



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Ave., Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@mail.nysed.gov
Twitter: @JohnKingNYSED
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

November 27, 2012

Arnold Goldstein, Superintendent
North Bellmore Union Free School District
2616 Martin Avenue
Bellmore, NY 11710

Dear Superintendent Goldstein:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved for the 2012-2013 school year. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,



John B. King, Jr.
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Thomas Rogers

NOTES: If your district/BOCES has provided for value-added measures (15 points vs. 20 points scale and categorization of your district/BOCES's grade configurations) in your APPR and no value-added measures are approved by the Board of Regents for a grade/subject and/or grade configuration for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly. Conversely, if your district/BOCES has not provided for value-added measures in your district/BOCES's APPR submission and value-added measures are approved for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Reviews: 2012-13

Created Thursday, May 17, 2012

Updated Wednesday, September 12, 2012

1

Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

1.1) School District's BEDS Number :

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

280204020000

1.2) School District Name:

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

NORTH BELLMORE UFSD

1.3) School Improvement Grant (SIG) Districts Only

SIG districts only: Indicate whether this APPR plan is for SIG schools only or for the entire district. Other districts and BOCES, please skip this question.

(No response)

1.4) Award Classification

Please check if the district has applied for and/or has been awarded any of the following (if applicable):

(No response)

1.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.5) Assurances Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

1.6) Is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan?

Re-submission to address deficiencies

1.7) Is this submission for an annual or multi-year plan?

If the plan is multi-year, please write the years that are included.

Annual (2012-13)

2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created Thursday, May 17, 2012

Updated Monday, November 19, 2012

Page 1

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

(25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have state-provided measures, some may teach other courses in addition where there is no state-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects for 2012-13, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

2.1) Assurances Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
2.1) Assurances Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13.	Checked

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For core subjects: grades 6-8 Science and Social Studies, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or

District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	ELA	Assessment
K	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	4th-6th Grade ELA State Assessment
1	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	4th-6th Grade ELA State Assessment
2	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	4th-6th Grade ELA State Assessment

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.

K-2 grade teachers and their building principals will collaboratively create school-wide ELA SLO's based on the comparison between current 4th -6th students average growth results at least equal to the average of similar 4th-6th grade students on the ELA state assessments. Note, baseline data is based upon 3rd -5th grade ELA state assessment scores from the previous school year for the same students. Appropriate and rigorous school-wide targets will be set for each SLO. After the 4th-6th grade ELA state assessments are administered and scored, the building principals will determine the percentage of 4th-6th grade students, met the school-wide ELA SLO comparison target. After this percentage is determined, the attached chart will be utilized to determine the appropriate points and HEDI category for each K-2 grade teacher.

3rd grade teachers and their building principals will collaboratively develop SLO's based on their student rosters using available background and baseline data. Appropriate and rigorous targets will be set for each SLO. After the 3rd grade NYSED assessment is administered and scored, the building principals will determine the percentage of students who met the differentiated targets (based on each SLO). After this percentage is determined, the chart below will be utilized to determine the appropriate points and HEDI category for each 3rd grade teacher.

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

K-3 Teachers will earn points with in this range based on 75%-100% of students meeting the SLO ELA target.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

K-3 Teachers will earn points with in this range based on 57%-74% of students meeting the SLO ELA target.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

K-3 Teachers will earn points with in this range based on 45%-56% of students meeting the SLO ELA target.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

K-3 Teachers will earn points with in this range based on 0%-44% of students meeting the SLO ELA target.

2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	Math	Assessment
K	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	4th-6th Grade State Math Assessment
1	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	4th-6th Grade State Math Assessment
2	School-or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments	4th-6th Grade State Math Assessment

	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.</p>	<p>K-2 grade teachers and their building principals will collaboratively create school-wide Math SLO's based on the comparison between current 4th-6th students average growth results at least equal to the average of similar 4th-6th grade students on the ELA state assessments. Note, baseline data is based upon 3rd -5th grade Math state assessment scores from the previous school year for the same students. Appropriate and rigorous school-wide targets will be set for each SLO. After the 4th-6th grade Math state assessments are administered and scored, the building principals will determine the percentage of 4th-6th grade students, met the school-wide Math SLO comparison target. After this percentage is determined, the attached chart will be utilized to determine the appropriate points and HEDI category for each K-2 teacher.</p> <p>3rd grade teachers and their building principals will collaboratively develop SLO's based on their student rosters using available background and baseline data. Appropriate and rigorous targets will be set for each SLO. After the 3rd grade NYSED assessment is administered and scored, the building principals will determine the percentage of students who met the differentiated targets (based on each SLO). After this percentage is determined, the chart below will be utilized to determine the appropriate points and HEDI category for each 3rd grade teacher.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>K-3 Teachers will earn points with in this range based on 85%-100% of students meeting SLO Math target.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>K-3 Teachers will earn points with in this range based on 67%-84% of students meeting SLO Math target.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>K-3 Teachers will earn points with in this range based on 55%-66% of students meeting SLO Math target.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>K-3 Teachers will earn points with in this range based on 0%-54% of students meeting SLO Math target.</p>

2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	Not applicable	North Bellmore is a K-6 district
7	Not applicable	North Bellmore is a K-6 district
	Science	Assessment
8	Not applicable	Not applicable

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Not Applicable- All 6th Grade teachers will be assessed in Math ELA
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Not Applicable- All 6th Grade teachers will be assessed in Math ELA
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Not Applicable- All 6th Grade teachers will be assessed in Math ELA
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Not Applicable- All 6th Grade teachers will be assessed in Math ELA
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Not Applicable- All 6th Grade teachers will be assessed in Math ELA

2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	Not applicable	North Bellmore is a K-6 district
7	Not applicable	North Bellmore is a K-6 district
8	Not applicable	North Bellmore is a K-6 district

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Not Applicable- All 6th Grade teachers will be assessed in Math ELA
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	Not Applicable- All 6th Grade teachers will be assessed in Math ELA
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	Not Applicable- All 6th Grade teachers will be assessed in Math ELA
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	Not Applicable- All 6th Grade teachers will be assessed in Math ELA
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	Not Applicable- All 6th Grade teachers will be assessed in Math ELA

2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

		Assessment
Global 1	Not applicable	North Bellmore is a K-6 district

	Social Studies Regents Courses	Assessment
Global 2	Not applicable	Not applicable
American History	Not applicable	Not applicable

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only

2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Not applicable	Not applicable
Earth Science	Not applicable	Not applicable
Chemistry	Not applicable	Not applicable
Physics	Not applicable	Not applicable

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only
---	--

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only

2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Not applicable	Not applicable
Geometry	Not applicable	Not applicable
Algebra 2	Not applicable	Not applicable

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	N. Bellmore services students in grades K-6 only

2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

High School English Courses	Assessment
-----------------------------	------------

school-wide targets will be set for the ELA SLO. After the 4th-6th grade ELA state assessments are administered and scored, the building principals will determine the percentage of 4th-6th grade students, who met the school-wide ELA SLO comparison target. After this percentage is determined, the attached chart will be utilized to determine the appropriate points and HEDI category for each teacher.

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.

Other Teachers will earn points with in this range based on 75%-100% of the 4th-6th grade students meeting the school-wide SLO ELA comparison target.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.

Other Teachers will earn points with in this range based on 57%-74% of the 4th-6th grade students meeting the school-wide SLO ELA comparison target.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.

Other Teachers will earn points with in this range based on 45%-56% of the 4th-6th grade students meeting the school-wide SLO ELA comparison target.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.

Other Teachers will earn points with in this range based on 0%-44% of the 4th-6th grade students meeting the school-wide SLO ELA comparison target.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. (MS Word)

(No response)

2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5364/130791-TXEttx9bQW/NB APPR 20% ELA MATH STATE GROWTH HEDI CHART(Teacher)-2012-13 REVISED_1.pdf

2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

A longitudinal study of the district's performance on previous state assessments reveals that the "All Students" subgroup (which encompasses students with disabilities, English Language Learners, and students in poverty) proficiency score averages at 75% for ELA 3rd- 6th grade and 85% for Math 3rd-6th grade . Based upon this review, we set our targets with the thought that 57%-74% was a fair and equitable range for the ELA Effective band of the HEDI scale and that 67%-84% , was a fair and equitable range for the Math Effective band of the HEDI scale. The ranges take into account previous years' student performance on 3rd-6th grade state assessments as well as theup coming 2012-13 assessment which will incorporate Common Core Standards. In addition, the range supports the district's committment to a process of continuous improvement to ensure the success of all students.

2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

2.14) Assurances Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html).	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created Thursday, May 17, 2012

Updated Tuesday, November 13, 2012

Page 1

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers. Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment.

.Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher’s students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students’ level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students’ performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher’s students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students’ performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher’s students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause

- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
 - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)
7	Not applicable	North Bellmore is a K-6 district

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	Baseline target are set based upon looking at the growth of individual students on the state approved local assessment and are differentiated based upon their starting point of learning and historical academic data. At the end of the instructional period, the aggregate growth of all of the individual students in the grade/subject area is used to determine whether or not the target of the SLO was met.
	Classroom teachers in grades 4-6, the local assessment points will be arrived at as follows: The points for the ELA subcomponents will be arrived at by adding together the number of points awarded for the percentage of students making growth and the percentage of students meeting the target growth. This sum will then be divided by two to arrive at the ELA subcomponent score which will have a maximum value of 15 points. It will also have a maximum value of 15 points. To arrive at the final local assessment score, the two subcomponent scores will be added together and then divided by 2. This will have a maximum value of 15 points.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	4th-6th Teachers will earn points in this range if 92%-100% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (ELA).
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	4th-6th Teachers will earn points in this range if 42%-91% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (ELA).
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	4th-6th Teacherswill earn points in this range if 16%-41% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (ELA).
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	4th-6th Teachers will earn points in this range if 0%- 15% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (ELA).

3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Math)
5	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Math)

6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Math)
7	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district
8	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	Baseline target are set based upon looking at the growth of individual students on the state approved local assessment and are differentiated based upon their starting point of learning and historical academic data. At the end of the instructional period, the aggregate growth of all of the individual students in the grade/subject area is used to determine whether or not the target of the SLO was met. Classroom teachers in grades 4-6, the local assessment points will be arrived at as follows: The points for the Math subcomponents will be arrived at by adding together the number of points awarded for the percentage of students making growth and the percentage of students meeting the target growth. This sum will then be divided by two to arrive at the Math subcomponent score which will have a maximum value of 15 points. It will also have a maximum value of 15 points. To arrive at the final local assessment score, the two subcomponent scores will be added together and then divided by 2. This will have a maximum value of 15 points..
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	4th-6th Teachers will earn points in this range if 92%-100% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (Math).
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	4th-6th Teachers will earn points in this range if 42%-91% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (Math).
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	4th-6th Teachers will earn points in this range if 16%-41% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (Math).
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	4th-6th Teachers will earn points in this range if 0%- 15% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (Math).

3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

(No response)

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment
- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
 - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or

BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
1	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
2	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
3	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>Baseline target are set based upon looking at the growth of individual students on the state approved local assessment and are differentiated based upon their starting point of learning and historical academic data. At the end of the instructional period, the aggregate growth of all of the individual students in the grade/subject area is used to determine whether or not the target of the SLO was met.</p> <p>The points for the ELA subcomponents will be arrived at by adding together the number of points awarded for the percentage of students making growth and the percentage of students meeting the target growth. This sum will then be divided by two to arrive at the ELA subcomponent score which will have a maximum value of 20 points. It will also have a maximum value of 20 points. To arrive at the final local assessment score, the two subcomponent scores will be added together and then divided by 2. This will have a maximum value of 20 points</p>
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	K-3 Teachers will earn points in this range if 91%-100% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (Primary).
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	K-3 Teachers will earn points in this range if 53%-90% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (Primary).
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	K-3 Teachers will earn points in this range if 18%-52% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (Primary).

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

K-3 Teachers will earn points in this range if 0%-17% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (Primary).

3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
3	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Math)

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.

Baseline target are set based upon looking at the growth of individual students on the state approved local assessment and are differentiated based upon their starting point of learning and historical academic data. At the end of the instructional period, the aggregate growth of all of the individual students in the grade/subject area is used to determine whether or not the target of the SLO was met.

The points for the Math subcomponents will be arrived at by adding together the number of points awarded for the percentage of students making growth and the percentage of students meeting the target growth. This sum will then be divided by two to arrive at the Math subcomponent score which will have a maximum value of 20 points. It will also have a maximum value of 20 points. To arrive at the final local assessment score, the two subcomponent scores will be added together and then divided by 2. This will have a maximum value of 20 points

Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

K-3 Teachers will earn points in this range if 91%-100% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (Primary).

Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

K-3 Teachers will earn points in this range if 53%-90% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (Primary).

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	K-3 Teachers will earn points in this range if 18%-52% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (Primary).
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	K-3 Teachers will earn points in this range if 0%-17% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (Primary).

3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district
7	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district
8	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Not Applicable- All Grade 6 teachers will be assessed in both Math ELA
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- All Grade 6 teachers will be assessed in both Math ELA
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- All Grade 6 teachers will be assessed in both Math ELA
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- All Grade 6 teachers will be assessed in both Math ELA
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- All Grade 6 teachers will be assessed in both Math ELA

3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	Not applicable	All Grade 6 teachers will be assessed in both Math ELA
7	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district
8	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Not Applicable- All Grade 6 teachers will be assessed in both Math ELA
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- All Grade 6 teachers will be assessed in both Math ELA
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- All Grade 6 teachers will be assessed in both Math ELA
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- All Grade 6 teachers will be assessed in both Math ELA
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- All Grade 6 teachers will be assessed in both Math ELA

3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district
Global 2	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district
American History	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district
---	--

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district

3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district
Earth Science	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district
Chemistry	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district
Physics	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district
Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district

3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district
Geometry	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district
Algebra 2	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not Applicable- North Bellmore is a K-6 district

3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district
Grade 10 ELA	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district
Grade 11 ELA	Not applicable	N. Bellmore is a K-6 district

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

determine the aggregate percentage of 4th-6th grade student, who met the school-wide ELA SLO growth target.

The local assessment points will be arrived at as follows: The points for the ELA subcomponents will be arrived at by adding together the number of points awarded for the average percentage of 4th-6th grade students making growth and the average percentage of 4th-6th grade students meeting the target growth. This sum will then be divided by two to arrive at the ELA subcomponent score which will have a maximum value of 20 points. It will also have a maximum value of 20 points. To arrive at the final local assessment score, the two subcomponent average scores will be added together and then divided by 2. This will have a maximum value of 20 points

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES -adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Other Teachers will earn points in this range if 91%-100% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (ELA).

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Other Teachers will earn points in this range if 53%-90% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (ELA).

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Other Teachers will earn points in this range if 18%-52% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (ELA).

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Other Teachers will earn points in this range if 0%-17% of students reach target on the Measures of Academic Progress (ELA).

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 3.12: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 3.12. (MS Word)

(No response)

3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5139/130792-y92vNseFa4/15% and 20% ELA Math Local Assessment Measure HEDI Chart-Teacher REVISED.pdf

3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

In order to mitigate potentially problematic incentives in relation to setting targets for local measures the district will be guided by Measures of Academic Progress (Primary) and (ELA/Math) growth targets in ELA and Mathematics. The building principal will conduct orientation meetings, will facilitate collaborative meetings among grade level teachers, and will review targets set by classroom teachers. At the district level, district administrators staff will provide further oversight for the process.

3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

Teachers with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math will be given a single HEDI category score by taking the average of the two assessments to form their final local score.

3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

3.16) Assurances Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
3.16) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked

4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created Thursday, May 17, 2012

Updated Tuesday, November 13, 2012

Page 1

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

District Variance

(No response)

4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	50
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	0
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	0
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	0
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	0
Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	10

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

[SurveyTools.0] Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
[SurveyTools.1] Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
[SurveyTools.2] Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
[SurveyTools.3] District Variance	(No response)

4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

4.4) Assurances Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The district negotiated procedures for conducting and scoring observations and assessing other aspects of the rubric. The district also negotiated the level of performance against the rubric that "meets standards" (for Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards) and the other HEDI categories (for Highly Effective: Overall performance exceeds standards; for Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards; for Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.). The process was transparent and will be made available to those being rated before the beginning of the school year. Assignment of points in each subcomponent ensures that it is possible for an educator to obtain any of the available points (including 0) in the subcomponents. Our district rubric that was approved by the State Education Department (See attachments for Rubric and SED Approval Letter) is managed through an online system (OASYS) has a built in calculator for totaling the 60 possible points teachers can earn on "Other Measures of Effectiveness." The point distribution is applied to the number of Ineffective, Developing,

Effective, and Highly Effective scores each teacher receives on the rubric. Please see the attached PDF for a complete breakdown of the point distributions.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5091/130794-eka9yMJ855/NB APPR 60% Other Measure HEDI Chart (Principal-Teacher)-Revised_1.pdf

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	Based upon the SED approved teacher practice rubric, teachers whose overall performance and results exceed standards will receive between 58 - 60 points.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Based upon the SED approved teacher practice rubric, teachers whose overall performance and results meet standards will receive between 49-57 points.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Based upon the SED approved teacher practice rubric, teachers whose overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards will receive between 23-48 points.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Based upon the SED approved teacher practice rubric, teachers whose overall performance and results do not meet standards will receive between 0 - 22 points.

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	58-60
Effective	49-57
Developing	23-48
Ineffective	0-22

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Formal/Long	3
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Informal/Short	0
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Enter Total	3

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	Not Applicable
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	Not Applicable
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Formal/Long	1
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Informal/Short	1
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	Not Applicable
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	Not Applicable
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

- In Person
-

5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Thursday, May 17, 2012

Updated Monday, November 19, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	58-60
Effective	49-57
Developing	23-48
Ineffective	0 - 22

5.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

**Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement**

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created Thursday, May 17, 2012

Updated Monday, August 20, 2012

Page 1

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

assets/survey-uploads/5265/130796-Df0w3Xx5v6/NorthBellmore TIP Plan 6-26-12.pdf

6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

See attached PDF "Teacher Appeal Procedure" for a full explanation of the appeals process.

6.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

The District will ensure that all lead evaluators/evaluators are properly trained and certified to complete an individual's APPR. Evaluator training will be conducted by certified Nassau BOCES Network Team personnel. Evaluator training will occur regionally and will replicate the recommended State Education Department ("SED") model certification process incorporating the Regulations that were enacted to implement Education Law §3012-c. Training will include (9) modules, the length of each module is (6) hours, which total (54) hours for the 2011-12 school year. Turn-key training will be provided for lead evaluators. This training will include the following Requirements for Lead Evaluators/Evaluators:

- *Module I: New York State Teaching Standards and ISSLC Standards;*
- *Module II: Evidence-based observation;*
- *Module III: Application and use of Student Growth Percentile and Value Added Growth Model data;*
- *Module IV: Application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal practice rubrics;*
- *Module V: Application and use of any assessment tools used to evaluate teachers and principals;*
- *Module VI: Application and use of State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement;*
- *Module VII: Use of Statewide Instructional Reporting System;*
- *Module VIII: Scoring methodology used to evaluate teachers and principals; and*
- *Module IX: Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners ("ELLS") and students with disabilities.*

The District will work with Nassau BOCES to ensure that lead evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time and that they are re-certified on an annual basis.

6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES

to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

• Checked

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created Thursday, May 17, 2012
Updated Monday, August 27, 2012

Page 1

7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

K-6
(No response)

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13	Checked

7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessment covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. District-determined assessments from the options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the school or program type this SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Please remember that State assessments must be used with SLOs if applicable to the school or program type.

School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment
K-6 Elementary School		Not Applicable. Principals do not require SLOs because $\geq 30\%$ of students are covered by State-provided growth measures.

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	Not applicable. Principals do not require SLOs because $\geq 30\%$ of students are covered by State-provided growth measures.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Not applicable. Principals do not require SLOs because $\geq 30\%$ of students are covered by State-provided growth measures.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Not applicable. Principals do not require SLOs because $\geq 30\%$ of students are covered by State-provided growth measures.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Not applicable. Principals do not require SLOs because $\geq 30\%$ of students are covered by State-provided growth measures.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Not applicable. Principals do not require SLOs because $\geq 30\%$ of students are covered by State-provided growth measures.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

(No response)

7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html .	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created Thursday, May 17, 2012

Updated Monday, November 19, 2012

Page 1

Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K-6	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	3-6 grade Measures of Academic Progress (ELA, Math)

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.</p>	<p>Principals serving schools with K-6 grade student populations will collaboratively create with their Superintendent appropriate and rigorous school-wide targets for the ELA growth targets based on the overall average 4th-6th grade student growth on the Measures of Academic Progress (ELA). Note, baseline data is based on the overall average 4rd-6th grade student growth on the Measures of Academic Progress (ELA) fall assessment results. After the 4th-th grade Measures of Academic Progress (ELA) are administered and scored in Spring, the Superintendent will determine the aggregate percentage of 4th-6th grade student, who met the school-wide ELA growth targets.</p> <p>The local assessment points will be arrived at as follows: K-6 Principals the local assessment points will be arrived at as follows: The points for both the ELA and Math subcomponents will be arrived at by adding together the number of points awarded for the average percentage of 4th-6th grade students making growth and the percentage of 4th-6th grade students meeting the target growth. This</p>
--	--

sum will then be divided by two to arrive at the ELA Math subcomponent score which will have a maximum value of 15 points. It will also have a maximum value of 15 points. To arrive at the final local assessment score, the two subcomponent scores will be added together and then divided by 2. This will have a maximum value of 15 points.

Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

K-6 Principals will earn points in this range if 92%-100% of students reach target score on the

Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

K-6 Principals will earn points in this range if 42%-91% of students reach target score.

Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

K-6 Principals will earn points in this range if 16%-41% of students reach target score.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

K-6 Principals will earn points in this range if 0%-15% of students reach target score.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

[assets/survey-uploads/5366/130798-qBFVOWF7fC/NB APPR 15% Local Assessment Measure HEDI Chart \(Principal\).pdf](#)

8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list: <!--

(a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)

(b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)

(c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

(d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations

(e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)

(h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K-6 Elementary School		Not applicable.

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	Not applicable.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not applicable.
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not applicable.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not applicable.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Not applicable.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

In order to mitigate potentially problematic incentives in relation to setting targets for local measures the district will be guided by NWEA growth targets in ELA. For the locally developed assessment in mathematics the district will work with the multi-district consortium to identify appropriate grade level growth targets. District administrators will conduct orientation meetings, will facilitate collaborative meetings among principals, and will review targets that were collaboratively set. District administrative staff will provide ongoing oversight for the process.

8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

For principals, the local assessment points will be calculated based upon a school-wide teacher level calculation that reflects the percentage of students making growth and the percentage of students meeting the target growth on both the ELA and Math assessments. This will have a maximum of 15 points. See chart in the attached PDF for a full breakdown of point distribution.

8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

8.5) Assurances Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate	Check

principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	
8.5) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check
8.5) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check

9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created Thursday, May 17, 2012

Updated Wednesday, September 12, 2012

Page 1

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric

(No response)

9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the points assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	60
Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents.	0

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 9.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below (if applicable):

9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	(No response)
9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	(No response)

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) School visits by other trained evaluators	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	(No response)

9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is posted, this form will be updated with dropdown menus of approved survey tools.

(No response)

(No response)

(No response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

9.6) Assurances Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The district negotiated procedures for conducting and scoring observations and assessing other aspects using the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric. The district also negotiated the level of performance against the rubric that "meets standards" (for Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards) and the other HEDI categories (for Highly Effective: Overall performance exceeds standards; for Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards; for Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.). The process was transparent and will be made available to those being rated before the beginning of the school year. Assignment of points in each subcomponent ensures that it is possible for a principal to obtain any of the available points (including 0) in the subcomponents. The point distribution is applied to the number of Ineffective, Developing, Effective, and Highly Effective scores each principal receives on the rubric. Please see the attached PDF for a complete breakdown of the point distributions.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

[assets/survey-uploads/5143/130799-pMADJ4gk6R/NB APPR 60% Other Measure HEDI Chart \(Principal-Teacher\)-Revised_1.pdf](#)

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.	Based upon the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric, principals whose overall performance and results exceed standards will receive between 58-60 points.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	Based upon the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric, principals whose overall performance and results meet standards will receive between 49-57 points.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	Based upon the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric, principals whose overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards will receive between 23-48 points.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.	Based upon the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric, principals whose overall performance and results do not meet standards will receive between 0-22 points.

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	58-60
------------------	-------

Effective	49-57
Developing	23-48
Ineffective	0-22

9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

Probationary Principals

By supervisor	5
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	5

Tenured Principals

By supervisor	3
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	3

10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Thursday, May 17, 2012

Updated Tuesday, November 13, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

**Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement**

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	58-60
Effective	49-57
Developing	23-48
Ineffective	0-22

10.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created Thursday, May 17, 2012
Updated Monday, August 20, 2012

Page 1

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in your school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

<assets/survey-uploads/5276/130801-Df0w3Xx5v6/PIP.pdf>

11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Please refer to the "Principal Appeal Procedure" PDF file attachment for a full explanation of the Appeals Process.

11.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

The District will ensure that all lead evaluators/evaluators are properly trained and certified to complete an individual's APPR. Evaluator training will be conducted by certified Nassau BOCES Network Team personnel. Evaluator training will occur regionally and will replicate the recommended State Education Department ("SED") model certification process incorporating the Regulations that were enacted to implement Education Law §3012-c. Training will include (9) modules, the length of each module is (6) hours, which total (54) hours for the 2011-12 school year. Turn-key training will be provided for lead evaluators. This training will include the following Requirements for Lead Evaluators/Evaluators:

- *Module I: New York State Teaching Standards and ISSLC Standards;*
- *Module II: Evidence-based observation;*
- *Module III: Application and use of Student Growth Percentile and Value Added Growth Model data;*
- *Module IV: Application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal practice rubrics;*
- *Module V: Application and use of any assessment tools used to evaluate teachers and principals;*
- *Module VI: Application and use of State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement;*
- *Module VII: Use of Statewide Instructional Reporting System;*
- *Module VIII: Scoring methodology used to evaluate teachers and principals; and*
- *Module IX: Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners ("ELLS") and students with disabilities.*

The District will work with Nassau BOCES to ensure that lead evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time and that they are re-certified on an annual basis.

11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

• Checked

11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created Thursday, May 17, 2012

Updated Tuesday, November 27, 2012

Page 1

12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form

[assets/survey-uploads/5581/130802-3Uqgn5g9Iu/NB District Certification Page.pdf](assets/survey-uploads/5581/130802-3Uqgn5g9Iu/NB%20District%20Certification%20Page.pdf)

File types supported for uploads

PDF (preferred)

Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)

Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)

Open Office (.odt, .ott)

Images (.jpg, .gif)

Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

NORTH BELLMORE UFSD
20% ELA STATE GROWTH HEDI CHART-TEACHER
K-2nd, 3rd Grade and Other Teachers
2012-13

	HEDI Points	% of Growth Target Range to Earn HEDI Points		
Ineffective	0	below	to	40%
	1	41%	to	42%
	2	43%	to	44%
Developing	3	45%	to	46%
	4	47%	to	48%
	5	49%	to	50%
	6	51%	to	52%
	7	53%	to	54%
	8	55%	to	56%
Effective	9	57%	to	58%
	10	59%	to	60%
	11	61%	to	62%
	12	63%	to	64%
	13	65%	to	66%
	14	67%	to	68%
	15	69%	to	70%
	16	71%	to	72%
	17	73%	to	74%
Highly Effective	18	75%	to	76%
	19	77%	to	78%
	20	79%		above

Assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects: Teachers and their building principals will collaboratively create school-wide ELA SLO's based on the comparison between current 4th-6th students average growth results at least equal to the average of similar 4th-6th grade students on the ELA state assessments. Note, baseline data is based upon 3rd-5th grade ELA state assessment scores from the previous school year for the same students. Appropriate and rigorous school-wide targets will be set for each SLO. After the 4th-6th grade ELA state assessments are administered and scored, the building principals will determine the percentage of 4th-6th grade students, met the school-wide ELA SLO comparison target. After this percentage is determined, the attached chart will be utilized to determine the appropriate points and HEDI category for each teacher.

General process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects: HEDI scoring ranges are anchored at HEDI 15 based upon 4th-6th grade students average ELA growth scores reaching the required percent above State growth scores of similar students in grades 4th-6th grade. Each HEDI point achieved above HEDI 15 represents a multiplier of 1.1. Each HEDI point below, in the "Effective" range, represents 1/7 of the distance to an achievement of zero which is equivalent to 8 HEDI points (the highest score in the "Developing" range). Each HEDI point in the "Ineffective" and "Developing" bands below HEDI 8 represents 1/8 of the distance between zero (HEDI 8) and the negative value set for HEDI 15.

NORTH BELLMORE UFSD
20% MATH STATE GROWTH HEDI CHART-TEACHER
K-2nd, 3rd Grade and Other Teachers
2012-13

	HEDI Points	% of Growth Target Range to Earn HEDI Points		
Ineffective	0	below	to	50%
	1	51%	to	52%
	2	53%	to	54%
Developing	3	55%	to	56%
	4	57%	to	58%
	5	59%	to	60%
	6	61%	to	62%
	7	63%	to	64%
	8	65%	to	66%
Effective	9	67%	to	68%
	10	69%	to	70%
	11	71%	to	72%
	12	73%	to	74%
	13	75%	to	76%
	14	77%	to	78%
	15	79%	to	80%
	16	81%	to	82%
	17	83%	to	84%
Highly Effective	18	85%	to	86%
	19	87%	to	88%
	20	89%	to	above

Assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects: Teachers and their building principals will collaboratively create school-wide Math SLO's based on the comparison between current 4th -6th students average growth results at least equal to the average of similar 4th-6th grade students on the Math state assessments. Note, baseline data is based upon 3rd -5th grade Math state assessment scores from the previous school year for the same students. Appropriate and rigorous school-wide targets will be set for each SLO. After the 4th-6th grade Math state assessments are administered and scored, the building principals will determine the percentage of 4th-6th grade students, met the school-wide Math SLO comparison target. After this percentage is determined, the attached chart will be utilized to determine the appropriate points and HEDI category for each teacher.

General process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects: HEDI scoring ranges are anchored at HEDI 15 based upon 4th-6th grade students average Math growth scores reaching the required percent above State growth scores of similar students in grades 4th -6th grade. Each HEDI point achieved above HEDI 15 represents a multiplier of 1.1. Each HEDI point below, in the "Effective" range, represents 1/7 of the distance to an achievement of zero which is equivalent to 8 HEDI points (the highest score in the "Developing" range). Each HEDI point in the "Ineffective" and "Developing" bands below HEDI 8 represents 1/8 of the distance between zero (HEDI 8) and the negative value set for HEDI 15.

NORTH BELLMORE UFSD
15% LOCAL SELECTED MEASURES HEDI CHART -TEACHER
4TH-6TH GRADE←(ELA & MATH)
2012-13

Charts will be used to assign points based on two factors:

- Percentage of students *making growth* fall to spring
- Percentage of students *meeting target growth* fall to spring

	HEDI Points	% of Growth Target Range to Earn HEDI Points		
Ineffective	0	0%	to	4%
	1	5%	to	9%
	2	10%	to	15%
Developing	3	16%	to	20%
	4	21%	to	25%
	5	26%	to	30%
	6	31%	to	35%
	7	36%	to	41%
Effective	8	42%	to	49%
	9	50%	to	57%
	10	58%	to	66%
	11	67%	to	74%
	12	75%	to	82%
	13	83%	to	91%
Highly Effective	14	92%	to	95%
	15	96%	to	100%

- For classroom teachers in grades 4-6, the local assessment points will be arrived at as follows: The points for the ELA subcomponents will be arrived at by adding together the number of points awarded for the percentage of students *making growth* and the percentage of students *meeting the target growth*. This sum will then be divided by two to arrive at the ELA subcomponent score which will have a maximum value of 15 points. The same process will be completed to arrive at the subcomponent score for mathematics. It will also have a maximum value of 15 points. To arrive at the final local assessment score, the two subcomponent scores will be added together and then divided by 2. This will have a maximum value of 15 points.

NORTH BELLMORE UFSD
20% LOCAL SELECTED MEASURES -TEACHERS
K-2ND, 3RD ←(ELA & MATH)
&
OTHER TEACHERS ←(ELA ONLY)
2012-13

- For classroom teachers in grades K-3, and all other teachers supporting ELA and Math, 20 points will be based on the results of other locally selected student achievement measures that must be “rigorous and comparable across classrooms” and developed or selected in accordance with applicable law. The locally selected measure Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades) and Measures of Academic Progress (ELA/MATH) from the Northwest Evaluation Association (NWEA). The assignment of twenty points will be based on the following chart:

	HEDI Points	% of Growth Target Range to Earn HEDI Points		
Ineffective	0	0%	to	5%
	1	6%	to	11%
	2	12%	to	17%
Developing	3	18%	to	22%
	4	23%	to	28%
	5	29%	to	34%
	6	35%	to	40%
	7	41%	to	46%
	8	47%	to	52%
Effective	9	53%	to	56%
	10	57%	to	60%
	11	61%	to	65%
	12	66%	to	69%
	13	70%	to	73%
	14	74%	to	78%
	15	79%	to	82%
	16	83%	to	86%
	17	87%	to	90%
Highly Effective	18	91%	to	95%
	19	96%	to	97%
	20	98%	to	100%

- The points for the ELA subcomponents will be arrived at by adding together the number of points awarded for the percentage of students *making growth* and the percentage of students *meeting the target growth*. This sum will then be divided by two to arrive at the ELA subcomponent score which will have a maximum value of 20 points. The same process will be completed to arrive at the subcomponent score for mathematics. It will also have a maximum value of 20 points. To arrive at the final local assessment score, the two subcomponent scores will be added together and then divided by 2. This will have a maximum value of 20 points.

NORTH BELLMORE
60% OTHER MEASURE HEDI CHART-PRINCIPAL/TEACHERS
2012-13

Ineffective	Developing	Effective	Highly Effective
0 - 22	23– 48	49– 57	58 - 60

**NORTH BELLMORE UFSD
15% LOCAL SELECTED MEASURES HEDI CHART-PRINCIPAL
2012-2013**

	HEDI Points	% of Growth Target Range to Earn HEDI Points		
Ineffective	0	0%	to	4%
	1	5%	to	9%
	2	10%	to	15%
Developing	3	16%	to	20%
	4	21%	to	25%
	5	26%	to	30%
	6	31%	to	35%
	7	36%	to	41%
Effective	8	42%	to	49%
	9	50%	to	57%
	10	58%	to	66%
	11	67%	to	74%
	12	75%	to	82%
	13	83%	to	91%
Highly Effective	14	92%	to	95%
	15	96%	to	100%

Assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects: K-6 Principals the local assessment points will be arrived at as follows: The points for both the ELA and Math subcomponents will be arrived at by adding together the number of points awarded for the average percentage of 4th-6th grade students making growth and the percentage of 4th-6th grade students meeting the target growth. This sum will then be divided by two to arrive at the ELA & Math subcomponent score which will have a maximum value of 15 points. It will also have a maximum value of 15 points. To arrive at the final local assessment score, the two subcomponent scores will be added together and then divided by 2. This will have a maximum value of 15 points.

NORTH BELLMORE
60% OTHER MEASURE HEDI CHART-PRINCIPAL/TEACHERS
2012-13

Ineffective	Developing	Effective	Highly Effective
0 - 22	23– 48	49– 57	58 - 60

North Bellmore Public Schools

Teacher Improvement Plan

Consultation Date:
Teacher:
Evaluator:
Union Representative: <input type="checkbox"/> Not Present <input type="checkbox"/> Present

Reason for Action: Developing Overall HEDI Composite Score Ineffective Overall HEDI Composite Score

Purpose of the Plan: The purpose of this plan is to assist the Teacher in meeting NYS Teaching Standards, as identified in the District's approved rubric, in need of improvement. Development of a TIP is a helpful, professional conversation, identifying solutions and resources that are designed to help principal in improving his/her practice(s). TIP consultation meetings should not be adversarial or used as a negotiation tool.

Description of practice(s) in need of change:

--

North Bellmore Public Schools

Teacher Improvement Plan

The following activities will assist the teacher in changing identified practice(s) as measured by the following evidence:

<i>Activities/ Evidence</i>	Start Date	TIP Follow up Meeting Date	End Date

TIP Follow-Up Meeting: Allows both the evaluator and the teacher to review and discuss the progress the teacher has made towards the provisions listed with this TIP plan.

Identification of Differentiated Teacher Resources:

Mentors District Professional Development Plan Teacher Center BOCES Higher Education Institutions Personal Counselors Workshops Other

Teacher Statement:

*I attest to the fact that this TIP plan was developed by the evaluator **in consultation with me** based upon receiving a developing/ineffective overall HEDI composite score and I am in agreement with the recommendation(s) outlined in this plan.*

Teacher's Signature

Date

North Bellmore Public Schools

Teacher Improvement Plan

Evaluator Statement:

*I attest to the fact that this TIP plan was developed **in consultation with** the teacher based upon receiving a developing/ineffective overall HEDI composite score. In addition, I will support the teacher and monitor progress in the change effort as outlined in this TIP plan.*

Evaluator's Signature

Date

Union Representative Statement:

*I attest to the fact that I was present when this TIP plan was developed by the evaluator **in consultation with** the teacher based upon receiving a developing/ineffective overall HEDI composite score.*

Union Representative's Signature

Date



PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Consultation Date:
Principal:
Evaluator:
Union Representative: <input type="checkbox"/> Not Present <input type="checkbox"/> Present(<i>Specify name</i>):

Reasons for Action: Developing Overall HEDI Composite Score Ineffective Overall HEDI Composite Score

Purpose of the Plan: The purpose of this plan is to assist the Principal in meeting ISLLC Standards as identified in the District’s approved rubric in need of improvement. Development of a PIP is a helpful, professional conversation, identifying solutions and resources that are designed to help principal in improving his/her practice(s). PIP consultation meetings are adversarial nor are used as a negotiation tool.

Description of practice(s) in need of change:

<i>Box will expand upon typing.</i>

Evidence to support the need for change:

<i>Box will expand upon typing.</i>

The following activities will assist the Principal in changing identified practice(s) as measured by the following evidence:

<i>Activities/Evidence</i>	<i>Intermediate Benchmark</i>	<i>Start Date</i>	<i>PIP Follow-up Date</i>	<i>End Date</i>
<i>Box will expand upon typing.</i>				

Identification of Differentiated accessible Principal Resources:

<i>Activities/Evidence</i>				
<i>Box will expand upon typing.</i>				

PIP Follow-up Meeting:

Allows both the evaluator and Principal to review and discuss the progress the Principal has made towards the provisions listed within this PIP plan.

<i>Principal evidence of implementation/completion of activities</i>		<i>Date</i>		
<i>Box will expand upon typing.</i>				

Principal Statement:

I attest to the fact that this PIP plan was developed by the evaluator **in consultation with** me based upon receiving a developing/ineffective overall HEDI composite score and I am in agreement of the recommendations(s) outlined in this plan.

Principal's Signature

Date

Evaluator Statement:

I attest to the fact that this PIP plan was developed in **consultation with** the Principal based upon receiving a developing/ineffective overall HEDI composite score. In addition, I will support the Principal and monitor progress in the change effort as outline in this PIP plan.

Evaluator's Signature

Date

Union Representative Statement:

I attest to the fact that I was present when this PIP plan was developed by the evaluator **in consultation with** the Principal based upon receiving a developing/ineffective overall HEDI composite score.

Union Representative's Signature

Date

Annual Professional Performance Review for Teachers and Principals §3012-c law and regulations provide that "an improvement plan shall be developed locally through negotiations pursuant to article 14 of the Civil Service Law and shall include, but need not be limited to, identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiate activities to support a teacher's or principal's improvement in those areas."

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a TIP or PIP plan, in accordance with the regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with the regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal
- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)

- annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- If this APPR Plan is being submitted subsequent to July 1, 2012, assure that this was the result of unresolved collective bargaining negotiations

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date:

Arnold Goldstein 11/21/12

Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

Rendee Colter 11-21-12

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

Francis J. Summit 11-21-12

Board of Education President Signature: Date:

John DeLoutor 11/21/12