THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Ave., Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@mail.nysed.gov
Twitter:@JohnKingNYSED
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

November 29, 2012

Clark Hults, Superintendent
Newcomb Central School District
5535 New York 28N
Newcomb, NY 12852

Dear Superintendent Hults:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved for the 2012-2013 school year. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

John B. King, Jr.
Commissioner

Attachment

c: James P. Dexter

NOTES: If your district/BOCES has provided for value-added measures (15 points vs. 20 points scale and categorization of your district/BOCES's grade configurations) in your APPR and no value-added measures are approved by the Board of Regents for a grade/subject and/or grade configuration for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly. Conversely, if your district/BOCES has not provided for value-added measures in your district/BOCES's APPR submission and value-added measures are approved for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Reviews: 2012-13

Created Wednesday, October 03, 2012
Updated Friday, November 09, 2012

1

Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

1.1) School District's BEDS Number : 151001040000

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

151001040000

1.2) School District Name: NEWCOMB CSD

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

NEWCOMB CSD

1.3) School Improvement Grant (SIG) Districts Only

SIG districts only: Indicate whether this APPR plan is for SIG schools only or for the entire district. Other districts and BOCES, please skip this question.

Not applicable

1.4) Award Classification

Please check if the district has applied for and/or has been awarded any of the following (if applicable):

(No response)

1.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.5) Assurances | Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.5) Assurances | Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.5) Assurances | Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

1.6) Is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan?

Re-submission to address deficiencies

1.7) Is this submission for an annual or multi-year plan?

If the plan is multi-year, please write the years that are included.

Annual (2012-13)

2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created Friday, October 05, 2012
Updated Thursday, November 15, 2012

Page 1

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

(25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have state-provided measures, some may teach other courses in addition where there is no state-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects for 2012-13, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

2.1) Assurances | Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
2.1) Assurances | Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13.	Checked

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For core subjects: grades 6-8 Science and Social Studies, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or

District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments
Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	ELA	Assessment
K	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	WSWHE BOCES developed grade level ELA assessment
1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	WSWHE BOCES developed grade level ELA assessment
2	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	WSWHE BOCES developed grade level ELA assessment

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for K-3 ELA will utilize WSWHE BOCES developed assessments. Growth goals are individually established for each student by the teacher and superintendent. For Grade 3, the WSWHE BOCES developed assessment will be used as a pretest, and targets for each student will be set for the 3rd Grade State Assessment. For K-2 growth targets will be set based on the pretest of the students assigned to the teacher. Each student's pretest score will be the baseline and will be compared to his or her assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	Math	Assessment
K	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	WSWHE BOCES developed grade level math assessment
1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	WSWHE BOCES developed grade level math assessment
2	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	WSWHE BOCES developed grade level math assessment

	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for K-3 math will utilize WSWHE BOCES developed assessments. Growth goals are individually established for each student by the teacher and superintendent. For Grade 3, the WSWHE BOCES developed assessment will be used as a pretest, and targets for each student will be set for the 3rd Grade State Assessment. For K-2, growth targets will be set based on

	the pretest of the students assigned to the teacher. Each student's pretest score will be the baseline and will be compared to his or her assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	Not applicable	Common Branch course
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Newcomb Central School District developed grade level science assessment

	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The 6th Grade is "not applicable" because it is taught common branch. The pretests and post tests for 7th grade science will utilize Newcomb Central School District developed assessments. The pretest for 8th grade sceince will utilize NCSD developed assessment and the post test will utilize the 8th grade State science assessment. Growth targets will be set by the teacher and superintendent based on the pretest of the students assigned to the teacher. Student's pretest scores will be the baseline and will be compared to his or her final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	Not applicable	Common Branch course
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Newcomb Central School District developed grade level social studies assessment
8	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Newcomb Central School District developed grade level social studies assessment

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The 6th Grade is "not applicable" because it is taught common branch. The pretests and post tests for 7th and 8th grade social studies will utilize NCSD developed assessments. Growth targets will be set by the teacher and superintendent based on the pretest of the students assigned to the teacher. Each student's pretest scores will be the baseline and will be compared to his or her final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

		Assessment
Global 1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Newcomb Central School District developed grade 9 Global 1 social studies assessment

	Social Studies Regents Courses	Assessment
Global 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for high school social studies Regents courses will be rigourous and comparable. Growth targets for each individual student will be set by the teacher and superintendent. It will be based the student's performance on Global 1, Global 2 and American History NCSD developed pretests for each student assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the Regents assessment score for Global 2 and American History; and a NCSD developed grade 9 Global 1 assessment to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Physics	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for high school Regents Science courses will be rigourous and comparable. Growth targets will be set for each individual student by teacher and superintendent based on the performance on his or her NCSD prepared pretest for grade level living environment, biologoy, chemistry and physics for the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the Regents assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for high school Regents Mathematics Courses will be rigourous and comparable. Growth targets will be set for each individual student by the teacher and superintendent based on the performance on his or her NCSD prepared Algebra 1, Geometry and Algebra 2 pretest for the students assigned to the teacher. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the Regents assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be

	converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	WSWHE BOCES developed grade level assessment
Grade 10 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	WSWHE BOCES developed grade level assessment
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	ELA Regents

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for all high school ELA courses will be rigourous and comparable. Growth targets will be set for each individual student by the teacher and superintendent based on his or her performance on the WSWHE prepared ELA pretest. This prior performance will be the baseline and will be compared to his or her assessment score on the WSWHE BOCES developed post test for ELA 9 and 10, and the 11th grade Regents assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

2.10) All Other Courses

Fill in, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have Student Learning Objectives. If you need additional space, duplicate this form and upload (below) as an attachment to your APPR plan. You may combine into one line any groups of teachers for whom the answers in the boxes are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above" .

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Option	Assessment
All other secondary English courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Newcomb Central School District developed grade and course specific English examinations
All other secondary Math courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Newcomb Central School District developed grade and course specific math examinations
All other secondary Science courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Newcomb Central School District developed grade and course specific science examinations
All other secondary Social Studies courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Newcomb Central School District developed grade and course specific social studies examinations
All other secondary Foreign Language courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Newcomb Central School District developed grade and course specific foreign language examinations
All Technology courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Newcomb Central School District developed grade and course specific technology examinations
All Physical Education courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	WSWHE BOCES developed grade and course specific physical education examinations
All Health courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	WSWHE BOCES developed grade and course specific health examinations
All Art courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	WSWHE BOCES developed grade and course specific art examinations
All Music courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	WSWHE BOCES developed grade and course specific music examinations
All Home Economics courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	WSWHE BOCES developed grade and course specific economics examinations
All Library courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	WSWHE BOCES developed grade and course specific library examinations
All Business courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Newcomb Central School District developed grade and course specific business examinations

For all other courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for all other courses listed in 2.10 will be rigourous and comparable. Growth targets will be set for each individual student by the superintendent and teacher based on his or her performance on WSWHE BOCES or NCSD developed pretest assessments. This prior

	performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the WSWHE BOCES or NCSD developed final examination/assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or greater of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the growth target. See scale at 2.11.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. (MS Word)

(No response)

2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5364/189674-TXEtxx9bQW/APPR Newcomb HEDI- State Growth_1.docx

2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

All SLOs will have targets set based on prior academic achievement (academic history). For example, to determine growth in Grade 9 English Language Arts (ELA), the student's performance on the Grade 8 State Assessment in ELA will be used as the baseline. No other controls will be used.

2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

2.14) Assurances | Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
2.14) Assurances | Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
2.14) Assurances | Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
2.14) Assurances | Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
2.14) Assurances | Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html).	Checked
2.14) Assurances | Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
2.14) Assurances | Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
2.14) Assurances | Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
2.14) Assurances | Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created Thursday, October 11, 2012
Updated Friday, November 09, 2012

Page 1

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers. Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment.

.Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause

4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:

(i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	State approved 3rd party assessments will be rigorous and valid. The HEDI ratings scale of 0-15 as shown in 3.3 will be based on the percent of individual students who achieve the targeted measure of growth established by AIMSweb.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 84% or more of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.3.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 49% to 83% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.3.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 31% to 48% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.3.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 30% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.3.

3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	State approved 3rd party assessments will be rigorous and valid. The HEDI ratings scale of 0-15 as shown in 3.3 will be based on the percent of individual students who achieve the targeted measure of growth established by AIMSweb.

Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 84% or more of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.3.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 49% to 83% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.3.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 31% to 48% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.3.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 30% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.3.

3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5139/193777-rhJdBgDruP/APPR Newcomb HEDI- Local Measures_1.docx

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure

described in 1) or 2), above

4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:

(i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
1	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
2	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
3	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	State approved 3rd party assessments will be rigorous and valid. The HEDI ratings scale of 0-20 as shown in 3.13 will be based on the percent of individual students who achieve the targeted measure of growth established by AIMSweb.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or more of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.

Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.

3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
1	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
2	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb
3	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	AIMSweb

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	State approved 3rd party assessments will be rigorous and valid. The HEDI ratings scale of 0-20 as shown in 3.13 will be based on the percent of individual students who achieve the targeted measure of growth established by AIMSweb.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or more of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.

3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	Not applicable	N/A- Common Branch
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Newcomb Central School District developed grade and course specific science assessment
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Newcomb Central School District developed grade and course specific science assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Newcomb Central School District developed assessments will be rigorous and valid. The HEDI ratings scale of 0-20 as shown in 3.13 will be based on the percent of students who achieve a minimum passing score of 65% on the NCSD developed grade and course specific assessment.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or more of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.

3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	Not applicable	N/A- Common Branch
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Newcomb Central School District developed grade and course specific assessment
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Newcomb Central School District developed grade and course specific assessment

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or

assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	District developed assessments will be rigorous and valid. The HEDI ratings scale of 0-20 as shown in 3.13 will be based on the percent of students who achieve a minimum passing score of 65% on NCSD developed grade and course specific assessments.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or more of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.

3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Newcomb Central School District developed Grade 9 Global 1 Assessment
Global 2	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	Regents Assessment- Global Studies
American History	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	Regents Assessment- American History

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	NCSD's grade 9 Global 1 social studies assessments will be rigorous and valid. HEDI ratings will be based on the percent of students who achieve a minimum passing score of 65% on the NCSD developed grade 9 Global 1 assessment, NYS Grade 10 Global 2 Regents, and the grade 11 American History Regents. See scale at 3.13

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or more of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.

3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	Regents Assessment- Living Environment
Earth Science	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	Regents Assessment- Earth Science
Chemistry	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	Regents Assessment- Chemistry
Physics	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	Regents Assessment- Physics

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	HEDI ratings will be based on the percent of students who achieve a minimum passing score of 65% on the grade and course specific NYS science Regents. Results will be based on achievement rather than the growth. See scale at 3.13
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or more of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.

Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.

3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	Regents Assessment- Algebra 1
Geometry	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	Regents Assessment- geometry
Algebra 2	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	Regents Assessment- Algebra 2

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	HEDI ratings will be based on the percent of students who achieve a minimum passing score of 65% on the NYS grade and course specific math Regents. Results will be based on achievement rather than the growth. See scale at 3.13
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or more of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.

3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	WSWHE BOCES developed grade and course specific assessment
Grade 10 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	WSWHE BOCES developed grade and course specific assessment
Grade 11 ELA	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	Regents Assessment ELA

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The WSWHE BOCES' ELA assessments will be rigorous and valid. HEDI ratings will be based on the percent of students who achieve a minimum passing score of 65% on the WSWHE BOCES grade 9 10 ELA assessments, and a 65% on the Grade 11 NYS ELA Regents. Results will be based on achievement rather than the growth. See scale at 3.13
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or more of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.

3.12) All Other Courses

Fill in for additional grades/subjects, as applicable. If you need additional space, complete additional copies of this form and upload (below) as attachments.

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
All other secondary English Courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	NCSD developed grade and course specific English assessments

	All other secondary Math Courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	NCSD developed grade and course specific math assessments
	All other secondary Science Courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	NCSD developed grade and course specific science assessments
	All other secondary Social Studies Courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	NCSD developed grade and course specific social studies assessments
	All other secondary Foreign Language Courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	NCSD developed grade and course specific foreign language assessments
	All Technology Courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	NCSD developed grade and course specific technology assessments
	All Physical Education Courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	WSWHE BOCES developed grade and course specific physical education assessments
	All Health Courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	NCSD developed grade and course specific health assessments
	All Art Courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	WSWHE BOCES developed grade and course specific art assessments
	All Home Economics Courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	NCSD developed grade and course specific home economics assessments
	All Business Courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	NCSD developed grade and course specific business assessments
	All Library Courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	WSWHE BOCES developed grade and course specific library assessments
	All Music Courses	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	WSWHE BOCES developed grade and course specific music assessments

For all additional courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at	The Newcomb Central School District's and WSWHE BOCES' other course assessments will be rigorous and valid. HEDI ratings will be based on the percent of students who achieve a

3.13, below.	minimum passing score of 65% on NCSD or WSWHE BOCES other grade and course specific assessments. Results will be based on achievement rather than the growth. See scale at 3.13
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES -adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 80% or more of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 55% to 79% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 40% to 54% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 39% of his/her students meet the targeted growth. See scale at 3.13.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 3.12: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 3.12. (MS Word)

(No response)

3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5139/193777-y92vNseFa4/APPR Newcomb HEDI- Local Measures_1.docx

3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Targets are set per subject. Teachers with more than one locally selected measure will identify the overal percentage of all students collectively meeting the target. The percentage is then converted to a scale score of 0-20 or 0-15.

3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

Achievement targets are set per each student. The number of students meeting the target will be divided by the total number of students in a teacher's class to identify the overall percentage of students meeting the target. The percentage is then converted to a scale score of 0-20 or 0-15. This method ensures proportional accountability based on the percentage of students assessed by each locally selected measure.

3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

3.16) Assurances | Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances | Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
3.16) Assurances | Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
3.16) Assurances | Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
3.16) Assurances | Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
3.16) Assurances | Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances | Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
3.16) Assurances | If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
3.16) Assurances | Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked

4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created Friday, October 05, 2012
Updated Thursday, November 15, 2012

Page 1

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

Danielson's Framework for Teaching

Not Applicable

4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	31
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	0
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	0
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	0
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	0
Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	29

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

[SurveyTools.0] Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
[SurveyTools.1] Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
[SurveyTools.2] Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
[SurveyTools.3] District Variance	(No response)

4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

4.4) Assurances | Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
4.4) Assurances | Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
4.4) Assurances | Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
4.4) Assurances | Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The district will use the Danielson 2007 Rubric for 31 points, and will weight the four domains as follows: Domain 1 Planning and Preparation 24 Points: Domain 2 Classroom Environment 20 Points; Domain 3 Instruction 20 Points; Domain 4 Professional Responsibilites 24 Points. The 88 points from Domains 1 - 4 will be based on multiple classroom observations including formal and informal observations. The evaluator will review all available data and evidence as they reflect the elements in each of the four domains. In order to score the teacher on a 0-31 point scale, the total number of points the teacher scores will be multiplied by 31 and then divided by 88 potential points. The 31 point scale will be rounded to the closest whole number. The end result is a 0-31 point total for the teacher. The 0 to 29 points rubric is based on the Danielson 2007 Rubric, Domains 1 4. The points will be assessed based on the evidence as seen in the attached rubric. All domains of the Danielson rubric will be assessed and points will be awarded for the elements observed. The 0-29 points will be added to the 0-31 point total, and the 0-60 point other measures of effectiveness score will

be added to the 0-40 state growth and locally selected measures to yield one 0-100 final composite score.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5091/189806-eka9yMJ855/Structured Reviews Rubric_1.docx

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	A rating of highly effective is achieved by demonstrating examplary performance in planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities and earning an overal score of 55-60
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A rating of highly effective is achieved by demonstrating examplary performance in planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities and earning an overal score of 35-54
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A rating of highly effective is achieved by demonstrating examplary performance in planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities and earning an overal score of 11-34
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A rating of highly effective is achieved by demonstrating examplary performance in planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities and earning an overal score of 0-10

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	55-60
Effective	35-54
Developing	11-34
Ineffective	0-10

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers | Formal/Long	2
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers | Informal/Short	1
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers | Enter Total	3

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- In Person

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- In Person

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers | Formal/Long	1
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers | Informal/Short	1
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers | Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- In Person

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- In Person

5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Friday, October 12, 2012
Updated Friday, November 09, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	55-60
Effective	35-54
Developing	11-34
Ineffective	0-10

5.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created Friday, October 12, 2012
Updated Friday, November 09, 2012

Page 1

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans | Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans | Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

assets/survey-uploads/5265/194850-Df0w3Xx5v6/TIP Form_1.pdf

6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Level 1- Evaluator
Any appeal must be submitted to the evaluator in writing no later than twenty-one (21) days from the date when the teacher receives his/her Annual Professional Performance Review. If a teacher is challenging the issuance or implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan, the appeal must be submitted in writing with twenty-one (21) school days of issuance or of the time when the teacher knew or should have known of an alleged implementation breach of such plan.

An appeal must be submitted to the Superintendent or evaluator, in writing, on a mutually agreeable form containing a detailed description of the precise point(s) of disagreement over his or her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his or her improvement plan. In addition, the teacher must submit any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the points(s) of disagreement that support the teacher's appeal and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal including the particular performance review and/or improvement plan, as appropriate. Any such additional information not submitted at the time the appeal; is filed will not be considered in the evaluator's deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal. If requested, there will be oral and/or written argument of the appeal to the Superintendent. There may be Association representation at the oral argument, if requested.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the teacher bears the burden of proving by substantial evidence the merits of his or her appeal.

Within twenty-one (21) school days of receipt of an appeal, the evaluator responsible for the issues (s) are being appealed must submit a detailed written response to the appeal. Along with the response, all supporting documentation must be submitted, or specifically noted if pending, as well as any additional documents or materials relevant to the response. Any supporting documentation/information not submitted or noted at the time the response is issued shall not be considered in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal. The teacher initiating the appeal, and the Teachers' Association President, shall receive copies of the response and any and all additional information submitted with the response.

A decision sustaining an appeal regarding the substance of a teacher's particular performance review and/or the issuance of an improvement plan for the teacher shall require that the School District revise the performance review and/or improvement plan as appropriate, in accordance with the decision. A revised version of the performance review and/or improvement plan shall be placed in the teacher's personnel file, and original successfully appealed performance review and/or improvement plan shall be redacted accordingly.

Level 2- Superintendent

Within ten (10) school days of receipt of the Level 1 response, if a teacher is not satisfied with such response the teacher must submit the appeal to the Superintendent of Schools. The Superintendent with be provided all documentation submitted in both the appeal and the evaluator's response.

Within ten (10)) school days of receipt of the teacher's appeal, the superintendent or designee will conduct a hearing at which the teacher (and representative at the option of the teacher) and the evaluator (and representative at the option of the evaluator) will be allowed to present oral arguments in support of the appeal and the response, respectively.

Within five (5) school days of the superintendent's hearing, the superintendent or designee will issue a written determination to the teacher, the Teacher's Association President, and the evaluator.

A decision sustaining an appeal regarding the substance of a teacher's particular performance review and/or the issuance of an improvement plan for the teacher shall require that the School District revise the performance review and/or improvement plan as appropriate, in accordance with the decision. A revised version of the performance review and/or improvement plan shall be placed in the teacher's personnel file, and original successfully appealed performance review and/or improvement plan shall be redacted accordingly.

Level 3- Panel

Within five (5) school days of receipt of the Level 2 determination, if a teacher is not satisfied with such determination and if the Teacher's Association deems the appeal meritorious, the Association must submit the appeal to a panel comprised of two (2) teacher representatives and administrator. The panel will be provided the entire appeals record.

Within five (5) school days of receipt of the Association's appeal, the panel will jointly conduct a paper review and deliberation of the matter, and will issue a written recommendation for resolution to the Teacher's Association President and the Superintendent of Schools. The recommendation may be to deny the appeal, to sustain the appeal and grant the remedy sought, or to sustain the appeal and modify the remedy; further, reasoning for the recommendation, as well as dissenting opinions, if any, will be included with the recommendation.

Level 4- Superintendent

Within five (5) school days of receipt of the Level 3 recommendation for resolution the Superintendent of Schools or designee will give due consideration to the panel's recommendation and will issue a final and binding decision, in writing, to the appellant, to the teachers' Association, and to the panel members. Whether the appeal is denied, sustained, or modified, such decision will set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific grounds raised in the appeal.

A decision sustaining an appeal regarding the substance of a teacher's particular performance review and/or the issuance of an improvement plan for the teacher shall require that the School District revise the performance review and/or improvement plan as appropriate, in accordance with the decision. A revised version of the performance review and/or improvement plan shall be placed in the teacher's personnel file, and original successfully appealed performance review and/or improvement plan shall be redacted accordingly.

Newcomb Central School District ensures that our appeals process will be timely and expeditiously, and in compliance with education law 30.12c.

6.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

The Newcomb Central School District will comply with all requirements including both the initial trainings of all evaluators on the nine elements list in Section 30-2.9 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the ongoing training and support essential to maintain the needed level of inter-rater reliability.

Any individual who conducts evaluations of classroom teachers and/or building principals. These individuals will be trained and certified as a lead evaluator according to SED's model to ensure consistency and defensibility. All evaluators may do observations, but are prohibited from summative evaluations until they are appropriately certified.

The District will ensure that all Lead Evaluators/Evaluators are properly trained and certified to complete an individual's performance review. The superintendent will certify lead evaluators upon receipt of proper documentation that the individual has fully completed the training sequence. This documentation will be provided by the training entity, such as WSWHE BOCES or other allowable providers in accordance to the regulations. The {superintendent will maintain records of certification of evaluators.

Evaluator training will occur regionally in cooperation with the WSWHE BOCES. Training will be conducted by WSWHE BOCES Network Team personnel who have participated in the NYSED evaluator training for Network Teams and/or personnel authorized to train on behalf of an evaluation rubric approved by NYSED. Lead evaluator training will include training on:
(1) The New York State Teaching Standards, the ISLLC Learning Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and their related functions, as applicable;
(2) Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research;
(3) Application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model;
(4) Application and use of the teacher or principal rubric(s), including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice;
(5) Application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.;
(6) Application and use of any locally selected measures of student achievement used by the district evaluate its teachers or principals;
(7) Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System;
(8) The scoring methodology including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings; and
(9) Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities.

Recertification and Updated Training
Lead Evaluators will be certified and/or recertified on an annual basis through ongoing training provided by the WSWHE BOCES Network Team and/or other certified entities. Any individual who fails to achieve required training or certification or re-certification, as applicable, shall not conduct or complete final evaluations.
In addition, the District in conjunction with the WSWHE BOCES Network Team will work to maintain inter-rater reliability over time in accordance with NYSED guidance and protocols. These protocols will include measures such as, but not limited to: ongoing professional development, differentiated support, data analysis; periodic comparisons of assessments; and annual calibration sessions across evaluators.
For the 2012-13 school year and thereafter, all lead evaluators of classroom teachers and principals shall be appropriately trained and certified by August 30th or before their official appointment. All evaluators will receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations or applicable collective bargaining agreements.

6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

- Checked

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

- Checked

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers | Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers | Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers | Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers | Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers | Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers | Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.7) Assurances -- Data | Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data | Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data | Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created Saturday, October 06, 2012
Updated Tuesday, October 09, 2012

Page 1

7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

PK-12
(No response)
(No response)
(No response)
(No response)
(No response)
(No response)

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth | Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth | Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13	Checked

7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessment covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. District-determined assessments from the options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the school or program type this SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Please remember that State assessments must be used with SLOs if applicable to the school or program type.

School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	(No response)
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	N/A
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	N/A
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	N/A
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	N/A

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future,

any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

N/A

7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures | Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures | Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures | Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures | Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures | Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures | Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures | Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created Sunday, October 07, 2012
Updated Thursday, November 15, 2012

Page 1

Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

(a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)

(b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)

(c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

(d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations

(e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)

(h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

	Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
	PK-12	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	AIMSweb

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	The state approved 3rd party assessments will be rigorous and valid. The HEDI ratings scale of 0-15 as shown in 8.1 will be based on based on the percent of individual students who achieve the AIMSweb targeted measure of growth established by AIMSweb.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The District expectation is that 95-100% of the students will meet the targets set for a principal to be considered highly effective
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The District expectation is that 68-94% of the students will meet the target set for a principal to be considered effective
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The District expectation is that 45-67% of the students will meet the target set for a principal to be considered developing
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The District expectation is that 0-44% of the students will meet the target set for a principal to be considered ineffective

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5366/190456-qBFVOWF7fC/APPR Newcomb HEDI Principal_1.docx

8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:<!--

(a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)

(b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)

(c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

(d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations

(e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)

(h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

	Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
	N/A		

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	N/A
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	N/A
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	N/A
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	N/A
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	N/A

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

No controls will be used

8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

N/A

8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

8.5) Assurances | Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
8.5) Assurances | Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
8.5) Assurances | Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
8.5) Assurances | Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
8.5) Assurances | Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Check
8.5) Assurances | Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
8.5) Assurances | Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check
8.5) Assurances | If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
8.5) Assurances | Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check

9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created Sunday, October 07, 2012
Updated Friday, November 09, 2012

Page 1

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric

(No response)

9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the points assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	60
Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents.	0

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 9.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below (if applicable):

9.3) Assurances -- Goals | Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	(No response)
9.3) Assurances -- Goals | Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	(No response)

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) | Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) | Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) | Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) | School visits by other trained evaluators	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) | Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	(No response)

9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is posted, this form will be updated with dropdown menus of approved survey tools.

Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey for Teachers	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 3-5) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 6-12) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Parent Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Teacher/Staff Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
District variance	(No response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

9.6) Assurances | Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
9.6) Assurances | Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
9.6) Assurances | Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
9.6) Assurances | Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Newcomb Central School District will use the Multidemensional Principal Performance Rubric and will weigh the six domains as follows: Domain 1- Shared vision of learning 8 ponts; Domain 2- School culture and instructional program 16 points; Domain 3- Safe, efficient, effective learning environment 15 points; Domain 4- Community 9 points; Domain 5- Integrity, fairness, ethics 7 points; and Domain 6- Political, social, economic, legal and cultural context 5 points. The evaluator will review all all available data and evidence as reflect the element in each of the 6 domains. Each domain will be scored individually and one total will be produced.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5143/190466-pMADJ4gk6R/APPR Other Measures of Effectiveness Principal.docx

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.	55-60. An exemplary performance in creating a shared vision of learning; an exemplary performance in creating a positive school culture and instructional program; an exemplary performance in creating a safe, efficient and effective learning environment; an exemplary sense of school community; and an exemplary sense of integrity, fairness and ethics.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	35-54. A strong performance in creating a shared vision of learning; a strong performance in creating a positive school culture and instructional program; a strong performance in creating a safe, efficient and effective learning environment; a strong sense of school community; and a strong sense of integrity, fairness and ethics
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	11-34. Need to improve in creating a shared vision of learning; need to improve in creating a positive school culture and instructional program; need to improve in creating a safe, efficient and effective learning environment; need to improve the sense of school community; and need to improve integrity, fairness and ethics
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.	0-10. Ineffective in creating a shared vision; ineffective in creating a positive school culture and instructional program; ineffective in creating a safe, efficient and effective learning environment; ineffective in creating a sense of school community; and ineffective in reflecting integrity, fairness and ethics

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	55-60
Effective	35-54
Developing	11-34
Ineffective	0-10

9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

Probationary Principals

By supervisor	1
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	1
Enter Total	2

Tenured Principals

By supervisor	1
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	1
Enter Total	2

10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Sunday, October 07, 2012
Updated Friday, November 09, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	55-60
Effective	35-54
Developing	11-34
Ineffective	0-10

10.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created Sunday, October 07, 2012
Updated Friday, November 09, 2012

Page 1

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans | Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans | Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in your school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

assets/survey-uploads/5276/190476-Df0w3Xx5v6/Newcomb Principal Improvement Plan.docx

11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Within five days of receipt of the APPR, a principal may request, in writing, that the Superintendent or designee issue any and all documents and written material upon which the APPR was based. The Superintendent or designess will provide such documents within 5 school days of the request. If an appeal is to be filed it must be filed within 10 school days of the receipt of the requested supporting documents. The superintendent/designee will convene for a hearing within 10 school days of the request for a hearing. If the superintendent/designee fails to reach consensus a report myst be submitted to the Board of Education within 24 hours a brief explaining their recommendation. The Board of Education will convene within 5 days upon receipt of the documents and determine if the appeal will be upheld. The Board of Education will notify the principal of their findings within 24 hours.

District ensures that our appeals process will be timely and expeditious, and in compliance with Education Law 30.12c.

11.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

Any individual who conducts evaluations of classroom teachers and/or building principals will be trained and certified as a lead evaluator according to SED's model to ensure consistency and defensibility. All evaluators may do observations, but are prohibited from summative evaluations until they are appropriately certified.
The District will ensure that all Lead Evaluators/Evaluators are properly trained and certified to complete an individual's performance review. The superintendent will certify lead evaluators upon receipt of proper documentation that the individual has fully completed the training sequence. This documentation will be provided by the training entity, such as WSWHE BOCES or other allowable providers in accordance to the regulations. The superintendent will maintain records of certification of evaluators.
Evaluator training will occur regionally in cooperation with the WSWHE BOCES. Training will be conducted by WSWHE BOCES Network Team personnel who have participated in the NYSED evaluator training for Network Teams and/or personnel authorized to train on behalf of an evaluation rubric approved by NYSED. Lead evaluator training will include training on:
(1) The New York State Teaching Standards, the ISLLC Learning Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and their related functions, as applicable;
(2) Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research;
(3) Application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model;
(4) Application and use of the teacher or principal rubric(s), including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice;
(5) Application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.;
(6) Application and use of any locally selected measures of student achievement used by the district evaluate its teachers or principals;
(7) Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System;
(8) The scoring methodology including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings; and
(9) Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities.

Recertification and Updated Training
Lead Evaluators will be certified and/or recertified on an annual basis through ongoing training provided by the WSWHE BOCES Network Team and/or other certified entities. Any individual who fails to achieve required training or certification or re-certification, as applicable, shall not conduct or complete final evaluations.
In addition, the District in conjunction with the WSWHE BOCES Network Team will work to maintain inter-rater reliability over time in accordance with NYSED guidance and protocols. These protocols will include measures such as, but not limited to: ongoing professional development, differentiated support, data analysis; periodic comparisons of assessments; and annual calibration sessions across evaluators.
For the 2012-13 school year and thereafter, all lead evaluators of classroom teachers and principals shall be appropriately trained and certified by August 30th of each year or before official appointment. All evaluators will receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations or applicable collective bargaining agreements.

11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

- Checked

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

- Checked

11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.6) Assurances -- Principals | Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals | Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals | Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals | Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked

11.6) Assurances -- Principals | Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals | Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.7) Assurances -- Data | Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data | Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data | Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created Friday, October 12, 2012
Updated Thursday, November 15, 2012

Page 1

12.1)Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form

assets/survey-uploads/5581/194863-3Uqgn5g9Iu/APPR Acceptance Letter.pdf

File types supported for uploads

PDF (preferred)

Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)

Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)

Open Office (.odt, .ott)

Images (.jpg, .gif)

Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

NEWCOMB CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

State Growth

20 Point Scale

HEDI 20 Point Scale for State Growth

Highly Effective			Effective								
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9
100-92%	91-85%	84-80%	79-76%	75-72%	71-69%	68-65%	64-63%	62-61%	60-59%	58-57%	56-55%
Developing						Ineffective					
8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0			
54-52%	51-49%	48-46%	45-44%	43-42%	41-40%	39-30%	29-20%	19-0%			

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT RUBRIC

Highly Effective (25-29)	Effective (15-24)	Developing (5-14)	Ineffective (0-4)
Teacher seeks out opportunities for professional development. -Evidence: Documentation that teacher has attended all approved workshops and logged hours. -Implementation in the classroom, shared with colleagues -documentation in lesson plans or minutes to meetings.	Teacher seeks out opportunities for professional development to enhance content knowledge and pedagogical skill. -Evidence: Documentation that teacher has attended workshops and logged hours.	Teacher participates in professional activities to a limited extent. -Only uses district professional days and in-house service.	Teacher engages in no professional development activities to enhance knowledge or skill

Professional activities including but not limited to:

Attending conferences that are appropriate to your concentration

Professional Development In-services that provide pertinent information to your concentration

Collaboration with other teachers

Professional reading in your content area

Visits outside the school district in your content area

COMMUNICATION WITH PARENTS, HOST PARENTS OR GUARDIAN

Level of Performance

Element	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective	Points Awarded
Frequency of communication (0-15 Points)	Communicated with less than 15% of parents with regards to student progress.	Communicated with less than 30% but at least 15% of parents with regards to student progress.	Communicated with less than 75% but at least 30% of parents with regards to student progress.	Communicated with at least 75% of parents with regards to student progress.	
Use of oral and written language (0-7 Points)	Use of language is poor with misspelled words and grammar issues. Unclear as to what the student issue is.	Use of language is sufficient and grammar is appropriate. The student issue is addressed to some degree but may lack some specificity.	Use of language and grammar is good. The student issue is addressed well in the correspondence. Most specifics are there with possible solutions.	The correspondence is very well written. Use of language is professional and respectful. The student issue is clearly identified and solutions to negative issues are suggested.	
Significance of Communication (0-7 Points)	The correspondence has little to no relevance and has little to nothing to do with a student issue.	The correspondence has some significance with regards to a student issue. May address deficiency or some positive.	The correspondence addresses some significant issue with a student. Student deficiencies are addressed or achievement is mentioned. Possible solutions are suggested. Some follow up correspondences are done.	The correspondence addresses specific student deficiencies or achievement. Specific solutions are suggested for deficiencies. Follow up correspondences are regular with regards to the student.	

TOTAL POINTS:

HEDI RATING CATEGORIES

Danielson's Framework for Teaching

DOMAIN	TITLE	POINTS	EVIDENCE
1	Planning and Preparation	24	Structured Reviews
2	The Classroom Environment	20	Classroom Observations
3	Instruction	20	Classroom Observations
4	Professional Responsibilities	24	Structured Reviews

Teacher Self-Evaluation – Teacher selected objective measures (29 points)

Element	Highly effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective	POINTS
Accuracy (0-9)	Cites many specific examples from the lesson in a thoughtful and accurate assessment of a lesson's effectiveness and student outcomes	Cites some general references from the lesson to support an accurate assessment of a lesson's effectiveness and student outcomes	A generally accurate impression of a lesson's effectiveness is expressed	A misjudgment or lack of the effectiveness of a lesson and student outcomes is expressed	
Use in future teaching (0-10)	Drawing on an extensive repertoire of skills, a specific change in the lesson or a similar lesson is proposed	A few specific suggestions of what could be tried the next time the lesson or a similar lesson is taught	A general suggestion about how a lesson could be improved another time the lesson is taught	No ideas for improving the lesson or the student outcomes is proposed	
Teaching Domains (0-10)	All domains of teaching (Planning and Preparation, Classroom Environment, Instruction, Professional Responsibilities) and their relationship to student learning are thoughtfully articulated in self-reflection	Most of the domains of teaching (Planning and Preparation, Classroom Environment, Instruction, Professional Responsibilities) and their effect of student learning are considered in self-reflection	Some of the domains of teaching (Planning and Preparation, Classroom Environment, Instruction, Professional Responsibilities) are considered	Few, if any, of the domains of teaching (Planning and Preparation, Classroom Environment, Instruction, Professional Responsibilities) are mentioned	

Total Points:

Goal Setting/Professional Growth

In goal-setting, teachers have the opportunity to identify ways to enhance their instructional practice and student achievement, as well as tie their learning goals to the attainment of school and district goals.
Goal-setting begins with reflection on teaching practices in the classroom and continues in selection of professional development necessary for growth in given content area.

Category	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective	POINTS AWARDED
Accuracy of Reflection (0-6)	Teacher uses specific criteria to assess a lesson's effectiveness and extent to which it achieved its goals. The teacher sites specific evidence from the lesson to support the judgment. The teacher provides rational for instructional choices and offers possible changes to the lesson.	Teaches uses criteria to assess a lesson's effectiveness and extent to which it achieved its instructional goals. The teacher can cite evidence to support the judgment.	Teacher has a general impression of a lesson's effectiveness and uses that impression to determine the extent to which instructional goals were met.	Teaches cannot determine whether a lesson was effective or achieved its goals, or how to measure lesson's effectiveness and its goals, or misjudges the success of a lesson.	
Use of Reflection in Future Instruction (0-6)	Teacher offers specific alternative action to be used if a lesson is taught again. The teacher can justify each instructional choice and predict the probable success of each approach.	Teacher offers specific alternative actions to be used if a lesson is taught again.	Teacher offers general suggestions on what can be improved if a lesson is to be taught again.	Teacher has no suggestions for improvement if a lesson is to be taught again.	
Selection of Professional Development Based on Reflection and	Teacher continually uses information from self and peer analysis, along with data on student achievement to determine	Teacher uses information from self and peer analysis, along with data on student achievement	Teacher uses information from self and peer analysis or data on student achievement to determine appropriate professional	Teacher does not information from self and peer analysis or data on student	

Data (0-6)	appropriate professional developmental activities.	to determine appropriate professional development activities.	development activities.	achievement to determine appropriate professional development activities.	
Implementation of New Learning in the Classroom (0-6)	Teacher seeks out opportunities for professional development to enhance content knowledge and pedagogical skills. Teacher implements new learning obtained from professional development in the classroom and identifies positive impact on students.	Teacher seeks out opportunities for professional development to enhance content knowledge and pedagogical skills. Teacher implements new learning obtained from professional development in the classroom.	Teacher participates in professional activities to a limited extent. Teacher attempts to implement new learning obtained from professional development, with limited success.	Teacher engages in no professional development activities to enhance knowledge or skill or does not implement new learning in the classroom.	
Collaboration with Colleagues (0-5)	Relationships with colleagues are built on mutual support and cooperation. Teacher takes initiative in assuming leadership roles among the faculty.	Relationships with colleagues are built on mutual support and cooperation.	Teacher maintains cordial relationship with colleagues to fulfill duties required by the district.	Teacher's relationships with colleagues are negative or self-serving.	

TOTAL POINTS:

Professional Responsibilities – participation at District, Faculty, and Committee Meetings (29 points)

Growing and Developing Professionally

"Continuing to stay informed and increasing their skills allows teachers to become ever more effective and to exercise leadership among their colleagues."
- Danielson

Element	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
Point Value	25-29 points	13-24 points	4-12 points	0-3 points
	In addition to consistent attendance and participation in appropriate meetings, the teacher enriches professional meetings with their involvement. The teacher assumes leadership at times (in planning or conducting) meetings and shares information that they have gained through involvement in professional activities. The teacher is receptive to feedback from colleagues and supervisors	Teacher makes a consistent and systematic effort to attend all appropriate meetings. They are an active participate in and assist in the conduct of meetings where appropriate. The teacher is receptive to feedback from colleagues and supervisors.	Teacher participates in professional meetings to a limited extent when they are convenient. The teacher contributes to meetings in a limited way and accepts, with some reluctance, feedback from colleagues or supervisors.	Teacher engages in no professional meetings to enhance pedagogical knowledge or skill or to benefit the students. Teacher makes no effort to share knowledge with others or to assume professional responsibilities at meetings Teacher rarely seeks feedback from colleagues or supervisors.

TOTAL POINTS:

NEWCOMB CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

HEDI Scale District Developed- State Value Added Measures

Highly Effective		Effective						Developing					Ineffective		
15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100-98%	97-95%	94-91%	90-87%	86-83%	82-78%	77-73%	72-68%	67-63%	62-58%	57-53%	52-49%	48-45%	44-35%-	34-25%	24-0%

Other Measures of Effectiveness
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric

Circle the point total in each domain that most effectively reflects the performance of the principal. Add the total number of points to yield one total score for Other Measures of Effective. The lowest possible score is 0 and the highest is 60.

Domain 1- Shared Vision of Learning (8)

Ineffective in creating a shared vision 0 1	Need to improve in creating a shared vision of learning 2 3 4	A strong performance in creating a shared vision of learning 5 6 7	An exemplary performance in creating a shared vision of learning 8

Domain 2- School Culture and Instruction Program (16)

Ineffective in creating a positive school culture and instructional program 0 1 2 3	Need to improve in creating a positive school culture and instructional program 4 5 6 7 8	A strong performance in creating a positive school culture and instructional program 9 10 11 12 13	An exemplary performance in creating a positive school culture and instructional program 14 15 16

Domain 3- Safe, Efficient, Effective Learning Environment (15)

Ineffective in creating a safe, efficient and effective learning environment 0 1 2	Need to improve in creating a safe, efficient and effective learning environment 3 4 5 6 7	A strong performance in creating a safe, efficient and effective learning environment 8 9 10 11 12	An exemplary performance in creating a safe, efficient and effective learning environment 13 14 15

Domain 4- Community (9)

Ineffective in creating a sense of school community 0 1	Need to improve the sense of school community 2 3 4	A strong sense of school community 5 6 7	An exemplary sense of school community 8 9

Domain 5- Integrity, Fairness, Ethics (7)

Ineffective in reflecting integrity, fairness and ethics 0 1	Need to improve integrity, fairness and ethics 2 3	A strong sense of integrity, fairness and ethics 4 5 6	An exemplary sense of integrity, fairness and ethics 7

Domain 6- Political, Social, Economic, Legal and Cultural Context (5)

Ineffective In reflecting and creating a political, social, economic, legal and cultural context 0	Need to improve In reflecting and creating a political, social, economic, legal and cultural context 1 2	A strong performance in reflecting and creating a political, social, economic, legal and cultural context 3 4	An exemplary performance In reflecting and creating a political, social, economic, legal and cultural context 5

TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS: _____

0-60 POSSIBLE

RATINGS:

55-60	**Highly Effective**
35-54	**Effective**
11-34	**Developing**
0-10	**Ineffective**

PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Principal: _____ School Year: _____

Assignment: _____ Date Plan Developed: _____

This form is a tool for communicating expectations and recommendations for improvement. The plan will be collaboratively developed by the principal and superintendent.

AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT	MANNER TO BE ASSESSED	DIFFERENTIATED ACTIVITIES, SUPPORT AND RESOURCES TO BE PROVIDED	DATE OF EXPECTED COMPLETION

_____ _____ _____ _____

Principal Date Superintendent Date

PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Principal: _____ School Year: _____

Assignment: _____

DATE(S) PLAN ASSESSED	ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN EACH AREA OF IMPROVEMENT:	FURTHER DEVELOPMENT NEEDED:	OUTCOME:

_____ _____ _____ _____

Principal Date Superintendent Date

NEWCOMB CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Locally Selected Measures

HEDI Scale- with an approved value-added measure (15 point scale)

Highly Effective		Effective						Developing					Ineffective		
15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100-92%	91-84%	83-76%	75-70%	69-64%	63-59%	58-54%	53-49%	48-46%	45-43%	42-39%	38-35%	34-31%	30-25%-	24-19%	18-0%

HEDI Scale- for all other teachers (20 point scale)

Highly Effective			Effective								
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9
100-92%	91-85%	84-80%	79-76%	75-72%	71-69%	68-65%	64-63%	62-61%	60-59%	58-57%	56-55%
Developing						Ineffective					
8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0			
54-52%	51-49%	48-46%	45-44%	43-42%	41-40%	39-30%	29-20%	19-0%			

NEWCOMB CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Locally Selected Measures

HEDI Scale- with an approved value-added measure (15 point scale)

Highly Effective		Effective						Developing					Ineffective		
15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100-92%	91-84%	83-76%	75-70%	69-64%	63-59%	58-54%	53-49%	48-46%	45-43%	42-39%	38-35%	34-31%	30-25%-	24-19%	18-0%

HEDI Scale- for all other teachers (20 point scale)

Highly Effective			Effective								
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9
100-92%	91-85%	84-80%	79-76%	75-72%	71-69%	68-65%	64-63%	62-61%	60-59%	58-57%	56-55%
Developing						Ineffective					
8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0			
54-52%	51-49%	48-46%	45-44%	43-42%	41-40%	39-30%	29-20%	19-0%			

Teacher Improvement Plan

-Developed in consultation with the affected bargaining unit member, the evaluator, and a representative of the association (unless waived by the bargaining unit member)

-not to be used as a disciplinary tool

Teacher_____ Administrator_____

Subject/Grade Level_____ Score Break Down_____ Composite Score_____

Dates: Pre-conference_____ Observation_____ Peer Mentoring_____

Standards Chosen for Further Development	Action(s) to be taken	Administrator's Responsibilities	Teacher's Responsibilities	Timeline For Progress	Indicators of success	Improvements made and documented

Administrator's Signature_____ Date_____

Teacher's Signature_____ Date_____

Representative/Witness Signature_____ Date_____

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a TIP or PIP plan, in accordance with the regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with the regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal
- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and the that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)

- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the regulation and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- If this APPR Plan is being submitted subsequent to July 1, 2012, assure that this was the result of unresolved collective bargaining negotiations

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date: 11/9/12

Teachers Union President Signature: Date: 11-9-12

Administrative Union President Signature: Date: 11/9/12

Board of Education President Signature: Date: 11/13/12