



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Acting Commissioner of Education
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

May 21, 2015

Revised

Dr. George Stone, Superintendent
Lakeland Central School District
1086 East Main Street
Shrub Oak, NY 10588

Dear Superintendent Stone:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth R. Berlin
Acting Commissioner

Attachment

c: James T. Langlois

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Reviews

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Monday, March 09, 2015

1

Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

1.1) School District's BEDS Number : 662401060000

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

662401060000

1.2) School District Name: LAKELAND CSD

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

LAKELAND CSD

1.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.3) Assurances Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.3) Assurances Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.3) Assurances Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

1.4) Submission Status

For districts, BOCES, or charter schools that did not have an approved APPR plan in the previous school year, is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan? For districts, BOCES, or charter schools that did have an approved APPR plan for the previous school year, this must be listed as a submission of material changes to the approved APPR plan.

Submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan

2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 05/20/2015

For guidance on the State Growth or Comparable Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections D, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

(25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided measures, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved.	Checked

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For core subjects: grade 8 Science, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- State assessments, *required if one exists*
- List of State-approved 3rd party assessments
- District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: "[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment." For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: "GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment."

2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	ELA	Assessment
K	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS 4th Grade Science Assessment
1	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS 4th Grade Science Assessment
2	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS 4th Grade Science Assessment

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>A unitary score shall be issued to Grades K-2 common branch teachers of record based upon the percentage of students in the building who meet their individually set growth targets as set by the applicable teachers of record in collaboration with the building principal, with ultimate approval authority vested in the Superintendent of Schools or designee. HEDI points will be awarded based upon percentage of students meeting their individual growth targets, school wide, on the listed Assessment.</p> <p>For grade 3 teachers of record, either pre-assessments will be administered at the beginning of the school year to determine student growth targets or growth targets shall be set after analysis of baseline data, as determined annually by the Superintendent. Individual student growth targets will be set after analysis of baseline data or after administration of pre-assessments, as applicable on an annual basis. Individual growth targets will be set by each 3rd grade teacher of record in collaboration with the building principal, with ultimate approval authority vested in the Superintendent of Schools or designee. HEDI points will be awarded based upon percentage of students meeting their individual growth targets.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See Section 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See Section 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See Section 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	See Section 2.11.

2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Math	Assessment
K	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS 4th Grade Science Assessment
1	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS 4th Grade Science Assessment
2	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS 4th Grade Science Assessment

	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.</p>	<p>A unitary score shall be issued to Grades K-2 common branch teachers of record based upon the percentage of students in the building who meet their individually set growth targets as set by the applicable teachers of record in collaboration with the building principal, with ultimate approval authority vested in the Superintendent of Schools or designee. HEDI points will be awarded based upon percentage of students meeting their individual growth targets, school wide, on the listed Assessment.</p> <p>For grade 3 teachers of record, either pre-assessments will be administered at the beginning of the school year to determine student growth targets or growth targets shall be set after analysis of baseline data, as determined annually by the Superintendent. Individual student growth targets will be set after analysis of baseline data or after administration of pre-assessments, as applicable on an annual basis. Individual growth targets will be set by each 3rd grade teacher of record in collaboration with the building principal, with ultimate approval authority vested in the Superintendent of Schools or designee. HEDI points will be awarded based upon percentage of students meeting their individual growth targets.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>See Section 2.11.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>See Section 2.11.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>See Section 2.11.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>See Section 2.11.</p>

2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS 8th Grade Science Assessment
7	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS 8th Grade Science Assessment

	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.</p>	<p>A unitary score shall be issued to Grades 6-7 science teachers of record based upon the percentage of students in the building who meet their individually set growth targets as set by the applicable teachers of record in collaboration with the building principal, with ultimate approval authority vested in the Superintendent of Schools or designee. HEDI points will be awarded based upon percentage of students meeting their individual growth targets, school wide, on the listed Assessment.</p> <p>For 8th grade science teachers of record, either pre-assessments will be administered at the beginning of the school year to determine student growth targets or growth targets shall be set after analysis of baseline data, as determined annually by the Superintendent. Individual student growth targets will be set after analysis of baseline data or after administration of pre-assessments, as applicable on an annual basis. Individual growth targets will be set by each 8th grade science teacher of record in collaboration with the building principal, with ultimate approval authority vested in the Superintendent of Schools or designee. HEDI points will be awarded based upon percentage of students meeting their individual growth targets.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>See Section 2.11.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>See Section 2.11.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>See Section 2.11.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>See Section 2.11.</p>

2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grade 8 Science Assessment
7	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grade 8 Science Assessment
8	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS Grade 8 Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>A unitary score shall be issued to Grades 6-8 social studies teachers of record based upon the percentage of students in the building who meet their individually set growth targets as set by the applicable teachers of record in collaboration with the building principal, with ultimate approval authority vested in the Superintendent of Schools or designee. HEDI points will be awarded based upon percentage of students meeting their individual growth targets, school wide, on the listed Assessment.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	See Section 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	See Section 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	See Section 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	See Section 2.11.

2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

		Assessment
Global 1	School-/BOCES-wide group/team results based on State assessments	Global Studies Regents Assessment

	Social Studies Regents Courses	Assessment
Global 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.</p>	<p>For High School Social Studies Regents teachers of record, either pre-assessments will be administered at the beginning of the school year to determine student growth targets or growth targets shall be set after analysis of baseline data, as determined annually by the Superintendent. Building wide growth targets will be set after analysis of baseline data or after administration of pre-assessments, as applicable on an annual basis. Building wide growth targets will be set by committees of content area teachers and administrators, with ultimate approval authority vested in the Superintendent of Schools or designee. HEDI points will be awarded based upon percentage of students meeting the building wide growth targets.</p> <p>The Global 1 teachers of record shall be provided a growth score consistent with that received by the Global 2 teachers of record. HEDI points will be assigned based upon the results of all students in the building who take the Global 2 Regents Assessment. In the event that NYS requires a Global 1 Regents Assessment, the same process as outlined in the paragraph above shall be utilized to set growth targets for Global 1 teachers of record.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>85% or higher of the targeted students achieve the goal.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>75%-84% of the targeted students achieve the goal.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>60%-74% of the targeted students achieve the goal.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>Below 60% of the targeted students achieve the goal.</p>

2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Physics	Not applicable	Not applicable

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>For High School Science Regents teachers of record, either pre-assessments will be administered at the beginning of the school year to determine student growth targets or growth targets shall be set after analysis of baseline data, as determined annually by the Superintendent. Building wide growth targets will be set after analysis of baseline data or after administration of pre-assessments, as applicable on an annual basis. Building wide growth targets will be set by committees of content area teachers and administrators, with ultimate approval authority vested in the Superintendent of Schools or designee. HEDI points will be awarded based upon percentage of students meeting the building wide growth targets.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth.</p> <p>Note: The District does not administer the Physics Regents Examination.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	85% or higher of the targeted students achieve the goal.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	75%-84% of the targeted students achieve the goal.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	60%-74% of the targeted students achieve the goal.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	Below 60% of the targeted students achieve the goal.

2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

NOTE: For Algebra 1 and Geometry, please specify whether your district will be offering the 2005 Learning Standards version of the assessment in addition to the Common Core version, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.</p>	<p>For High School Math Regents teachers of record, either pre-assessments will be administered at the beginning of the school year to determine student growth targets or growth targets shall be set after analysis of baseline data, as determined annually by the Superintendent. Building wide growth targets will be set after analysis of baseline data or after administration of pre-assessments, as applicable on an annual basis. Building wide growth targets will be set by committees of content area teachers and administrators, with ultimate approval authority vested in the Superintendent of Schools or designee. HEDI points will be awarded based upon percentage of students meeting the building wide growth targets.</p> <p>Note: For students enrolled in Common Core Regents math courses, during any such year that both the Common Core Regents is offered in addition to the non-Common Core Regents, the higher of the two scores shall be used to determine the respective teacher of records' HEDI rating in accordance with SED guidance, so long as permitted by SED.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>85% or higher of the targeted students achieve the goal.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>75%-84% of the targeted students achieve the goal.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>60%-74% of the targeted students achieve the goal.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.</p>	<p>Below 60% of the targeted students achieve the goal.</p>

2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	School-/BOCES-wide group/team results based on State assessments	Common Core English Regents Assessment
Grade 10 ELA	School-/BOCES-wide group/team results based on State assessments	Common Core English Regents Assessment
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	Common Core English Regents Assessment

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

NOTE: For Grade 11 ELA, please specify whether your district will be offering the Comprehensive English Regents in addition to the Common Core English Regents, or just the latter, how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>For 11th grade English Regents teachers of record, either pre-assessments will be administered at the beginning of the school year to determine student growth targets or growth targets shall be set after analysis of baseline data, as determined annually by the Superintendent. Building wide growth targets will be set after analysis of baseline data or after administration of pre-assessments, as applicable on an annual basis. Building wide growth targets will be set by committees of content area teachers and administrators, with ultimate approval authority vested in the Superintendent of Schools or designee. HEDI points will be awarded based upon percentage of students meeting the building wide growth targets.</p> <p>Grades 9 and 10 English teachers of record shall receive a unitary growth score based upon the percentage of students belonging to all 11th grade English Regents teachers of record who meet the building wide growth target on the Common Core English Regents Assessment.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	85% or higher of the targeted students achieve the goal.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	75%-84% of the targeted students achieve the goal.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	60%-74% of the targeted students achieve the goal.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	Below 60% of the targeted students achieve the goal.

2.10) All Other Courses

Fill in, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have Student Learning Objectives. If you need additional space, duplicate this form and upload (below) as an attachment to your APPR plan. You may combine into one line any groups of teachers for whom the answers in the boxes are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above". Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, the 2nd drop-down option applies to grades 3 and above and the 5th drop-down option applies to grades K-2.

	Course(s) or Subject(s)	Option	Assessment
	K-12 self-contained special education - alternately assessed	State Assessment	NYSAA
	K-12 ESL	State Assessment	NYSESLAT
	All Other Courses K-5	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grades 4 Science Assessment
	All Other Courses 6-8	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grades 8 Science Assessment
	All Other Courses 9-12	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	Common Core English Regents
	Grades 4-8 ELA/Math teachers of record who do not receive a State Provided Growth Score	State Assessment	NYS Grades 4-8 ELA and/or Math State Assessments

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	See Section 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	See Section 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	See Section 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	See Section 2.11.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. \(MS Word\)](#)

https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12186/2710679-avH4IQNZMh/appr_2_11_256257375-Section%202.11%2020%20pts.%20State%20Assessments%20Scoring%20Bands%20revised%205-20-15.doc

2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

(No response)

2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used assigning points to a teacher's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are the following: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, and students in poverty.

No Controls

2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
--	---------

Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document).	Checked
Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Checked

3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 03/25/2015

For guidance on the Locally Selected Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections E, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers.

Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment. Additionally, please provide a brief explanation in the HEDI general description box of why you have listed the grade/course as "Not Applicable" (e.g., district/BOCES does not offer this grade/subject; common branch teacher).

Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: "[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment." For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: "GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment."

NOTE: If your district/BOCES is using the same assessment for both the State growth and other comparable measures subcomponent and the locally-selected measures subcomponent, be sure that a *different* measure of student performance is being used with the assessment (e.g., achievement rather than growth; growth measured in a different manner).

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment
- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
 - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
5	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: When completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	<p>STAR Math Enterprise and STAR Reading Enterprise shall be administered to all students in Grades 2-8, and shall be used to measure student growth for all teachers of record in Grades K-8.</p> <p>A building-wide score shall be issued to all Grades K-5, and 6-8 teachers of record within the same building, respectively. Grades K-8 teachers who provide instruction to students in multiple buildings shall receive scores based upon the building that houses the majority of students that such teachers instruct. Annually, by no later than October 1st, after analysis of baseline data, the District and the LFT shall agree upon building-wide growth targets. Student growth will be measured based upon the percentage of students within each building who meet the building-wide growth target on the STAR assessments, which shall be administered throughout the school year.</p> <p>Upon the State's introduction of a value-added growth measure for teachers in Grades 4-8, Table 1 (0-15 points) shall be used to provide points and a corresponding HEDI rating to Grades 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math teachers of record. Until a value-added growth measure is implemented, Table 2 shall be used to provide points and a HEDI rating to Grades 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math teachers of record.</p>
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85% or higher of the targeted students will show growth.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	75%-84% of the targeted students will show growth.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	60%-74% of the targeted students will show growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Below 60% of the targeted students will show growth.

3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
5	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.</p>	<p>STAR Math Enterprise and STAR Reading Enterprise shall be administered to all students in Grades 2-8, and shall be used to measure student growth for all teachers of record in Grades K-8.</p> <p>A building-wide score shall be issued to all Grades K-5, and 6-8 teachers of record within the same building, respectively. Grades K-8 teachers who provide instruction to students in multiple buildings shall receive scores based upon the building that houses the majority of students that such teachers instruct. Annually, by no later than October 1st, after analysis of baseline data, the District and the LFT shall agree upon building-wide growth targets. Student growth will be measured based upon the percentage of students within each building who meet the building-wide growth target on the STAR assessments, which shall be administered throughout the school year.</p> <p>Upon the State's introduction of a value-added growth measure for teachers in Grades 4-8, Table 1 (0-15 points) shall be used to provide points and a corresponding HEDI rating to Grades 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math teachers of record. Until a value-added growth measure is implemented, Table 2 shall be used to provide points and a HEDI rating to Grades 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math teachers of record.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>85% or higher of the targeted students will show growth.</p>
<p>Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>75%-84% of the targeted students will show growth.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>60%-74% of the targeted students will show growth.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>Below 60% of the targeted students will show growth.</p>

3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12149/2710681-rhJdBgDruP/Section 3.3 Upload 15 and 20 point charts 1.doc>

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher’s students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students’ level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students’ performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher’s students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or

math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment
- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
 - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
1	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
2	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
3	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>STAR Math Enterprise and STAR Reading Enterprise, which shall be administered to all students in Grades 2-8, shall be used to measure student growth for all teachers of record in Grades K-8.</p> <p>A building-wide score shall be issued to all Grades K-3 teachers of record within the same building. Teachers who provide instruction to students in multiple buildings shall receive scores based upon the building that houses the majority of students that such teachers instruct. Annually, by no later than October 1st, after analysis of baseline data, the District and the LFT shall agree upon building-wide growth targets. Student growth will be measured based upon the percentage of students within each building who meet the building-wide growth target on the STAR assessments, which shall be administered throughout the school year.</p> <p>The Table uploaded in Section 3.13 (0-20 points) shall be used to provide points and a corresponding HEDI rating to Grades K-3 teachers of record.</p>
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85% or higher of the targeted students will show growth.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	75%-84% of the targeted students will show growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	60%-74% of the targeted students will show growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Below 60% of the targeted students will show growth.

3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
1	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
2	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
3	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>STAR Math Enterprise and STAR Reading Enterprise, which shall be administered to all students in Grades 2-8, shall be used to measure student growth for all teachers of record in Grades K-8.</p> <p>A building-wide score shall be issued to all Grades K-3 teachers of record within the same building. Teachers who provide instruction to students in multiple buildings shall receive scores based upon the building that houses the majority of students that such teachers instruct. Annually, by no later than October 1st, after analysis of baseline data, the District and the LFT shall agree upon building-wide growth targets. Student growth will be measured based upon the percentage of students within each building who meet the building-wide growth target on the STAR assessments, which shall be administered throughout the school year.</p> <p>The Table uploaded in Section 3.13 (0-20 points) shall be used to provide points and a corresponding HEDI rating to Grades K-3 teachers of record.</p>
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85% or higher of the targeted students will show growth.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	75%-84% of the targeted students will show growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	60%-74% of the targeted students will show growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Below 60% of the targeted students will show growth.

3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>STAR Math Enterprise and STAR Reading Enterprise, which shall be administered to all students in Grades 2-8, shall be used to measure student growth for all teachers of record in Grades K-8.</p> <p>A building-wide score shall be issued to all Grades 6-8 teachers of record. Grades K-8 teachers who provide instruction to students in multiple buildings shall receive scores based upon the building that houses the majority of students that such teachers instruct. Annually, by no later than October 1st, after analysis of baseline data, the District and the LFT shall agree upon building-wide growth targets. Student growth will be measured based upon the percentage of students within each building who meet the building-wide growth target on the STAR assessments, which shall be administered throughout the school year.</p> <p>The Table uploaded Section 3.13 (0-20 points) shall be used to provide points and a HEDI rating to Grades 6-8 teachers of record.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85% or higher of the targeted students will show growth.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	75%-84% of the targeted students will show growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	60%-74% of the targeted students will show growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Below 60% of the targeted students will show growth.

3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>STAR Math Enterprise and STAR Reading Enterprise, which shall be administered to all students in Grades 2-8, shall be used to measure student growth for all teachers of record in Grades K-8.</p> <p>A building-wide score shall be issued to all Grades 6-8 teachers of record. Grades K-8 teachers who provide instruction to students in multiple buildings shall receive scores based upon the building that houses the majority of students that such teachers instruct. Annually, by no later than October 1st, after analysis of baseline data, the District and the LFT shall agree upon building-wide growth targets. Student growth will be measured based upon the percentage of students within each building who meet the building-wide growth target on the STAR assessments, which shall be administered throughout the school year.</p> <p>The Table uploaded Section 3.13 (0-20 points) shall be used to provide points and a HEDI rating to Grades 6-8 teachers of record.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85% or higher of the targeted students will show growth.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	75%-84% of the targeted students will show growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	60%-74% of the targeted students will show growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Below 60% of the targeted students will show growth.

3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Lakeland CSD Developed Global 1 Assessment
Global 2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Lakeland CSD Developed Global 2 Assessment
American History	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Lakeland CSD Developed American History and Government Assessment

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Pre-assessments will be administered at the beginning of the school year to determine baseline data for individual students Post-assessments will be administered within the interval determined to measure student growth. Individual growth targets will be set by the teacher and verified by the superintendent. HEDI points will be allocated based upon the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth target.
---	---

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85% or higher of the targeted students will show growth.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	75%-84% of the targeted students will show growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	60%-74% of the targeted students will show growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Below 60% of the targeted students will show growth..

3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Lakeland CSD Developed Living Environment Assessment
Earth Science	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Lakeland CSD Developed Earth Science Assessment
Chemistry	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Lakeland CSD Developed Chemistry Assessment
Physics	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Lakeland CSD Developed Physics Assessment

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Pre-assessments will be administered at the beginning of the school year to determine baseline data for individual students Post-assessments will be administered within the interval determined to measure student growth. Individual growth targets will be set by the teacher and verified by the superintendent. HEDI points will be allocated based upon the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth target.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85% or higher of the targeted students will show growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	75%-84% of the targeted students will show growth.
Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	60%-74% of the targeted students will show growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Below 60% of the targeted students will show growth.

3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Lakeland CSD Developed Algebra 1 Assessment
Geometry	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Lakeland CSD Developed Geometry Assessment
Algebra 2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Lakeland CSD Developed Algebra 2 Assessment

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

NOTE: As applicable, for Algebra 1 and Geometry, please specify whether your district will be offering the 2005 Learning Standards version of the assessment in addition to the Common Core version, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Pre-assessments will be administered at the beginning of the school year to determine baseline data for individual students Post-assessments will be administered within the interval determined to measure student growth. Individual growth targets will be set by the teacher and verified by the superintendent. HEDI points will be allocated based upon the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth target.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85% or higher of the targeted students will show growth.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	75%-84% of the targeted students will show growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	60%-74% of the targeted students will show growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Below 60% of the targeted students will show growth.

3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Lakeland CSD Developed Grade 9 ELA Assessment

Grade 10 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Lakeland CSD Developed Grade 10 ELA Assessment
Grade 11 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Lakeland CSD Developed Grade 11 ELA Assessment

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

NOTE: As applicable, please specify whether your district will be offering the Comprehensive English Regents in addition to the Common Core English Regents, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Pre-assessments will be administered at the beginning of the school year to determine baseline data for individual students Post-assessments will be administered within the interval determined to measure student growth. Individual growth targets will be set by the teacher and verified by the superintendent. HEDI points will be allocated based upon the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their individual growth target.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85% or higher of the targeted students will show growth.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	75%-84% of the targeted students will show growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	60%-74% of the targeted students will show growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Below 60% of the targeted students will show growth.

3.12) All Other Courses

Fill in for additional grades/subjects, as applicable. If you need additional space, complete additional copies of this form and upload (below) as attachments. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, drop-down option #4 applies to grades 3 and above and drop-down option #8 applies to grades K-2.

	Course(s) or Subject(s)	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
	All Other Grades K-5 Courses Not Named Above	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
	All Other Grades 6-8 Courses Not Named Above	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
	All Other Grades 9-12 Courses Not Named Above	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	Lakeland CSD Developed Grade and/or Subject Specific Assessment

3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12149/2710681-y92vNseFa4/Section 3.13 Upload 20 point chart.doc>

3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a teacher's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

No Controls

3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

Multiple measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score to arrive at the locally selected measure subcomponent score and effectiveness rating by averaging the measures. To arrive at the final scores for Grades K-8 teachers, the percentage of students within each building who meet the building-wide growth targets on the STAR Reading and Math assessments, respectively, will be computed. Each measure will earn a score from 0-20 (or 0-15 if a value added growth measure is approved by the State, where applicable) which will be weighted proportionately based on the number of students covered by each measure and then added together to arrive at the final HEDI rating and score. Normal rounding rules will apply.

For Grades 9-12 teachers with multiple measures points shall be assigned based upon the subject with the largest percentage of the teacher's student load.

Note: Grades K-8 teachers who provide instruction to students in multiple buildings shall receive scores based upon the building that houses the majority of students that such teachers instruct.

3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked

Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Checked

4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 05/19/2015

For guidance on the Other Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections H and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

Rubric	Danielson's Framework for Teaching
Second Rubric, if applicable	(No response)

4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered by the points assignment indicated immediately below (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	60
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	(No response)
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	(No response)
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	(No response)
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	(No response)

Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	0
--	---

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, label accordingly, and combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

Assure that district/BOCES will use survey tool(s) from the State-approved list or approved through the NYSED survey variance process	(No response)
---	---------------

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
District Variance	(No response)
My Student Survey, LLC's Survey of Teacher Practice (STeP) survey for use in grades 3-12	(No response)

4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The four domains are weighted as follows: Domain 1: 20%, Domain 2: 25%, Domain 3: 35%, and Domain 4: 20%. Each domain has sub-components that are weighted as indicated in the enclosed file. The file also converts the rubric score to the sub-component for a composite rating. We understand that all composite scores must be reported to the State in whole numbers. Normal rounding rules shall

apply; provided, however, rounding rules shall not cause a teacher to move to a different HEDI rating category.

Multiple scores of the same sub-component will be averaged together. The sub-component scores from all observations shall be averaged to arrive at a final Local 60 point score and corresponding HEDI rating.

In the attached conversion chart, the average rubric scores represent the minimum values necessary to achieve the corresponding conversion scores.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12179/2710683-eka9yMJ855/Danielson%20Spreadsheet%20Lakeland%20updated%204.23.15.xls>

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	Based on the district's goals and priorities, the teacher exceeds the level of performance expected as assessed by the Danielson Framework for Teaching.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Based on the district's goals and priorities, the teacher meets the level of performance expected as assessed by the Danielson Framework for Teaching.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Based on the district's goals and priorities, the teacher needs improvement in order to meet the level of performance expected as assessed by the Danielson Framework for Teaching.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Based on the district's goals and priorities, the teacher does not meet the level of performance expected as assessed by the Danielson Framework for Teaching.

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

Formal/Long	3
Informal/Short	0
Enter Total	3

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

Responses Selected:

In Person

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

Responses Selected:

Not Applicable

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

Formal/Long	2
Informal/Short	0
Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

Responses Selected:

In Person

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

Responses Selected:

Not Applicable

5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Tuesday, February 10, 2015

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness
(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly
Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

The Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

Where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures
Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement
Other Measures of Effectiveness
(60 points)

Overall
Composite Score

Highly Effective
18-20
18-20
Ranges determined locally--see below
91-100

Effective
9-17
9-17
75-90

Developing
3-8
3-8
65-74

Ineffective
0-2
0-2
0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

5.2) The scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

Where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 05/19/2015

See NYSED APPR Guidance sections C (APPR Plan Process; Teacher Improvement Plans), J (Evaluators, Training, and Certification, L (Appeals), and M (Data Management). NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. All TIP plans must include: 1) identification of needed areas of improvement, 2) a timeline for achieving improvement, 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips. Please be sure to update a document with a form layout, with fillable spaces and not just a narrative.

[https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5265/154490-Df0w3Xx5v6/Teacher%20Improvement%20Plan%20\(TIP\).doc](https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5265/154490-Df0w3Xx5v6/Teacher%20Improvement%20Plan%20(TIP).doc)

6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review
- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
APPR APPEALS PROCESS

Appeals can only be made for rankings of Developing or Ineffective. These teachers have the right to appeal all grounds permissible under

Education Law Section 3012-c. In order to ensure that appeals will be handled in a timely and expeditious manner, the following procedures will be implemented:

- Teacher, in writing, appeals to the Building Administrator/Lead Evaluator within 5 school days of receipt of results of their APPR, or their issuance of a TIP required pursuant to Education Law Section 3012-c.
- Building Administrator/Lead Evaluator has 5 school days to respond in writing
- If unsatisfied with Building Administrator/Lead Evaluator's response, teacher has the right to make an appeal to the LCSD APPR Appeals Committee within 10 school days
- The Appeals Committee shall consist of:
 - o The LFT president or designee
 - o A teacher selected by the LFT
 - o An administrator from a different building
- The Committee shall meet within 5 school days of receipt of the request to make a recommendation or determine additional information that is required to render a recommendation
- The Committee makes a confidential recommendation to the Superintendent within 10 school days of their meeting, no more than 15 school days after the receipt of the request
- The recommendation of the Appeals Committee shall not be revealed to any party other than the Superintendent
- The Superintendent shall issue his/her decision, in writing, within 10 school days. The decision of the Superintendent shall be final
- Should the process begin before the end of the school year, but not be completed, parties agree to freeze the clock and restart the clock 5 school days into the school year, however, in all circumstances both parties agree the appeals shall be handled in a timely and expeditious manner. In no case will the appeals process begin before a teacher receives his/her composite effectiveness score.

6.4) Training of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators and Certification of Lead Evaluators

Describe the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators. Your description must include 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators, 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability, 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

The district provides training for evaluators and lead evaluators through a variety of means: BOCES workshops, on-line resources and district-based professional development. A minimum of 15 hours of training is provided via BOCES and covers evidence-based observation and the Framework for Teaching. On-line resources from Charlotte Danielson including Reflect Live learning materials and the Framework for Teaching Proficiency System constitute a minimum of 20 hours of training. District-provided professional development in evidence-based observation and the Danielson rubric comprise a minimum of 18 hours of training. For new evaluators and lead evaluators, a minimum of two six (6) hour days of training will be provided, consistent with the requirements set forth in Part 30-2.9(b). As needed, on a periodic basis, updated training for lead evaluators and evaluators will be provided for a minimum of 6 hours annually. The Board of Education will certify and re-certify lead evaluators on a periodic basis after they have completed the requisite training required pursuant to Part 30-2.9(b). Re-certification will ensure maintenance of inter-rater reliability.

6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that all evaluators are properly trained and that lead evaluators, who complete an individual's performance review, will be "certified" to conduct evaluations in the following nine elements:	Checked
<p>(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable</p> <p>(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research</p> <p>(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart</p> <p>(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice</p> <p>(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.</p> <p>(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals</p> <p>(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System</p> <p>(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings</p> <p>(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities</p>	

Assure that the district will maintain inter-rater reliability of evaluators over time.	Checked
---	---------

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked

Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 05/01/2015

For guidance on the State Growth or Comparable Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections D, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth or value-added measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.).

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

	K-5
	6-8
	9-12
	(No response)

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that the value-added growth score(s) provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved	Checked

7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessments covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. The district must select the type of assessment that will be used with the SLO from the options below.

- If any grade/course in the building has a State-provided growth measure AND the principal must have SLOs because fewer than 30% of students in the building are covered, then the SLOs will begin first with the SGP/VA results.
- Additional SLOs will then be set based on grades/subjects with State assessments, where applicable.
- If additional SLOs are necessary, principals must begin with the grade(s)/course(s) that have the largest number of students using school-wide student results from one of the following assessment options: State-approved 3rd party or district/regional/BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the grade configuration of the school or program the SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the type of assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: “[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment.” For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: “GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment.” For State-approved 3rd party assessments, please include the name of the assessment exactly as it appears in RED on the State-approved list. For State assessments or Regents examinations, please indicate as such in the assessment name.

Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, the 2nd drop-down option applies to grades 3 and above and the 4th drop-down option applies to grades K-2.

	School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment
	K-5 (backup SLO)	State assessment	NYS Grades 3-5 ELA and Math Assessments
	6-8 (backup SLO)	State assessment	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA and Math Assessments
	9-12 (backup SLO)	State assessment	Common Core Algebra I/Common Core English Regents and all other applicable Regents (if necessary)

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance. Please describe the process your district is using to measure student growth on the assessments listed for this Task. If applicable, please also include a description of the process for combining the State-provided growth score with the SLO(s) for this Task.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.</p>	<p>If the State provides growth scores for the principals for the above-listed building configurations, and such scores represent less than 30% of the students supervised by that principal, the district will set SLOs for the largest courses in the building until at least 30% of students are covered. Where such courses end in a State assessment, that assessment will be used with the SLO. The State-provided scores will then be weighted proportionately with the SLO result(s) for the final HEDI score for the principal(s).</p> <p>For SLOs, based on historical data, the principal in collaboration with the superintendent or designee will set individual growth targets for each student. The Superintendent will have final approval of the growth targets. A principal will receive a HEDI score based upon the percent of students reaching their targets.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent on year grade level growth.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>See Section 7.3 upload.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>See Section 7.3 upload.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>See Section 7.3 upload.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>See Section 7.3 upload.</p>

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12156/2710689-lha0DogRNw/Section%207.3%20-%202020%20pts.%20State%20Assessments%20Scoring%20Bands%204.23.15.doc>

7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a principal's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are the following: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, and students in poverty.

(No response)

7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one State-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document .	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Checked

8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 05/19/2015

For guidance on locally selected measures of student achievement or growth, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections E, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: “[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment.” For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: “GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment.”

Also note: if your district/BOCES is using the same assessment for both the State growth or other comparable measures subcomponent and the locally-selected measures subcomponents, be sure that a *different* measure of student performance is being used with the assessment (e.g., achievement rather than growth; growth measured in a different manner).

Also note: no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing/>).

8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

In the table below, please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal’s students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth or value-added measure (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a measure of growth or achievement from the drop-down menu. As a reminder, the grade configurations/programs listed in Task 8.1 should be the same as those listed in Task 7.1.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school

- whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8
- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

	Grade Configuration/Program	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
	K-5	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
	6-8	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Math Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
	9-12	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Lakeland CSD Developed Grade/Subject Specific Assessments

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.</p>	<p>Annually, by no later than October 1st, after analysis of baseline data, the District and the ALA shall agree upon building-wide growth targets on the same basis of teachers for Grades K-5 and 6-8 principals. Student growth will be measured based upon the percentage of tested students within each building who meet the building-wide growth target on the STAR Math and STAR Reading Enterprise assessments, which shall be administered throughout the school year.</p> <p>For the Grades 9-12 building principal, as noted in the Local Measures for Teachers, pre-assessments will be administered at the beginning of the school year to determine baseline data for individual students in each class. The teacher and administrator will set individual growth targets based upon baseline data. Post assessments will be administered within the interval determined to measure student growth. The superintendent will verify that the goals and assessments are rigorous and comparable. HEDI points will be allocated based upon the percentage of students in the building meeting individual growth targets.</p> <p>Upon the State's introduction of a value-added growth measure, Table 1 (0-15 points) uploaded in Section 8.1 shall be used to provide points and a corresponding HEDI rating to Grades K-5, 6-8 and 9-12 building principals. Until a value-added growth measure is implemented, Table 2 in Section 8.1 shall be used to provide points and a HEDI rating to Grades K-5, 6-8 and 9-12 building principals.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>85% or higher of the students in the building will meet growth targets.</p>
<p>Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>75% - 84% of the students in the building will meet growth targets.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>60% - 74% of the students in the building will meet growth targets.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>Below 60% of the students in the building will meet growth targets.</p>

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. \(MS Word \)](#)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12190/2710691-qBFVOWF7fC/Table%201%20and%20Table%202%20for%20Section%208.1.docx>

8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations/programs used in your district or BOCES in which the district/BOCES expects that fewer than 30% of students will receive a State-provided growth score (e.g., K-2, K-3, CTE). Then for each grade configuration, select a measure from the drop-down menu. As a reminder, the grade configurations/programs listed in Task 8.2 should be the same as those listed in Task 7.3.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

Also note: no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8
- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

	Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	Not Applicable
---	----------------

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a principal's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

No Controls

8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

Multiple measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score to arrive at the locally selected measure subcomponent score and effectiveness rating by averaging the scores. To arrive at the final scores for building principals with multiple measures, the percentage of students within each measure who meet the growth targets on the applicable assessments, respectively, will be computed. Each measure will earn a score from 0-20 (or 0-15 if a value added growth measure is approved by the State, where applicable) which will be weighted proportionately based on the number of students covered by each measure and then added together to arrive at the final HEDI rating and score. Normal rounding rules will apply.

8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check

Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Check
Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check
If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Check
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Check

9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 04/23/2015

For guidance on the Other Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections H and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

Rubric	Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric
Second rubric (if applicable)	(No response)

9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the point assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following point assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	60
---	----

Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents.	0
--	---

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, label accordingly, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 9.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below if assigning any points to "ambitious and measurable goals":

Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	(No response)
Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	(No response)

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
School visits by other trained evaluators	(No response)
Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	(No response)

9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

Assure that district/BOCES will use survey tool(s) from the State-approved list or approved through the NYSED survey variance process	(No response)
---	---------------

Note: When the State-approved survey list is updated, this list will be updated within the drop-down menu of approved survey tools.

Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey for Teachers	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 3-5) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 6-12) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)

K12 Insight Parent Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Teacher/Staff Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
District variance	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Parent Survey)	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Student Surveys)	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Parent Survey	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Student Survey	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Teacher Survey	(No response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The District shall use the Multi-Dimensional Rubric with 31 sub-components of domains I through VI. Each sub-component shall earn a raw score as follows: 0-1 point: Ineffective, 2 points: Developing, 3 points: Effective, 4 points: Highly Effective. The total raw score value of the 31 components shall be 124 points. The raw score points shall then be converted to a Scaled Score as follows: Raw Score 0-49 points, Scaled Score 0-49 Points: Ineffective, Raw Score 50-62 points, Scaled Score 50-56 points: Developing, Raw Score 63-93 points, Scaled Score 57-58 points: Effective, Raw Score 94-124 points, Scaled Score 59-60 points: Highly Effective.

Each subcomponent of the rubric will be scores wholistically. Therefore, multiple measures will be combined based upon the preponderance of the evidence observed and collected throughout the school year within each sub-component of the rubric.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<https://www.nysed.gov/APP2/fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5143/152920-pMADJ4gk6R/HEDI%20Ratings.doc>

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.	The level of performance required for Highly Effective in each category is based upon the descriptions in the MPPR Rubric in each category listed under Highly Effective.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	The level of performance required for Effective in each category is based upon the descriptions in the MPPR Rubric in each category listed under Effective.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	The level of performance required for Developing in each category is based upon the descriptions in the MPPR Rubric in each category listed under Developing.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.	The level of performance required for Ineffective in each category is based upon the descriptions in the MPPR Rubric in each category listed under Ineffective.

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60 points
Effective	57-58 points
Developing	50-56 points
Ineffective	0-49 points

9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

Probationary Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

Tenured Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Tuesday, February 10, 2015

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness
(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly
Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

The Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

Where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

10.2) The scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

Where Value-Added growth measure applies
Growth or Comparable Measures
Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement
Other Measures of Effectiveness
(60 points)

Overall
Composite Score

Highly Effective
22-25
14-15
Ranges determined locally--see above
91-100

Effective
10-21
8-13
75-90

Developing
3-9
3-7
65-74

Ineffective
0-2
0-2
0-64

11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 05/19/2015

See NYSED APPR Guidance sections C (APPR Plan Process; Principal Improvement Plans), J (Evaluators, Training, and Certification, L (Appeals), and M (Data Management). NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on www.EngageNY.org at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

Page 1

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. All PIP plans must include: 1) identification of needed areas of improvement, 2) a timeline for achieving improvement, 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips. Please be sure to update a document with a form layout, with fillable spaces and not just a narrative.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5276/154401-Df0w3Xx5v6/principal%20improvement%20plan.doc>

11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review
- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Appeals Process:

A. A principal who receives an ineffective or developing rating on their APPR shall be entitled to appeal their annual APPR rating, based upon a paper submission to the Central Office administrative designee of the Superintendent of Schools, who shall be trained in accordance with the requirements of statute and regulations and also possess either an SDA or SDL Certification. The evaluation of the

principal shall be done by duly trained and certified administrator(s) other than the Superintendent.

B. The appeal must be brought in writing, specifying the area(s) of concern, but limited to those matters that may be appealed as prescribed in Section 3012-c of the Education Law. Further, a principal who is placed on a Principal Improvement Plan ("PIP") shall have a corresponding right to appeal concerns regarding the PIP in accordance with the requirements set forth in Section 3012-c of the Education Law.

C. An appeal of an evaluation or a PIP must be commenced within fourteen days of the presentation of the document to the principal or else the right to appeal shall be deemed waived in all regards.

D. The Superintendent's administrative designee shall respond to the appeal with a written answer granting the appeal and directing further administrative action or deny the appeal. Such decision shall be made within two weeks of the receipt of the appeal. In the event that the principal is unsatisfied with the result of the appeal, a further appeal may be taken to the Superintendent of Schools within two weeks of receipt of the Superintendent's designee's decision upon the appeal.

E. The Superintendent shall make his or her decision in writing regarding the further appeal within two weeks of receipt on that appeal. The decision of the Superintendent shall be final and binding in all regards and shall not be subject to review at arbitration, before any administrative agency or in any court of law.

11.4) Training of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators and Certification of Lead Evaluators

Describe the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators. Your description must include 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators, 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability, 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

The Assistant Superintendent for Instruction, who is the sole lead evaluator, attended BOCES training and LCI (Learning Centered Initiatives) training on the MPPR Rubric and has received certification as a Lead Evaluator. As there is only one lead evaluator, inter-rater reliability is not applicable. BOCES training was two full days and LCI training was four full days. Training for the lead evaluator will be provided on an annual basis for a minimum of six hours. The lead evaluator was certified by the Board of Education upon completion of the requirements of Part 30-2.9(b) and shall be re-certified periodically on an as-needed basis by via Board of Education resolution.

In the event that there is a new lead evaluator in the future, all new lead evaluators shall receive equivalent training to that set forth above, and if necessary, inter-rater reliability will be maintained through periodic recertification.

11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that all evaluators are properly trained and that lead evaluators, who complete an individual's performance review, will be "certified" to conduct evaluations in the following nine elements:	Checked
---	---------

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

Assure that the district will maintain inter-rater reliability of evaluators over time.	Checked
---	---------

11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 05/20/2015

Page 1

12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: [APPR District Certification Form](#). Please note that Review Room timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12158/2710699-3Uqgn5g9lu/District%20Certification%20Form%205.20.15.pdf>

File types supported for uploads

- PDF (preferred)
- Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)
- Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)
- Open Office (.odt, .ott)
- Images (.jpg, .gif)
- Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

Section 2.11 – HEDI SCORING BANDS

(Based Upon Student Growth)

Task 2.11	
Points	Percentage of Students Meeting Target
Highly Effective	
20	100
19	93-99
18	85-92
Effective	
17	83-84
16	82
15	81
14	80
13	79
12	78
11	77
10	76
9	75
Developing	
8	71-74
7	68-70
6	66-67
5	64-65
4	62-63
3	60-61
Ineffective	
2	41-59
1	21-40
0	0-20

**Section 3.3 - Table 1
Local 15 Point Measure**

**[To be used upon the State’s introduction of its Value-Added Growth Model for
Grades 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math Teachers of Record]***

Percentage of students meeting the target	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
0-20				0
21-40				1
41-59				2
60-61			3	
62-64			4	
65-68			5	
69-71			6	
72-74			7	
75		8		
76		9		
77-78		10		
79-80		11		
81-82		12		
83-84		13		
85-92	14			
93-100	15			

*** Until a Value-Added Growth Measure is implemented, Table 2 (containing 0-20 points) shall be used instead of Table 1.**

**Section 3.3 - Table 2
Local 20 Point Measure**

Percentage of students meeting the target	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
0-20				0
21-40				1
41-59				2
60-61			3	
62-63			4	
64-65			5	
66-67			6	
68-70			7	
71-74			8	
75		9		
76		10		
77		11		
78		12		
79		13		
80		14		
81		15		
82		16		
83-84		17		
85-92	18			
93-99	19			
100	20			

**Section 3.13 Table
Local 20 Point Measure**

Percentage of students meeting the target	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
0-20				0
21-40				1
41-59				2
60-61			3	
62-63			4	
64-65			5	
66-67			6	
68-70			7	
71-74			8	
75		9		
76		10		
77		11		
78		12		
79		13		
80		14		
81		15		
82		16		
83-84		17		
85-92	18			
93-99	19			
100	20			

Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2007 Edition)									
Conversion Flow Chart									
	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Step 5	Step 6	Step 7	Step 8	Step 9
	Relative Value of Each Domain	Relative Value of Each SubDomain as part of the Domain	Evaluator Gives Every Teacher a Rating of 1-4 in Each Subdomain (4=HE, 3=E, 2=D, 1=I)	Weigh Subdomain Scores	Total Domain Score	Weigh Total Domain Score and Compute Total	HEDI Bands	Conversion Chart	
Domain 1: Planning and Preparation	20%						H=59-60	Average Rubric Score	Conversion Score
A. Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy		20%		0.6			E=57-58	1	0
B. Knowledge of Students		20%		0.6			D=50-56	1.018	1
C. Setting Instructional Outcomes		20%		0.4			I=0-49	1.032	1.5
D. Knowledge of Resources		10%		0.3				1.035	2
E. Designing Coherent Instruction		20%		0.4				1.042	2.5
F. Designing Student Assessments		10%		0.3				1.048	3
		100%			2.6	0.52		1.05	4
Domain 2: Classroom Environment	25%							1.053	5
A. Respect and Rapport		25.0%		0.75				1.062	6
B. Culture for Learning		30.0%		0.9				1.065	7
C. Managing Classroom Procedures		20.0%		0.6				1.067	8
D. Managing Student Behavior		15%		0.375				1.076	9
E. Organizing Physical Spaces		10%		0.3				1.084	10
		100%			2.925	0.73125		1.092	11
Domain 3: Instruction	35%							1.1	12
A. Communicating with Students		20%		0.5				1.131	13
B. Questioning/Prompts and Discussion		20%		0.7				1.136	14
C. Engaging Students in Learning		25%		0.625				1.146	15
D. Using Assessment in Instruction		15%		0.45				1.154	16
E. Using Flexibility and Responsiveness		20%		0.6				1.162	17
		100%			2.875	1.00625		1.169	18
Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities	20%							1.172	19
A. Reflecting on Teaching		10%		0.3				1.177	20
B. Maintaining Accurate Records		20%		0.6				1.18	21
C. Communicating with Families		10%		0.3				1.185	22
D. Participating in a Professional Community		10%		0.3				1.192	23
E. Growing and Developing Professionally		20%		0.4				1.198	24
F. Showing Professionalism		30%		0.9				1.2	25
		100%			2.8	0.56		1.233	26
								1.242	27
Total	100%							1.25	28
					Evaluation Score		2.818	1.258	29
					Local 60 Points		57.6	1.267	30
					Rounded Score		58.00	1.275	31
					Composite Effectiveness Rating		E	1.283	32
HEDI BANDS - Conversion Chart									
								1.288	33
								1.292	34
1	0.00	I						1.296	35
1.4	49.00	I						1.298	36
1.5	50.00	D						1.3	37
2.4	56.00	D						1.333	38
2.5	57.00	E						1.342	39
3.4	58.00	E						1.347	40
3.5	59.00	H						1.35	41
4	60.00	H						1.354	42
								1.358	43
								1.367	44
								1.375	45
								1.383	46
								1.392	47
								1.396	48
								1.4	49
								1.5	50
								1.6	50.7

Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2007 Edition)

Every Teacher a Rating of 1-4 in Each Subdomain (4=HE, 3=E, 2=D, 1=L)	Observation #1	Observation #2	Observation #3	Average Score	Conversion Chart 1-1,298		Conversion Chart 1,3-4		
					Rubric Score	Score	Rubric Score	Score	
Domain 1: Planning and Preparation									
A. Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy	3			3.00		1	0	1.3	37
B. Knowledge of Students	3			3.00		1.018	1	1.333	38
C. Setting Instructional Outcomes	2			2.00		1.032	1.5	1.342	39
D. Knowledge of Resources	3			3.00		1.035	2	1.347	40
E. Designing Coherent Instruction	2			2.00		1.042	2.5	1.35	41
F. Designing Student Assessments	3			3.00		1.048	3	1.354	42
						1.05	4	1.358	43
						1.053	5	1.367	44
Domain 2: Classroom Environment									
A. Respect and Rapport	3	3		3.00		1.062	6	1.375	45
B. Culture for Learning	4	2		3.00		1.065	7	1.383	46
C. Managing Classroom Procedures	3	3		3.00		1.067	8	1.392	47
D. Managing Student Behavior	3	2		2.50		1.076	9	1.396	48
E. Organizing Physical Spaces	3	3		3.00		1.084	10	1.4	49
						1.092	11	1.5	50
						1.1	12	1.6	50.7
Domain 3: Instruction									
A. Communicating with Students	2	3		2.50		1.131	13	1.7	51.4
B. Questioning/Prompts and Discussion	4	3		3.50		1.136	14	1.8	52.1
C. Engaging Students in Learning	3	2		2.50		1.146	15	1.9	52.8
D. Using Assessment in Instruction	3	3		3.00		1.154	16	2	53.5
E. Using Flexibility and Responsiveness	3	3		3.00		1.162	17	2.1	54.2
						1.169	18	2.2	54.9
						1.172	19	2.3	55.6
Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities									
A. Reflecting on Teaching	2	4		3.00		1.177	20	2.4	56.3
B. Maintaining Accurate Records		3		3.00		1.18	21	2.5	57
C. Communicating with Families		3		3.00		1.185	22	2.6	57.2
D. Participating in a Professional Community		3		3.00		1.192	23	2.7	57.4
E. Growing and Developing Professionally		2		2.00		1.198	24	2.8	57.6
F. Showing Professionalism		3		3.00		1.2	25	2.9	57.8
						1.233	26	3	58
						1.242	27	3.1	58.1
Total				Evaluation Score	2.818	1.25	28	3.2	58.2
						1.258	29	3.3	58.3
				Local 60 Points	57.6	1.267	30	3.4	58.4
						1.275	31	3.5	59
						1.283	32	3.6	59.3
						1.288	33	3.7	59.5
						1.292	34	3.8	59.8
						1.296	35	3.9	59.9
						1.298	36	4	60

Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2007 Edition)

Every Teacher a Rating of 1-4 in Each Subdomain (4=HE, 3=E, 2=D, 1=I)	Observation #1	Observation #2	Observation #3	Average Score	Conversion Chart 1-1.298		Conversion Chart 1.3-4	
					Rubric Score	Score	Rubric Score	Score
Domain 1: Planning and Preparation								
A. Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy				#DIV/0!	1	0	1.3	37
B. Knowledge of Students				#DIV/0!	1.018	1	1.333	38
C. Setting Instructional Outcomes				#DIV/0!	1.032	1.5	1.342	39
D. Knowledge of Resources				#DIV/0!	1.035	2	1.347	40
E. Designing Coherent Instruction				#DIV/0!	1.042	2.5	1.35	41
F. Designing Student Assessments				#DIV/0!	1.048	3	1.354	42
					1.05	4	1.358	43
					1.053	5	1.367	44
Domain 2: Classroom Environment								
A. Respect and Rapport				#DIV/0!	1.062	6	1.375	45
B. Culture for Learning				#DIV/0!	1.065	7	1.383	46
C. Managing Classroom Procedures				#DIV/0!	1.067	8	1.392	47
D. Managing Student Behavior				#DIV/0!	1.076	9	1.396	48
E. Organizing Physical Spaces				#DIV/0!	1.084	10	1.4	49
					1.092	11	1.5	50
					1.1	12	1.6	50.7
Domain 3: Instruction								
A. Communicating with Students				#DIV/0!	1.131	13	1.7	51.4
B. Questioning/Prompts and Discussion				#DIV/0!	1.136	14	1.8	52.1
C. Engaging Students in Learning				#DIV/0!	1.146	15	1.9	52.8
D. Using Assessment in Instruction				#DIV/0!	1.154	16	2	53.5
E. Using Flexibility and Responsiveness				#DIV/0!	1.162	17	2.1	54.2
					1.169	18	2.2	54.9
					1.172	19	2.3	55.6
Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities								
A. Reflecting on Teaching				#DIV/0!	1.177	20	2.4	56.3
B. Maintaining Accurate Records				#DIV/0!	1.18	21	2.5	57
C. Communicating with Families				#DIV/0!	1.185	22	2.6	57.2
D. Participating in a Professional Community				#DIV/0!	1.192	23	2.7	57.4
E. Growing and Developing Professionally				#DIV/0!	1.198	24	2.8	57.6
F. Showing Professionalism				#DIV/0!	1.2	25	2.9	57.8
					1.233	26	3	58
					1.242	27	3.1	58.1
Total				Evaluation Score	2.818	28	3.2	58.2
					1.258	29	3.3	58.3
					1.267	30	3.4	58.4
					1.275	31	3.5	59
					1.283	32	3.6	59.3
					1.288	33	3.7	59.5
					1.292	34	3.8	59.8
					1.296	35	3.9	59.9
					1.298	36	4	60
				Local 60 Points	57.6			

LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN (TIP)

THE TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN SHALL BE DEVELOPED IN COLLABORATION WITH THE TEACHER

Date: _____

Teacher's Name: _____

Grade/Subject: _____

Areas of needed improvement

-
-
-
-

Activities/Tasks/Resources to support improvement in those areas/Identification of other personnel involved

-
-
-
-

How will improvement be measured/assessed?

-
-
-
-

Timeline for Achieving Improvement

TIP Start Date: _____

Review/Monitor Date(s): _____

TIP End Date/Final Review: _____

Any changes or modifications to the plan must be put in writing and attached to this original document.

Teacher

Date

Administrator

Date

LFT (if in attendance)

Date

Section 7.3 – HEDI SCORING BANDS

(Based Upon Student Growth)

Task 7.3	
Points	Percentage of Students Meeting Target
Highly Effective	
20	100
19	93-99
18	85-92
Effective	
17	83-84
16	82
15	81
14	80
13	79
12	78
11	77
10	76
9	75
Developing	
8	71-74
7	68-70
6	66-67
5	64-65
4	62-63
3	60-61
Ineffective	
2	41-59
1	21-40
0	0-20

**Section 8.1 - Table 1
Local 15 Point Measure of Student Growth**

[To be used upon the State's introduction of its Value-Added Growth Model]*

Percentage of students meeting the target	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
0-20				0
21-40				1
41-59				2
60-61			3	
62-64			4	
65-68			5	
69-71			6	
72-74			7	
75		8		
76		9		
77-78		10		
79-80		11		
81-82		12		
83-84		13		
85-92	14			
93-100	15			

*** Until a Value-Added Growth Measure is implemented, Table 2 (containing 0-20 points) shall be used instead of Table 1.**

Section 8.1 - Table 2
Local 20 Point Measure of Student Growth

Percentage of students meeting the target	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
0-20				0
21-40				1
41-59				2
60-61			3	
62-63			4	
64-65			5	
66-67			6	
68-70			7	
71-74			8	
75		9		
76		10		
77		11		
78		12		
79		13		
80		14		
81		15		
82		16		
83-84		17		
85-92	18			
93-99	19			
100	20			

The total raw score value of the 31 components shall be 124 points. The raw score points shall then be converted to a Scaled Score as follows:

Raw Score:	Ineffective 0-49 points	Raw Score:	Effective 63-93 points
Scaled Score:	0-49 points	Scaled Score:	57-58 points
Raw Score:	Developing 50-62 points	Raw Score:	Highly Effective 94-124 points
Scaled Score:	50-56 points	Scaled Score:	59-60 points

The total HEDI Rating Categories shall be as follows:

<u>HEDI Rating Categories</u>			
<u>Ineffective</u>	<u>Developing</u>	<u>Effective</u>	<u>Highly Effective</u>
0 to 49 Raw score = Scaled score	50=50 51-52=51 53-54=52 55-56=53 57-58=54 59-60=55 61-62=56	63-78=57 79-93=58	94-109=59 110-124=60

5. Principal Improvement Plan

- A. The Principal Improvement Plan for a principal who is rated ineffective or developing through an annual professional performance review (APPR) shall be comprised of the following elements:
1. The area or areas in need of improvement, drawn from the evaluation criteria of this APPR;
 2. The time limit for achieving improvement, that shall range between three (3) months and a semester;
 3. A statement of differentiated activities to support improvement; and
 4. The manner of assessment of improvement that shall be in the nature of direct observation, review of materials (where applicable), review of behaviors (where applicable), attention to educational directives (where applicable), and student progress based upon the measure as determined by the state and locally under this APPR (where applicable).

PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

AREA(S)) IN NEED OF IMPROVEMENT	TIME LIMIT FOR ACHIEVING IMPROVEMENT	DIFFERENTIATED ACTIVITIES TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT	MANNER OF ASSESSMENT OF IMPROVEMENT	EXPECTED OUTCOMES

Educator's Signature _____ Date _____

Administrator's Signature _____ Date _____

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon Information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan will be returned or forfeited to the State pursuant to Chapter 57 of the Laws of 2012 and/or 2013, as applicable.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR Plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) or Principal Improvement Plan (PIP), in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal

- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- Assure that any third party assessment that is administered for use to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:

Date:

George Elstare 5/20/15

Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

[Signature] 5/20/15

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

Karel Gelfand 5/20/15


Board of Education President Signature: Date:

[Signature] 5/20/15

For APPR plans submitted to the Commissioner on or after March 2, 2014 for use in the 2014-15 school year and thereafter the school district or BOCES also makes the following specific assurance with respect to their APPR plan:

Pursuant to Section 30-2.3(a)(4) of the Rules of the Board of Regents, the Superintendent, District Superintendent or Chancellor attests that for the 2014-15 school year and thereafter the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for the grade; and the amount of time devoted to test preparation using traditional standardized assessments under standardized testing conditions for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, performance assessments, formative assessments, and diagnostic assessments is not included in this calculation. Additionally, these calculations do not supersede the requirements of a section of the 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program (IEP) of a student with a disability.

Superintendent / District Superintendent / Chancellor Signature: Date:

A rectangular box containing a handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Jerry Estane" and a date "5/20/15" written to the right of the signature.