



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education  
President of the University of the State of New York  
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111  
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov  
Twitter: @NYSEDNews  
Tel: (518) 474-5844  
Fax: (518) 473-4909

August 6, 2015

**Revised-Expedited Assessment Material Change**

Cyndi Dedominick, Superintendent  
Lansingburgh Central School District  
576 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
Troy, NY 12182

Dear Superintendent Dedominick:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) Expedited Assessment Material Change submission meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia  
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Dr. Gladys Cruz

## NOTES:

Only the material changes included in your Expedited Assessment Material Change request were reviewed. The remaining sections of your district's/BOCES' plan, as approved by the Commissioner on December 26, 2012, remain in effect. Therefore, it is the responsibility of the district/BOCES to ensure that the change(s) approved will not have any impact on the implementation of any other part of its approved plan.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

## EXPEDITED MATERIAL CHANGE FORM

### **Directions:**

The following certification form is for use by school districts/BOCES that request to make a material change to their approved Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan that relates solely to the elimination of unnecessary student assessments as described in Section 30-2.3(a)(2) of the Rules of the Board of Regents. For more information please see <http://www.regents.nysed.gov/meetings/2014/February2014/214p12heal.pdf>.

Districts/BOCES that wish to submit material changes to their approved APPR plan for use in the current school year must complete and submit this form to EducatorEval ([educatoreval@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:educatoreval@mail.nysed.gov)) ***no later than March 1***. Please note that the Department will not accept late submissions of this form. **Please type “Expedited Assessment Material Change” in the subject line of your email to ensure an expedited review of your material change request.**

The superintendent, district superintendent, or chancellor of each school district/BOCES must provide a written explanation of the changes to their approved APPR plan in addition to the required certification below---that no other material changes have been made to other portions of the APPR plan. In the form below, please identify the relevant Task(s) (2, 3, 7, and/or 8), as listed in the APPR Portal, that will be impacted by your requested material change. In each sub-task, please also indicate if changes were made to the selected assessment, HEDI process, and/or assignment of points.

The Department shall complete the review of properly and completely submitted material changes ***within 10 business days of submission***. In order to be considered properly and completely submitted, the submission must include this form with all appropriate signatures and dates and a corresponding submission in the APPR Portal (as described above) that meets the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Board of Regents. If a plan is reviewed and rejected by the Department because it was not properly and completely submitted or for any other reason, the 10 business day requirement for an expedited review does not apply until a new, properly and completely submitted material change is submitted for approval.

Please note that the Department will only review the Task(s) and sub-task(s) indicated in this certification form and no other portion of the APPR plan will be reviewed by the Department for compliance with Education Law §3012-c. Therefore, it is the responsibility of the district/BOCES to assure that the changes requested will not have an impact on the implementation of any other part of their approved APPR plan since the Department will not be reviewing the remaining portions of the approved APPR plan for compliance with Education Law §3012-c. The Department recommends that school districts/BOCES consult with their local counsel before submitting this certification form and any changes to their currently approved plan in the APPR Portal.

Name of school district or BOCES: Lansingburgh CSD

Please check the applicable boxes below to indicate which portions of the APPR plan have been changed that relate to the elimination of unnecessary assessments on students.

**Task 2. State Growth or Other Comparable Measures (Teachers)**

**2.2) Grades K-3 ELA**

<input type="checkbox"/> Kindergarten ELA Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 1 ELA Assessment
<input type="checkbox"/> Kindergarten ELA HEDI Process	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 1 ELA HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Kindergarten ELA Assignment of Points	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 1 ELA Assignment of Points
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 2 ELA Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 ELA HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 2 ELA HEDI Process	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 ELA Assignment of Points
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 2 ELA Assignment of Points	

**2.3) Grades K-3 Math**

<input type="checkbox"/> Kindergarten Math Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 1 Math Assessment
<input type="checkbox"/> Kindergarten Math HEDI Process	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 1 Math HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Kindergarten Math Assignment of Points	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 1 Math Assignment of Points
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 2 Math Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 Math HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 2 Math HEDI Process	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 Math Assignment of Points
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 2 Math Assignment of Points	

**2.4) Grades 6-8 Science**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Science Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Science Assessment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Science HEDI Process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Science HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Science Assignment of Points	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Science Assignment of Points
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science HEDI Process	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science Assignment of Points	

**2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Social Studies Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Social Studies HEDI Process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Social Studies HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Social Studies Assignment of Points	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Social Studies Assignment of Points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Social Studies Assessment	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Social Studies HEDI Process	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Social Studies Assignment of Points	

**2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global 1 Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global 2 HEDI Process
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global 1 HEDI Process	<input type="checkbox"/> Global 2 Assignment of Points
<input type="checkbox"/> Global 1 Assignment of Points	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> American History HEDI Process	
<input type="checkbox"/> American History Assignment of Points	

**2.7) High School Science Regents Courses**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Living Environment Assignment of Points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Earth Science HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Earth Science Assignment of Points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chemistry HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Chemistry Assignment of Points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Physics HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Physics Assignment of Points

**2.8) High School Math Regents Courses**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Algebra 1 HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Algebra 1 Assignment of Points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geometry HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Geometry Assignment of Points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Algebra 2 HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Algebra 2 Assignment of Points	

**2.9) High School English Language Arts**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 9 ELA Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 9 ELA HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 9 ELA Assignment of Points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 10 ELA Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 10 ELA HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 10 ELA Assignment of Points
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 11 ELA Assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 11 ELA HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 11 ELA Assignment of Points	

**2.10) All Other Courses**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All other course(s) Assessment(s) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All other course(s) HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> All other course(s) Assignment of Points
--

**2.11) HEDI Table(s)**

<input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assessment(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assignment of Points
---

**Task 3. Locally-Selected Measures (Teachers)**

**3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 ELA Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 ELA HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 ELA Assignment of Points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 5 ELA Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 5 ELA HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 5 ELA Assignment of Points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 ELA Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 ELA HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 ELA Assignment of Points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 ELA Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 ELA HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 ELA Assignment of Points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 ELA Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 ELA HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 ELA Assignment of Points	

**3.2) Grades 4-8 Math**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Math Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 5 Math Assessment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Math HEDI Process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 5 Math HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Math Assignment of Points	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 5 Math Assignment of Points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Math Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Math Assessment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Math HEDI Process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Math HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Math Assignment of Points	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Math Assignment of Points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Math Assessment	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Math HEDI Process	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Math Assignment of Points	

**3.3) HEDI Table(s) or Graphic(s)**

<input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assessment(s)
<input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assignment of Points

**3.4) Grades K-3 ELA**

<input type="checkbox"/> Kindergarten ELA Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 1 ELA Assessment
<input type="checkbox"/> Kindergarten ELA HEDI Process	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 1 ELA HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Kindergarten ELA Assignment of Points	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 1 ELA Assignment of Points
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 2 ELA Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 ELA Assessment
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 2 ELA HEDI Process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 ELA HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 2 ELA Assignment of Points	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 ELA Assignment of Points

**3.5) Grades K-3 Math**

<input type="checkbox"/> Kindergarten Math Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 1 Math Assessment
<input type="checkbox"/> Kindergarten Math HEDI Process	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 1 Math HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Kindergarten Math Assignment of Points	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 1 Math Assignment of Points
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 2 Math Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 Math Assessment
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 2 Math HEDI Process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 Math HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 2 Math Assignment of Points	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 Math Assignment of Points

**3.6) Grades 6-8 Science**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Science Assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Science Assessment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Science HEDI Process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Science HEDI Process
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Science Assignment of Points	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Science Assignment of Points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science Assessment	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science HEDI Process	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science Assignment of Points	

**3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Social Studies Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Social Studies HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Social Studies Assignment of Points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Social Studies HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Social Studies Assignment of Points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Social Studies Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Social Studies HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Social Studies Assignment of Points	

**3.8) High School Social Studies Regents Courses**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global 1 Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global 1 HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Global 1 Assignment of Points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global 2 Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global 2 HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Global 2 Assignment of Points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> American History Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> American History HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> American History Assignment of Points	

**3.9) High School Science Regents Courses**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Living Environment Assignment of Points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Earth Science Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Earth Science HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Earth Science Assignment of Points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chemistry Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chemistry HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Chemistry Assignment of Points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Physics Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Physics HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Physics Assignment of Points

**3.10) High School Math Regents Courses**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Algebra 1 Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Algebra 1 HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Algebra 1 Assignment of Points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geometry Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geometry HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Geometry Assignment of Points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Algebra 2 Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Algebra 2 HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Algebra 2 Assignment of Points	

**3.11) High School English Language Arts**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 9 ELA Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 9 ELA HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 9 ELA Assignment of Points	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 10 ELA Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 10 ELA HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 10 ELA Assignment of Points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 11 ELA Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 11 ELA HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> Grade 11 ELA Assignment of Points	

**3.12) All Other Courses**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All other course(s) Assessment(s) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All other course(s) HEDI Process <input type="checkbox"/> All other course(s) Assignment of Points
--

**3.13) HEDI Table(s)**

- |  |
|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assessment(s)        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) HEDI Process         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assignment of Points |

**Task 7. State Growth or Other Comparable Measures (Principals)**

**7.3) Students Learning Objectives as Comparable Growth Measures (20 points)**

- |  |
|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assessment(s)        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) HEDI Process         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assignment of Points |

**7.3) HEDI Table(s)**

- |  |
|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assessment(s)        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) HEDI Process         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assignment of Points |

**Task 8. Locally-Selected Measures (Principals)**

**8.1) Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement for Principals With an Approved Value-Added Measure (15 points) (20 points until Value-Added is implemented)**

- |  |
|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assessment(s)        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) HEDI Process         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assignment of Points |

**8.1) HEDI Table(s)**

- |  |
|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assessment(s)        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) HEDI Process         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assignment of Points |

**8.2) Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement for All Other Principals (20 points)**

- |   |
|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assessment(s)        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) HEDI Process         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assignment of Points |

**8.2) HEDI Table(s)**

- |  |
|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assessment(s)        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) HEDI Process         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Listed course(s) Assignment of Points |

## Statement of Assurances

By signing this document, the superintendent, district superintendent, or chancellor, the president of the board of education and the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this expedited material change and the previously approved APPR plan and/or approved material changes constitute the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan, that collective bargaining negotiations have been completed on any requested material changes that affect provisions of the currently approved APPR plan that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR plan complies with all of the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. The district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also assure that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that the district's or BOCES' complete APPR plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict or interfere with full implementation of the district's or BOCES APPR plan, including any approved material changes; and that no material changes will be made to the plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

### **The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific assurances with respect to their APPR plan:**

- Assure that the material changes indicated in this form are in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that collective bargaining negotiations have been completed on any requested material changes that affect provisions of the currently approved APPR plan that are subject to collective bargaining,
- Assure that the district's or BOCES' request for an expedited review of their APPR plan is only for material changes related to the elimination of unnecessary assessments in the Tasks identified by the district or BOCES in this form and that no other Tasks of the district's or BOCES' approved APPR plan have been changed.
- Assure that any material changes approved by the Commissioner as part of this expedited review shall constitute part of the school district's or BOCES' currently approved APPR plan.
- Assure that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the district's or BOCES' entire approved APPR plan, including any approved material change, will be posted on the district or BOCES website within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the district's or BOCES' request for an expedited material change will not prevent, conflict, or interfere with any existing collective bargaining agreement and/or full implementation of the APPR plan currently approved by the Department in any way or the described timeframes for submission of data in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. This includes, but is not limited to, that results will be provided and completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's or building principal's performance is being measured.

**Effective May 10, 2014, the school district or BOCES also makes the following specific assurances with respect to their APPR plan:**

Pursuant to Section 30-2.3(a)(4) of the Rules of the Board of Regents, the superintendent, district superintendent or chancellor certify that for the 2014-15 school year and thereafter:

- The amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such grade.
- The amount of time devoted to test preparation under traditional standardized testing conditions for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade.
- Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews or performance assessments, formative and diagnostic assessments, including but not limited to assessments used for diagnostic screening required by Education Law §3208(5), shall not be counted toward the aforementioned limits. Additionally, these calculations do not supersede the requirements of a section of the 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program (IEP) of a student with a disability; assessments that are otherwise required to be administered by federal law; and/or assessments used for diagnostic or formative purposes.

Superintendent / District Superintendent / Chancellor Signature:      Date:

<i>Cynthia B. DeDominick</i>	<i>8/6/15</i>
------------------------------	---------------

- Assure that the district or BOCES understands that the Department will only review, in an expedited fashion, the material changes described on this assurance form and that no other portion of the APPR plan will be reviewed as part of this material change request, by the Department for compliance with Education Law §3012-c and understands that the Commissioner reserves the right to revoke his/her approval of these material changes at any time if the Department determines that additional changes were made to the plan, other than those identified by the district or BOCES in this form.
- Assure that the district or BOCES will continue to fully implement the currently approved APPR plan and will not have collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent that would prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan.
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations.
- Assure that the district or BOCES understands that the use of an expedited material change does not preclude the Department from conducting annual monitoring regarding the implementation of the requested change or of its entire approved APPR plan pursuant to the regulations.
- Assure that any material change to the APPR plan relating to assessment use will align with the applicable HEDI description(s) and uploaded document(s) for the given Task.

**Signatures, Dates**

Superintendent Signature: Date:

Cynthia DeDominick 8/6/15

Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

EM Culleton 8/6/15

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

[Signature] 8/6/15

Board of Education President Signature: Date:

[Signature] 8/6/15

# Annual Professional Performance Reviews

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Friday, February 27, 2015

---

## 1

### Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

### 1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

#### 1.1) School District's BEDS Number : 490601060000

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

490601060000

#### 1.2) School District Name: LANSINGBURGH CSD

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

LANSINGBURGH CSD

#### 1.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.3) Assurances   Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.3) Assurances   Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.3) Assurances   Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

#### 1.4) Submission Status

For districts, BOCES, or charter schools that did not have an approved APPR plan in the previous school year, is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan? For districts, BOCES, or charter schools that did have an approved APPR plan for the previous school year, this must be listed as a submission of material changes to the approved APPR plan.

Submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan

## 2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 08/06/2015

For guidance on the State Growth or Comparable Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections D, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on [www.EngageNY.org](http://www.EngageNY.org) at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

### Page 1

#### **STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH**

##### **(25 points with an approved value-added measure)**

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided measures, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

#### **2.1) Assurances**

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved.	Checked

#### **STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)**

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

**For core subjects: grade 8 Science, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:**

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

*If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:*

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3<sup>rd</sup> party assessments; or
- District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

**For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:**

- State assessments, *required if one exists*
- List of State-approved 3<sup>rd</sup> party assessments
- District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

**Please note:** If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: "[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment." For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: "GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment."

## 2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	ELA	Assessment
K	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Questar III Developed Grade K ELA Assessment
1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Questar III Developed Grade 1 ELA Assessment
2	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Questar III Developed Grade 2 ELA Assessment

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.</p>	<p>For grades K-2 the development of the SLO's will be overseen by the building principal of the K-2 building. The District will use multiple measures, historical achievement, and pre-assessment data to establish baseline data and to establish the SLO's. Each SLO will be aligned with the Common Core, State, or National Standards, as well as any school or district priorities. As per NYS Education regulations, teacher scores will be based upon the degree to which their goals were attained. The pre-assessment will be administered at the beginning of the interval time defined in the SLO. The SLO will assess the most important learning for the semester/year. Class wide growth targets will be set by the teacher using the baseline data indicated above. The post-assessment will be administered during the time interval selected.</p> <p>For Gr. 3 the teachers will set and principal will approve individual growth targets based on baseline data. Teachers will receive HEDI points based on the number of students meeting or exceeding their growth targets. Administrators will assign points in accordance with the District HEDI criteria.</p> <p>Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test) 90%-100% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO.</p> <p>Effective (9-17 points) Results meet the state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). 51% - 89% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined by the SLO.</p> <p>Developing (3-8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). 36%- 50% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO.</p> <p>Ineffective (0-2 points) Results are well-below the state average ( or District goals if no state test). 0% -35% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO.</p> <p>See attached Lansingburgh approved HEDI Chart.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/sunject. (See attached chart)</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)</p>

### 2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Math	Assessment
K	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Questar III Developed Grade K Math Assessment
1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Questar III Developed Grade 1 Math Assessment
2	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Questar III Developed Grade 2 Math Assessment

	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>The development of the SLO's will be overseen by the building principal of the K-2 building. The District will use multiple measures, historical achievement, and pre-assessment data to establish baseline data and to establish the SLO's. Each SLO will be aligned with the Common Core, State, or National Standards, as well as any school or district priorities. As per NYS Education regulations, teacher scores will be based upon the degree to which their goals were attained. The pre-assessment will be administered at the beginning of the interval time defined in the SLO. The SLO will assess the most important learning for the semester/year. Class wide growth targets will be set by the teacher using the baseline data indicated above. The post-assessment will be administered during the time interval selected. For Gr. 3 the teachers will set and principal will approve individual growth targets based on baseline data. Teachers will receive HEDI points based on the number of students meeting or exceeding their growth targets.</p> <p>Teachers will receive HEDI points based on the number of students meeting or exceeding their growth targets. Admin will assign points in accordance with the District HEDI criteria.</p> <p>Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test) 90%-100% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO.</p> <p>Effective (9-17 points) Results meet the state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). 51% - 89% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined by the SLO.</p> <p>Developing (3-8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). 36%- 50% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO.</p> <p>Ineffective (0-2 points) Results are well-below the state average ( or District goals if no state test). 0% -35% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO.</p> <p>See attached Lansingburgh approved HEDI Chart.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

## 2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS 6-8 Math; NYS Science 8; NYS Alternate Assessments; NYS CCLS Algebra
7	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS 6-8 Math; NYS Science 8; NYS Alternate Assessments; NYS CCLS Algebra

	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	For Grade 8 individual growth targets will be set by the teachers and approved by the principal using baseline data. Teachers will receive HEDI points based on the number of students meeting or exceeding their growth targets. Grades 6 & 7 will use a minimum rigor expectation for growth of 3 or higher and/or 65 or higher on the NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS 6-8 Math; NYS Science 8; NYS Alternate Assessments; NYS CCLS Algebra using baseline data. The HEDI points will be awarded based on the school-wide percentage of students meeting or exceeding their target. As per NYS Education regulations, teacher scores will be based upon the degree to which their goals were attained. Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test) 90%-100% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO. Effective (9-17 points) Results meet the state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). 51% - 89% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined by the SLO. Developing (3-8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). 36%- 50% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO. Ineffective (0-2 points) Results are well-below the state average ( or District goals if no state test). 0% -35% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO. See attached Lansingburgh approved HEDI Chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/sunject. (See attached chart)
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

## 2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS 6-8 Math; NYS Science 8; NYS Alternate Assessments; NYS CCLS Algebra
7	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS 6-8 Math; NYS Science 8; NYS Alternate Assessments; NYS CCLS Algebra
8	School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS 6-8 Math; NYS Science 8; NYS Alternate Assessments; NYS CCLS Algebra

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Grades 6,7 &amp; 8 will set a minimum expectation for growth of 3 or higher and/or 65 or higher on the NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS 6-8 Math; NYS Science 8; NYS Alternate Assessments; NYS CCLS Algebra, using baseline data. As per NYS Education regulations, teacher scores will be based upon the school-wide percentage of students meeting their targets. Administrators will assign points in accordance with the District HEDI criteria.</p> <p>Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test) 90%-100% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO.</p> <p>Effective (9-17 points) Results meet the state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). 51% - 89% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined by the SLO.</p> <p>Developing (3-8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). 36%- 50% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO.</p> <p>Ineffective (0-2 points) Results are well-below the state average ( or District goals if no state test). 0% -35% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO.</p> <p>See attached Lansingburgh approved HEDI Chart.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/sunject. (See attached chart)
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

## 2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Assessment
--	------------

Global 1	School-/BOCES-wide group/team results based on State assessments	NYS Algebra; NYS ELA 11; NYS Global 10; NYS US History 11; NYS Living Environment
----------	--	---

	Social Studies Regents Courses	Assessment
Global 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>For Global 2 and American History individual growth targets will be set by teachers and principals using baseline data. HEDI points will be awarded by the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their targets. For Global 1, the LCSD High School will use an average of the percentage of students, building wide meeting or exceeding minimum rigor expectation for growth of 65 or higher on NYS ELA 11; NYS Algebra ; NYS Global 10; NYS Living Environment; and NYS US History, set using baseline data.. All targets will be approved by the principal.</p> <p>See Attached Chart.</p> <p>For students enrolled in Common Core courses, the district will offer both regents assessments aligned to 2005 Learning Standards and the Common Core Regents so long as permitted by NYSED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes. When the 2005 Learning Standards Regents are no longer offered, only the Common Core Regents will be used.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/sunject. (See attached chart)
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

## 2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment

Physics	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
---------	--------------------	--------------------

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The LCSD High School will use individual growth targets that are set by teachers and principals using baseline data. HEDI points will be awarded based on the number of students meeting or exceeding their targets.  See attached chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

## 2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

NOTE: For Algebra 1 and Geometry, please specify whether your district will be offering the 2005 Learning Standards version of the assessment in addition to the Common Core version, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The LCSD High School will use individual growth targets that are set by teachers and principals using baseline data. HEDI points will be awarded based on the number of students meeting or exceeding their targets. For students enrolled in Common Core courses, the district will offer both the Regents assessments aligned to the 2005 Learning Standards and the Common Core Regents so long as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes. When the 2005 Learning Standards Regents are no longer offered, only the Common Core Regents will be used. See attached chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

## 2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	School-/BOCES-wide group/team results based on State assessments	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra;/Common Core Regents, NYS Global 10; NYS Living Environment; and NYS US History
Grade 10 ELA	School-/BOCES-wide group/team results based on State assessments	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra/Common Core Regents; NYS Global 10; NYS Living Environment; and NYS US History
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	ELA Regents Assessment

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

NOTE: For Grade 11 ELA, please specify whether your district will be offering the Comprehensive English Regents in addition to the Common Core English Regents, or just the latter, how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Teachers will set a minimum rigor expectation of growth of 65 or higher using baseline data for grades 9 &amp; 10. HEDI points will be assigned based on the school-wide percentage of students meeting or exceeding their targets.</p> <p>LCSD high school will use individual growth targets established by the teachers and approved by the principal for Grade 11 ELA using baseline data.</p> <p>HEDI points will be assigned for students meeting or exceeding their target.</p> <p>For students enrolled in Common Core courses, the district will offer both the Regents assessments aligned to the 2005 Learning Standards and the Common Core Regents so long as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes. When the 2005 Learning Standards Regents are no longer offered, only the Common Core Regents will be used.</p> <p>See attached chart.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

## 2.10) All Other Courses

Fill in, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have Student Learning Objectives. If you need additional space, duplicate this form and upload (below) as an attachment to your APPR plan. You may combine into one line any groups of teachers for whom the answers in the boxes are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above". Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, the 2<sup>nd</sup> drop-down option applies to grades 3 and above and the 5<sup>th</sup> drop-down option applies to grades K-2.

	Course(s) or Subject(s)	Option	Assessment
	All other course K-5	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS 3-5 ELA and Math, NYS Grade 4 Science, NYS Alternate Assessment
	All other courses 6-8	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	Grades 6-8 NYS ELA & Math, Grade 8 NYS Science, NYS Alternate Assessment, and NYS Common Core Algebra Regents.
	All other courses 9-12	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS ELA 11, NYS Living Environment, NYS US History, NYS Algebra, NYS Global Studies 10 Regents.
	Any teacher of Gr 4 - 8 ELA & Math who does not receive a State provided growth score	State Assessment	NYS Grade 4-8 ELA & Math Assessments.



## 2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used assigning points to a teacher's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are the following: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, and students in poverty.

N/A

## 2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

## 2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: <a href="http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document">http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document</a> ).	Checked
Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

Checked

Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.

Checked

### 3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 07/28/2015

For guidance on the Locally Selected Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections E, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on [www.EngageNY.org](http://www.EngageNY.org) at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

#### Page 1

#### **Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth**

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers.

Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment. Additionally, please provide a brief explanation in the HEDI general description box of why you have listed the grade/course as "Not Applicable" (e.g., district/BOCES does not offer this grade/subject; common branch teacher).

Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: "[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment." For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: "GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment."

NOTE: If your district/BOCES is using the same assessment for both the State growth and other comparable measures subcomponent and the locally-selected measures subcomponent, be sure that a *different* measure of student performance is being used with the assessment (e.g., achievement rather than growth; growth measured in a different manner).

---

#### **LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)**

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

*One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.*

*The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:*

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7<sup>th</sup> grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6<sup>th</sup> grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3<sup>rd</sup> grade ELA or math State assessments)
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment
- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
  - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
  - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

### 3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Gr 3-5 ELA; Gr. 3-5 Math; NYS Gr. 4 Science,; NYS Alternate Assessments;
5	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Gr 3-5 ELA; Gr. 3-5 Math; NYS Gr. 4 Science,; NYS Alternate Assessments;
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Gr 6-8 ELA; Gr. 6-8 Math; NYS Gr. 8 Science,; NYS Alternate Assessments, NYS Common Core Algebra;
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Gr 6-8 ELA; Gr. 6-8 Math; NYS Gr. 8 Science,; NYS Alternate Assessments, NYS Common Core Algebra;
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS Gr 6-8 ELA; Gr. 6-8 Math; NYS Gr. 8 Science,; NYS Alternate Assessments, NYS Common Core Algebra;

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: When completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	All teachers is LCSD Grades 4 -8 will receive HEDI points based on the average percentage of students building wide scoring at proficiency on the listed assessments. ( 3 or higher , 65% or higher) The attached 20 point chart will be used until a value added measure is implemented. The 15 point Chart will be used after value-added is implemented. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding the target schoolwide.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement on student learning standards for grade/subject. (see attached chart)
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

### 3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS 3-5 ELA; NYS Gr. 3-5 Math; NYS Grade 4 Science; NYS Alternative Assessments.
5	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS 3-5 ELA; NYS Gr. 3-5 Math; NYS Grade 4 Science; NYS Alternative Assessments.
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS Gr. 6-8 Math; NYS Grade 8Science; NYS Alternative Assessments, Common Core Learning Standards Algebra.
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS Gr. 6-8 Math; NYS Grade 8Science; NYS Alternative Assessments, Common Core Learning Standards Algebra.
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS Gr. 6-8 Math; NYS Grade 8Science; NYS Alternative Assessments, Common Core Learning Standards Algebra.

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	All teachers is LCSD Grades 4 -8 will receive HEDI points based on the average percentage of students building wide scoring at proficiency on the listed assessments. Proficiency will be a 3 or higher and/or 65 or higher. The attached 20 point chart will be used until a value added measure is implemented. The 15 point chart will be used after value added is implemented. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding the target schoolwide.
--	--

Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement on student learning standards for grade/subject. (see attached chart)
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

### 3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12149/2874895-rhJdBgDruP/3.3.pdf>

### LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

*One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.*

*The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:*

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7<sup>th</sup> grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6<sup>th</sup> grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3<sup>rd</sup> grade ELA or math State assessments)
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment
- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
  - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
  - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State,

State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

### 3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Questar III developed Grade K ELA Summative Assessment
1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Questar III developed Grade 1 ELA Summative Assessment
2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Questar III developed Grade 2 ELA Summative Assessment
3	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	NYS Gr. 3-5 ELA; NYS Gr. 3-5 Math; NYS Gr. 4 Science; NYS Alternate Assessments

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	All LSCD teachers Grades K-2 will receive HEDI scores based on a building wide average score on the post assessment. Teachers will establish the targets and principal will approve. All LCSD teachers Grades 3-5 will receive HEDI scores based on the school-wide percentage of students scoring 3 or 65% or higher on these assessments NYS ELA 3-5; NYS Math Gr. 3-5; NYS Science Gr. 4; NYS Alternate Assessments
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see HEDI scale uploaded
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see HEDI scale uploaded
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see HEDI scale uploaded
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see HEDI scale uploaded

### 3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment. Please note that no

APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Questar developed Gr. K MATH
1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Questar developed Gr. 1 Math
2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Questar developed Gr. 2 Math
3	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	NYS Gr. 3-5 ELA; NYS Gr. 3-5 Math; NYS Gr. 4 Science; NYS Alternate Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	All LCSD teachers Grades K-2 will receive HEDI scores based on a building wide average score on the post assessment. Targets will be set by the teachers and approved by the principal. All LCSD teachers Grades 3-5 will receive HEDI scores based on the school-wide percentage of students scoring 3 or higher or 65% or higher on these assessments NYS ELA 3-5; NYS Math Gr. 3-5; NYS Science Gr. 4; NYS Alternate Assessments
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement on student learning standards for grade/subject. (see attached chart)
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

### 3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS 6-8 Math; NYS Gr. 8 Science; NYS Alternate Assessments
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS 6-8 Math; NYS Gr. 8 Science; NYS Alternate Assessments

8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS 6-8 Math; NYS Gr. 8 Science; NYS Alternate Assessments
---	--	---

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	All LCSD teachers Grades 6-8 will receive HEDI scores based on the school-wide percentage of students scoring 3 or higher or 65% or higher on these assessments NYS Gr. 6-8 ELA; NYS Gr.6-8 Math; NYS Gr. 8 Science; NYS Alternate Assessment
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement on student learning standards for grade/subject. (see attached chart)
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

### 3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS 6-8 Math; NYS Gr. 8 Science; NYS Alternate Assessments
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS 6-8 Math; NYS Gr. 8 Science; NYS Alternate Assessments
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS 6-8 ELA; NYS 6-8 Math; NYS Gr. 8 Science; NYS Alternate Assessments

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	All LCSD teachers Grades 6-8 will receive HEDI scores based on the school-wide percentage of students scoring 3 or higher or 65% or higher on these assessments NYS Gr. 6-8 ELA; NYS Gr.6-8 Math; NYS Gr. 8 Science; NYS Alternate Assessment
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement on student learning standards for grade/subject. (see attached chart)

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

### 3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra/Common Core; NYS Living Environment; NYS Global 10; and NYS US History Regents
Global 2	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra/Common Core, NYS Living Environment; NYS Global 10; and NYS US History Regents
American History	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra/Common Core, NYS Living Environment; NYS Global 10; and NYS US History Regents

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>All LCSD teachers will receive HEDI scores based on the school-wide percentage of students scoring 65 or better on these assessments. NYS Algebra I; NYS US History 11; NYS Global 10; NYS ELA 11, NYS Living Environment.</p> <p>For students enrolled in Common Core courses, the district will offer both the Regents assessments aligned to the 2005 Learning Standards and the Common Core Regents so long as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes. When the 2005 Learning Standards Regents are no longer offered, only the Common Core Regents will be used.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement on student learning standards for grade/subject. (see attached chart)
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

### 3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra;/Common Core, NYS Living Environment; NYS Global 10; and NYS US History Regents
Earth Science	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra/Common Core, ; NYS Living Environment; NYS Global 10; and NYS US History Regents
Chemistry	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra/Common Core, NYS Living Environment; NYS Global 10; and NYS US History Regents
Physics	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra/Common Core.; NYS Living Environment; NYS Global 10; and NYS US History Regents

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	All LCSD teachers will receive HEDI scores based on the school-wide percentage of students scoring 65 or better on these assessments. NYS Algebra I; NYS US History 11; NYS Global 10; NYS ELA 11, NYS Living Environment. For students enrolled in Common Core courses, the district will offer both the Regents assessments aligned to the 2005 Learning Standards and the Common Core Regents so long as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes. When the 2005 Learning Standards Regents are no longer offered, only the Common Core Regents will be used.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement on student learning standards for grade/subject. (see attached chart)
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

### 3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra;/Common Core, NYS Living Environment; NYS Global 10; and NYS US History Regents
Geometry	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra;/Common Core, NYS Living Environment; NYS Global 10; and NYS US History Regents
Algebra 2	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra/Common Core.; NYS Living Environment; NYS Global 10; and NYS US History Regents

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

NOTE: As applicable, for Algebra 1 and Geometry, please specify whether your district will be offering the 2005 Learning Standards version of the assessment in addition to the Common Core version, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	All LCSD teachers will receive HEDI scores based on the school-wide percentage of students scoring 65 or better on these assessments. NYS Algebra I; NYS US History 11; NYS Global 10; NYS ELA 11, NYS Living Environment. For students enrolled in Common Core courses, the district will offer both the Regents assessments aligned to the 2005 Learning Standards and the Common Core Regents so long as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes. When the 2005 Learning Standards Regents are no longer offered, only the Common Core Regents will be used.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement on student learning standards for grade/subject. (see attached chart)
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

### 3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra/Common Core; NYS Living Environment; NYS Global 10; and NYS US History Regents
Grade 10 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra/Common Core NYS Living Environment; NYS Global 10; and NYS US History Regents
Grade 11 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	NYS ELA 11; NYS Integrated Algebra/Common Core; NYS Living Environment; NYS Global 10; and NYS US History Regents

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

NOTE: As applicable, please specify whether your district will be offering the Comprehensive English Regents in addition to the Common Core English Regents, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>All LCSD teachers will receive HEDI scores based on the school-wide percentage of students scoring 65 or better on these assessments. NYS Algebra I; NYS US History 11; NYS Global 10; NYS ELA 11, NYS Living Environment.</p> <p>For students enrolled in Common Core courses, the district will offer both the Regents assessments aligned to the 2005 Learning Standards and the Common Core Regents so long as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes. When the 2005 Learning Standards Regents are no longer offered, only the Common Core Regents will be used.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement on student learning standards for grade/subject. (see attached chart)
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)



Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES -adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement on student learning standards for grade/subject. (see attached chart)
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. (See attached chart)

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 3.12: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 3.12. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

### 3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12149/2874895-y92vNseFa4/3.13.pdf>

### 3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a teacher's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

N/A

### 3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

Teachers with more than one locally selected measure will have their scores combined commensurate with the ratio of students tested or the number of assessments administered to the same population.

### 3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked

Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Checked

## 4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Friday, February 27, 2015

### Page 1

#### 4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list. (Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric   Rubric	Danielson's Framework for Teaching
Second Rubric, if applicable	(No response)

#### 4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review. Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered by the points assignment indicated immediately below (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	40
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	0
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	0
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	0
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	0
Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	20

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, label accordingly, and combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. (MS Word )

(No response)

### 4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

[SurveyTools.0] Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
[SurveyTools.1] Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
[SurveyTools.2] Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
[SurveyTools.3] District Variance	(No response)
[SurveyTools.4] My Student Survey, LLC’s Survey of Teacher Practice (STeP) survey for use in grades 3-12	(No response)

### 4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

4.4) Assurances   Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
4.4) Assurances   Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
4.4) Assurances   Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
4.4) Assurances   Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

### 4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The Lansingburgh CSD APPR Committee worked to define a comprehensive and effective process for assigning points, and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric. Charlotte Danielson's "A Framework for Teaching" (2007) will be utilized by the district as the teacher practice rubric. The classroom observations, and overall teaching performance, will be evaluated based on evidence of the following four domains:

- Domain One - Planning and Preparation
- Domain Two - The Classroom Environment
- Domain Three - Instruction
- Domain Four - Professional Responsibilities

The annual professional performance review for a teacher consists of at least two observations for tenured teachers and at least three for non-tenured teachers. The total possible points from observations will be 60 (10 points from domains 1-3 per observation and 10

points from domain 4, which will be calculated at the end of the year). An average will be calculated for each domain 1-3 based on the number of observations conducted through the year. The average will be placed in numeric form on the APPR determining the HEDI score. True numeric scores will be used, the averages will not be rounded. Domain 4 will be evaluated at the end of the school year following the Danielson Rubric for domains 4a through 4f. The evidence binder will be worth up to 20 points in addition to the observation. Teachers will be able to earn up to 4 points per category, in up to 5 categories to fulfill the 20 points. The categories of evidence will be: Use of assessment data to drive instruction; participation in learning communities; reflecting on their own teaching for implementing future lessons; maintaining accurate records; participation and/or service to school and/or district projects; enhancement of content knowledge and pedagogical skills; use of technology to enhance instruction; communication/engagement of families in the instructional program; and utilization of multiple resources to advocate for students' well-being and/or academic performance. Points will be distributed following a rubric: Extensive evidence (8 or more examples) = 4 points; Sufficient Evidence (6-7 examples) = 3 points; Modest evidence (4-5 examples) = 2 points; Insufficient evidence (2-3 examples) = 1 point; Less than 2 examples = 0 points.

Domains 1-3 are each worth a maximum of 10 points. Within each domain are the sub-domains which are each worth 4 points. So for example in Domain 1 there are 6 sub-domains for a total possible point value of 24 points. If a teacher earns 18 of the 24 that equals 75% of the possible 10 points for Domain 1 or 7.5 points. If a teacher receives all ratings of ineffective they will receive a score of zero.

Domain 1: 6 sub-domains (a-f) for a total of 24 maximum points

Domain 2: 5 sub-domains (a-e) for a total of 20 maximum points

Domain 3: 5 sub-domains (a-e) for a total of 20 maximum points

Domain 4: 6 sub-domains (a-f) for a total of 24 maximum points

We understand the composite score must be reported in whole numbers.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5091/240630-eka9yMJ855/APPR Calculating 60 points using FFTand Evidence Binders.docx

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	Teachers who receive a score of 59-60 will be deemed highly effective, indicating their overall performance exceeds the NYS Teaching Standards.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Teachers who receive a 57-58 will be deemed effective, indicating that their overall performance meets the NYS Teaching Standards.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Teachers who receive a 50-56 will be deemed developing, indicating that their overall performance does not yet meet the NYS Teaching Standards, and improvement is needed.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Teachers who receive a score of 0-49 will be deemed ineffective, indicating that their overall performance is furthest from meeting the NYS Teaching Standards.

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59 - 60
Effective	57 - 58
Developing	50 - 56

## 4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

Formal/Long	3
Informal/Short	0
Enter Total	3

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- In Person

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- In Person

## 4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

Formal/Long	1
Informal/Short	1
Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- 
- In Person
- 

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- 
- In Person
-

# 5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Friday, February 27, 2015

---

## Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of  
growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness  
(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly  
Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

The Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

Where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures  
Locally-selected Measures of  
growth or achievement  
Other Measures of Effectiveness  
(60 points)

Overall  
Composite Score

Highly Effective  
18-20  
18-20  
Ranges determined locally--see below  
91-100

Effective  
9-17  
9-17  
75-90

Developing  
3-8  
3-8  
65-74

Ineffective  
0-2  
0-2  
0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59 - 60
Effective	57 - 58
Developing	50 - 56
Ineffective	0 - 49

5.2) The scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

Where Value-Added growth measure applies  
Growth or Comparable Measures  
Locally-selected Measures of  
growth or achievement  
Other Measures of Effectiveness  
(60 points)

Overall  
Composite Score

Highly Effective  
22-25  
14-15  
Ranges determined locally--see above  
91-100

Effective  
10-21  
8-13  
75-90

Developing  
3-9  
3-7  
65-74

Ineffective  
0-2  
0-2  
0-64

# 6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013  
Updated Friday, February 27, 2015

## Page 1

### 6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans   Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans   Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

### 6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. All TIP plans must include: 1) identification of needed areas of improvement, 2) a timeline for achieving improvement, 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips. Please be sure to update a document with a form layout, with fillable spaces and not just a narrative.

[assets/survey-uploads/5265/240514-Df0w3Xx5v6/tip plan.pdf](#)

### 6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review
- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

All appeals must be submitted in writing within 10 school days after the appeal is made. Only tenured teachers receiving an overall rating of "Developing" or "Ineffective" on their observations or end of year evaluation may appeal. Non-tenured teachers may not appeal.

A teacher must submit the appeal in writing within 10 school days from the post-observation conference or ten school days from the

receipt of the end of year final evaluation, whichever is later. The teacher's failure to file an appeal within these timeframes shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be considered abandoned.

When filing an appeal the teacher must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his/her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his/her improvement plan and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The performance review or improvement plan being challenged must also be included with the appeal. Any information not submitted at that time will not be considered.

The appeals panel, comprised of 2 members of the Lansingburgh Teachers' Association and 2 members of the Lansingburgh Administrators' Association (selected by their union presidents) shall meet within 10 days of the appeal being filed and shall issue a decision regarding the appeal within 3 school days after the appeal meeting, and shall make final and binding determinations regarding the appeal based on the totality of information that was presented at the appeal meeting. The panel's final determination shall not be subject to the grievance procedure or challengeable in any other forum.

In the event the appeals panel is unable to issue a decision within 3 school days, the appeal will go to the Superintendent. The Superintendent will have 5 school days to issue a final and binding determination. If the decision is not made within 5 school days, the process will begin with a new panel of 4 chosen from the pool of eligible members.

In no case will an appeal be longer than 150 school days.

## 6.4) Training of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators and Certification of Lead Evaluators

Describe the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators. Your description must include 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators, 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability, 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

To assure that the Lansingburgh CSD lead evaluators are fully trained and highly qualified to evaluate teachers, the entire administrative team including principals, Assistant Superintendent, and Superintendent attended the following training sessions offered by the Questar III BOCES as part of the Race to the Top Initiative:

"APPR - Evidence Based Observation and Rating Using Approved SED Training Rubrics" Training (Part I and Part II) - Two full days of training

"Danielson Framework for Teaching - Rubric Specific Training" - Two full days

"Principal Lead Evaluator Training" - Two full days

To ensure inter-rater reliability, the lead evaluators will observe various teachers as a team, working across all four school buildings, with follow-up meetings to ensure that all evaluators are applying the rubric and assigning scores fairly and consistently.

The process to certify and re-certify lead evaluators will include continued annual training through Questar III BOCES.

## 6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

- 
- Checked
- 

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

- (4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice
- (5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.
- (6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals
- (7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
- (8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings
- (9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

---

• Checked

---

## 6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers   Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers   Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers   Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers   Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers   Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers   Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

## 6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.7) Assurances -- Data   Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data   Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked

6.7) Assurances -- Data | Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.

Checked

# 7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 07/28/2015

For guidance on the State Growth or Comparable Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections D, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on [www.EngageNY.org](http://www.EngageNY.org) at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

## Page 1

### 7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth or value-added measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.).

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

	Rensselaer Park Elementary (Gr. 3-5)
	Knickerbacker Middle School (Gr. 6-8)
	Lansingburgh High School (Gr. 9-12)
	(No response)

### 7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that the value-added growth score(s) provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved	Checked

### 7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessments covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. The district must select the type of assessment that will be used with the SLO from the options below.

- If any grade/course in the building has a State-provided growth measure AND the principal must have SLOs because fewer than 30% of students in the building are covered, then the SLOs will begin first with the SGP/VA results.
- Additional SLOs will then be set based on grades/subjects with State assessments, where applicable.
- If additional SLOs are necessary, principals must begin with the grade(s)/course(s) that have the largest number of students using school-wide student results from one of the following assessment options: State-approved 3rd party or district/regional/BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3<sup>rd</sup> party assessments

First, list the grade configuration of the school or program the SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the type of assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: “[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment.” For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: “GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment.” For State-approved 3rd party assessments, please include the name of the assessment exactly as it appears in RED on the State-approved list. For State assessments or Regents examinations, please indicate as such in the assessment name.

Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, the 2nd drop-down option applies to grades 3 and above and the 4th drop-down option applies to grades K-2.

	School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment
	Turnpike Elementary School (Pre-K - Gr. 2)	District, regional, or BOCES-developed	Lansingburgh CSD developed assessments Gr. Pre-K - Gr. 2 in math and ELA
	Rensselaer Park Elementary (3-5)	State assessment	NYS ELA (3-5), NYS Math (3-5)
	Knickerbacker Middle School (6-8)	State assessment	NYS ELA (6-8), NYS Math (6-8)
	Lansingburgh High School (9-12)	State assessment	Grade 11 NYS ELA, Integrated Algebra/Common Core Regents, and all other applicable Regents

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance. Please describe the process your district is using to measure student growth on the assessments listed for this Task. If applicable, please also include a description of the process for combining the State-provided growth score with the SLO(s) for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.

	<p>The development of the SLO's will be overseen by the building principal and the district administrative staff for - Pre-k - 12, with final approval of growth targets by the Superintendent. The District will use multiple measures, historical achievement, and pre-assessment data to establish baseline data and to establish the individual/group SLO's. Each SLO will be aligned with the Common Core, State, or National Standards, as well as any school or district priorities. As per NYS Education regulations, scores will be based upon the degree to which goals were attained. The pre-assessment will be administered at the beginning of the interval time defined in the SLO. The SLO will assess the most important learning for the semester/year. The post-assessment will be administered during the time interval selected. Administrators will assign points in accordance with the District HEDI criteria.</p> <p>Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test) 90%-100% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO.</p> <p>Effective (9-17 points) Results meet the state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test), 51% - 89% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined by the SLO.</p> <p>Developing (3-8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test). 36%- 50% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO.</p> <p>Ineffective (0-2 points) Results are well-below the state average ( or District goals if no state test). 0% -35% of the students achieve or exceed the target determined in the SLO.</p> <p>See attached Lansingburgh approved HEDI Chart.</p> <p>If NYS provides growth scores for the above listed principals, and such scores represent less than 30% of the students supervised by that principal, the district will set SLO's for the largest courses in the building until at least 30% of the students are covered. Where such courses will be used in a State assessment, that assessment will be used as the SLO. The State-provided growth will then be weighted proportionately with the SLO results for the final HEDI score for the principals. For SLOs, based on historical data, the principal with approval by the Superintendent, will set individual growth targets for each student. A principal will receive a HEDI score based on the percent of students reaching their targets.</p> <p>For students enrolled in Common Core courses, the district will offer both the Regents assessments aligned to the 2005 Learning Standards and the Common Core Regents so long as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes. When the 2005 Learning Standards Regents are no longer offered, only the Common Core Regents will be used.</p> <p>See attached chart.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade or subject.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade or subject.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade or subject.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>Results are well-below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade or subject.</p>

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12156/2874903-lha0DogRNw/7.3.pdf>

## 7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a principal's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are the following: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, and students in poverty.

For 2012-13, the locally developed controls used to set the goals for Comparable Growth Measures will include student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, and the poverty level of students in the classroom. Staff will be provided with the necessary demographic information, and to the extent possible, pre-assessment data and other student performance data that aligns with the content being taught. Based on that information, teachers will set a goal of achievement within a range of 50% to 80% growth. The rationale for including these factors is to provide guidance in setting goals across the building that are attainable for both teachers and students. From year to year any classroom teacher's composition of students can vary dramatically, requiring the ability of the teacher and the principal to set realistic, yet high, expectations for students and comparable goals for teachers based on these factors.

## 7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one State-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

## 7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: <a href="http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document">http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document</a> .	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Checked

## 8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 07/30/2015

For guidance on locally selected measures of student achievement or growth, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections E, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on [www.EngageNY.org](http://www.EngageNY.org) at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

### Page 1

#### Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: “[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment.” For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: “GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment.”

Also note: if your district/BOCES is using the same assessment for both the State growth or other comparable measures subcomponent and the locally-selected measures subcomponents, be sure that a *different* measure of student performance is being used with the assessment (e.g., achievement rather than growth; growth measured in a different manner).

Also note: no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing/>).

#### **8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)**

In the table below, please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal’s students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth or value-added measure (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a measure of growth or achievement from the drop-down menu. As a reminder, the grade configurations/programs listed in Task 8.1 should be the same as those listed in Task 7.1.

*Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.*

*The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:*

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school

- whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
  - (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8
  - (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
  - (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
  - (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
  - (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
  - (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9<sup>th</sup> and/or 10<sup>th</sup> grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9<sup>th</sup> and/or 10<sup>th</sup> grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

	Grade Configuration/Program	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
	Grades 3-5	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	An average of proficiency at 3 or higher on NYS ELA Gr. 3-5; NYS Math Gr. 3-5; NYS Gr. 4 Science; NYS Alternate Assessments
	Grades 6-8	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	An average of proficiency at 3 or higher on NYS ELA Gr. 6-8; NYS Math Gr. 6-8; NYS Science Gr. 8; NYS Alternate Assessment; NYS CCLS Algebra
	Grades 9-12	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	An average of proficiency at 65 or higher on ELA !!; Algebra I; Living Environment; Global 10; US History 11

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.</p>	<p>HEDI points will be awarded based on the percentage of students school-wide scoring at proficiency on the listed assessments. 3 or higher and 65 or higher on listed assessments. The 20 point chart will be used until a value added measure is implemented.</p> <p>For students enrolled in Common Core courses, the district will offer both the Regents assessments aligned to the 2005 Learning Standards and the Common Core Regents so long as permitted by SED. Where students take both, the higher of the two scores will be used for APPR purposes. When the 2005 Learning Standards Regents are no longer offered, only the Common Core Regents will be used.</p> <p>See attached chart.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>Results are well-above District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. 80% or more students meet identified targets.</p> <p>15 points = 90-100% 14 points = 80-89%</p>
<p>Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>Results meet District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. 50% to 79% of students meet identified targets.</p> <p>13 points = 75-79% 12 points = 70-74% 11 points = 65-69% 10 points = 60-64% 9 points = 55-59% 8 points = 50-54%</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>Results are below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. 25% to 49% of students meet or exceed identified annual performance targets.</p> <p>7 points = 45-49% 6 points = 40-44% 5 points = 35-39% 4 points = 30-34% 3 points = 25-29%</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>Results are well below District or BOCES adopted expectations for growth or achievement of student learning standards for grade/subject. Less than 25% of students meet or exceed identified annual performance targets.</p> <p>2 points = 17-24% 1 point = 9-16% 0 points = 0- 8%</p>

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12190/2874905-qBFVOWF71C/8.1.pdf>

**8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)**

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations/programs used in your district or BOCES in which the district/BOCES expects that fewer than 30% of students will receive a State-provided growth score (e.g., K-2, K-3, CTE). Then for each grade configuration, select a measure from the drop-down menu. As a reminder, the grade configurations/programs listed in Task 8.2

should be the same as those listed in Task 7.3.

**Note:** Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

**Also note:** no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8
- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9<sup>th</sup> and/or 10<sup>th</sup> grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9<sup>th</sup> and/or 10<sup>th</sup> grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

**Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.**

	Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
	Pre-k - Grade 2	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	An average proficiency of 3 or higher on NYS ELA Gr. 3-5, NYS math Gr. 3-5, NYS Science Grade 4, NYS Alternate Assessments

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating

categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	For Grades Pre-K through 2 HEDI points will be awarded to a principal based on the percentage of students district wide scoring at proficiency of 3 or higher or 65 or higher on listed assessments.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See upload
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See Upload
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See upload
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See upload

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12190/2874905-T8MIGWUVm1/8.2.pdf>

### 8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a principal's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

N/A

### 8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

For principals with multiple measures the scores will be combined commensurate with the ratio of students tested, or the number of assessments administered to the same population.

### 8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
---	-------

Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Check
Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check
If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Check
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Check

# 9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Friday, February 27, 2015

## Page 1

### 9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric   Rubric	Marshall's Principal Evaluation Rubric
---	--

Second rubric (if applicable)	(No response)
-------------------------------	---------------

### 9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the point assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following point assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	60
---	----

Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents. 0

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, label accordingly, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. [Click here for a](#)

(No response)

### 9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below if assigning any points to "ambitious and measurable goals":

9.3) Assurances -- Goals   Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	(No response)
9.3) Assurances -- Goals   Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	(No response)

### 9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)   Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)   Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)   Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)   School visits by other trained evaluators	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)   Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	(No response)

### 9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is updated, this list will be updated within the drop-down menu of approved survey tools.

Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey for Teachers	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 3-5) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 6-12) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Parent Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Teacher/Staff Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
District variance	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Parent Survey)	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Student Surveys)	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Parent Survey	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Student Survey	(No response)

## 9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

9.6) Assurances   Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
9.6) Assurances   Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
9.6) Assurances   Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
9.6) Assurances   Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

## 9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

60 points out of the composite score are based upon the 60 point summative year-end evaluation based on the Kim Marshall rubric. The Superintendent of Schools will perform a minimum of 2 principal observation/meetings with tenured principals on an annual basis and a minimum of 3 for non-tenured principals.

The Superintendent of Schools will provide the principal with a copy of the observation meeting form and will evaluate and score principals in a holistic manner covering the entire rubric.

As part of the observation process, principals are also required to submit artifacts pertaining to any element of the rubric for consideration. Evidence will be collected throughout the year to substantiate an administrator's work product.

Each domain set forth within this paragraph is premised upon the Principal Evaluation Rubrics by Kim Marshall. The observations of principals shall consist of six domains and ten elements per domain:

Each element has a MAXIMUM point value as follows:

(NOTE: It is possible for a principal to achieve a score of 0 due to scoring ranges within each domain)

Ineffective = .25; Developing = .50; Effective = .75; Highly Effective = 1.0

Domain One: Diagnosis and Planning ( A maximum of 10 points as follows)

Ineffective = 0-2.5 points; Developing = 2.75 - 5 points; Effective = 5.25 - 7.5 points; Highly Effective = 7.75 - 10 points

Domain Two - Priority Management and Communication ( A maximum of 10 points as follows)

Ineffective = 0-2.5 points; Developing = 2.75 - 5 points; Effective = 5.25 - 7.5 points; Highly Effective = 7.75 - 10 points

Domain Three: Curriculum and Data ( A maximum of 10 points as follows)

Ineffective = 0-2.5 points; Developing = 2.75 - 5 points; Effective = 5.25 - 7.5 points; Highly Effective = 7.75 - 10 points

Domain Four: Supervision, Evaluation and Professional Development ( A maximum of 10 points as follows)

Ineffective = 0-2.5 points; Developing = 2.75 - 5 points; Effective = 5.25 - 7.5 points; Highly Effective = 7.75 - 10 points

Domain Five: Discipline and Parent Involvement ( A maximum of 10 points as follows)

Ineffective = 0-2.5 points; Developing = 2.75 - 5 points; Effective = 5.25 - 7.5 points; Highly Effective = 7.75 - 10 points

Domain Six: Management and External Relations ( A maximum of 10 points as follows)

Ineffective = 0-2.5 points; Developing = 2.75 - 5 points; Effective = 5.25 - 7.5 points; Highly Effective = 7.75 - 10 points

Scores will be rounded to the nearest whole number using general rounding rules.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.	Principals who receive a total score of 55 to 60 will be deemed highly effective, indicating their overall performance exceeds the NYS Teaching Standards. Point Values: 55 to 60 Marshall Principal Evaluation Rubric
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	Principals who receive a total score of 40 to 54 will be deemed effective, indicating that their overall performance meets the NYS Teaching Standards.  Point values: 40 to 54 Marshall Principal Evaluation Rubric
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	Principals who receive a total score of 20 to 39 will be deemed developing, indicating that their overall performance needs improvement to meet the NYS Teaching Standards. Point values: 20 to 39 Marshall Principal Evaluation Rubric
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.	Principals who receive a total score of 0 to 19 will be deemed ineffective, indicating that their overall performance is well- below what is required to meet NYS Teaching Standards. Point values: 0 to 19 Marshall Principala Evaluation Rubric

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	55 to 60
Effective	40 to 54
Developing	20 to 39
Ineffective	0 to 19

## 9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

### Probationary Principals

By supervisor	3
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	3

Tenured Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

# 10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Friday, February 27, 2015

---

## Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of  
growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness  
(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly  
Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

The Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

Where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of  
growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	55 - 60
Effective	40 - 54
Developing	20 - 39
Ineffective	0 -19

10.2) The scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

Where Value-Added growth measure applies  
Growth or Comparable Measures  
Locally-selected Measures of  
growth or achievement  
Other Measures of Effectiveness  
(60 points)

Overall  
Composite Score

Highly Effective  
22-25  
14-15  
Ranges determined locally--see above  
91-100

Effective  
10-21  
8-13  
75-90

Developing  
3-9  
3-7  
65-74

Ineffective  
0-2  
0-2  
0-64

# 11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Friday, February 27, 2015

## Page 1

### 11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans   Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans   Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

### 11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. All PIP plans must include: 1) identification of needed areas of improvement, 2) a timeline for achieving improvement, 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips. Please be sure to update a document with a form layout, with fillable spaces and not just a narrative.

[assets/survey-uploads/5276/240539-Df0w3Xx5v6/PIP Plan.pdf](#)

### 11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Appeals of "Ineffective" and "Developing" Ratings only;

A. Probationary Administrators may submit a written rebuttal that will be attached to the APPR in the member's personnel file. Probationary administrators may not appeal the APPR.

B. Tenured Administrators may submit written rebuttals for determination of "Effective" if desired, but may not appeal such ratings. Tenured administrators may only appeal the substance and rating, adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such review, adherence to the Commissioner of Education's regulations, issuance and/or implementation of the terms of an improvement plan in connection with "Ineffective" and "Developing" determinations. An administrator may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or PIP. All grounds for an appeal must be raised specifically within one appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time the appeal is filed will be deemed waived.

#### Time Frame for Filing an Appeal:

Stage 1: The principal will appeal to the Superintendent in writing within 10 business days of receipt of the APPR. Within 5 business days of the submission of appeal the principal and the superintendent will have a meeting to discuss the appeal and the superintendent will respond in writing to the principal within 10 business days of the meeting.

Stage 2: The appeal must be resubmitted in writing to the Superintendent. A panel will be established, consisting of a minimum of two retired District-Level Administrators, mutually agreed upon by the Administrators Association and Superintendent. The appeal must be filed within ten business days of issuance of the stage I appeal decision, and shall set forth the basis of appeal. The failure to file an appeal within the time frame shall be deemed a waiver of the rights to appeal, and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned. When filing an appeal, the administrator must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his or her performance review and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The performance review being challenged must be submitted with the appeal. If the panel members agree, in addition to considering the written records when making its decision, the panel may request additional written information, which may include questions addressed to the principal and/or the superintendent. In order to render its decision. Both the principal and the Superintendent will be notified of the panel's information requests. In the event the panel's request for information delays the process, such delay shall not be unreasonable. Other than additional information requested by the panel, any information not submitted at the time the appeal is filed shall not be considered.

#### Decision:

A written decision on the merits of the appeal by the Review Committee shall be rendered no later than thirty (30) calendar days from the date upon which the principal filed his or her appeal. The appeal shall be based on a written record, comprised of the principal's appeal papers and any documentary evidence accompanying the appeal. Such decision will be final. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination of each of the specific issues raised in the principal's appeal. A copy of the decision will be provided to the principal and evaluator. The principal may rebut the appeal in writing but may not appeal the substance of the decision. However, failure of the District or Association to abide by the above agreed upon process is subject to the grievance procedure. If at any stage of the appeals process, where the rating is being appealed, a decision is made in favor of the principal, the decision must include a recalculation of the score consistent with the decision. This appeals process is the exclusive remedy for resolving disputes regarding an individual's APPR rating.

The district ensures that the appeals process will be timely and expeditious in compliance with Education Law 3012-c.

## 11.4) Training of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators and Certification of Lead Evaluators

Describe the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators. Your description must include 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators, 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability, 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

(a) The "lead evaluator" is the administrator who is primarily responsible for a principal's evaluation under Chapter 103. The term "evaluator" shall include any administrator who conducts an observation or evaluation of a principal.

(b) All evaluators shall successfully complete a training course that meets the minimum requirements prescribed in Chapter 103 and Section 30-2.9 of the regulations thereunder. Such training shall include application and use of the rubric(s) selected by the District for use in evaluations.

(c) Once an evaluator has successfully completed training course meeting the minimum requirements prescribed in the law and regulations, he/she shall be deemed to be certified by the District as a lead evaluator.

(d) Evaluators were certified through training consisting of 2 days of workshops provided by NYSCOSS, at least 4 days of workshops provided by Questar III BOCES, and half day Superintendent's Meetings at Questar III. All required elements were included. During these trainings, evaluators reviewed the elements and then apply them to our specific District plan. A sample record of such trainings is attached. Inter rater reliability will be developed by viewing videos and examining evidence and applying the rubric.

(e) Recertification will occur based on continued training through Questar III BOCES, NYSCOSS and in district. These trainings will review elements as necessary, but will primarily focus on sharing and application practice.

## 11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

- 
- Checked
- 

- (1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable
- (2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
- (3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart
- (4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice
- (5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.
- (6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals
- (7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
- (8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings
- (9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

- 
- Checked
- 

## 11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.6) Assurances -- Principals   Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals   Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals   Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals   Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals   Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked

11.6) Assurances -- Principals   Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked
---	---------

## 11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.7) Assurances -- Data   Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
--	---------

11.7) Assurances -- Data   Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
--	---------

11.7) Assurances -- Data   Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked
--	---------

## 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 03/18/2015

---

### Page 1

#### 12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: [APPR District Certification Form](#). Please note that Review Room timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5581/240536-3Uqgn5g9lu/APPR Certification Dec. 21, 2012.pdf>

#### File types supported for uploads

- PDF (preferred)
- Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)
- Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)
- Open Office (.odt, .ott)
- Images (.jpg, .gif)
- Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

# Scoring band

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE									DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE			
			17	18	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100% - 97%	96% - 93%	92% - 90%	89% - 86%	85% - 82%	81% - 77%	76% - 72%	71% - 67%	66% - 63%	62% - 59%	58% - 55%	54% - 51%	50% - 49%	48% - 47%	46% - 44%	43% - 41%	40% - 38%	37% - 36%	35% - 22%	21% - 16%	15% - 0

Scoring band

0-20 Points

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE									DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE			
			17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100% 97%	96% 93%	92% 90%	89% 86%	85% 82%	81% 77%	76% 72%	71% 67%	66% 63%	62% 59%	58% 55%	54% 51%	50% 49%	48% 47%	46% 44%	43% 41%	40% 38%	37% 36%	35% 22%	21% 16%	15% 0

Scoring Band

0-15 points

Highly Effective		Effective						Developing					Ineffective		
15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
96% 100	90% 95	87% 89	84% 86	77% 83	68% 76	59% 67	51% 58	49% 50	45% 48	40% 44	36% 39	31% 35	26% 30	16% 25	0% 15

Scoring band

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE									DEVELOPING						INEFFECTIVE		
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100% - 97%	96% - 93%	92% - 90%	89% - 86%	85% - 82%	81% - 77%	76% - 72%	71% - 67%	66% - 63%	62% - 59%	58% - 55%	54% - 51%	50% - 49%	48% - 47%	46% - 44%	43% - 41%	40% - 38%	37% - 36%	35% - 22%	21% - 16%	15% - 0

A. **Calculating Composite Scores for FFT**

0-60 points are earned in the following ways:

**Observations 0-40 points** using the scoring calculation listed below in Scoring Calculation for Frameworks for Teaching Rubric

**Evidence Binder 0-20 points** using the scoring calculation listed below in Evidence Binder

**Scoring Calculation for Frameworks for Teaching Rubric-**

Ineffective = 1

Developing = 2

Effective = 3 (averaged score of 3-3.5 is effective for observation purposes)

Highly Effective =4 (averaged score of 3.6 – 4 is highly effective for observation purposes)

**\*Each Domain is worth a total of 10 points maximum**

**Enhancing Professional Practice: A Framework For Teaching**

	Overall rating
<b>Domain 1: Planning and Preparation</b>	<b>/10</b>
<b>Domain 2: Classroom Environment</b>	<b>/10</b>
<b>Domain 3: Instruction</b>	<b>/10</b>
<b>Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities</b>	<b>/10</b>
4a. Reflecting on teaching _____	
4b. Maintaining accurate records _____	
4c. Communicating with families _____	
4d. Participating in a Professional Community _____	
4e. Growing and Developing Professionally _____	
4f. Showing Professionalism _____	
<b>Total</b>	<b>/40</b>

**Domain 1: (example)**

Includes 6 sub-domains (a-f); each domain you can earn up to 4 points for a total of 24 points for Domain 1.

Domain 1a = 3 points (effective)

Domain 1b = 4 points (highly effective)

Domain 1c = 3 points (effective)

Domain 1d = 2 points (developing)

Domain 1e = 3 points (effective)

Domain 1f = 3 points (effective)

18 total points/ 24 possible points = 75%

Since each Domain is worth a total of 10 points, multiply by 10 and converts to a total of 7.5 points earned for

**Domain 1:**

Includes 6 sub-domains (a-f) for a total of 24 maximum points

**Domain 2:**

Includes 5 sub-domains (a-e) for a total of 20 maximum points

**Domain 3:**

Includes 5 sub-domains (a-e) for a total of 20 maximum points

**Domain 4:**

Includes 6 sub-domains (a-f) for a total of 24 maximum points

**Evidence Binder = 20 points** Points will be distributed according to the following rubric:

Extensive evidence (8 or more examples) - 4 points

Sufficient evidence(6 -7 examples) – 3 points

Modest evidence (4 – 5 examples) – 2 points

Insufficient evidence(2 -3 examples) – 1 point

Less than 2 examples - 0 points

The teacher will earn 4 points per category, up to 5 categories, to fulfill the 20 points required.

The categories are evidence of :

<u>Use of assessment data to drive instruction</u>	
<u>Participation in learning communities</u>	
<u>Reflecting on my teaching for implementing future lessons</u>	
<u>Maintaining accurate records</u>	
<u>Participation and/or service to school and/or district projects</u>	
<u>Enhancement of content knowledge and pedagogical skills</u>	
<u>Use of technology to enhance instruction</u>	
<u>Communications/engagement of families in the instructional program</u>	
<u>Utilization of multiple resources to advocate for students' well-being and/or academic performance</u>	

**APPENDIX D**

**LANSINGBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**  
**576 7TH AVENUE**  
**TROY, NY 12182**

**TIP Planning Document**

**Areas of Concern:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Suggested Action Steps (Teacher)**

**Suggested Action Steps ( Administrator)**

APPENDIX E

LANSINGBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

576 7TH AVENUE

TROY, NY 12182

TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Areas of Concern/Promise      Action Steps      Timeline      Indicators of Progress      HEDI

				Admin. Initials _____ Date _____ Teacher Initials _____ Date _____ <u>H E D I</u>
				Admin. Initials _____ Date _____ Teacher Initials _____ Date _____ <u>H E D I</u>
				Admin. Initials _____ Date _____ Teacher Initials _____ Date _____ <u>H E D I</u>
				Admin. Initials _____ Date _____ Teacher Initials _____ Date _____ <u>H E D I</u>



Scoring band *for Principals*

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE									DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE			
			17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100% 97%	96% 93%	92% 90%	89% 86%	85% 82%	81% 77%	76% 72%	71% 67%	66% 63%	62% 59%	58% 55%	54% 51%	50% 49%	48% 47%	46% 44%	43% 41%	40% 38%	37% 36%	35% 22%	21% 16%	15% 0

## Scoring band

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE									DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE			
			17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100% - 97%	96% - 93%	92% - 90%	89% - 86%	85% - 82%	81% - 77%	76% - 72%	71% - 67%	66% - 63%	62% - 59%	58% - 55%	54% - 51%	50% - 49%	48% - 47%	46% - 44%	43% - 41%	40% - 38%	37% - 36%	35% - 22%	21% - 16%	15% - 0

## Scoring band

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE									DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE			
			17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100% - 97%	96% - 93%	92% - 90%	89% - 86%	85% - 82%	81% - 77%	76% - 72%	71% - 67%	66% - 63%	62% - 59%	58% - 55%	54%- 51%	50% - 49%	48% - 47%	46% - 44%	43% - 41%	40% - 38%	37%- 36%	35% - 22%	21% - 16%	15%- 0

# Lansingburgh Central School District

## Principal Improvement Plan

Name of Principal: \_\_\_\_\_

School Building: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Deficiency that promulgated the “ineffective” or “developing” performance rating:

Improvement Goal / Outcome:

Action Steps / Activities:

Timeline for completion:

Required and Accessible Resources, including identification of responsibility for provision:

Dates of formative evaluation on progress: (lead evaluator and principal initial each date to confirm the meeting) December: March: Other:

Evidence to be provided for Goal Achievement:

Assessment Summary: Superintendent is to attach a narrative summary of improvement progress, including verification of the provision of support and resources as outlined above no later than 10 days after the identified completion date. Such summary shall be signed by the superintendent and principal with the opportunity for the principal to attach comments.

**DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form**

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

**The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:**

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a TIP or PIP plan, in accordance with the regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with the regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal
- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)

- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the regulation and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- If this APPR Plan is being submitted subsequent to July 1, 2012, assure that this was the result of unresolved collective bargaining negotiations

**Signatures, dates**

Superintendent Signature:      Date:

Cynthia DeDonnick 12/21/12

Teachers Union President Signature:      Date:

EM Culliton 12-21-12

Administrative Union President Signature:      Date:

Mia Falker 12/21/12

Board of Education President Signature:      Date:

Jason 12/21/12