



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education  
President of the University of the State of New York  
89 Washington Ave., Room 111  
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: [commissioner@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:commissioner@mail.nysed.gov)  
Twitter: @JohnKingNYSED  
Tel: (518) 474-5844  
Fax: (518) 473-4909

October 26, 2012

Paul G. Williamsen, Superintendent  
Mayfield Central School District  
27 School St.  
Mayfield, NY 12117

Dear Superintendent Williamsen:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved for the 2012-2013 school year. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,



John B. King, Jr.  
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Patrick Michel

NOTES: If your district/BOCES has provided for value-added measures (15 points vs. 20 points scale and categorization of your district/BOCES's grade configurations) in your APPR and no value-added measures are approved by the Board of Regents for a grade/subject and/or grade configuration for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly. Conversely, if your district/BOCES has not provided for value-added measures in your district/BOCES's APPR submission and value-added measures are approved for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

# Annual Professional Performance Reviews: 2012-13

Created Tuesday, May 29, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 25, 2012

---

## 1

### Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

### 1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

#### 1.1) School District's BEDS Number : 170801040000

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

*170801040000*

#### 1.2) School District Name: MAYFIELD CSD

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

*MAYFIELD CSD*

#### 1.3) School Improvement Grant (SIG) Districts Only

SIG districts only: Indicate whether this APPR plan is for SIG schools only or for the entire district. Other districts and BOCES, please skip this question.

*(No response)*

#### 1.4) Award Classification

Please check if the district has applied for and/or has been awarded any of the following (if applicable):

- 
- Strengthening Teacher and Leader Effectiveness RFP (NYSED)
-

## 1.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.5) Assurances   Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.5) Assurances   Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.5) Assurances   Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

## 1.6) Is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan?

*Re-submission to address deficiencies*

## 1.7) Is this submission for an annual or multi-year plan?

*If the plan is multi-year, please write the years that are included.*

*Annual (2012-13)*

## 2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created Friday, May 25, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 25, 2012

---

### Page 1

#### STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

(25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have state-provided measures, some may teach other courses in addition where there is no state-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects for 2012-13, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

#### 2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

2.1) Assurances   Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
2.1) Assurances   Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13.	Checked

#### STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

**For core subjects: grades 6-8 Science and Social Studies, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:**

State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or

District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

**For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:**

State assessments, *required if one exists*

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

**Please note:** If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

## 2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	ELA	Assessment
K	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
1	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
2	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, Renaissance Learning

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this	The SLO's for K-3 will utilize State approved 3rd party assessments. For grade 3, the STAR assessment will be used as
--	---

subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	a pre-test and targets will be set for the 3rd grade State Assessment. The same assessments will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. Growth targets will be set based on the pretest of the students assigned to the teacher. Students' individual pretest scores will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine their individual growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0-20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of their students meet the growth target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the growth target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the growth target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the growth target.

### 2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	Math	Assessment
K	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
1	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
2	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning

	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLO's for K-3 Math will utilize State approved 3rd party assessments. For grade 3, the STAR assessment will be used as a pretest, and targets will be set for the 3rd grade State assessment. The same assessments will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. Growth targets will be set based on the pretest of the students assigned to the teacher. Students' pretest scores will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0-20. Teachers can achieve all scale scores points from 0-20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of their students meet the growth target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the growth target.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 65% of their students meet the growth target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the growth target.

## 2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	District developed 6 science assessment
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	District developed 7 science assessment

	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLO's for grades 6-7 Science will utilize the Mayfield developed Science Final Exams. The SLO for 8th grade Science will utilize the 8th grade State Science assessment. The same assessments will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0-20 points. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0-20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of their students meet the growth target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% or greater of their students meet the growth target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the growth target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the growth target.

## 2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	District developed 6 social studies assessment
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	District developed 7 social studies assessment

8	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	District developed 8 social studies assessment
---	--	--

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLO's for grades 6-8 Social Studies will be rigorous and comparable. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of their students meet the growth target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the growth target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the growth target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the growth target.

## 2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

		Assessment
Global 1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	District Global 1 Social Studies assessment

	Social Studies Regents Courses	Assessment
Global 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLO's for high school Social Studies Regents courses will be rigorous and comparable. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same course. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the
---	---

	baseline and will be compared to the Regents assessment score or the District Developed Assessment for Global 1 score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of their students meet the growth target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the growth target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the growth target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 48% of their students meet the growth target.

## 2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Physics	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLO's for high school Regents Science courses will be rigorous and comparable. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same course. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the Regents assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0-20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of their students meet the growth target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the growth target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the growth target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the growth target

## 2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLO's for high school Regents Mathematics Course will be rigorous and comparable. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same course. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the Regents assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of their students meet the growth target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the growth target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the growth target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the growth target.

## 2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	District developed grade 9 ELA assessment
Grade 10 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	District developed Grade 10 ELA assessment
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	Regents

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLO's for high school English Language Arts courses will be rigorous and comparable. The Mayfield developed ELA assessment will be used for grades 9 and 10. The ELA Regents will be used for grade 11. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same course. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of their students meet the growth target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the growth target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the growth target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the growth target.

## 2.10) All Other Courses

Fill in, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have Student Learning Objectives. If you need additional space, duplicate this form and upload (below) as an attachment to your APPR plan. You may combine into one line any groups of teachers for whom the answers in the boxes are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above" .

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Option	Assessment
Secondary Business Education Courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	District Course specific Business final exam
All Art Courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	District course specific Art final exam, portfolio assessment
All Music classes	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	District Course specific Music final exam, performance assessments
All Physical Education classes	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	District course specific Physical Education performance assessments
All Technology classes	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	District course specific Technology final exams
All Family and Consumer Science	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	District course specific Family and Consumer Science final exams
All Foreign Language Spanish courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	District course specific final exams
All Foreign Language French courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	District course specific final exams
All Health courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	District course specific Health final exams
All other secondary English courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	District course specific English final exams

All other secondary Mathematics courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	District course specific Mathematics final exams
All other secondary Science courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	District course specific Science final exams
All other Social Studies courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	District course specific Social Studies final exams

For all other courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLO's for the courses listed in 2.10 will be rigorous and comparable. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same course and grade. Growth targets will be set based on the prior academic performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the assessment/final exam score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of their students meet the growth target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the growth target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the growth target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the growth target.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. (MS Word)

*(No response)*

### 2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

*assets/survey-uploads/5364/133328-TXEttx9bQW/20 Point Scale.docx*

### 2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

*SLO's will be written by the teacher of record and reviewed and approved by the building principal. Special considerations will be provided for SWD and previous academic history.*

## 2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

## 2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

2.14) Assurances   Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
2.14) Assurances   Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
2.14) Assurances   Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
2.14) Assurances   Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
2.14) Assurances   Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: <a href="http://usny.nysed.gov/rtt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html">http://usny.nysed.gov/rtt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html</a> ).	Checked
2.14) Assurances   Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
2.14) Assurances   Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
2.14) Assurances   Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
2.14) Assurances   Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

### 3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created Friday, May 25, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 25, 2012

---

#### Page 1

#### Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers. Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment.

.Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

#### LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

**Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.**

*One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.*

*The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:*

Measures based on:

1) The change in percentage of a teacher’s students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students’ level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students’ performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher’s students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students’ performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher’s students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause

4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:

(i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

### 3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Reading Enterprise
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Reading Enterprise
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Reading Enterprise
7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Reading Enterprise
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Reading Enterprise

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	The achievement target of 65% proficiency or higher of applicable tests will be converted to a scale score of 0 - 15. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 - 15. A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the achievement target.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of their students meet the achievement target.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the achievement target.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the achievement target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the achievement target.

### 3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at	State approve 3rd party assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the
--	--

3.3, below.	achievement target will be converted to a scale score of 0 - 15. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 15. A teacher will be rated on a percentage basis from 0 to 100%.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective is 85% or more of their students meet the achievement target.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the achievement target.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the achievement target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the achievement target.

### 3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

[assets/survey-uploads/5139/133337-rhJdBgDruP/15 Point Scale.docx](#)

## LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

**Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.**

*One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.*

*The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:*

Measures based on:

1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above

4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:

(i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

### 3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise
1	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise
2	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise
3	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	State approve 3rd party assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target of 65% proficient or higher will be
---	---

converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.

Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated highly effective is 85% or more of their students meet the achievement target.

Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the achievement target.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the achievement target.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the achievement target.

### 3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise
1	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise
2	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise
3	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	STAR Math Enterprise

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.

State approve 3rd party assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target of 65% proficient or higher will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.

Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated highly effective is 85% or more of their students meet the achievement target.

Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the achievement target.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the achievement target.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the achievement target.

### 3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed grade 6 Science assessment
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed grade 7 Science assessment
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed grade 8 Science assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The District's developed Science assessment will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting meeting the achievement target of 65% proficient or higher of applicable tests will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of their students meet the achievement target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the achievement target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the achievement target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the achievement target.

### 3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed grade 6 Social Studies assessments
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed grade 7 Social Studies assessments
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed grade 8 Social Studies assessments

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The District's developed Social Studies assessment will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting meeting the achievement target of 65% proficient or hight of applicable exams will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of their students meet the achievement target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the achievement target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the achievement target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the achievement target.

### 3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed Global 1 Social Studies assessment
Global 2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District Developed Global 2 Social Studies assessment
American History	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District Developed American History Social Studies assessment

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or*

assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The District developed secondary Social Studies assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target of 65% proficient or higher will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of their students meet the achievement target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the achievement target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the achievement target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the achievement target.

### 3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed Living Environment Science assessments
Earth Science	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed Earth Science assessments
Chemistry	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed Chemistry Science assessments
Physics	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed Physics Science assessment

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at	The District's secondary developed Science assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students
--	---

3.13, below.	meeting the achievement target of 65% proficient or higher will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of their students meet the achievement target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the achievement target.
Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the achievement target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the achievement target.

### 3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed Algebra 1 Math assessment
Geometry	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed Geometry assessment
Algebra 2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed Algebra 2 Math assessment

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The District's secondary developed Mathematics assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target of 65 proficient or higher will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of their students meet the achievement target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the achievement target.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the achievement target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the achievement target.

### 3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed Grade 9 ELA assessments
Grade 10 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed Grade 10 ELA assessments
Grade 11 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed Grade 11 ELA assessments

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The District's secondary developed ELA assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target of 65 proficient or higher will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of their students meet the achievement target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the achievement target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the achievement target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the achievement target.

### 3.12) All Other Courses

Fill in for additional grades/subjects, as applicable. If you need additional space, complete additional copies of this form and upload (below) as attachments.

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
All other secondary English courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	MCS developed course specific English assessment
All other secondary Math courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	MCS developed course specific Math assessments
All other secondary Science courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	MCS developed course specific Science assessments
All other secondary Social Studies courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	MCS developed course specific Social Studies assessments
All secondary Foreign Language courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	MCS developed course specific Foreign Language assessments
All Technology courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	MCS developed course specific Technology assessments
All Business education courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	MCS developed course specific Business ed. assessments
All Physical Education courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	MCS developed course specific Physical Education assessments
All Health courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	MCS developed course specific Health assessments
All Art courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	MCS developed course specific Art assessments
All Music courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	MCS developed course specific Music assessments
All Family and Consumer Science courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	MCS developed course specific FMC assessments

For all additional courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The District's MCS developed course specific assessments will be rigorous and valid. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. The percentage of students meeting the achievement target of 65 proficient or higher will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES -adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of their students meet the achievement target.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the achievement target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the achievement target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the achievement target.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 3.12: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 3.12. (MS Word)

*(No response)*

### 3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

*assets/survey-uploads/5139/133337-y92vNseFa4/20 Point Scale.docx*

### 3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

*(No response)*

### 3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

*We will average the total scores equally.*

### 3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

3.16) Assurances   Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances   Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
3.16) Assurances   Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
3.16) Assurances   Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked

3.16) Assurances   Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
3.16) Assurances   Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances   Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
3.16) Assurances   If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
3.16) Assurances   Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked

## 4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created Monday, July 02, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 25, 2012

---

### Page 1

#### 4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

*Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2011 Revised Edition)*

*Not Applicable*

#### 4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

*Yes*

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

*(No response)*

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	40
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	0
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	0
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	0
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	0
Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	20

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. (MS Word )

(No response)

### 4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

[SurveyTools.0] Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
[SurveyTools.1] Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
[SurveyTools.2] Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
[SurveyTools.3] District Variance	(No response)

### 4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

4.4) Assurances   Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
4.4) Assurances   Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
4.4) Assurances   Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
4.4) Assurances   Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

### 4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

*The district will use the Danielson 2011 Rubric and will weigh the four domains as follows: Domain 1 Planning and Preparation 10 Points; Domain 2 Classroom Environment 10 points; Domain 3 Instruction 10 points; Domain 4 Professional Responsibilities 10 points. The Domains 2 and 3 will be based on multiple classroom observations including formal and informal observations. The 20 points in Domains 1 and 4 will be based on evidence of student development with the use of a structured review of lesson plans and other artifacts of teacher practices. At the beginning of the year, the teacher, the principal, and the superintendent will determine what artifacts are appropriate evidence for the 20 points in Domains 1 and 4. The evaluator will review all available data and evidence as they reflect the elements in each of the four domains. The sum of the points of each component evaluated will be divided by the number of components evaluated and multiplied by 4. This number will be rounded to the nearest whole number and the score of each domain will then be added together to determine points earned out of the possible 40 total points. Professional Goal Assessment is worth 20*

points= 5pts. for a goal proposal; 5pts. for a review of student work related to the goal; 5pts. for evidence that the teacher monitors, assesses and responds to the results of the selected goal; 5pts. for reflection of the goal. The points will be assessed in the aggregate for each domain rather than each specific element within the domains. Specifically, the evaluator will review all available data and evidence as they reflect the elements in each of the domains and Professional Goal Assessment. Teachers can receive 0 to 60 points.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5091/147898-eka9yMJ855/HEDI Rating Cat. Teach..docx

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	A rating of highly effective is achieved by demonstrating exemplary performance in planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities and earning an overall score of 56-60 points.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A rating of effective is achieved by demonstrating strong performance in planning a preparation, classroom environment, instruction and professional responsibilities and earning an overall score of 51-55 points.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A rating of developing is achieved by demonstrating a need for improvement in the performance of planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities and earning an overall score of 41-50 points.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A rating of ineffective is identified by poor performance in planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction and professional responsibilities and earning an overall score of 0-40 points.

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	56-60
Effective	51-55
Developing	41-50
Ineffective	0-40

## 4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers   Formal/Long	3
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers   Informal/Short	0

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers   Enter Total	3
--	---

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- 
- In Person
- 

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- 
- In Person
- 

## 4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers   Formal/Long	2
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers   Informal/Short	0
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers   Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- 
- In Person
- 

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- 
- In Person
-

# 5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Monday, July 02, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 25, 2012

---

## Page 1

### **Standards for Rating Categories**

#### **Growth or Comparable Measures**

#### **Locally-selected Measures of**

#### **growth or achievement**

#### **Other Measures of Effectiveness**

#### **(Teacher and Leader standards)**

#### **Highly**

#### **Effective**

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

#### **Effective**

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

#### **Developing**

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

#### **Ineffective**

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

**2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure**

**Growth or Comparable Measures**

**Locally-selected Measures of**

**growth or achievement**

**Other Measures of Effectiveness**

**(60 points)**

**Overall**

**Composite Score**

**Highly Effective**

**18-20**

**18-20**

**Ranges determined locally--see below**

**91-100**

**Effective**

**9-17**

**9-17**

**75-90**

**Developing**

**3-8**

**3-8**

**65-74**

**Ineffective**

**0-2**

**0-2**

**0-64**

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	56-60
Effective	51-55
Developing	41-50
Ineffective	0-40

5.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

**2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies**

**Growth or Comparable Measures**

**Locally-selected Measures of  
growth or achievement**

**Other Measures of Effectiveness**

**(60 points)**

**Overall**

**Composite Score**

**Highly Effective**

**22-25**

**14-15**

**Ranges determined locally--see above**

**91-100**

**Effective**

**10-21**

**8-13**

**75-90**

**Developing**

**3-9**

**3-7**

**65-74**

**Ineffective**

**0-2**

**0-2**

**0-64**

# 6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created Monday, July 02, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 25, 2012

## Page 1

### 6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans   Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans   Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

### 6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

<assets/survey-uploads/5265/148013-Df0w3Xx5v6/TIP.pdf>

### 6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

*Within fifteen school days of receipt of the APPR, a teacher may request, in writing, that the administrator issuing the APPR provide to the teacher a copy of any and all documents and written materials upon which the APPR was based. The authoring administrator shall provide all such documents to the teacher within five school days of the request. Only materials provided in response to this request shall be considered in the deliberations as to the validity of the APPR.*

*Only tenured teachers who receive an APPR rating of Ineffective or Developing may appeal their APPR 15 days after the receipt of their APPR score. A teacher may file only one appeal from a single APPR and one appeal from a TIP.*

*Probationary teachers may not file appeals through the procedure established herein but may file a written rebuttal which shall be attached to the APPR. Only probationary teachers may challenge claims of APPR procedural violations through the contractual grievance procedure.*

*A tenured teacher may file a written appeal of the APPR within fifteen school days of the receipt of the requested supporting documents. Any appeal shall be filed with the superintendent of schools.*

*Appeals shall be referred for consideration by the APPR Appeals Committee, a standing committee made up of two tenured administrators from within the district appointed by the Superintendent and two tenured teachers from within the District appointed by the presidents of the MTA. A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than thirty calendar days from the date upon which the teacher filed the appeal. Such decision shall be final. In case of a tie, the committee will forward their report to the Superintendent within ten business days of their decision. The Superintendent and the president of the MTA will make the final decision within ten business days of receipt of the committee appeal decision.*

## 6.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

*Any administrator, supervisor, or peer reviewer who participates in the evaluation of teachers for the purpose of determining an APPR rating must be an employee of the District and shall be fully trained and certified as required by Education Law 3012c and the implementing regulations of the Commissioner of Education prior to conducting such evaluation for a minimum of 30 professional development hours using an SED evidenced based program. A copy of the certification will be placed in each evaluator's personnel file.*

*Training shall include, but not limited to : NYS Teaching Standards and ISLLC Standards; Evidence-based observation; application and use of : Student growth percentile and value added growth model data; district approved SLO's; state approved teacher rubric; assessment tools used to evaluate; state approved locally selected measures of student achievement; use of statewide instructional reporting system; scoring methodology used to evaluate teachers and specific considerations in evaluating teachers of ELLS and SWD's.*

*The District will ensure that all evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time and that they are re-certified on an annual basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations or applicable collective bargaining agreements.*

## 6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

- 
- Checked
- 

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

---

• Checked

---

## 6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers   Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers   Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers   Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers   Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers   Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers   Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

## 6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.7) Assurances -- Data   Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data   Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data   Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

# 7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created Monday, July 23, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 25, 2012

## Page 1

### 7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

k-6
7-12
(No response)

### 7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth   Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth   Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13	Checked

### 7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessment covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. District-determined assessments from the options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the school or program type this SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

***Please remember that State assessments must be used with SLOs if applicable to the school or program type.***

School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	Principals can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20. The elementary principal will have growth targets set based on the pretest of the students in their respective grade levels and achieve proficiency if students reach 50% or better. Students' pretest scores will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. STAR Renaissance will be the final assessments in grades K-2, and the 3rd grade ELA and Math State assessments will be the final assessments in grade 3. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The negotiated scale is shown in 7.3.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	The principal will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of their students meet the growth target. See scale at 7.3
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	The principal will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the growth target, see 7.3
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	The principal will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the growth target, see 7.3.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	The principal will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the growth target, see 7.3.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

*assets/survey-uploads/5365/154424-lha0DogRNw/20 Point Scale.docx*

## 7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

*(No response)*

## 7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

## 7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures   Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures   Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures   Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures   Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: <a href="http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html">http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html</a> .	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures   Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures   Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures   Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

# 8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created Monday, July 23, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 25, 2012

---

## Page 1

### Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

### 8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

**In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.**

*Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.*

*The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:*

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
k-6	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Reading/Math Enterprise, Renaissance Learning
7-12	(g) % achieving specific level on Regents or alternatives	Regents exams: ELA grade 11; Algebra 1; Global History; US History; Living Environment

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	The same assessment will be used in all classrooms in the same grade level and course. The secondary principal will use the percentage of students meeting the achievement target of 65 points or higher on all 5 regents exams will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 15 points. Principals can achieve all scale points from 0 to 15. Overall Elementary Principal HEDI score will be measured using the total number of students who achieve the target score of 65 or higher.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of their students meet the growth target.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the growth target.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the growth target.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

The principal will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the growth target.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. (MS Word )

*(No response)*

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

*assets/survey-uploads/5366/154429-qBFVOWF7fC/15 Point Scale.docx*

## 8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

**In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.**

***Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.***

***The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list: <!--***

*(a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)*

*(b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)*

*(c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8*

*(d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations*

*(e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades*

*(f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades*

*(g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)*

*(h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th*

grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

**Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.**

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	Achievement measures for the principal will be proficient if 65 points or higher on all 5 Regents. The overall principals score that meets or exceeds the total achievement target of 65 points or better using the 5 Regents will be proficient.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of their students meet the target, see 8.2.
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of their students meet the target, see 8.2.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated developing if 50% to 64% of their students meet the target, see 8.2.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The principal will be rated ineffective if 0% to 49% of their students meet the target, see 8.2.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

*assets/survey-uploads/5366/154429-T8MIGWUVm1/20 Point Scale.docx*

### 8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

*(No response)*

### 8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

*Where applicable, we will average multiple district selected measures for one score from 0 to 15 or 0 to 20 equally.*

### 8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

8.5) Assurances   Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
8.5) Assurances   Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
8.5) Assurances   Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
8.5) Assurances   Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
8.5) Assurances   Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Check
8.5) Assurances   Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
8.5) Assurances   Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check
8.5) Assurances   If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
8.5) Assurances   Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check

# 9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created Monday, July 23, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 25, 2012

## Page 1

### 9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

*Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric*

*(No response)*

### 9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the points assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment for all principals?

*Yes*

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

*(No response)*

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	60
---	----

Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents.	0
--	---

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 9.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

### 9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below (if applicable):

9.3) Assurances -- Goals   Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	Checked
9.3) Assurances -- Goals   Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	Checked

### 9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)   Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)   Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)   Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)   School visits by other trained evaluators	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)   Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	(No response)

### 9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is posted, this form will be updated with dropdown menus of approved survey tools.

(No response)

(No response)

(No response)

### 9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

9.6) Assurances   Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
9.6) Assurances   Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
9.6) Assurances   Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
9.6) Assurances   Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

## 9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

*The District will use the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric and will weigh the six domains as follows: Domain 1 - Shared Vision of Learning 5 points; Domain 2 - School Culture and Instructional Program 10 points; Domain 3 - Safe, Efficient Effective Learning Environment 10 points; Domain 4 - Community 10 points; Domain 5 - Integrity, Fairness, Ethics 10 points; Domain 6 - Political, Social, Economic, Legal and Cultural Context 10 points; Other - Goal Setting and Attainment, 5 points; for a total of 60 points. Point distribution in each Domain and Goal Setting and Attainment will be determined by the evaluator and the principal. The principal and the superintendent will determine what artifacts are appropriate evidence to supplement the onsite observations at the beginning of the year. The points will be assessed in the aggregate for each domain and Goal Setting and Attainment rather than relect each specific element within the domains. The evaluator will review all available data and evidence as they reflect the elements in each of the six domains. A principal's overall performance can be rated at any score point from 0 to 60.*

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

[assets/survey-uploads/5143/154463-pMADJ4gk6R/HEDI Rating Categories MPPR.docx](#)

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.	A highly effective rating is achieve with an overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards with 54 to 60 points
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	An effective rating is achieved with an overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards with 43 to 53 points.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	A rating of developing is achieved by an overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards with 31 to 42 points.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.	An ineffective rating is achieved by poor overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards with 0 to 30 points.

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	54-60
Effective	43-53
Developing	31-42

## 9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

### **Probationary Principals**

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

### **Tenured Principals**

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

# 10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Monday, July 23, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 25, 2012

---

## Page 1

### **Standards for Rating Categories**

#### **Growth or Comparable Measures**

#### **Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement**

#### **Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)**

#### **Highly**

##### **Effective**

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

##### **Effective**

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

##### **Developing**

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

##### **Ineffective**

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

**2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure**

**Growth or Comparable Measures**

**Locally-selected Measures of**

**growth or achievement**

**Other Measures of Effectiveness**

**(60 points)**

**Overall**

**Composite Score**

**Highly Effective**

**18-20**

**18-20**

**Ranges determined locally--see below**

**91-100**

**Effective**

**9-17**

**9-17**

**75-90**

**Developing**

**3-8**

**3-8**

**65-74**

**Ineffective**

**0-2**

**0-2**

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	54-60
Effective	43-53
Developing	31-42
Ineffective	0-30

10.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

**2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies**

**Growth or Comparable Measures**

**Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement**

**Other Measures of Effectiveness**

**(60 points)**

**Overall**

**Composite Score**

**Highly Effective**

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

**Effective**

10-21

8-13

75-90

**Developing**

3-9

3-7

**65-74**

**Ineffective**

**0-2**

**0-2**

**0-64**

# 11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created Friday, May 25, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 25, 2012

---

## Page 1

### 11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans   Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans   Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

### 11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in your school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

[assets/survey-uploads/5276/133325-Df0w3Xx5v6/PIP\\_template\\_2.doc](#)

### 11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

*Only tenured principals who receive an APPR rating of Ineffective or Developing may appeal their APPR through the procedure herein. A principal may file only one appeal from a single APPR and one appeal from a PIP. A principal may file a written appeal of the APPR within 15 school days of the receipt of the final composite APPR rating or receipt of PIP. Any appeal shall be filed with the superintendent of schools. The written appeal must clearly identify the grounds for appeal and shall explain, in detail, why the appealing principal believes the APPR should be modified or vacated. The principal must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over their performance review or issuance and/or implementation of the terms of their Principal Improvement Plan and any additional*

documents or materials relevant to the appeal.

*A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than 30 calendar days from the date upon which the principal filed their appeal. The appeal shall be based on a written record, comprised of the principal's appeal papers and any documentary evidence accompanying the appeal. Such decision shall be final.*

## 11.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

*Lead evaluators and administrators must be an employee of the District and shall be fully trained and certified as required by Ed.Law 3012c. Training will include; NYS Teaching Standards; ISSLC standards; Evidenced based observation; application of Student Growth Percentile and Value Added Growth Model data, State approved Teacher rubric (Danielson), assessment tools used to evaluate and use of State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement.*

*Evaluators will receive annual re-certification and maintain inter-rater reliability. The District will use their local BOCES or CASDA for training. Initial training will consist of 30 hours.*

## 11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

- 
- Checked
- 

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

---

• Checked

---

## 11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.6) Assurances -- Principals   Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals   Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals   Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals   Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals   Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals   Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

## 11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.7) Assurances -- Data   Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data   Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data   Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

## 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created Monday, July 23, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 25, 2012

---

### Page 1

#### 12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form

<assets/survey-uploads/5581/154465-3Uqgn5g9Iu/APPR sign form.pdf>

#### File types supported for uploads

PDF (preferred)

Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)

Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)

Open Office (.odt, .ott)

Images (.jpg, .gif)

Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

**APPR Growth Measurers and Local Measures  
Point Scale Conversion**

**20 Point Scale**

<b>20 Point Scale</b>		
<b>HEDI</b>	<b>Scale Point</b>	<b>% Meeting Target</b>
<b>Highly Effective</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>96-100</b>
	<b>19</b>	<b>91-95</b>
	<b>18</b>	<b>85-90</b>
<b>Effective</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>82-84</b>
	<b>16</b>	<b>80-81</b>
	<b>15</b>	<b>78-79</b>
	<b>14</b>	<b>76-77</b>
	<b>13</b>	<b>74-75</b>
	<b>12</b>	<b>72-73</b>
	<b>11</b>	<b>70-71</b>
	<b>10</b>	<b>68-69</b>
	<b>9</b>	<b>65-67</b>
<b>Developing</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>63-64</b>
	<b>7</b>	<b>60-62</b>
	<b>6</b>	<b>57-59</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>54-56</b>
	<b>4</b>	<b>52-53</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>50-51</b>
<b>Ineffective</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>36-49</b>
	<b>1</b>	<b>21-35</b>
	<b>0</b>	<b>0-20</b>

**APPR Growth Measurers and Local Measures  
Point Scale Conversion**

**15 Point Scale**

<b>15 Point Scale</b>		
<b>HEDI</b>	<b>Scale Point</b>	<b>% Meeting Target</b>
<b>Highly Effective</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>93-100</b>
	<b>14</b>	<b>85-92</b>
<b>Effective</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>81-84</b>
	<b>12</b>	<b>77-80</b>
	<b>11</b>	<b>74-76</b>
	<b>10</b>	<b>71-73</b>
	<b>9</b>	<b>68-70</b>
	<b>8</b>	<b>65-67</b>
	<b>7</b>	<b>62-64</b>
<b>Developing</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>59-61</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>56-58</b>
	<b>4</b>	<b>53-55</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>50-52</b>
	<b>2</b>	<b>36-49</b>
<b>Ineffective</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>21-35</b>
	<b>0</b>	<b>0-20</b>

**APPR Growth Measurers and Local Measures  
Point Scale Conversion**

**20 Point Scale**

<b>20 Point Scale</b>		
<b>HEDI</b>	<b>Scale Point</b>	<b>% Meeting Target</b>
<b>Highly Effective</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>96-100</b>
	<b>19</b>	<b>91-95</b>
	<b>18</b>	<b>85-90</b>
<b>Effective</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>82-84</b>
	<b>16</b>	<b>80-81</b>
	<b>15</b>	<b>78-79</b>
	<b>14</b>	<b>76-77</b>
	<b>13</b>	<b>74-75</b>
	<b>12</b>	<b>72-73</b>
	<b>11</b>	<b>70-71</b>
	<b>10</b>	<b>68-69</b>
	<b>9</b>	<b>65-67</b>
<b>Developing</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>63-64</b>
	<b>7</b>	<b>60-62</b>
	<b>6</b>	<b>57-59</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>54-56</b>
	<b>4</b>	<b>52-53</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>50-51</b>
<b>Ineffective</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>36-49</b>
	<b>1</b>	<b>21-35</b>
	<b>0</b>	<b>0-20</b>

## HEDI Rating Categories

### Danielson's Framework for Teaching

Domain	Title	Points	Evidence
1	Planning and Preparation	0-10	Structured Reviews
2	The Classroom Environment	0-10	Classroom Observations
3	Instruction	0-10	Classroom Observations
4	Professional Responsibilities	0-10	Structured Reviews

Professional Goal Assessment of the 20% for teachers equals:

0-5 pts. For goal proposal

0-5 pts. For structured review of student work related to the goal

0-5pts. For evidence that the teacher is monitoring, assessing and responding to the results of the goal

0-5 pts. For reflection of the selected goal

40 points for Danielson's Framework

20 points for Professional Goal Assessment

Total of 60 points can be earned by each Teacher

### Teacher Effectiveness – Point Distribution Chart

Highly Effective 56-60 pts.

Effective 51-55 pts.

Developing 41-50 pts.

Ineffective 0-40 pts.

## Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) Process

*The NYS Commissioner's Regulation (30-2.10) requires that any teacher with an annual professional performance review rated as Developing or Ineffective shall receive a Teacher Improvement Plan. A TIP shall be developed jointly with the supervising administrator, the teacher and a union representative. A TIP is not a disciplinary action. At the end of a mutually agreed upon timeline, the teacher, supervising administrator and union representative shall meet to assess the effectiveness of the TIP in assisting the teacher to achieve the goals set forth in the TIP. Based on the outcome of this assessment, the TIP shall be modified accordingly.*

The TIP is used exclusively for those teachers whose **annual teacher evaluation composite score** is rated “developing” or “ineffective”. The final evaluation must be based on at least one formal observation completed by the supervising administrator during the current school year. The final evaluation includes evidence from all teacher rubric components and encompasses much more than the formal observation (e.g. informal observations, evidence binder, etc.).

A TIP is completed collegially between the teacher whose rating is “developing” or “ineffective”, supervising administrator and union representative. They set professional goals to ensure growth toward improved student outcomes. Working towards this growth in an environment of professional respect is an expectation for all parties.

The TIP should be developed as soon as possible after the final evaluation has been completed, but no later than the tenth (10th) school day of the new school year. The TIP should be structured around each of the teacher rubric components. TIP goals/activities should be structured so that no more than four or five at a time are addressed. The following should be included on the TIP:

- Definition of the problem
- Statement of the Goals
- Intervention Strategies
- Resources
- Sample Indicators of Success
- Timeline

All participants in the TIP meeting should be listed on the TIP. Periodic follow-up sessions should be conducted to assess the teacher's progress.

## Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP)

Teacher: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Position: \_\_\_\_\_ Building: \_\_\_\_\_

Supervising Administrator: \_\_\_\_\_ Union Representative: \_\_\_\_\_

1. Definition of the Problem – A clear description of the specific behavior(s) which are in need of improvement.

2. Statement of the Goals – A statement reflecting how the specific behavior will change (how it will look) in order to be deemed acceptable. This will include a description of types of data to be used.

3. Intervention Strategies – The teacher, administrator and union representative will jointly list a description of strategies to address the areas in need of improvement.

4. Resources – The teacher, administrator and union representative will jointly list resources, available district materials, workshops, etc. to help improve the teacher’s practice.

5. Sample Indicator of Success-The teachers, administrator and union representative will mutually agree upon tangible or visible indicators of success (linked to the APPR rubric selected).

6. Timeline – The teacher, administrator and union representative will mutually agree upon a timeline for the process and a date for the follow-up evaluation. The teacher will present documentation and evidence of improvement in the designated area at this time. Additional observations/meetings will take place as needed.

The Teacher Improvement Plan and all records of subsequent observations and meetings will become part of the teacher’s record. The teacher should maintain copies of all documentation.

Teacher Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Administrator  
Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Teacher  
Association Rep.  
Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

*Signature does not imply agreement, but acknowledges review and receipt of the plan. Written comments may be attached.*

**Meeting Log  
Teacher Improvement Plan**

Log all meetings here. It is understood additional meetings may be necessary. The administrator, teacher or union representative may request additional meetings.

Date	Meeting Summary	Signatures



**APPR Growth Measurers and Local Measures  
Point Scale Conversion**

**20 Point Scale**

<b>20 Point Scale</b>		
<b>HEDI</b>	<b>Scale Point</b>	<b>% Meeting Target</b>
<b>Highly Effective</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>96-100</b>
	<b>19</b>	<b>91-95</b>
	<b>18</b>	<b>85-90</b>
<b>Effective</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>82-84</b>
	<b>16</b>	<b>80-81</b>
	<b>15</b>	<b>78-79</b>
	<b>14</b>	<b>76-77</b>
	<b>13</b>	<b>74-75</b>
	<b>12</b>	<b>72-73</b>
	<b>11</b>	<b>70-71</b>
	<b>10</b>	<b>68-69</b>
	<b>9</b>	<b>65-67</b>
<b>Developing</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>63-64</b>
	<b>7</b>	<b>60-62</b>
	<b>6</b>	<b>57-59</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>54-56</b>
	<b>4</b>	<b>52-53</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>50-51</b>
<b>Ineffective</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>36-49</b>
	<b>1</b>	<b>21-35</b>
	<b>0</b>	<b>0-20</b>

**APPR Growth Measurers and Local Measures  
Point Scale Conversion**

**15 Point Scale**

<b>15 Point Scale</b>		
<b>HEDI</b>	<b>Scale Point</b>	<b>% Meeting Target</b>
<b>Highly Effective</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>93-100</b>
	<b>14</b>	<b>85-92</b>
<b>Effective</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>81-84</b>
	<b>12</b>	<b>77-80</b>
	<b>11</b>	<b>74-76</b>
	<b>10</b>	<b>71-73</b>
	<b>9</b>	<b>68-70</b>
	<b>8</b>	<b>65-67</b>
	<b>7</b>	<b>62-64</b>
<b>Developing</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>59-61</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>56-58</b>
	<b>4</b>	<b>53-55</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>50-52</b>
	<b>2</b>	<b>36-49</b>
<b>Ineffective</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>21-35</b>
	<b>0</b>	<b>0-20</b>

**APPR Growth Measurers and Local Measures  
Point Scale Conversion**

**20 Point Scale**

<b>20 Point Scale</b>		
<b>HEDI</b>	<b>Scale Point</b>	<b>% Meeting Target</b>
<b>Highly Effective</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>96-100</b>
	<b>19</b>	<b>91-95</b>
	<b>18</b>	<b>85-90</b>
<b>Effective</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>82-84</b>
	<b>16</b>	<b>80-81</b>
	<b>15</b>	<b>78-79</b>
	<b>14</b>	<b>76-77</b>
	<b>13</b>	<b>74-75</b>
	<b>12</b>	<b>72-73</b>
	<b>11</b>	<b>70-71</b>
	<b>10</b>	<b>68-69</b>
	<b>9</b>	<b>65-67</b>
<b>Developing</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>63-64</b>
	<b>7</b>	<b>60-62</b>
	<b>6</b>	<b>57-59</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>54-56</b>
	<b>4</b>	<b>52-53</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>50-51</b>
<b>Ineffective</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>36-49</b>
	<b>1</b>	<b>21-35</b>
	<b>0</b>	<b>0-20</b>

## HEDI Rating Categories

### Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric (MPPR)

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Evidence</b>
1	Shared Vision of Learning	5	Assessment of Principal Leadership and Management
2	School Culture and Instructional Program	10	Assessment of Principal Leadership and Management
3	Safe, Efficient, Effective Learning Environment	10	Assessment of Principal Leadership and Management
4	Community	10	Assessment of Principal Leadership and Management
5	Integrity, Fairness, Ethics	10	Assessment of Principal Leadership and Management
6	Political, Social, Economic, Legal and Cultural Context	10	Assessment of Principal Leadership and Management
Other	Goal Setting and Attainment	5	Assessment of Principal Leadership and Management

### Principal Improvement Plan (remediation target)

A remediation target should be identified for each standard or significant performance indicator identified and supported with evidence as not meeting standard by the superintendent or designee. A separate target should be written for each performance indicator. The number of targets should be limited to no more than five (5). The timelines should be completed within the next 12-month evaluation cycle.

Remediation Target Number \_\_\_\_\_

Date Target Developed \_\_\_\_\_

Performance Indicator to be Remediated	Remediation Target (w/measurable outcomes)	Action Steps	Evidence of Progress	Summary Rating Meets/Does not Meet
<b>Superintendent/Designee Comments:</b>				
<b>Principal Comments:</b>				

**Signatures**


Superintendent/Designee/Date \_\_\_\_\_

Principal/Date \_\_\_\_\_


- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the regulation and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- If this APPR Plan is being submitted subsequent to July 1, 2012, assure that this was the result of unresolved collective bargaining negotiations

**Signatures, dates**


Superintendent Signature: Date: 10/23/12



Teachers Union President Signature: Date: 10-23-12



Administrative Union President Signature: Date: 10-23-12



Board of Education President Signature: Date: 10-24-12

