



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK / ALBANY, NY 12234

COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION
PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

August 24, 2012

Peter Mustich, Superintendent
Rye Neck Union Free School District
310 Hornidge Road
Mamaroneck, NY 10543

Dear Superintendent Mustich:


Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved for the 2012-2013 school year. As a reminder, we are relying on the certification and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval.

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2, the Department will continue to work with districts to help ensure compliance with the statute and the regulations. We will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may ask for a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results. Please be advised that, if any provisions of your APPR plan violate the statute or the regulations, the Department reserves the right to require your district to correct and/or resolve such violations.

The Department looks forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,



John B. King, Sr.
Commissioner

c: James T. Langlois

NOTE: If your district/BOCES has provided for value-added measures (15 points vs. 20 points scale and categorization of your district/BOCES's grade configurations) in your APPR and no value-added measures are approved by the Board of Regents for a grade/subject and/or grade configuration for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly. Conversely, if your district/BOCES has not provided for value-added measures in your district/BOCES's APPR submission and value-added measures are approved for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly.

Annual Professional Performance Reviews: 2012-13

Created Saturday, May 05, 2012

Updated Friday, August 24, 2012

1

Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

1.1) School District's BEDS Number : 661901030000

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

661901030000

1.2) School District Name: RYE NECK UFSD

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

RYE NECK UFSD

1.3) School Improvement Grant (SIG) Districts Only

SIG districts only: Indicate whether this APPR plan is for SIG schools only or for the entire district. Other districts and BOCES, please skip this question.

(No response)

1.4) Award Classification

Please check if the district has applied for and/or has been awarded any of the following (if applicable):

-
- Performance Improvement Grant
-

1.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.5) Assurances Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

1.6) Is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan?

Re-submission to address deficiencies

1.7) Is this submission for an annual or multi-year plan?

If the plan is multi-year, please write the years that are included.

Annual (2012-13)

2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created Monday, May 07, 2012
Updated Friday, August 24, 2012

Page 1

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

(25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have state-provided measures, some may teach other courses in addition where there is no state-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects for 2012-13, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

2.1) Assurances Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
2.1) Assurances Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13.	Checked

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For core subjects: grades 6-8 Science and Social Studies, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or

District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	ELA	Assessment
K	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	District developed K ELA assessment
1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	District developed 1 ELA assessment
2	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	District developed 2 ELA assessment

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this	The district has adopted the committee's recommendation to set generic expectations for students meeting their individual
--	---

subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	growth or achievement across Grades K-3 in ELA and set a target that 80% of the students will meet their individual goals based on their baseline data.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.

2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	Math	Assessment
K	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	District developed K math assessment
1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	District developed 1 Math assessment
2	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	District developed 2 Math assessment

	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The district has adopted the committee's recommendation to set generic expectations for students meeting their individualized growth or achievement across grades K-3 in math and set a target that 80% of the students will meet their individual goals based on their baseline data.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.

2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Rye Neck Science 6 Departmental Assessment
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Rye Neck Science 7 Departmental Assessment

	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The district has adopted the committee's recommendation to set generic expectations for students meeting their individualized growth or achievement across grades 6-8 in science and set a target that 80% of the students will meet their individual goals based on their baseline data.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.

2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Rye Neck grade 6 departmental assessment
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Rye Neck grade 7 departmental assessment
8	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Rye Neck grade 8 departmental assessment

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The district has adopted the committee's recommendation to set generic expectations for students meeting their individualized growth or achievement across grades 6-8 in social studies and set a target that 80% of the students will meet their individual goals based on their baseline data.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.

2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

		Assessment
Global 1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Global 1 departmental assessment

Social Studies Regents Courses		Assessment
Global 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The district has adopted the committee's recommendation to set generic expectations for students meeting their individualized growth or achievement across grades 9-11 in social studies and set a target that 80% of the students will meet their individual goals based on their baseline data.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.

2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Physics	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The district has adopted the committee's recommendation to set generic expectations for students meeting their individualized growth or achievement across grades 9-12 and set a target that 80% of students will meet their individual goals based on their baseline data.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.

2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The district has adopted the committee's recommendation to set generic expectations for students meeting their individualized growth or achievement across grades 9-12 in math and set a target that 80% of the students will meet their individual goals based on their baseline data.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.

2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	English grade 9 departmental assessment
Grade 10 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	English grade 10 departmental assessment
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	English Regents

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The district has adopted the committee's recommendation to set generic expectations for students meeting their individualized growth or achievement across grades 9-11 in English and set a target that 80% of the students will meet their individual goals based on their baseline data.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.

2.10) All Other Courses

Fill in, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have Student Learning Objectives. If you need additional space, duplicate this form and upload (below) as an attachment to your APPR plan. You may combine into one line any groups of teachers for whom the answers in the boxes are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above" .

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Option	Assessment
LOTE	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	FLACS

Music/Performing Arts	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Music/Performing Arts departmental assessment
ESL	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	ESL departmental assessment
Physical Education	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	PE departmental assessment
Art/Fine Arts	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Art departmental assessment
Technology	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Technology departmental assessment
Library	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Library departmental assessment
Health	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Health departmental assessment
Non-Regents social studies classes	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Social studies departmental assessment
Non-Regents math classes	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Math departmental assessment
Non-Regents English classes	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	English departmental assessment
Non-Regents science classes	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Science departmental assessment
ELA skills	State Assessment	ELA 6 state assessment
Math skills	State Assessment	Math 6 state assessment

For all other courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The district has adopted the committee's recommendation to set generic expectations for students meeting their individualized growth or achievement across grades K-12 in all other subject areas and set a target that 80% of the students will meet their individual goals based on their baseline data.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. (MS Word)

(No response)

2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5364/125849-TXEttx9bQW/SLOGrowthChart.docx

2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

No controls.

2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

2.14) Assurances Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html).	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways	Checked

that improve student learning and instruction.

2.14) Assurances | Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range. Checked

2.14) Assurances | Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms. Checked

3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, May 15, 2012
Updated Friday, August 24, 2012

Page 1

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers. Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment.

.Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher’s students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students’ level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students’ performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher’s students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students’ performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher’s students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause

- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
 - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Acuity
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Acuity
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Acuity
7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Acuity
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Acuity

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	The district has accepted the recommendation of the committee and set a target that 80% of the students will achieve the department-wide or grade level achievement targets.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 15 Measure of Student Achievement.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 15 Measure of Student Achievement.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 15 Measure of Student Achievement.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 15 Measure of Student Achievement.

3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Acuity
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Acuity
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Acuity
7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Acuity
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Acuity

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	The district has accepted the recommendation of the committee and set a target that 80% of the students will achieve the department-wide or grade level achievement targets.
--	--

Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 15 Measure of Student Achievement.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 15 Measure of Student Achievement.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 15 Measure of Student Achievement.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 15 Measure of Student Achievement.

3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

<assets/survey-uploads/5139/129638-rhJdBgDruP/Local15Measure.docx>

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure

described in 1) or 2), above

4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:

(i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed k ELA assessment
1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed 1 ELA assessment
2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed 2 ELA assessment
3	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Acuity

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The district has accepted the recommendation of the committee and set a target that 80% of the students will achieve the department-wide or grade level achievement targets.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.

Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.

3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed k math assessment
1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed 1 math assessment
2	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed 2 math assessment
3	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Acuity

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The district has accepted the recommendation of the committee and set a target that 80% of the students will achieve the department-wide or grade level achievement targets.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.

3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed assessment, grade and subject specific
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed assessment, grade and subject specific
8	6(i) School-wide measure based on State-provided measure	Grade 8 NYS science assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The district has accepted the recommendation of the committee and set a target that 80% of the students will achieve the department-wide or grade level achievement targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.

3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed assessment, grade and subject specific
7	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed assessment, grade and subject specific
8	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed assessment, grade and subject specific

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The district has accepted the recommendation of the committee and set a target that 80% of the students will achieve the department-wide or grade level achievement targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.

3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	District developed assessment, grade and subject specific
Global 2	6(i) School-wide measure based on State-provided measure	Global Studies state assessment
American History	6(i) School-wide measure based on State-provided measure	American History state assessment

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The district has accepted the recommendation of the committee and set a target that 80% of the students will achieve the department-wide or grade level achievement targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.

achievement for grade/subject.	
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.

3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	6(i) School-wide measure based on State-provided measure	Living Environment Regents
Earth Science	6(i) School-wide measure based on State-provided measure	Earth Science Regents
Chemistry	6(i) School-wide measure based on State-provided measure	Chemistry Regents
Physics	6(i) School-wide measure based on State-provided measure	Physics Regents

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The district has accepted the recommendation of the committee and set a target that 80% of the students will achieve the department-wide or grade level achievement targets.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.

3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	6(i) School-wide measure based on State-provided measure	Algebra 1 Regents
Geometry	6(i) School-wide measure based on State-provided measure	Geometry Regents
Algebra 2	6(i) School-wide measure based on State-provided measure	Algebra 2 Regents

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The district has accepted the recommendation of the committee and set a target that 80% of the students will achieve the department-wide or grade level achievement targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.

3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Grade 9 English departmental assessment

Grade 10 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES–developed assessments	Grade 10 English departmental assessment
Grade 11 ELA	6(i) School-wide measure based on State-provided measure	English Regents

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The district has accepted the recommendation of the committee and set a target that 80% of the students will achieve the department-wide or grade level achievement targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.

3.12) All Other Courses

Fill in for additional grades/subjects, as applicable. If you need additional space, complete additional copies of this form and upload (below) as attachments.

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
LOTE	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	BOCES FLACS
Music/Performing Arts	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	Music/Performing Arts district assessment
ESL	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	ESL district assessment
Physical Education	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	PE district assessment
Art/Fine Arts	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	Art district assessment
Technology	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	Technology district assessment
Library	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	Library district assessment
Health	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	Health district assessment
Non-Regents social studies classes	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	Social studies district assessment
Non-Regents math classes	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	Math district assessment

Non-Regents English classes	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	English district assessment
Non-Regents science classes	5) District/regional/BOCES–developed	Science district assessment
ELA skills	4) State-approved 3rd party	Acuity
Math skills	4) State-approved 3rd party	Acuity

For all additional courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The district has accepted the recommendation of the committee and set a target that 80% of the students will achieve the department-wide or grade level achievement targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES -adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	91-100% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	56-90% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	29-55% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-28% of students met their goal. Please see attached chart labeled Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 3.12: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 3.12. (MS Word)

(No response)

3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5139/129638-y92vNseFa4/Local20Measure.docx

3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

No controls.

3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

The process for combining multiple locally selected measures into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score will require the district to weight each score, determine the average, and then assign a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

3.16) Assurances Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
3.16) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
3.16) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked

4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, May 15, 2012

Updated Friday, June 29, 2012

Page 1

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2011 Revised Edition)

(No response)

4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	40
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	(No response)
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	(No response)
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	(No response)
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	(No response)
Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	20

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is posted, this form will be updated with dropdown menus of approved survey tools.

(No response)

(No response)

4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

4.4) Assurances Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The district is scoring the Danielson 2011 rubric at the subcomponent level and have weighted Domains 2 and 3 to be worth 66% of the local 60 Points, due to great emphasis being placed upon classroom instruction and the classroom environment. This will produce a weighted score based upon a 0.0 to 4.0 point scale. This score is then converted to a 0.0 to 60.0 "other measures" score using a conversion chart similar to the sample in the APPR Guidance document. This model is compliant with Education Section 3012-c, in that it attributes a majority of the Local 60 points to measures observable in the classroom. The district will utilize the attached conversion chart to ensure that all points (0-60) are obtainable within the rubric.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5091/129642-eka9yMJ855/Conversionflowchart.docx

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	To be rated as highly effective overall, the teacher must earn a significant majority of rubric subcomponent scores at the highly effective level producing a rubric score at or above 3.5. The teacher's overall rubric score will determine the specific point assignment using a conversion chart similar to the sample in the APPR Guidance document.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	To be rated as effective overall, the teacher must earn a significant majority of rubric subcomponent scores at or above the effective level producing a rubric score at or above 2.5. The teacher's overall rubric score will determine the specific point assignment using a conversion chart similar to the sample in the APPR Guidance document.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	To be rated as developing overall, the teacher must earn a significant majority of rubric subcomponent scores below the effective level producing a rubric score at or above 1.5. The teacher's overall rubric score will determine the specific point assignment using a conversion chart similar to the sample in the APPR Guidance document.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	To be rated as ineffective overall, the vast majority of the teacher's rubric scores must be below the developing level producing a rubric score below 1.5. The teacher's overall rubric score will determine the specific point assignment using a conversion chart similar to the sample in the APPR Guidance document.

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Formal/Long	3
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Informal/Short	1

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Enter Total	4
--	---

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Formal/Long	1
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Informal/Short	1
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, May 15, 2012

Updated Friday, June 29, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

5.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

**Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement**

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created Monday, May 28, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 22, 2012

Page 1

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

[assets/survey-uploads/5265/133649-Df0w3Xx5v6/TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN.docx](assets/survey-uploads/5265/133649-Df0w3Xx5v6/TEACHER_IMPROVEMENT_PLAN.docx)

6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Appeals Process:

A. Any teacher who receives an ineffective or a tenured teacher who receives a developing rating on his/her APPR shall be entitled to appeal their annual APPR rating, based upon a paper submission to the Appeals Committee, the members of which shall be trained for the purposes of hearing appeals. The teacher's written appeal may be supplemented by documentary evidence submitted by the teacher along with the written appeal document. The evaluator shall also have the right to issue in writing to the Appeals Committee the

reasons for his/her decision, and may submit documentary evidence along with his/her written submission to the Appeals Committee.

B. The appeal must be brought in writing, specifying the area(s) of concern, but limited to those matters that may be appealed as prescribed in Section 3012-c of the Education Law. Further, a teacher who is placed on a Teacher Improvement Plan (“TIP”) shall have a corresponding right to appeal concerns regarding the TIP in accordance with the requirements set forth in Section 3012-c of the Education Law.

The areas of concern as referenced above for which a teacher who is rated ineffective or a tenured teacher who is rated developing on his/her APPR may bring an appeal are:

- 1. The substance of the annual professional performance review;*
- 2. The District’s adherence to the standards and methodologies required for the APPR;*
- 3. The adherence to the Part 30 Regents Rules;*
- 4. Compliance with the locally negotiated procedures that govern the APPR; and/or*
- 5. The District’s issuance and/or implementation of a TIP (where applicable).*

C. An appeal of an evaluation or a TIP must be commenced within ten (10) work days of the presentation of the document to the teacher or else the right to appeal shall be deemed waived in all regards.

D. The first appeal shall be to the Appeals Committee. The committee shall be comprised of the following members:

The RNTA President or designee

A tenured Department Chairperson or Team Leader as selected by the RNTA

Two Administrators, who were not involved in the observation process

Upon the selection of committee members, those who have not previously been trained in the appeals process by the District shall immediately be provided with training.

The Appeals Committee shall conduct its proceedings confidentially and must come to a decision regarding the appeal. The Appeals Committee shall keep its deliberations confidential. The decision of the Appeals Committee shall be communicated in writing to the Superintendent of Schools and the teacher within ten (10) work days of the submission of the written appeal.

E. Following the receipt of the decision of the Appeals Committee, the Superintendent shall make his decision in writing within ten (10) work days of receipt of that appeal. The decision of the Superintendent, so long as the decision is made within the timeframe set forth in this paragraph, shall be final and binding in all regards and shall not be subject to review at arbitration, before any administrative agency or in any court of law.

F. 1. Notwithstanding the above, in the event that a tenured teacher has received two consecutive ineffective APPR evaluation ratings, the second tier appeal shall be to an arbitrator selected on a rotating basis from the following list, based on order and reasonable timeframe of availability: Bonnie Siber-Weinstock, Ira Lobel, and Howard Edelman, who shall make a final and binding decision upon the appeal of the APPR evaluation and/or the teacher improvement plan. In the event that the district then proceeds to a probable cause finding under Section 3020-a of the Education Law, and determines to conduct such a hearing, the arbitrator who ruled upon the appeal shall be jointly selected by the teacher and the district to be the Section 3020-a hearing officer. Notwithstanding the aforementioned language, nothing herein shall be construed as limiting the right of the employee to challenge said evaluation in any proceeding brought pursuant to Education Law §3020-a. It is expected that the cost of said hearing shall be paid for in accordance with the provision of the Education Law; provided, however, in the event that SED will not pay for the costs of the hearing, that expense shall be borne by the District and the proceedings shall be in the nature of a disciplinary arbitration and not a statutory hearing under Section 3020-a of the Education Law. The disciplinary arbitration procedure shall be consistent with the statutory procedure and penalty parameters as set for in Education Law Section 3020-a. During the pendency of a disciplinary arbitration the pay rights of the teacher shall be the same as those afforded to teachers who are subject to statutory proceedings under Section 3020-a of the Education Law.

2. In order to take advantage of the procedure outlined in F (1) above, the tenured teacher must consent to the use of the arbitration panel should the district proceed to find probable cause under Section 3020-a of the Education Law. If the tenured teacher is unwilling to do so, the second tier appeal shall be heard by the superintendent

6.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

All evaluators and lead evaluators were trained by Northern and Southern BOCES and are certified as per state authorization. Recertification will occur in the same manner.

To ensure inter-rater reliability, all evaluators will be certified using the Danielson 2011 model through TeachScape for 15 hours per evaluator.

Training summary from SWBOCES, 20 hours

NY teaching standards and evidence based observations

Creating continuous improvement cycles

Creating a framework for developing effective SLOs

Evidence based observation protocols and exploration of the growth value added model

Writing quality student learning objectives

Training summary from PNWBOCES, 24 hours

Big picture and new regulations

New APPR requirements

Implementation of APPR

6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

• Checked

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created Tuesday, May 15, 2012
Updated Friday, August 24, 2012

Page 1

7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

3-5
6-8
9-12
(No response)
(No response)
(No response)
(No response)

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13	Checked

7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessment covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. District-determined assessments from the options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the school or program type this SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Please remember that State assessments must be used with SLOs if applicable to the school or program type.

School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment
K-2 ELA	District, regional, or BOCES-developed	District developed K-2 ELA assessment
K-2 math	District, regional, or BOCES-developed	District developed K-2 Math assessment

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	The administrative scores are assigned by averaging their respective teachers' growth scores.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	Please see chart labeled SLO Growth.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

[assets/survey-uploads/5365/129646-lha0DogRNw/SLOGrowthChart.docx](#)

7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives

associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

No controls.

7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html .	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created Tuesday, May 15, 2012

Updated Tuesday, August 21, 2012

Page 1

Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
3-5	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Acuity
3-5	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Course specific summative assessments
6-8	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Acuity
6-8	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Course specific summative assessments
6-8	(a) achievement on State assessments	Grade 8 State science assessment
6-8	(a) achievement on State assessments	Living Environment Regents
9-12	(e) 4, 5, and/or 6-year high school grad and/or dropout rates	6-year graduation rate

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	The administrative scores are assigned by averaging their respective students' achievement scores and applying those scores to the attached chart. The high school principal will be assigned based on the graduation rate as indicated in the chart attached.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see the attached Principal Achievement charts.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see the attached Principal Achievement charts.

Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Please see the attached Principal Achievement charts.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Please see the attached Principal Achievement charts.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<assets/survey-uploads/5366/129647-qBFVOWF7fC/local15measure.principalsall.docx>

8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list: <!--

(a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)

(b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)

(c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

(d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations

(e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at

least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)

(h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K-2	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	District developed assessment
K-2	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	District developed assessment

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	The administrative scores are assigned by averaging their respective students' achievement scores.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see the attached Principal Achievement charts.
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see the attached Principal Achievement charts.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see the attached Principal Achievement charts.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see the attached Principal Achievement charts.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5366/129647-T8MIGWUVm1/Local20Measure.principalsall.docx

8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

No controls.

8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

The administrative scores are assigned by averaging their respective students' achievement scores, weighted appropriately and applying those scores to the attached chart.

8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

8.5) Assurances Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check
8.5) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check

9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created Tuesday, June 26, 2012

Updated Friday, June 29, 2012

Page 1

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric

(No response)

9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the points assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	60
---	----

Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents.	0
--	---

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 9.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below (if applicable):

9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	Checked
9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	Checked

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) School visits by other trained evaluators	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	(No response)

9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is posted, this form will be updated with dropdown menus of approved survey tools.

(No response)

(No response)

(No response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

9.6) Assurances Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The Local 60 Measure of Principal Effectiveness

The Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric ("MPPR") shall be used to inform the Local 60% of the composite APPR score as part of the evaluation initiative for the 2012-2013 school year and each school year thereafter in consideration of the implementation of New York State Education Law §3012-c regarding annual professional performance reviews of building principals.

The MPPR will be used at the domain level to measure each of the ISLLC Standards. The point six domains of the MPPR are delineated as follows:

Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric Points

Domain 1: Shared Vision of Learning 9

- a. Culture*
- b. Sustainability*

Domain 2: School Culture & Instructional Program 17

- a. Culture*
- b. Instructional Program*
- c. Capacity Building*
- d. Sustainability*
- e. Strategic Planning Process*

Domain 3: Safe, Efficient, Effective Learning Environment 15

- a. Capacity Building*
- b. Culture*
- c. Sustainability*
- d. Instructional Program*

Domain 4: Community 9

- a. Strategic Planning Process: Inquiry*
- b. Culture*
- c. Sustainability*

Domain 5: Integrity, Fairness, Ethics 6

- a. Sustainability*
- b. Culture*

Domain 6: Political, Social, Economic, Legal & Cultural Context 4

- a. Sustainability*
- b. Culture*

TOTAL POINTS 60

The Superintendent of Schools shall determine the points within each of the domains, taking into account the elements of each domain, to the extent necessary to account for each of the six ISLLC Standards on an annual basis. The Superintendent of Schools shall evaluate all Central Office, District-wide and Building-Level administrators using the MPPR Rubric; provided, however, only Building Principals shall be given an APPR composite effectiveness rating on the 0-100 point scale.

The Local 60 Points will be computed for the purpose of the Final Summative Evaluation for Building Principals based upon the

following methodology:

- A “Highly Effective” rating shall receive 100% of the total point value for the domain.
- An “Effective” rating shall receive 96% of the total point value for the domain.
- A “Developing” rating shall receive 82.5% of the total point value for the domain.
- An “Ineffective” rating shall receive no points; provided, however, at the sole discretion of the Superintendent, if it appears that a building principal is employing techniques intended to generate outcomes that would form the evidence-basis for sound pedagogy, but the techniques are not achieving the desired end, then the Superintendent may allocate points to a building principal who is observed as “ineffective” in a domain, between 0% and 64% of the total possible points available.

This methodology ensures that all points 0-60, are obtainable on the Local 60 measure, in accordance with the provisions of Education Law Section 3012-c. The relative weights attributed to the sub-domain values for the receipt of the respective ratings as set forth above and the HEDI Bands above were locally negotiated in order to enhance the likelihood that a building principal who receives an “effective” on the Local 20, the State 20 and the Local 60 would receive a composite effectiveness rating within the regulated “effective” range (of 75-90).

Local 60 Points that are subject to HEDI bands are determined to fall within the following ranges for the 2012-13 and thereafter:

Rating Point Range

Highly Effective 59-60

Effective 55-58

Developing 45-54

Ineffective 0-44

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5143/145816-pMADJ4gk6R/Conversionchart.principal_1.xlsx

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.	Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	Overall performance and results meets ISLLC leadership standards.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.	Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	55-58
Developing	45-54
Ineffective	0-44

9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

Probationary Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

Tenured Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Tuesday, June 26, 2012

Updated Friday, June 29, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	55-58
Developing	45-54
Ineffective	0-44

10.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created Monday, June 04, 2012

Updated Wednesday, August 22, 2012

Page 1

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in your school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

[assets/survey-uploads/5276/138510-Df0w3Xx5v6/PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN.docx](#)

11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Appeals Process:

A. Any principal who receives an "ineffective" rating on his/her annual composite APPR or a tenured principal who receives a "developing" composite APPR rating, having also received a rating at or below "developing" on the Local 60 Points, shall be entitled to appeal his/her annual APPR rating, based upon a paper submission to the Superintendent's administrative designee, who shall be trained in accordance with the requirements of the statute and regulations and also possesses either an appropriate district-wide administrative Certification.

B. The appeal must be brought in writing, specifying the area(s) of concern, but limited to those matters that may be appealed as prescribed in Section 3012-c of the Education Law. Further, a principal who is placed on a Principal Improvement Plan (“PIP”) shall have a corresponding right to appeal concerns regarding the PIP in accordance with the requirements set forth in Section 3012-c of the Education Law.

C. An appeal of an APPR evaluation or a PIP must be commenced within ten (10) business days of the presentation of the final document to the principal or else the right to appeal shall be deemed waived in all regards. This period shall be tolled for any days during said ten (10) business day period that the principal is on a planned vacation. In the case of a PIP appeal, there shall be a second ten (10) business day period for a PIP appeal following the end date of the PIP, and in the event that an appeal is not timely filed by the tenth business day following the end date of the PIP, the right to such an appeal shall be deemed waived in all regards. This period shall be tolled for any days during said ten (10) working day period that the principal is on a planned vacation.

D. The Superintendent’s administrative designee shall respond to the appeal with a written answer granting the appeal and directing further administrative action, or a written answer denying the appeal. The Superintendent’s administrative designee shall review the evidence underlying the observations of the principal along with all other evidence submitted by the principal prior to rendering a decision. Such decision shall be made within ten (10) business days of the receipt of the appeal. This period shall be tolled for any days during said ten (10) business day period that the Superintendent’s administrative designee is on a planned vacation. So long as the decision is made within the timeframe set forth in this paragraph, the decision of the Superintendent’s administrative designee shall be final and binding in all regards and shall not be subject to review at arbitration, before any administrative agency or in any court of law.

E. 1. Notwithstanding the above, in the event that a tenured principal has received two consecutive ineffective APPR evaluation ratings, the appeal shall be to an arbitrator selected on a rotating basis from the following list, based on order and reasonable timeframe of availability: Carol Wittenberg, Arthur Riegel and Bonnie Siber-Weinstock, who shall make a final and binding decision upon the appeal of the APPR evaluation and/or the PIP. The documentation to be furnished to the arbitrator on behalf of the tenured principal and by the District shall be exchanged between the tenured principal and the administration on an immediate basis at the time of submission to the arbitrator. In the event that either party has a question regarding the authenticity of such documentation, the same shall be presented in writing immediately to the arbitrator and copied to the other party for the arbitrator’s review and consideration. The Arbitrator shall review the evidence underlying the observations of the principal along with all other evidence submitted by the principal prior to rendering a decision. In the event that the district then proceeds to a probable cause finding under Section 3020-a of the Education Law, and determines to conduct such a hearing, the arbitrator to hear the appeal shall be the next available arbitrator from the list above and shall be designated the Section 3020-a hearing officer. Notwithstanding the aforementioned language, nothing herein shall be construed as limiting the right of the employee to challenge said evaluation in any proceeding brought pursuant to Education Law §3020-a, so long as the identical issue wasn’t resolved in the appeal. It is expected that the cost of said Section 3020-a hearing shall be paid for in accordance with the provision of the Education Law. In the event that the SED will not appoint one of the arbitrators listed above as the Section 3020-a Hearing Officer, then, the matter shall proceed as a disciplinary arbitration, the outcome of which shall be final and binding upon both parties. In that event, the District shall bear the hearing costs of the arbitrator and stenographic service and the tenured principal shall be entitled to pay rights during the pendency of the arbitration to the same extent as provided for under Section 3020-a of the Education Law.

2. In order to take advantage of the procedure outlined in E(1) above, the tenured principal must consent to the use of the arbitrator from the arbitration panel set forth in paragraph E(1) above who heard the appeal, should the district proceed to find probable cause under Section 3020-a of the Education Law. If the tenured principal is unwilling to do so, the appeal shall be heard by the Superintendent’s administrative designee.

11.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

For training, all are state-licensed administrators and all received 15 hours of lead evaluator training through Southern and Northern Westchester BOCES. For insuring inter-rater reliability, all participate in bi-monthly meetings that include cooperative work on the evaluation process; and all evaluations are finalized by the superintendent. All bi-monthly administrative cabinet meetings will include APPR training and discussion. In addition, to ensure inter-rater reliability all observers will complete certification which will include 15 hours of training in the Danielson 2011 model through TeachScape.

*Training summary from SWBOCES, 20 hours
NY teaching standards and evidence based observations
Creating continuous improvement cycles*

Creating a framework for developing effective SLOs
Evidence based observation protocols and exploration of the growth value added model
Writing quality student learning objectives

Training summary from PNWBOCES, 24 hours
Big picture and new regulations
New APPR requirements
Implementation of APPR

11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

• Checked

11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created Monday, May 07, 2012

Updated Friday, August 24, 2012

Page 1

12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form

<assets/survey-uploads/5581/125855-3Uqgn5g9Iu/certification8-24.pdf>

File types supported for uploads

PDF (preferred)

Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)

Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)

Open Office (.odt, .ott)

Images (.jpg, .gif)

Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

**Rye Neck Union Free School District
SLO Growth Chart
(2012-13 School Year)**

% of students meeting the target	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
0-24				0
25-26				1
27-28				2
29-30			3	
31-35			4	
36-40			5	
41-45			6	
46-50			7	
51-55			8	
56-58		9		
59-60		10		
61-63		11		
64-66		12		
67-70		13		
71-74		14		
75-79		15		
80-85		16		
86-90		17		
91-94	18			
95-97	19			
98-100	20			

Rye Neck Union Free School District
Local 15 Measure of Student Achievement
(2012-13 School Year Using Data from Acuity/or SLOs – to be used should the State introduce a Value-Added Growth Model during the 2012-13 school year)

% of students meeting the target	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
0-22				0
23-25				1
26-28				2
29-33			3	
34-38			4	
39-43			5	
44-49			6	
50-55			7	
56-60		8		
61-66		9		
67-72		10		
73-78		11		
79-84		12		
85-90		13		
91-94	14			
95-100	15			

**Rye Neck Union Free School District
Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement
(2012-13 School Year Using Data from Acuity/or SLOs)**

% of students meeting the target	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
0-24				0
25-26				1
27-28				2
29-30			3	
31-35			4	
36-40			5	
41-45			6	
46-50			7	
51-55			8	
56-58		9		
59-60		10		
61-63		11		
64-66		12		
67-70		13		
71-74		14		
75-79		15		
80-85		16		
86-90		17		
91-94	18			
95-97	19			
98-100	20			

Conversion Flow Chart – Local 60 Points – with Sample Point Spread

		Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Step 5	Step 6	Step 7	Step 8
HEDI BANDS:									
H = 59-60									
E=57-58									
D=50-56									
I=0-49									
		Relative Value of Each Domain	Relative Value of Each SubDomain as part of the Domain	Evaluator Gives Every Teacher a Rating of 1-4 in Each Subdomain (4=HE, 3=E, 2=D, 1=I)	Weighted Subdomain Scores	Total Domain Score	Weighted Total Domain Score and Compute Total	Average Rubric Score	Rye Neck 60% Conversion Score
Domain 1:		17%							
	A.		16.7%	4	0.67			1	0
	B.		16.7%	3	0.50			1.01	1
	C.		16.7%	3	0.50			1.02	2
	D.		16.7%	3	0.50			1.03	3
	E.		16.6%	3	0.50			1.04	4
	F.		16.6%	3	0.50			1.05	5
			100.0%			3.2	0.54	1.06	6
Domain 2:		33%						1.07	7
	A.		20.0%	3	0.60			1.08	8
	B.		20.0%	3	0.60			1.085	9
	C.		20.0%	3	0.60			1.09	10
	D.		20.0%	4	0.80			1.095	11
	E.		20.0%	4	0.80			1.1	12
			100.0%			3.4	1.12	1.12	13
Domain 3:		33%						1.13	14
	A.		20.0%	3	0.60			1.14	15
	B.		20.0%	4	0.80			1.15	16
	C.		20.0%	3	0.60			1.16	17
	D.		20.0%	2	0.40			1.165	18
	E.		20.0%	3	0.60			1.17	19
			100.0%			3.0	.99	1.175	20
Domain 4:		17%						1.18	21
	A.		16.7%	3	0.50			1.185	22
	B.		16.7%	3	0.50			1.19	23
	C.		16.7%	3	0.50			1.195	24
	D.		16.7%	3	0.50			1.2	25
	E.		16.6%	3	0.50			1.21	26
	F.		16.6%	3	0.50			1.22	27
			100.0%			3.0	0.51	1.23	28
	Total	100%				Evaluation Score: 3.16		1.25	30
						Total Points: 58.4		1.26	31
						Rating: E		1.27	32
								1.28	33

1.285	34
1.29	35
1.295	36
1.3	37
1.31	38
1.32	39
1.33	40
1.34	41
1.35	42
1.36	43
1.37	44
1.38	45
1.385	46
1.39	47
1.395	48
1.4	49
1.5	50
1.6	50.7
1.7	51.4
1.8	52.1
1.9	52.8
2	53.5
2.1	54.2
2.2	54.9
2.3	55.6
2.4	56.3
2.5	57
2.6	57.2
2.7	57.4
2.8	57.6
2.9	57.8
3	58
3.1	58.2
3.2	58.4
3.3	58.6
3.4	58.8
3.5	59
3.6	59.3
3.7	59.5
3.8	59.8
3.9	59.9
4	60

**Rye Neck Union Free School District
SLO Growth Chart
(2012-13 School Year)**

% of students meeting the target	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
0-24				0
25-26				1
27-28				2
29-30			3	
31-35			4	
36-40			5	
41-45			6	
46-50			7	
51-55			8	
56-58		9		
59-60		10		
61-63		11		
64-66		12		
67-70		13		
71-74		14		
75-79		15		
80-85		16		
86-90		17		
91-94	18			
95-97	19			
98-100	20			

**Rye Neck Union Free School District
 Local 15 Measure of Student Achievement
 For Elementary and Middle Schools Principals
 (2012-13 School Year Using Data from Acuity – to be used should the State introduce its Value-
 Added Growth Model during the 2012-13 school year)**

% of students meeting the target	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
0-22				0
23-25				1
26-28				2
29-33			3	
34-38			4	
39-43			5	
44-49			6	
50-55			7	
56-60		8		
61-66		9		
67-72		10		
73-78		11		
79-84		12		
85-90		13		
91-94	14			
95-100	15			

**Rye Neck Union Free School District
 15 POINT ACHIEVEMENT MEASURE FOR THE 2012-13 SCHOOL YEAR
 High School Principal**

% of students graduating	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
0-22				0
23-25				1
26-28				2
29-33			3	
34-38			4	
39-43			5	
44-49			6	
50-55			7	
56-60		8		
61-66		9		
67-72		10		
73-78		11		
79-84		12		
85-90		13		
91-94	14			
95-100	15			

**Rye Neck Union Free School District
Local 20 Measure of Student Achievement
For Elementary and Middle School Principals
(2012-13 School Year Using Data from Acuity/or SLOs)**

% of students meeting the target	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
0-24				0
25-26				1
27-28				2
29-30			3	
31-35			4	
36-40			5	
41-45			6	
46-50			7	
51-55			8	
56-58		9		
59-60		10		
61-63		11		
64-66		12		
67-70		13		
71-74		14		
75-79		15		
80-85		16		
86-90		17		
91-94	18			
95-97	19			
98-100	20			

Rye Neck Union Free School District
20 Point Achievement Measure for the 2012-13 School Year
High School Principal

% of students graduating	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
0-24				0
25-26				1
27-28				2
29-30			3	
31-35			4	
36-40			5	
41-45			6	
46-50			7	
51-55			8	
56-58		9		
59-60		10		
61-63		11		
64-66		12		
67-70		13		
71-74		14		
75-79		15		
80-85		16		
86-90		17		
91-94	18			
95-97	19			
98-100	20			

APPR - Principal Evaluation

Local 60 Points Calculation Spreadsheet - Rye Neck Union Free School District

	Entry by Administrator = H,E,D,I	=Points assigned	Total Possible Points = 100%	Sub-totals		Highly Effective = 100%	Effective = 96.0%	Developing = 82.5%	Ineffective = 0%
Domain 1	H	9.00	9.00	100%		9.00	8.64	7.43	0.00
Discretionary Points (if "I")				9	15%				
Domain 2	H	17.00	17.00	100%		17.00	16.32	14.03	0.00
Discretionary Points (if "I")				17	28%				
Domain 3	E	14.40	15.00	100%		15.00	14.40	12.38	0.00
Discretionary Points (if "I")				15	25%				
Domain 4	I	0.00	9.00	100%		9.00	8.64	7.43	0.00
Discretionary Points (if "I")	I	3.00		9.00	0%				
Domain 5	H	6.00	6.00	100%		6.00	5.76	4.95	0.00
Discretionary Points (if "I")				6.00	10%				
Domain 6	I	0.00	4.00	100%		4.00	3.84	3.30	0.00
Discretionary Points (if "I")	I	1.60		4.00	7%				
Raw Score		49.40		4.00	7%				
Final Total	D	49.00	60.00	60	85%	60.00	57.60	49.50	0 to 49

HEDI BANDS		
0-44	0.00	I
	44.00	I
45-54	45.00	D
	54.00	D
55-58	55.00	E
	58.00	E
59-60	59.00	H
	60.00	H

Note: If a number contains a decimal of .5 or greater it will be rounded up to the nearest whole number, and a decimal of less than .5 it will be rounded down to the nearest whole number to obtain the unit member's Local 60 Point score.

This spreadsheet and the formula underlying the computations herein are subject to Copyright Law Protection and cannot be duplicated, disseminated or modified without the permission of Julie Shaw. This is a confidential document, intended for internal use only, for the purpose of implementing APPR. Copyright © June 2012. All Rights Reserved ®.

ion

TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Name: _____

Building: _____

Date: _____

Probationary Year 1

Probationary Year 2

Probationary Year 3

Tenured

Area(s) for Improvement	Goals & Objectives <i>Teacher will...</i>	Strategies & Supports	Anticipated Outcomes	Completion Date (if applicable)

Progress Monitoring Meetings

Dates	Summary	Next Steps

Upon final evaluation at the terminal date of the TIP, the following has been determined:

TIP Completed **Date of TIP Completion (if applicable)**

TIP Not Completed

Teacher’s Signature*

Date

Administrator’s Signature

Date

* Signature acknowledges receipt of this document, not necessarily agreement with the contents thereof.

PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

(1) AREA(S) IN NEED OF IMPROVEMENT	(2) TIME LIMIT FOR ACHIEVING IMPROVEMENT	(3) DIFFERENTIATED ACTIVITIES TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT	(4) MANNER OF ASSESSMENT OF IMPROVEMENT

Upon final evaluation at the terminal date of the TIP, the following has been determined:

PIP Completed **Date of PIP Completion (if applicable)**

PIP Not Completed

Principal's Signature

Date

Evaluator's Signature

Date

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a TIP or PIP plan, in accordance with the regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with the regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal
- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)

- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the regulation and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- If this APPR Plan is being submitted subsequent to July 1, 2012, assure that this was the result of unresolved collective bargaining negotiations

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date: 8/21/12

Dr. Robert M. ...

Teachers Union President Signature: Date: 8/22/2012

A. ... AS RNTA Presidents Designee

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

N/A NO unit @ 8-22-12

Board of Education President Signature: Date:

B.P. 8/22/12