure inter-rater reliability at the building level.

The evidence of all the training will be presented to the Board of Education who will certify that each principal is highly qualified to be the lead evaluator for the teachers' evaluations. The Board will re-certify the lead evaluators each school year after reviewing the ongoing training they have received.

6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

-
- Checked
-

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created Thursday, May 03, 2012

Updated Tuesday, June 26, 2012

Page 1

7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

	4-5
	6-8
	9-12
	(No response)

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13	Checked

7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessment covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. District-determined assessments from the options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the school or program type this SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Please remember that State assessments must be used with SLOs if applicable to the school or program type.

School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment
K-3	State assessment	ELA and Math State assessments
K-3	State-approved 3rd party assessment	Star Early Literacy and Math, Renaissance Learning
(No response)	(No response)	(No response)
(No response)	(No response)	(No response)
(No response)	(No response)	(No response)

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	The same assessments will be used for both principals in the two K-3 schools. Growth targets will be set based on the pretest of the students in their respective grade levels. Students' pretest scores will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. STAR Renaissance will be the final assessments in grades K-2, and the 3rd grade ELA and Math State assessments will be the final assessments in grade 3. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The negotiated scale is shown in 7.3. Principals can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	The principal will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 7.3.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	The principal will be rated effective if 65 % to 84% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 7.3.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	The principal will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 7.3.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	The principal will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 7.3.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5365/124536-lha0DogRNw/APPR 20 point conversion scale 6-21-12.docm

7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

All SLOs will have targets set based on prior academic achievement (academic history). Prior academic achievement will be determined by STAR Renaissance at the beginning of the school year for all grades K-3. No other controls will be used.

7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html .	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created Thursday, May 03, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 15, 2012

Page 1

Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8
- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)

(h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4-5	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Reading Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
4-5	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
6-8	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Reading Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
6_8	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
9-12	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	KP Developed Grade Specific English Assessments
9-12	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	KP Developed Grade Specific Math Assessments

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	There is one school with each of the grade configurations listed in section 8.1 above. The same assessment will be used in all classrooms in the same grade level and course. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 15 points. The negotiated scale is shown in 8.1. Principals can achieve all scale points from 0 to 15.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 8.1.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 8.1.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 8.1.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 8.1.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5366/124537-qBFVOWF7fC/APPR 15 point conversion scale 6-21-12.docm

8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K-3	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
K-3	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	There are two schools with the K-3 grade configuration. The same assessments will be used in all classrooms in the same grade level and course in both schools. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20 points. The negotiated scale is shown in 8.2. Principals can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 8.2.

Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 8.2.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 8.2.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 8.2.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5366/124537-T8MIGWUVm1/APPR 20 point conversion scale 6-21-12.docm

8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

All assessments for local measures are aligned to the standards for each course of study. Targets will be set based on students' prior academic history. All targets will be reviewed by the building principal and the superintendent to ensure that all targets correlate to students' potential and foster improved academic performance. No other controls will be used in setting targets for local measures.

8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

Achievement targets are set for each student. The number of students meeting the target will be divided by the total number of students for whom these targets are set to identify the overall percentage of students meeting the target. The percentage is then converted to a scale score of 0 to 20 or 0 to 15. This method ensures proportional accountability based on the percentage of students assessed by each locally selected measure.

8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

8.5) Assurances Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check

8.5) Assurances Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check
8.5) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check

9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created Thursday, May 03, 2012

Updated Tuesday, June 26, 2012

Page 1

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric

(No response)

9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the points assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	60
---	----

Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents.	0
--	---

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 9.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below (if applicable):

9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	(No response)
9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	(No response)

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) School visits by other trained evaluators	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	(No response)

9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is posted, this form will be updated with dropdown menus of approved survey tools.

(No response)

(No response)

(No response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

9.6) Assurances Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The district will use the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric and will weight the six domains as follows: Domain 1 - Shared Vision of Learning 8 points; Domain 2 - School Culture and Instructional Program 16 points; Domain 3 - Safe, Efficient, Effective Learning Environment 15 points; Domain 4 - Community 9 points; Domain 5 - Integrity, Fairness, Ethics 7 points; Domain 6 - Political, Social, Economic, Legal and Cultural Context 5 points. At the beginning of each year, the principal, Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum, Instruction and Personnel and the superintendent will determine what artifacts are appropriate evidence to supplement the onsite observations of the principal. The points will be assessed in the aggregate for each domain rather than reflect each specific element within the domains. Specifically the evaluator will review all available data and evidence as they reflect the elements in each of the six domains. A principal's overall performance can be rated at any score point from 0 to 60.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5143/124538-pMADJ4gk6R/HEDI Rating Categories Principals.doc

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.	A highly effective rating is achieved by demonstrating exemplary performance in the following areas: creating a shared vision of learning; school culture and instructional program; safe, efficient, effective learning environment; community; integrity, fairness, ethics; and political, social, economic, legal and cultural context. The overall composite score for a rating of highly effective will range from 54 to 60 points.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	An effective rating is achieved by demonstrating strong performance in the following areas: creating a shared vision of learning; school culture and instructional program; safe, efficient, effective learning environment; community; integrity, fairness, ethics; and political, social, economic, legal and cultural context. The overall composite score for a rating of effective will range from 43 to 53 points.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	A rating of developing is achieved by demonstrating a need for improvement in performance in the following areas: creating a shared vision of learning; school culture and instructional program; safe, efficient, effective learning environment; community; integrity, fairness, ethics; and political, social, economic, legal and cultural context. The overall composite score for a rating of developing will range from 31 to

42 points.

Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.

An ineffective rating is achieved by poor performance in the following areas: creating a shared vision of learning; school culture and instructional program; safe, efficient, effective learning environment; community; integrity, fairness, ethics; and political, social, economic, legal and cultural context. The overall composite score for a rating of ineffective will range from 0 to 30 points.

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	54-60
Effective	43-53
Developing	31-42
Ineffective	0-30

9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

Probationary Principals

By supervisor	3
By trained administrator	1
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	4

Tenured Principals

By supervisor	1
By trained administrator	1
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Thursday, May 03, 2012

Updated Tuesday, June 26, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	54-60
Effective	43-53
Developing	31-42
Ineffective	0-30

10.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created Thursday, May 03, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 15, 2012

Page 1

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in your school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

[assets/survey-uploads/5276/124540-Df0w3Xx5v6/Principal Improvement Plan for APPR 6-11-12.docm](#)

11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Each principal will receive at least one observation – formal or informal – by the end of the first semester in the school year. In the case of a probationary principal or a tenured principal whose last APPR rating was developing or ineffective, the principal will receive a formal observation within the first 10 weeks of the school year. A principal who receives an observation score in the developing or ineffective range may request another observation by the Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum, Instruction and Personnel or the superintendent. Artifacts will be collected throughout the school year. Artifacts will include those documents agreed upon at the beginning of the school year between the principal, assistant superintendent, and the superintendent. The final HEDI rating for the other measures of effectiveness comprising the 60 points will be provided to the principal by June 30.

The Composite Effectiveness Score will be presented to the principal no later than September 1 of the following school year. Our principals asked that the district acknowledge that State growth scores could be delayed and not received in time to complete the Composite Effectiveness Score by September 1st, which could delay the filing of an appeal. Should this occur, the district will compute the Composite Effectiveness Scores as soon as possible, but no later than 10 days after receiving the State growth scores.

A principal who receives a rating of developing or ineffective on the Composite Effectiveness Score of the APPR for the first time will be placed on a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) according to the procedures outlined in Section 11.2 of this application. The principal will be assigned a mentor to assist that principal in making improvement to avoid a second consecutive rating of developing or ineffective.

A tenured principal who receives a rating of developing or ineffective on his/her Annual Professional Performance Evaluation may appeal the developing or ineffective rating to the Superintendent of Schools within 15 work days after the rating of developing or ineffective is received by the principal. Within 5 work days of receiving the appeal, the superintendent will schedule a meeting with the principal making the appeal. The principal may bring a union representative to this meeting. The meeting will be held within 10 work days of the filing of the appeal unless it is mutually agreed between the principal and the superintendent to meet outside the 10-day requirement. Since the APPR may not be finalized prior to the close of school, allowances will be made for approved vacation time which will not count toward the 10-day time period. The meeting will occur in a timely and expeditious manner and no later than 30 days of the filing of the appeal.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the reasons for the appeal and to gather any additional information the principal may wish to submit to the superintendent for consideration. Following this meeting, the superintendent will meet with the principal's supervisor to discuss the purpose of the appeal and to gather evidence from the supervisor. This evidence will relate to the reason given for the appeal: (1) substance of the APPR, (2) adherence to applicable standards and methodologies, and/or (3) adherence to regulations of the Commissioner, compliance with locally negotiated procedures, and implementation of the principal improvement plan (PIP). The superintendent's decision will be rendered within 10 work days after the meeting with the principal. If the rating of developing or ineffective is upheld, the principal will be placed on a PIP which will remain in effect until the next evaluation cycle is complete. If the rating is not upheld, the superintendent will direct the supervisor to reconsider the evidence and determine the revised rating of the principal's performance within 10 days of the superintendent's decision.

For a tenured principal who has received two consecutive ratings of ineffective and who appeals the second ineffective rating, the principal's bargaining unit and the superintendent will select a mutually acceptable outside evaluator who is an experienced principal. The individual will be on a list of outside evaluators who were mutually agreed to by the superintendent and the bargaining unit and approved by the Board of Education for this purpose. This selection will be completed within 10 days of the appeal being received by the superintendent. This outside evaluator will have the opportunity to review the APPR evidence on which the ineffective ratings are based. The outside evaluator is expected to complete his/her review of the evidence and submit a report of his/her determination of the effectiveness of the principal within 30 days of selection. The outside evaluator's report and findings will be advisory in nature and the superintendent will consider this information before rendering a final decision on the appeal. The superintendent's decision will be rendered within 10 work days after the report is received.

The superintendent's decision regarding the appeal is final.

For probationary principals, the APPR will be used as a significant factor in the determination of employment. The Superintendent of Schools will review the probationary principal's APPR before making a decision regarding a recommendation for tenure or termination.

11.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

The direct supervisor for the five principals in this district is the Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum, Instruction, and Personnel. He and the Superintendent of Schools will be the lead evaluators for the principals' APPR. The assistant superintendent and the superintendent have attended several workshops to gain expertise in the evaluation of the principals for the new APPR. The assistant superintendent attended workshops on principal evaluations offered by the State Education Department (3 days) and Western Suffolk BOCES (1 day). The superintendent attended workshops offered by Western Suffolk BOCES (1 day) and the New York State Council of School Superintendents (1 day). In Summer 2012 they will receive rubric specific training on the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric which will be used in this school district. The principals also will be included in this training. The assistant superintendent and superintendent will attend additional professional development workshops and training as they are scheduled by BOCES, SED and the New York Council of School Superintendents.

As part of their ongoing training, the assistant superintendent and the superintendent will conduct a minimum of two school visitations of each principal using the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric during the 2012-2013 school year. The evidence gathered from the visitations, as well as the artifacts that have been submitted by the principal, will be reviewed independently by each lead evaluator and aligned to the rubric to determine a rating. This process will be used to ensure inter-rater reliability.

The evidence of all the training will be presented to the Board of Education who will certify that the assistant superintendent and the superintendent are both highly qualified to be the lead evaluators for the principals' APPR. The Board will re-certify both lead evaluators each school year after reviewing the ongoing training they have received.

11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

-
- Checked
-

11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created Thursday, May 03, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 15, 2012

Page 1

12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form

<assets/survey-uploads/5581/124541-3Uqgn5g9Iu/APPR Certification Form 8-14-12.pdf>

File types supported for uploads

PDF (preferred)

Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)

Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)

Open Office (.odt, .ott)

Images (.jpg, .gif)

Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

**APPR Growth Measures and Local Measures
Point Scale Conversion**

20 Point Scale

20 Point Scale		
HEDI	Scale Point	% Meeting Target
Highly Effective	20	96-100
	19	91-95
	18	85-90
Effective	17	82-84
	16	80-81
	15	78-79
	14	76-77
	13	74-75
	12	72-73
	11	70-71
	10	68-69
Developing	9	65-67
	8	63-64
	7	60-62
	6	57-59
	5	54-56
	4	52-53
Ineffective	3	50-51
	2	36-49
	1	21-35
	0	0-20

HEDI Rating Categories

Danielson's Framework for Teaching

Domain	Title	Points	Evidence
1	Planning and Preparation	15	Structured Reviews
2	The Classroom Environment	8	Classroom Observations
3	Instruction	30	Classroom Observations
4	Professional Responsibilities	7	Structured Reviews

HEDI Rating Categories

Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric (MPPR)

Domain	Title	Points	Evidence
1	Shared Vision of Learning	8	Assessment of Principal Leadership and Management
2	School Culture and Instructional Program	16	Assessment of Principal Leadership and Management
3	Safe, Efficient, Effective Learning Environment	15	Assessment of Principal Leadership and Management
4	Community	9	Assessment of Principal Leadership and Management
5	Integrity, Fairness, Ethics	7	Assessment of Principal Leadership and Management
6	Political, Social, Economic, Legal and Cultural Context	5	Assessment of Principal Leadership and Management

**APPR Growth Measures and Local Measures
Point Scale Conversion**

15 Point Scale

15 Point Scale		
HEDI	Scale Point	% Meeting Target
Highly Effective	15	93-100
	14	85-92
Effective	13	81-84
	12	77-80
	11	74-76
	10	71-73
	9	68-70
	8	65-67
Developing	7	62-64
	6	59-61
	5	56-58
	4	53-55
	3	50-52
Ineffective	2	36-49
	1	21-35
	0	0-20

**APPR Growth Measures and Local Measures
Point Scale Conversion**

20 Point Scale

20 Point Scale		
HEDI	Scale Point	% Meeting Target
Highly Effective	20	96-100
	19	91-95
	18	85-90
Effective	17	82-84
	16	80-81
	15	78-79
	14	76-77
	13	74-75
	12	72-73
	11	70-71
	10	68-69
Developing	9	65-67
	8	63-64
	7	60-62
	6	57-59
	5	54-56
	4	52-53
Ineffective	3	50-51
	2	36-49
	1	21-35
	0	0-20

**APPR Growth Measures and Local Measures
Point Scale Conversion**

20 Point Scale

20 Point Scale		
HEDI	Scale Point	% Meeting Target
Highly Effective	20	96-100
	19	91-95
	18	85-90
Effective	17	82-84
	16	80-81
	15	78-79
	14	76-77
	13	74-75
	12	72-73
	11	70-71
	10	68-69
Developing	9	65-67
	8	63-64
	7	60-62
	6	57-59
	5	54-56
	4	52-53
Ineffective	3	50-51
	2	36-49
	1	21-35
	0	0-20

**APPR Growth Measures and Local Measures
Point Scale Conversion**

15 Point Scale

15 Point Scale		
HEDI	Scale Point	% Meeting Target
Highly Effective	15	93-100
	14	85-92
Effective	13	81-84
	12	77-80
	11	74-76
	10	71-73
	9	68-70
	8	65-67
Developing	7	62-64
	6	59-61
	5	56-58
	4	53-55
	3	50-52
Ineffective	2	36-49
	1	21-35
	0	0-20

**APPR Growth Measures and Local Measures
Point Scale Conversion**

20 Point Scale

20 Point Scale		
HEDI	Scale Point	% Meeting Target
Highly Effective	20	96-100
	19	91-95
	18	85-90
Effective	17	82-84
	16	80-81
	15	78-79
	14	76-77
	13	74-75
	12	72-73
	11	70-71
	10	68-69
Developing	9	65-67
	8	63-64
	7	60-62
	6	57-59
	5	54-56
	4	52-53
Ineffective	3	50-51
	2	36-49
	1	21-35
	0	0-20

Kings Park Central School District Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP)

The **Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP)** is designed to identify specific areas of performance that are in need of improvement and outlines a plan of action to assist the teacher in improving performance in those identified areas. The TIP clearly delineates the areas to be addressed, the expected improvement in performance, evidence that will be collected to determine if improvement has been made, and a timeline for improvement.

A TIP will be developed for any teacher who receives a rating of *developing or ineffective* in his/her year-end evaluation. The teacher, his/her principal, the Administrator for Pupil Personnel if applicable, and the teacher's union representative will meet to discuss the need for the TIP no later than June 30th of the school year where the evaluation with a rating of developing or ineffective is received. If the Composite Effectiveness Score is not available by June 30, the district will not make the determination for a TIP until the Composite Effectiveness Score is received. The specific TIP will be developed by the supervisor in consultation with the teachers and his/her representative and put in place no later than September 10 of the following school year. An initial conference shall be held at the beginning of the school year to finalize the TIP, sign off on the document, and implement the plan.

A teacher who receives a rating of ineffective will be assigned a mentor who will work with the teacher to address the concerns outlined in the TIP. The mentor will be another teacher who has been trained as a mentor and who teaches the same grade and subject if possible.

If the teacher on a TIP receives a rating of *developing or ineffective* at the end of the school year for which the TIP is in place, the teacher will be given a new TIP following the process outlined above.

**Kings Park Central School District
Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP)**

Name _____ Tenure ____ Non-Tenure ____

School _____ School year _____

Grade/Department _____ Principal _____

1. Areas in need of improvement:

2. Expectations to demonstrate improvement:

3. Recommended resources and activities to help the teacher's performance improve:

4. Assessment of the evidence to determine if expected improvement occurred:

5. Timeline to demonstrate improvement:

Teacher _____	Date _____
Principal _____	Date _____
Administrator for PPS _____	Date _____
Union representative _____	Date _____

V. Criteria and evidence that will be utilized for measuring the principal's progress and achievement with respect to the specific objectives and targeted goals:

VI. Dates and timeline for measuring achievement and the expected outcomes of the plan:

Principal's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Supervisor's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Building Administrators' Association Rep. (Optional): _____

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a TIP or PIP plan, in accordance with the regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with the regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal
- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)

- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the regulation and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- If this APPR Plan is being submitted subsequent to July 1, 2012, assure that this was the result of unresolved collective bargaining negotiations

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date:

Sam Negro 8/14/12

Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

James Pappas 8/14/12

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

Rudy Morrison 8/14/12

Board of Education President Signature: Date:

Marije Galdstein 8/14/12