



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Ave., Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@mail.nysed.gov
Twitter: @JohnKingNYSED
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

December 18, 2012

Anna F. Hunderfund, Superintendent
Locust Valley Central School District
22 Horse Hollow Road
Locust Valley, NY 11560

Dear Superintendent Hunderfund:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved for the 2012-2013 school year. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,



John B. King, Jr.
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Thomas Rogers

NOTES: If your district/BOCES has provided for value-added measures (15 points vs. 20 points scale and categorization of your district/BOCES's grade configurations) in your APPR and no value-added measures are approved by the Board of Regents for a grade/subject and/or grade configuration for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly. Conversely, if your district/BOCES has not provided for value-added measures in your district/BOCES's APPR submission and value-added measures are approved for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Reviews: 2012-13

Created Wednesday, June 20, 2012

Updated Monday, November 19, 2012

1

Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

1.1) School District's BEDS Number :

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

280503060000

1.2) School District Name:

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

Locust Valley Central School District

1.3) School Improvement Grant (SIG) Districts Only

SIG districts only: Indicate whether this APPR plan is for SIG schools only or for the entire district. Other districts and BOCES, please skip this question.

(No response)

1.4) Award Classification

Please check if the district has applied for and/or has been awarded any of the following (if applicable):

(No response)

1.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.5) Assurances Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

1.6) Is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan?

Re-submission to address deficiencies

1.7) Is this submission for an annual or multi-year plan?

If the plan is multi-year, please write the years that are included.

Annual (2012-13)

2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, May 22, 2012

Updated Friday, December 14, 2012

Page 1

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

(25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have state-provided measures, some may teach other courses in addition where there is no state-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects for 2012-13, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

2.1) Assurances Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
2.1) Assurances Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13.	Checked

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For core subjects: grades 6-8 Science and Social Studies, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or

District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	ELA	Assessment
K	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	Grades 4 5 NYS ELA assessment
1	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	Grades 4 5 NYS ELA assessment
2	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	Grades 4 5 NYS ELA assessment

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.</p>	<p>Grades K-2: The growth score uses the following formula:</p> $\text{Growth Score} = \text{Mean of schools Grade 4 5 NYS Growth Score} * 0.8$ <p>(all scores will be rounded, multiplying by 0.8 (20/25) converts a 25 point score to a 20 point score, a 'school' is defined as all buildings using the same BEDS code, in all cases the calculation of the mean will weight scores based on the actual number of students in each class)</p> <p>Grade 3: See attachment 2dot11</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>Grades K-2: Results are well-above state average for similar students as defined by NYS VA calculation.</p> <p>Grade 3: Evidence from the Growth calculation indicates student learning gains well above district expectations including special populations.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>Grade k-2: Results meet state average for similar as defined by VA calculation.</p> <p>Grade 3: Evidence from the Growth calculation indicates student learning gains that meets district expectations including special populations.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>Grade k-2: Results are below state average for similar students as defined by VA calculation.</p> <p>Grade 3: Evidence from the Growth calculation indicates student learning gains that are below district expectations including special populations.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>Grade k-2: Results are well below state average for similar students as defined by VA calculation.</p> <p>Grade 3: Evidence from the Growth calculation indicates little to no student learning or gains well below district expectations including special populations.</p>

2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	Math	Assessment
K	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	Grades 4 5 NYS Mathematics assessment
1	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	Grades 4 5 NYS Mathematics assessment
2	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	Grades 4 5 NYS Mathematics assessment

	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Grades K-2: The growth score uses the following formula:</p> $\text{Growth Score} = \text{Mean of schools Grade 4 5 NYS Growth Score} * 0.8$ <p>(all scores will be rounded, multiplying by 0.8 (20/25) converts a 25 point score to a 20 point score, a 'school' is defined as all buildings using the same BEDS code, in all cases the calculation of the mean will weight scores based on the actual number of students in each class)</p> <p>Grade 3 See attachment 2dot11</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	<p>Grade k-2: Results are well-above state average for similar students as defined by VA calculation.</p> <p>Grade 3: The work of the teacher results in student growth that exceeds the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark</p>
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	<p>Grade k-2: Results meet state average for similar as defined by VA calculation.</p> <p>Grade 3: The work of the teacher results in student growth that meets the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark</p>
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	<p>Grade k-2: Results are below state average for similar students as defined by VA calculation.</p> <p>Grade 3: The work of the teacher results in student growth that is below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark</p>
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	<p>Grade k-2: Results are well below state average for similar students as defined by VA calculation.</p> <p>Grade 3: The work of the teacher results in student growth that is well below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark</p>

2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

Science	Assessment
---------	------------

6	Not applicable	none
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Locust Valley 7th Grade Growth Science Exam
	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Accelerated science students in grade 8 will use the regents exam instead of the 8th grade science assessment. See attachment 2dot11
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	The work of the teacher results in student growth that exceeds the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	The work of the teacher results in student growth that meets the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	The work of the teacher results in student growth that is below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	The work of the teacher results in student growth that is well below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark

2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	Not applicable	none
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Locust Valley Grade 7 Social Studies Growth Assessment
8	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Locust Valley Grade 8 Social Studies Growth Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	See attachment 2dot11
---	-----------------------

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that exceeds the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that meets the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that is below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that is well below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark

2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

		Assessment
Global 1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Locust Valley Global 1 Social Studies Growth Assessment

		Social Studies Regents Courses	Assessment
Global 2		Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History		Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Global 1, Global 2 American History: See attachment 2dot11
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that exceeds the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that meets the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that is below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that is well below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark

2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Physics	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	See attachment 2dot11
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that exceeds the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that meets the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that is below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that is well below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark

2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in	See attachment '2dot11
---	------------------------

this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that exceeds the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that meets the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that is below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that is well below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark

2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Locust Valley Grade 9 ELA Growth Assessment
Grade 10 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Locust Valley Grade 10 ELA Growth Assessment
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	Regents Assessment

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Grades 9,10 11 ELA See attachment 2dot11
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that exceeds the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that meets the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that is below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	The work of the teacher results in student growth that is well below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark

2.10) All Other Courses

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.

The work of the teacher results in student growth that is well below the Locust Valley assessment historic benchmark

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. (MS Word)

(No response)

2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5364/132321-TXEttx9bQW/2dot11_3.docx

2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

Controls will utilize only those controls identified above or as approved by the Board of Regents and will apply only to student SGPs on Local and Other measures for courses where growth is not provided by the state.

These controls will be used to compare growth for students within a control group. The SGP for a student will be the mean of the SGP as compared to the general population and the SGP within the control group(s).

No changes to teacher HEDI scores will be made.

2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

2.14) Assurances Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html).	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created Wednesday, May 23, 2012

Updated Friday, December 14, 2012

Page 1

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers. Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment.

.Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher’s students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students’ level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students’ performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher’s students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students’ performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher’s students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause

- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
 - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley 4th Grade Local ELA Assessment
5	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley 5th Grade Local ELA Assessment

6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley 6th Grade Local ELA Assessment
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley 7th Grade Local ELA Assessment
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley 8th Grade Local ELA Assessment

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	See attachment 3dot13
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth exceed district expectations.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth meets district expectations.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is below district expectations.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is well below district expectations.

3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Vallet 4th Grade Local Math Assessment
5	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Vallet 5th Grade Local Math Assessment
6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Vallet 6th Grade Local Math Assessment
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Vallet 7th Grade Local Math Assessment
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Vallet 8th Grade Local Math Assessment

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	See attachment 3dot13
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth exceed district expectations.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth meets district expectations.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is below district expectations.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is well below district expectations.

3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

(No response)

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in

the percentage of a teacher’s students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students’ performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher’s students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above

4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:

(i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Grade K Local ELA assessment
1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Grade 1 Local ELA assessment
2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Grade 2 Local ELA assessment
3	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Grade 3 Local ELA assessment

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	See attachment 3dot13
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth exceed district expectations.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth meets district expectations.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is below district expectations.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is well below district expectations.

3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Grade K Local mathematics assessment
1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Grade 1 Local mathematics assessment
2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Grade 2 Local mathematics assessment
3	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Grade 3 Local mathematics assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this	See attachment 3dot13
--	-----------------------

subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth exceed district expectations.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth meets district expectations.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is below district expectations.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is well below district expectations.

3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	Not applicable	not applicable
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Grade 7 Local Science Assessment
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Grade 8 Local Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	See attachment 3 dot13
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth exceed district expectations.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth meets district expectations.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is below district expectations.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is well below district expectations.

3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	Not applicable	Not Applicable
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley 7th Grade Local Social Studies assessment
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley 8th Grade Local Social Studies Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	See attachment 3dot13
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth exceed district expectations.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth meets district expectations.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is below district expectations.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is well below district expectations.

3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Global 1 Local Assessment
Global 2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Global 2 Local assessment

American History	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley American History Local assessment
------------------	---	---

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	See attachment 3dot13
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth exceed district expectations.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth meets district expectations.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is below district expectations.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is well below district expectations.

3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Living Environment Local assessment
Earth Science	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Earth Science Local assessment
Chemistry	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Chemistry Local assessment
Physics	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Physics Local assessment

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	See attachment 3dot13
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth exceed district expectations.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth meets district expectations.
Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is below district expectations.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is well below district expectations.

3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Algebra 1 Local assessment
Geometry	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Geometry Local assessment
Algebra 2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Algebra 2 Local assessment

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	See attachment 3dot13
---	-----------------------

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth exceed district expectations.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth meets district expectations.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is below district expectations.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth is well below district expectations.

3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Grade 9 ELA Local assessment
Grade 10 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Grade 10 ELA Local assessment
Grade 11 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Locust Valley Grade 11 ELA Local assessment

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	See attachment 3dot13
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth exceed district expectations.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth meets district expectations.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for	Student achievement and growth is below district expectations.

Teachers of grades 9-12 will share the mean of the HS local score for courses that culminate in a state regents assessment, Global 1 and English 9 10, weighted by the number of students in each class. Only whole number values will be used.

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES -adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Student achievement and growth exceed district expectations.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Student achievement and growth meets district expectations.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Student achievement and growth is below district expectations.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Student achievement and growth is well below district expectations.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 3.12: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 3.12. (MS Word)

(No response)

3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5139/132335-y92vNseFa4/3dot13_1.docx

3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Controls will utilize only those controls identified above or as approved by the Board of Regents and will apply only to student SGPs on Local and Other measures for courses where growth is not provided by the state.

These controls will be used to compare growth for students with in a control group. The SGP for a student will be the mean of the SGP as compared to the general population and the SGP within the control group(s).

No changes to teacher HEDI scores will be made.

3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

3.16) Assurances Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
3.16) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked

4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created Friday, May 11, 2012

Updated Friday, December 14, 2012

Page 1

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric

(No response)

4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	60
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	(No response)
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	(No response)
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	(No response)
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	(No response)
Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	(No response)

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

[SurveyTools.0] Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
[SurveyTools.1] Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
[SurveyTools.2] Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
[SurveyTools.3] District Variance	(No response)

4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

4.4) Assurances Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Each of the standards 1-7 are assigned a point value using the following table:

- Standard 1 - 24*
- Standard 2 - 24*
- Standard 3 - 72*
- Standard 4 - 48*
- Standard 5 - 40*
- Standard 6 - 20*
- Standard 7 - 12*

Each standard will be rated with a point value between 0 and the maximum for the standard.

The Score is computed by summing the individual standard scores and then looking up the total in the attached table (teacher rubric scale).

The following Score to HEDI conversion chart is used to assign the HEDI rating.

Category Rubric Score Range
 Ineffective 0- 141 0 - 49
 Developing 142 - 149 50 - 53
 Effective 150 - 212 54 - 58
 Highly effective 213 - 240 59 - 60

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

[assets/survey-uploads/5091/128323-eka9yMJ855/11 29 teacher rubric scale.xls](#)

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	Performance is well above district targets as prescribed in the NYSUT rubric, "highly effective" descriptors for indicators in the NYS Teaching Standards
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Performance is at targets as prescribed in the NYSUT rubric, "highly effective" descriptors for indicators in the NYS Teaching Standards
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Performance is below district targets as prescribed in the NYSUT rubric, "highly effective" descriptors for indicators in the NYS Teaching Standards
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Performance is well below district targets as prescribed in the NYSUT rubric, "highly effective" descriptors for indicators in the NYS Teaching Standards

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	54-58
Developing	50-53
Ineffective	0-49

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Formal/Long	4
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Informal/Short	0
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Enter Total	4

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Formal/Long	1
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Informal/Short	1
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Friday, June 22, 2012

Updated Thursday, December 06, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	54-58
Developing	50-53
Ineffective	0-49

5.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

**Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement**

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created Friday, June 22, 2012

Updated Thursday, December 06, 2012

Page 1

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

assets/survey-uploads/5265/144841-Df0w3Xx5v6/TIP form, draft.docx

6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

1. Subject to the conditions stated in paragraphs 2 and 3, below, the content and substance of a teacher's annual evaluation may be appealed to the Superintendent. A teacher may not file multiple appeals regarding the same evaluation. Such appeal must be submitted in writing to the Superintendent within ten (10) school days of the receipt of the evaluation. The appeal shall set forth the nature of the objections to the content and substance of the evaluation. If the appeal is not submitted in writing within ten (10) school days of receipt of the evaluation, the right to an appeal of the evaluation shall be deemed waived. The decision of the Superintendent shall be in

writing and served, mailed, or e-mailed to the teacher within ten (10) school days of receipt of the appeal. The Superintendent's decision shall be final and binding and shall not be subject to the grievance procedure of this contract, to an Appeal to the Commissioner, or to a challenge in court. The evaluated teacher may challenge only the substance of the annual professional performance review, and/or the school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan.

2. Non-tenured teachers shall not be permitted to appeal any aspect of their annual evaluation, or the school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of a teacher improvement plan. Rights provided to probationary teachers denied tenure at the end of probation pursuant to Article 9.B.4 of the collective bargaining agreement between the District and the LVSEA shall continue unless modified by agreement between the parties.

3. Tenured teachers may appeal an APPR CES rating of Ineffective or Developing. Ratings of Effective or Highly Effective are not appealable.

6.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

The Locust Valley Central School District will ensure that all lead evaluators/evaluators are properly trained and certified, as necessary, to complete an individual's performance review. Evaluator training has been and will continue to be conducted by Nassau BOCES. Lead evaluator training has been and will continue to be conducted in accordance with the certification requirements per the Commissioner's regulations. This training will include the following:

- *New York State Teaching Standards*
 - *Evidence-based observation*
 - *Application and use of Student Growth Percentile and Value Added Growth Model data*
 - *Application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubrics*
 - *Application and use of any assessment tools used to evaluate teachers*
 - *Application and use of State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement*
 - *Use of Statewide Instructional Reporting System*
 - *Scoring methodology used to evaluate teachers*
- Specific considerations in evaluating teachers of ELLs and students with disabilities*

The Locust Valley Central School District has implemented an ongoing process to ensure that lead evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time and that they are recertified on an annual basis by the superintendent's designee.

6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

-
- Checked
-

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created Wednesday, June 27, 2012

Updated Friday, June 29, 2012

Page 1

7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

	K-5
	6-8
	9-12
	(No response)

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13	Checked

7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessment covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. District-determined assessments from the options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the school or program type this SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Please remember that State assessments must be used with SLOs if applicable to the school or program type.

School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment
(No response)	(No response)	(No response)
(No response)	(No response)	(No response)
(No response)	(No response)	(No response)
(No response)	(No response)	(No response)
(No response)	(No response)	(No response)

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	NA
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	NA
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	NA
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	NA
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	NA

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

(No response)

7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html .	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created Friday, June 29, 2012

Updated Thursday, December 06, 2012

Page 1

Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
k-5	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Locust Valley k-5 ELA and Math Assessments
6-8	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Locust Valley 6-8 ELA and Math, 7-8 social Studies, 7th grade science Assessments
9-12	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Locust Valley 9-11 Local assessments for courses that culminate in regents exam

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	See attachment 8dot1
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth exceed district expectations.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth meet district expectations.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth are below district expectations.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Student achievement and growth are well below district expectations.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5366/147507-qBFVOWF7fC/8dot1_1.docx

8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list: <!--

(a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)

(b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)

(c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

(d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations

(e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)

(h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	NA
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	NA
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	NA
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	NA
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	na

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Controls will utilize only those controls identified above or as approved by the Board of Regents and will apply only to student SGPs on Local and Other measures for courses where growth is not provided by the state.

These controls will be used to compare growth for students with in a control group. The SGP for a student will be the mean of the SGP as compared to the general population and the SGP within the control group(s).

No changes to principal HEDI scores will be made.

8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

Not applicable.

8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

8.5) Assurances Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check
8.5) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check

9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created Friday, June 29, 2012

Updated Friday, November 09, 2012

Page 1

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

Marshall's Principal Evaluation Rubric

(No response)

9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the points assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	60
---	----

Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents.	0
--	---

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 9.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below (if applicable):

9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	(No response)
9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	(No response)

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) School visits by other trained evaluators	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	(No response)

9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is posted, this form will be updated with dropdown menus of approved survey tools.

Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey for Teachers	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 3-5) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 6-12) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Parent Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Teacher/Staff Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
District variance	(No response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

9.6) Assurances Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Each element in each domain will be rated and a score of 1 for ineffective, 2 for developing, 3 for effective 4 for Highly effective.

The element scores are summed and the final HEDI score is determined using the attached table:

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5143/147517-pMADJ4gk6R/Principal rubric table nov.xls

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.	Principal averages well above effective across all 6 domains
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	Principal scores near effective across all 6 domains
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	Principal scores below effective across all 6 domains
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.	Principal scores well below effective across all 6 domains

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	54-58
Developing	50-53
Ineffective	0-49

9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

Probationary Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

Tenured Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Friday, June 29, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

**Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement**

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	54-58
Developing	50-53
Ineffective	0-49

10.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created Saturday, June 23, 2012

Updated Friday, June 29, 2012

Page 1

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in your school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

[assets/survey-uploads/5276/145149-Df0w3Xx5v6/PIP form, draft.docx](#)

11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

1. Subject to the conditions stated in paragraphs 2 and 3, below, the content and substance of a principal's annual evaluation may be appealed to the Superintendent. A principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same evaluation. Such appeal must be submitted in writing to the Superintendent within ten (10) school days of the receipt of the evaluation. The appeal shall set forth the nature of the objections to the content and substance of the evaluation. If the appeal is not submitted in writing within ten (10) school days of receipt of the evaluation, the right to an appeal of the evaluation shall be deemed waived. The decision of the Superintendent shall be in writing and served, mailed, or e-mailed to the principal within ten (10) school days of receipt of the appeal. The Superintendent's decision shall be final and binding and shall not be subject to the grievance procedure of this contract, to an Appeal

to the Commissioner, or to a challenge in court. The evaluated principal may challenge only the substance of the annual professional performance review, and/or the school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan.

2. Non-tenured principals shall not be permitted to appeal any aspect of their annual evaluation, or the school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of a principal improvement plan. Rights provided to probationary principals denied tenure at the end of probation pursuant to Article 9.B.4 of the collective bargaining agreement between the District and the LVSEA shall continue unless modified by agreement between the parties.

3. Tenured principals may appeal an APPR CES rating of Ineffective or Developing. Ratings of Effective or Highly Effective are not appealable.

11.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

The Locust Valley Central School District will ensure that all lead evaluators/evaluators are properly trained and certified, as necessary, to complete an individual's performance review. Evaluator training has been and will continue to be conducted by Nassau BOCES. Lead evaluator training has been and will continue to be conducted in accordance with the certification requirements per the Commissioner's regulations. This training will include the following:

- *New York State Teaching Standards*
- *Evidence-based observation*
- *Application and use of Student Growth Percentile and Value Added Growth Model data*
- *Application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubrics*
- *Application and use of any assessment tools used to evaluate teachers*
- *Application and use of State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement*
- *Use of Statewide Instructional Reporting System*
- *Scoring methodology used to evaluate teachers*

Specific considerations in evaluating teachers of ELLs and students with disabilities

The Locust Valley Central School District has implemented an ongoing process to ensure that lead evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time and that they are recertified on an annual basis by the superintendent's designee.

11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

• Checked

11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
--	---------

11.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
--	---------

11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked
--	---------

12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created Friday, June 29, 2012

Updated Tuesday, December 18, 2012

Page 1

12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form

<assets/survey-uploads/5581/147552-3Uqgn5g9Iu/APPR Certification Form.pdf>

File types supported for uploads

PDF (preferred)

Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)

Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)

Open Office (.odt, .ott)

Images (.jpg, .gif)

Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

Locust Valley utilizes a locally defined growth model that calculates the HEDI score for each teacher that does not receive a growth score from NYS.

The Locust Valley (LV) calculated MGP is the mean of the LV calculated Student Growth Percentile (SGP) of all students from the teacher’s applicable rosters and, as in the NYS growth model, compares a student’s growth to students with a similar starting point.

The details are:

Growth for all required courses

- Growth Score uses a quartile regression (details on next page)
- Does NOT use anchor point – pure MGP
- Weighted MGP:
 - $MGP = \{(\# \text{ students in Quartile 1} * MGP_1) + (\# \text{ students in Quartile 2} * MGP_2) + (\# \text{ students in Quartile 3} * MGP_3) + (\# \text{ students in Quartile 4} * MGP_4)\} / (\text{total } \# \text{ of students})$
 - Rounded to integer
- HEDI Score found on table on right

Low MGP score	High MGP score	HEDI Score
0	1	0
2	3	1
4	5	2
6	7	3
8	9	4
10	11	5
12	13	6
14	15	7
16	17	8
18	19	9
20	21	10
22	24	11
25	29	12
30	34	13
35	40	14
41	50	15
51	60	16
61	65	17
66	70	18
71	75	19
76	100	20

GROWTH SCORE

- All students in the same quartile are ranked against each other
- A teacher’s Mean Growth Percentile (MGP) is the mean of the individual student SGPs
- Example, MGP_3 (MGP for quartile 3):

$$MGP_3 = \frac{95 + 90 + 80 + 60 + 30 + 40 + 30 + 10}{8} = 57$$

$MGP_3 = 57$

- Repeat for each quartile
- Final MGP is weighted by # of students in quartile (defined on next page)

Baseline % Rank

EOY % Rank

GROWTH SCORE

- Growth score:

$MGP = \frac{(\# \text{ students in Quartile 1} * MGP_1) + (\# \text{ students in Quartile 2} * MGP_2) + (\# \text{ students in Quartile 3} * MGP_3) + (\# \text{ students in Quartile 4} * MGP_4)}{\text{total \# of students}}$

- The final weighted MGP is used to get the Growth Score from the table on the right
- For example, a MGP of 57% yields a Growth Score of 16


Low MGP score	High MGP score	HEDI Score
0	1	0
2	3	1
4	5	2
6	7	3
8	9	4
10	11	5
12	13	6
14	15	7
16	17	8
18	19	9
20	21	10
22	24	11
25	29	12
30	34	13
35	40	14
41	50	15
51	60	16
61	65	17
66	70	18
71	75	19
76	100	20

rubric points

Hedi points

0	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
13	0
14	0
15	0
16	0
17	0
18	0
19	0
20	0
21	0
22	0
23	0
24	0
25	0
26	0
27	0
28	0
29	0
30	0
31	0
32	0
33	0
34	0
35	0
36	0
37	0
38	0
39	0
40	0
41	0
42	0
43	0
44	0
45	0
46	0
47	0
48	0
49	0

slope 0.607143
b -42.5




50	0
51	0
52	0
53	0
54	0
55	0
56	0
57	0
58	0
59	0
60	0
61	1
62	1
63	2
64	2
65	3
66	4
67	4
68	5
69	5
70	6
71	7
72	7
73	8
74	8
75	9
76	10
77	10
78	11
79	12
80	12
81	13
82	13
83	14
84	15
85	15
86	16
87	16
88	17
89	18
90	18
91	19
92	19
93	20
94	21
95	21
96	22
97	22
98	23
99	24
100	24

101	25
102	26
103	26
104	27
105	27
106	28
107	29
108	29
109	30
110	30
111	31
112	32
113	32
114	33
115	33
116	34
117	35
118	35
119	36
120	36
121	37
122	38
123	38
124	39
125	39
126	40
127	41
128	41
129	42
130	43
131	43
132	44
133	44
134	45
135	46
136	46
137	47
138	47
139	48
140	49
141	49
142	50
143	50
144	51
145	52
146	52
147	53
148	53
149	53
150	54
151	54

152	54
153	54
154	54
155	54
156	54
157	55
158	55
159	55
160	55
161	55
162	55
163	55
164	55
165	56
166	56
167	56
168	56
169	56
170	56
171	56
172	56
173	56
174	56
175	57
176	57
177	57
178	57
179	57
180	57
181	57
182	57
183	57
184	57
185	57
186	57
187	57
188	57
189	57
190	57
191	57
192	57
193	57
194	57
195	57
196	57
197	57
198	57
199	57
200	57
201	58
202	58

203	58
204	58
205	58
206	58
207	58
208	58
209	58
210	58
211	58
212	58
213	59
214	59
215	59
216	59
217	59
218	59
219	59
220	59
221	59
222	59
223	59
224	59
225	59
226	59
227	59
228	59
229	59
230	59
231	59
232	60
233	60
234	60
235	60
236	60
237	60
238	60
239	60
240	60

Hedi points



Teacher Improvement Plan

Final meeting between teacher and supervisor must occur on or before June 15 of school year that the TIP is in effect. Progress towards improvement on specific areas listed in item #1, below, shall be noted in teacher's Annual Evaluation Report (AER).

Teacher
Assignment was

School year plan is based
Assignment school year that
TIP is applicable
Date of TIP initial conference

Date of related
APPR (attach copy)

1. List area(s) to be improved, citing from teacher's evaluation and correlating with District APPR criteria:
(Cite specific standards/elements/indicators from the NYSUT rubric)
2. Specific objectives for improvement:
3. Plan for self-improvement (activities and timeline):
4. Administrator's plan to assist educator to improve performance (activities and timeline):

NOTES that provide additional depth and definition to this TIP:

3dot13

Locust Valley utilizes a locally defined growth model that calculates the local HEDI score for teacher's Local HEDI score. The model defines an Anchor Point, which is specific to each grade and subject, and an individual teacher's Growth Adjustment, defined below.

The formula for each teacher is:

$$\textit{Teacher HEDI Score} = \textit{Anchor Point} + \textit{Teacher Growth Adjustment}$$

The Anchor point is determined by taking the grade level mean on the local assessment(s) and applying a cut point template that is aligned with either the grade and subject specific 2011 State assessment (<http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/ela-math/>) where applicable or the template used in NYS regents exams [0-54, 55-64, 65-84 & 85-100.] All scores between 0 and 20 are possible because the Anchor Point is based on the grade level achievement on the Locust Valley Grade level benchmarks.

The Locust Valley (LV) calculated MGP is the mean of the LV calculated Student Growth Percentile (SGP) of all students from the teacher's applicable rosters and, as in the NYS growth model, compares a student's growth to students with a similar starting point. The Teacher Growth Value is derived from looking up each educator's Mean Growth Percentile (MGP) in the table below.


The following slides detail the process

LOCAL COMPONENT OVERVIEW

- Point allocation
 - 15 points for teachers that receive a NYS Growth score
 - 20 points for all other teachers
- Local Score = Anchor Point + Growth Adjustment
 - Anchor Point is the mean of the Benchmark exams
 - Growth Adjustment modifies a teachers local score based on locally calculated MGP

Details on following slides

LOCAL COMPONENT EXAMS USED




ANCHOR POINT OVERVIEW

- Maps the grade/course benchmark mean to an Anchor Point
- A sample conversion table for all HS courses is shown
- Example
 - Benchmark Grade Average: 72
 - Anchor Point: 12
 - All Teachers of course/grade starts with a 12
 - Diagram follows
- Anchor Point conversion from 20 to 15 points
 - Use table to determine the Anchor Point for the 20 point scale and apply following formula
 - 15 point Anchor Point = $.75 * (20 \text{ point anchor point})$

Benchmark		
Low score	High score	Anchor Point
0.0	17.9	0
18.0	35.9	1
36.0	54.9	2
55.0	55.9	3
56.0	56.9	4
57.0	57.9	5
58.0	59.9	6
60.0	61.9	7
62.0	64.9	8
65.0	66.9	9
67.0	68.9	10
69.0	70.9	11
71.0	72.9	12
73.0	74.9	13
75.0	76.9	14
77.0	78.9	15
79.0	81.9	16
82.0	84.9	17
85.0	89.9	18
90.0	94.9	19
95.0	100.0	20


DETERMINING ANCHOR POINT

Benchmarks
Unit Tests



Benchmark		
Low score	High score	Anchor Point
0.0	17.9	0
18.0	35.9	1
36.0	54.9	2
55.0	55.9	3
56.0	56.9	4
57.0	57.9	5
58.0	59.9	6
60.0	61.9	7
62.0	64.9	8
65.0	66.9	9
67.0	68.9	10
69.0	70.9	11
71.0	72.9	12
73.0	74.9	13
75.0	76.9	14
77.0	78.9	15
79.0	81.9	16
82.0	84.9	17
85.0	89.9	18
90.0	94.9	19
95.0	100.0	20

HEDI Levels




GROWTH ADJUSTMENT

- Growth adjustment
 - ± 10 points to the anchor point
- A teacher's Mean Growth Percentile (MGP) is the average of the individual student SGPs
- Example, MGP_3 (MGP for quartile 3):

$$MGP_3 = \frac{95 + 90 + 80 + 60 + 40 + 40 + 30 + 10}{8} = 57$$

$$MGP_3 = 57$$

- Process repeated for each quartile
- Each MGP is weighted by # of students in quartile



GROWTH ADJUSTMENT

Weighted MGP

$MGP = \frac{(\# \text{ students in Quartile 1} * MGP_1) + (\# \text{ students in Quartile 2} * MGP_2) + (\# \text{ students in Quartile 3} * MGP_3) + (\# \text{ students in Quartile 4} * MGP_4)}{\text{total \# of students}}$

- The final weighted MGP is used to get the Growth Adjustment from the table on the right
- For example, a weighted MGP of 55 yields a Growth Adjustment of 1

MGP	Growth Adjustment
96-100%	10
91-95%	9
86-90%	8
81-85%	7
76-80%	6
71-75%	5
66-70%	4
61-65%	3
56-60%	2
46-55%	1
41-45%	0
37-40%	-1
33-36%	-2
29-32%	-3
25-28%	-4
18-24%	-5
16-19%	-6
12-15%	-7
6-11%	-8
3-6%	-9
0-2%	-10

DETERMINING LOCAL COMPONENT PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

- Local HEDI Score = Anchor Point + Growth Adjustment
- Example
 - Class average of 72 led to anchorpoint of 12
 - MGP of 55% led to a growth adjustment of +1
- Local HEDI Score = 12 + 1
- Local HEDI Score = 13 (out of 20)
- The maximum score a teacher with a NYS growth score is may attain is a 15. All other teachers have a maximum of 20.

8dot1

Locust Valley utilizes a locally defined growth model that calculates the local HEDI score for principal's Local HEDI score. The model defines an Anchor Point, which is specific to each grade and subject, and an individual teacher's Growth Adjustment, defined below. As principals have multiple grades, a weighted score based on the student population is:

$$\text{Principal Score} = \frac{(\text{Anchor Point}_{\text{grade } x} + \text{Principal Growth Adjustment}_{\text{grade } x}) * \# \text{ of students}_{\text{grade } x} + (\text{Anchor Point}_{\text{grade } y} + \text{Principal Growth Adjustment}_{\text{grade } y}) * \# \text{ of students}_{\text{grade } y} + \dots}{\text{Total Students}}$$

Each grade level Anchor point is determined by taking the grade level mean on the local assessment(s) and applying a cut point template that is aligned with either the grade and subject specific 2011 State assessment (<http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/ela-math/>) where applicable or the template used in NYS regents exams [0-54, 55-64, 65-84 & 85-100.] All scores between 0 and 20 are possible because the Anchor Point is based on the grade level achievement on the Locust Valley Grade level benchmarks.

The Locust Valley (LV) calculated MGP is the mean of the LV calculated Student Growth Percentile (SGP) of all students in each grade or course and, as in the NYS growth model, compares a student's growth to students with a similar starting point.


The following slides detail the process

LOCAL COMPONENT OVERVIEW

- Point allocation
 - 15 points for principals receive a NYS Growth score
- $Principal\ Score = ((Anchor\ Point_{grade\ x} + Principal\ Growth\ Adjustment_{grade\ x}) * \#\ of\ students_{grade\ x}) + ((Anchor\ Point_{grade\ y} + Principal\ Growth\ Adjustment_{grade\ y}) * \#\ of\ students_{grade\ y}) + \dots / Total\ Students$
 - Anchor Point is the mean of the Benchmark exams
 - Growth Adjustment modifies a principal's local score based on locally calculated MGP

Details on following slides

LOCAL COMPONENT EXAMS USED




ANCHOR POINT OVERVIEW

- Maps the grade/course benchmark mean to an Anchor Point
- A sample conversion table for all HS courses is shown
- Example
 - Benchmark Grade Average: 72
 - Anchor Point: 12
 - All Teachers of course/grade starts with a 12
 - Diagram follows
- Anchor Point conversion from 20 to 15 points
 - Use table to determine the Anchor Point for the 20 point scale and apply following formula
 - 15 point Anchor Point = $.75 * (20 \text{ point anchor point})$

Benchmark		
Low score	High score	Anchor Point
0.0	17.9	0
18.0	35.9	1
36.0	54.9	2
55.0	55.9	3
56.0	56.9	4
57.0	57.9	5
58.0	59.9	6
60.0	61.9	7
62.0	64.9	8
65.0	66.9	9
67.0	68.9	10
69.0	70.9	11
71.0	72.9	12
73.0	74.9	13
75.0	76.9	14
77.0	78.9	15
79.0	81.9	16
82.0	84.9	17
85.0	89.9	18
90.0	94.9	19
95.0	100.0	20


DETERMINING ANCHOR POINT

Benchmarks
Unit Tests



Benchmark		
Low score	High score	Anchor Point
0.0	17.9	0
18.0	35.9	1
36.0	54.9	2
55.0	55.9	3
56.0	56.9	4
57.0	57.9	5
58.0	59.9	6
60.0	61.9	7
62.0	64.9	8
65.0	66.9	9
67.0	68.9	10
69.0	70.9	11
71.0	72.9	12
73.0	74.9	13
75.0	76.9	14
77.0	78.9	15
79.0	81.9	16
82.0	84.9	17
85.0	89.9	18
90.0	94.9	19
95.0	100.0	20

HEDI Levels



GROWTH ADJUSTMENT


- Growth adjustment
 - ± 10 points to the anchor point
- A teacher's Mean Growth Percentile (MGP) is the average of the individual student SGPs

- Example, MGP_3 (MGP for quartile 3):

$$MGP_3 = \frac{95 + 90 + 80 + 60 + 50 + 40 + 30 + 10}{8} = 57$$

$$MGP_3 = 57$$

- Process repeated for each quartile
- Each MGP is weighted by # of students in quartile



GROWTH ADJUSTMENT

- Weighted MGP

$$MGP = \frac{(\# \text{ students in Quartile 1} * MGP_1) + (\# \text{ students in Quartile 2} * MGP_2) + (\# \text{ students in Quartile 3} * MGP_3) + (\# \text{ students in Quartile 4} * MGP_4)}{\text{total \# of students}}$$

- The final weighted MGP is used to get the Growth Adjustment from the table on the right
- For example, a weighted MGP of 55 yields a Growth Adjustment of 1


MGP	Growth Adjustment
96-100%	10
91-95%	9
86-90%	8
81-85%	7
76-80%	6
71-75%	5
66-70%	4
61-65%	3
56-60%	2
46-55%	1
41-45%	0
37-40%	-1
33-36%	-2
29-32%	-3
25-28%	-4
18-24%	-5
16-19%	-6
12-15%	-7
6-11%	-8
3-6%	-9
0-2%	-10

DETERMINING LOCAL COMPONENT PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

- Local HEDI Score = Anchor Point + Growth Adjustment
- Example
 - Class average of 72 led to anchor point of 12
 - MGP of 55% led to a growth adjustment of +1
- Local HEDI Score = 12 + 1
- Local HEDI Score = 13 (out of 20)
- The maximum score a principal with a NYS growth score is may attain is a 15.

rubric points	HEDI Score
60	0
61	1
62	1
63	2
64	3
65	4
66	4
67	5
68	6
69	6
70	7
71	8
72	9
73	9
74	10
75	11
76	11
77	12
78	13
79	14
80	14
81	15
82	16
83	17
84	17
85	18
86	19
87	19
88	20
89	21
90	22
91	22
92	23
93	24
94	24
95	25
96	26
97	27
98	27
99	28
100	29
101	29
102	30
103	31
104	32
105	32
106	33
107	34
108	34
109	35


slope 0.71831
b -43.09859



110	36
111	37
112	37
113	38
114	39
115	40
116	40
117	41
118	42
119	42
120	43
121	44
122	45
123	45
124	46
125	47
126	47
127	48
128	49
129	50
130	50
131	51
132	52
133	52
134	52
135	53
136	53
137	53
138	54
139	54
140	54
141	54
142	54
143	54
144	54
145	54
146	55
147	55
148	55
149	55
150	55
151	55
152	55
153	55
154	55
155	56
156	56
157	56
158	56
159	56
160	56

161	56
162	56
163	56
164	56
165	56
166	57
167	57
168	57
169	57
170	57
171	57
172	57
173	57
174	57
175	57
176	57
177	57
178	57
179	57
180	57
181	57
182	57
183	57
184	57
185	57
186	57
187	57
188	57
189	57
190	57
191	57
192	57
193	57
194	57
195	57
196	58
197	58
198	58
199	58
200	58
201	58
202	58
203	58
204	58
205	58
206	58
207	58
208	58
209	58
210	58
211	59

212	59
213	59
214	59
215	59
216	59
217	59
218	59
219	59
220	59
221	59
222	59
223	59
224	59
225	59
226	59
227	59
228	59
229	59
230	59
231	59
232	59
233	60
234	60
235	60
236	60
237	60
238	60
239	60
240	60



Principal Improvement Plan

The Principal Improvement Plan shall be developed and commenced no later than ten (10) school days after the start of a school year. Progress towards improvement on specific areas listed in item #1, below, shall be noted in principal's annual review. The final meeting between principal and supervisor must occur on or before June 15 of the school year that the PIP is in effect. Attach a copy of the prior year's principal's annual review.

Principal
School
Date of related
APPR (attach copy)

School year plan is based
Date of PIP commencement

1. List area(s) to be improved, citing specific domain and element letter from the Marshall Principal Evaluation rubric (attach a separate sheet if needed):

2. Desired outcomes for each item documented above:

3. Plan for self-improvement (activities and timeline):

4. Administrator's plan to assist educator to improve performance (activities and timeline):

NOTES that provide additional depth and definition to this PIP:

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.


The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a TIP or PIP plan, in accordance with the regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with the regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal
- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)


- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the regulation and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- If this APPR Plan is being submitted subsequent to July 1, 2012, assure that this was the result of unresolved collective bargaining negotiations

Signatures, dates


Superintendent Signature: Date:

 12/17/12


Teachers Union President Signature: Date: 12/17/12



Administrative Union President Signature: Date: 12/17/12



Board of Education President Signature: Date:

 12/18/12