



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

---

Acting Commissioner of Education  
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111  
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: [commissioner@nysed.gov](mailto:commissioner@nysed.gov)  
Twitter: @NYSEDNews  
Tel: (518) 474-5844  
Fax: (518) 473-4909

June 25, 2015

**Revised**

Dr. Lois Powell, Superintendent  
Spackenkill Union Free School District  
15 Croft Road  
Poughkeepsie, NY 12603

Dear Superintendent Powell:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth R. Berlin  
Acting Commissioner

Attachment

c: Dr. Linda Heitmann

**NOTE:**

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

# Annual Professional Performance Reviews

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Thursday, May 30, 2013

---

## 1

### Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

### 1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

#### 1.1) School District's BEDS Number :

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

131602020000

#### 1.2) School District Name:

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

Spackenkill Union Free School District

#### 1.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.3) Assurances   Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.3) Assurances   Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked

1.3) Assurances | Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval Checked

## 1.4) Submission Status

For BOCES or charter schools that did not have an approved APPR plan for the 2012-13 school year only, is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan? For districts, BOCES or charter schools that did have an approved APPR plan for the 2012-13 school year, this must be listed as a submission of material changes to the approved APPR plan.

Submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan

## 2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 03/25/2015

For guidance on the State Growth or Comparable Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections D, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on [www.EngageNY.org](http://www.EngageNY.org) at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

### Page 1

#### **STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH**

##### **(25 points with an approved value-added measure)**

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided measures, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

#### **2.1) Assurances**

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved.	Checked

#### **STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)**

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

**For core subjects: grade 8 Science, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:**

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

*If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:*

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3<sup>rd</sup> party assessments; or
- District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

**For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:**

- State assessments, *required if one exists*
- List of State-approved 3<sup>rd</sup> party assessments
- District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

**Please note:** If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: "[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment." For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: "GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment."

## 2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	ELA	Assessment
K	3rd party non-"traditional standardized" assessment that meets NYSED guidance requirements	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
1	3rd party non-"traditional standardized" assessment that meets NYSED guidance requirements	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
2	3rd party non-"traditional standardized" assessment that meets NYSED guidance requirements	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Baseline performance data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student growth targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. The 0-20 HEDI points will be assigned based on the uploaded conversion chart in 2.11. When a teacher is using MAP for both the local and state SLO, the whole exam will be used for one and a subcomponent of the test will be used for the other. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth target.  The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher's growth score will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher's growth score will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of the students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher's growth score will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of the student meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher's growth score will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of the students meet the established target.

### 2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Math	Assessment
K	3rd party non-"traditional standardized" assessment that meets NYSED guidance requirements	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
1	3rd party non-"traditional standardized" assessment that meets NYSED guidance requirements	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
2	3rd party non-"traditional standardized" assessment that meets NYSED guidance requirements	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)

	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Baseline performance data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student growth targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. The 0-20 HEDI points will be assigned based on the uploaded conversion chart in 2.11. When a teacher is using MAP for both the local and state SLO, the whole exam will be used for one and a subcomponent of the test will be used for the other. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth target.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher's growth score will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher's growth score will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of the students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher's growth score will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of the student meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher's growth score will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of the students meet the established target.

## 2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	State-approved 3rd party assessment	Measures of Academic Progress (Science))
7	State-approved 3rd party assessment	Measures of Academic Progress (Science))

	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Baseline performance data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student growth targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. The 0-20 HEDI points will be assigned based on the uploaded conversion chart in 2.11. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth target.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher's growth score will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher's growth score will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of the students meet the established target.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher's growth score will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of the student meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher's growth score will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of the students meet the established target.

## 2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Spackenkill UFSD developed 6th grade Social Studies Assessment
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Spackenkill UFSD developed 7th grade Social Studies Assessment
8	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Spackenkill UFSD developed 8th grade Social Studies Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Baseline performance data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student growth targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. The 0-20 HEDI points will be assigned based on the uploaded conversion chart in 2.11. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth target.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of the students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of the student meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of the students meet the established target.

## 2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

		Assessment
Global 1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Spackenkill UFSD developed 9th Grade Global 1 Assessment

	Social Studies Regents Courses	Assessment
Global 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Baseline performance data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student growth targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. The 0-20 HEDI points will be assigned based on the uploaded conversion chart in 2.11. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth target.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of the students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of the student meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of the students meet the established target.

## 2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Physics	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Baseline performance data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student growth targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. The 0-20 HEDI points will be assigned based on the uploaded conversion chart in 2.11. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth target.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of the students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of the student meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of the students meet the established target.

## 2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

NOTE: For Algebra 1 and Geometry, please specify whether your district will be offering the 2005 Learning Standards version of the assessment in addition to the Common Core version, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Baseline performance data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student growth targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. The 0-20 HEDI points will be assigned based on the uploaded conversion chart in 2.11. When both the Common Core Regents Exam and the 2005 Standards Regents Exams are offered, the district may administer both Regents Exams but will administer the Common Core Regents per NYS Guidelines. When students take a Common Core Regents Exam and a 2005 Standards Regents Exam for the same course, the higher scores will be used for teacher evaluations so long as allowed by SED. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth target.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.</p>
---	---

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of the students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of the student meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of the students meet the established target.

## 2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specific ELA assessment
Grade 10 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specific ELA assessment
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	NYS Comprehensive Regents Assessment in English/New York State Common Core Regents

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

NOTE: For Grade 11 ELA, please specify whether your district will be offering the Comprehensive English Regents in addition to the Common Core English Regents, or just the latter, how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	<p>Baseline performance data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student growth targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. The 0-20 HEDI points will be assigned based on the uploaded conversion chart in 2.11. When both the Common Core Regents Exam and the 2005 Standard Regents Exams are offered, the district may administer both Regents Exams but will administer the Common Core Regents per NYS guidelines. When students take a Common Core Regents and a 2005 Standards Regents Exam for the same course, the higher scores will be used for teacher evaluations so long as allowed by SED. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth target.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of the students meet the established target.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of the student meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of the students meet the established target.

## 2.10) All Other Courses

Fill in, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have Student Learning Objectives. If you need additional space, duplicate this form and upload (below) as an attachment to your APPR plan. You may combine into one line any groups of teachers for whom the answers in the boxes are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above". Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see:

<http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, the 2<sup>nd</sup> drop-down option applies to grades 3 and above and the 5<sup>th</sup> drop-down option applies to grades K-2.

	Course(s) or Subject(s)	Option	Assessment
	Art K-6	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific Art Assessment
	Art 7-8	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific Art Assessment
	Art 9-12	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific Art Assessment
	Music K-12	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific Music Assessment
	Computers 6-8	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grade 6-8 Math Assessment
	Family & Computer Science 6-8	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grade 6-8 ELA Assessment
	Physical Education K-5	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific PE Assessment
	Physical Education 6-8	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific PE Assessment
	Physical Education 9-12	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific PE Assessment
	World Languages grade 7	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed grade and subject specificAssessment
	World Languages grade 8	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Dutchess BOCES developed grade and subject specificAssess
	World Languages Level 1	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specific Foreign Language Assesm
	World Languages Level 2	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specific Foreign Language Assessment
	World Languages Level 3	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Dutchess BOCES developed subject specificAssessment
	World Languages Level 4	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specificAssessment

	Technology 6-8	School/BOCES-wide/group/team results based on State	NYS Grade 6-8 Math Assessment
	Participation in Government	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specific Assessment
	Economics	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specific Assessment
	All other teachers not named	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed, course specific assessment
	All grades 4-8 ELA and math teachers not receiving a SPGS	State Assessment	NYS grade and subjectf specific ELA and math assessments

For all other courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for measuring student growth on the assessments listed for this Task.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Baseline performance data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student growth targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. The 0-20 HEDI points will be assigned based on the uploaded conversion chart in 2.11. Teachers using a school-wide measure will be assessed based on the school-wide percentage of students meeting/exceeding their individual growth targets on the State assessments listed. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth target.  The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of the students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of the student meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher's growth score will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of the students meet the established target.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

## 2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12186/512498-TXEttx9bQW/review room 2.11 and 8.2.doc>

## 2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used assigning points to a teacher's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are the following: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, and students in poverty.

(No response)

**2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure**

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

**2.14) Assurances**

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: <a href="http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document">http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document</a> ).	Checked
Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked

Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.

Checked

### 3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 06/22/2015

---

For guidance on the Locally Selected Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections E, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on [www.EngageNY.org](http://www.EngageNY.org) at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

#### Page 1

#### **Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth**

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers.

Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment. Additionally, please provide a brief explanation in the HEDI general description box of why you have listed the grade/course as "Not Applicable" (e.g., district/BOCES does not offer this grade/subject; common branch teacher).

Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: "[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment." For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: "GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment."

NOTE: If your district/BOCES is using the same assessment for both the State growth and other comparable measures subcomponent and the locally-selected measures subcomponent, be sure that a *different* measure of student performance is being used with the assessment (e.g., achievement rather than growth; growth measured in a different manner).

---

#### **LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)**

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

*One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.*

*The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:*

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7<sup>th</sup> grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6<sup>th</sup> grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3<sup>rd</sup> grade ELA or math State assessments)
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment
- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
  - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
  - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

### 3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)
7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: When completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	Baseline achievement data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student growth targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. Percentage of students meeting the target will be converted to a 0-15 HEDI score with value added based on the uploaded chart in task 3.3 or a 0-20 point score in the absence of value-added. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.
--	---

Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher's achievement score for the locally selected measure will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher's achievement score for the locally selected measure will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of the students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher's achievement score for the locally selected measure will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of the student meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher's achievement score for the locally selected measure will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of the students meet the established target.

### 3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Math)
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Math))
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Math)
7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Math)
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Math)

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	Baseline achievement data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student growth targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. Percentage of students meeting the target will be converted to a 0-15 HEDI score with value added based on the uploaded chart in task 3.3 or a 0-20 point score in the absence of value-added. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher's achievement score for the locally selected measure will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher's achievement score for the locally selected measure will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of the students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher's achievement score for the locally selected measure will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of the student meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher's achievement score for the locally selected measure will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of the students meet the established target.

### 3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

```
<a href="https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5139/146759-rhJdBgDruP/Local%20for%20Submission.docx">https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5139/146759-rhJdBgDruP/Local%20for%20Submission.docx</a>
```

### LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

*One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.*

*The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:*

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7<sup>th</sup> grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6<sup>th</sup> grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3<sup>rd</sup> grade ELA or math State assessments)
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment
- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
  - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
  - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

### 3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of

traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	4) Grades K-2: 3rd party non-“traditional standardized” assessment that meets NYSED guidance requirements	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
1	4) Grades K-2: 3rd party non-“traditional standardized” assessment that meets NYSED guidance requirements	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
2	4) Grades K-2: 3rd party non-“traditional standardized” assessment that meets NYSED guidance requirements	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
3	9) Grades 3 and up: State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The locally selected measures will be based on student achievement on the State approved third party assessment as identified for each course. Baseline achievement data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student targets from the assessment that differ from the complete assessment results used for state growth measures, will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. Percentage of students meeting the target will be converted to a 0-20 HEDI score based on the uploaded chart in task 3.13. When a teacher is using MAP for both the local and state SLO, the whole exam will be used for one and a subcomponent of the test will be used for the other. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of students meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of students meet the established target.

### 3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	4) Grades K-2: 3rd party non-“traditional standardized” assessment that meets NYSED guidance requirements	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
1	4) Grades K-2: 3rd party non-“traditional standardized” assessment that meets NYSED guidance requirements	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
2	4) Grades K-2: 3rd party non-“traditional standardized” assessment that meets NYSED guidance requirements	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
3	9) Grades 3 and up: State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Math))

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>The locally selected measures will be based on student achievement on the State approved third party assessment as identified for each course. Baseline achievement data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student targets from specific sub-categories of the assessment that differ from the complete assessment results used for state growth measures, will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. Percentage of students meeting the target will be converted to a 0-20 HEDI score based on the uploaded chart in task 3.13. When a teacher is using MAP for both the local and state SLO, the whole exam will be used for one and a subcomponent of the test will be used for the other.</p> <p>All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.</p>
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of students meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of students meet the established target.

### 3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Science)

7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Science))
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (Science)

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The locally selected measures will be based on student achievement on the State approved third party assessment as identified for each course. Baseline achievement data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student targets from a different sub-test of MAPS than is used for state growth measures, will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. Percentage of students meeting the target will be converted to a 0-20 HEDI score based on the uploaded chart in task 3.13.  All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of students meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of students meet the established target.

### 3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA))
7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA))
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	The locally selected measures will be based on student achievement on the State approved third party assessment as identified for each course. Baseline achievement data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. The 3rd party assessment listed is being administered in these courses. Percentage of students meeting the target will be converted to a 0-20 HEDI score based on the uploaded chart in task 3.13.  All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of students meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student achievement and will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of students meet the established target.

### 3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES—developed assessments	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specific assessment
Global 2	5) District, regional, or BOCES—developed assessments	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specific assessment
American History	5) District, regional, or BOCES—developed assessments	Spackenkill UFSD Developed Course Specific American History Assessment

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>The locally selected measures will be based on student growth on the exam identified for each course. Baseline data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. Percentage of students meeting the target will be converted to a 0-20 HEDI score based on the uploaded chart in task 3.13. In the event that a teacher uses the same assessment for the local subcomponent as the growth subcomponent, the teacher would use the whole test for one measure and a subcomponent of the test for another.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of students meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of students meet the established target.

### 3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	NYS Regents Exam Living Environment
Earth Science	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	NYS Regents Exam Earth Science
Chemistry	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	NYS Regents Exam Chemistry
Physics	3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed locally	NYS Regents Exam Physics

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>The locally selected measures will be based on student growth on the exam identified for each course. Baseline data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. Percentage of students meeting the target will be converted to a 0-20 HEDI score based on the uploaded chart in task 3.13. In the event that a teacher uses the same assessment for the local subcomponent as the growth subcomponent, the teacher would use the whole test for one measure and a subcomponent of the test for another.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.</p>
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of students meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of students meet the established target.

### 3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	5) District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specific assessment
Geometry	5) District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specific assessment
Algebra 2	5) District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specific assessment

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

NOTE: As applicable, for Algebra 1 and Geometry, please specify whether your district will be offering the 2005 Learning Standards version of the assessment in addition to the Common Core version, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>The locally selected measures will be based on student growth on the exam identified for each course. Baseline data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. Percentage of students meeting the target will be converted to a 0-20 HEDI score based on the uploaded chart in task 3.13. In the event that a teacher uses the same assessment for the local subcomponent as the growth subcomponent, the teacher would use the whole test for one measure and a subcomponent of the test for another.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of students meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of students meet the established target.

### 3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the measure that will be used as the locally-selected measure of student achievement. Then name the specific assessment that will be used with the locally-selected measure, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specific assessment
Grade 10 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments	Spackenkill UFSD developed subject specific assessment
Grade 11 ELA	5) District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments	Spackenkill UFSD Developed Course Specific ELA Assessment

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

NOTE: As applicable, please specify whether your district will be offering the Comprehensive English Regents in addition to the Common Core English Regents, or just the latter, and how the HEDI process will be adjusted accordingly.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>The locally selected measures will be based on student growth on the exam identified for each course. Baseline data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. Percentage of students meeting the target will be converted to a 0-20 HEDI score based on the uploaded chart in task 3.13. In the event that a teacher uses the same assessment for the local subcomponent as the growth subcomponent, the teacher would use the whole test for one measure and a subcomponent of the test for another.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of students meet the established target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of students meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of students meet the established target.

### 3.12) All Other Courses

Fill in for additional grades/subjects, as applicable. If you need additional space, complete additional copies of this form and upload (below) as attachments. Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, drop-down option #4 applies to grades 3 and above and drop-down option #8 applies to grades K-2.

	Course(s) or Subject(s)	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
	Art K-6	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific Art Assessment
	Art 7-8	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific Art Assessment
	Art 9-12	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific Art Assessment
	Music K-12	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific Music Assessment
	Physical Education K-12	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed grade specific PE Assessments
	World Languages 7	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific World Language Assessment
	World Languages 8	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	Dutchess BOCES developed course specific World Language Assessment

	World Languages Levels 1, 2, & 4	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific World Language Assessment
	World Languages Level 3	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	Dutchess BOCES developed course specific World Language Assessment
	World Languages Level 5	4) Grades 3 and up: State-approved 3rd party	AP Program Assessment
	Technology 6-8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)
	Participation in Government	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD Developed Course Specific PIG Assessment
	Economics	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD developed course specific ECO Assessment
	Family & Consumer Science 6-8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)
	Computers 6-8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA)
	All other teachers not named	5) District/regional/BOCES-developed	Spackenkill UFSD Developed course specific assessment

For all additional courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	<p>The locally selected measures will be based on student growth on the exam identified for each course. Baseline data will be compiled for each course/class roster. Individual student targets will be set by the teacher and the lead evaluator based upon this data. Percentage of students meeting the target will be converted to a 0-20 HEDI score based on the uploaded chart in task 3.13. In the event that a teacher uses the same assessment for the local subcomponent as the growth subcomponent, the teacher would use the whole test for one measure and a subcomponent of the test for another.</p> <p>The District reserves the right to review all targets and require additional changes and is responsible for ensuring that targets represent one year grade level growth. All targets will be approved by the lead evaluator. Teachers being evaluated using a schoolwide measure will be evaluated based on school wide results on the listed assessments.</p>
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES -adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the highly effective range if 91%-100% of students meet the established target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the effective range if 77%-90% of students meet the established target.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the developing range if 65%-76% of students meet the established target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	The teacher's score for the locally selected measure will be based on student growth and will be in the ineffective range if 0%-64% of students meet the established target.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 3.12: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 3.12. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

### 3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

<a href="https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5139/146759-y92vNseFa4/Local%20for%20Submission%202.docx">https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5139/146759-y92vNseFa4/Local%20for%20Submission%202.docx</a>

### 3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a teacher's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

(No response)

### 3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

Teachers with more than one locally selected measure will have each measure weighted in equivalent proportion to the percentage of students covered by that measure. Normal rounding rules will apply but in no case will rounding allow movement between HEDI bands.

### 3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked

Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Checked

## 4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 03/25/2015

For guidance on the Other Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections H and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on [www.EngageNY.org](http://www.EngageNY.org) at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

### Page 1

#### 4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

Rubric	Danielson's Framework for Teaching
--------	------------------------------------

Second Rubric, if applicable	(No response)
------------------------------	---------------

#### 4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes
-----

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered by the points assignment indicated immediately below (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)
---------------

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	36
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	0
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	0
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	0
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	0

Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	24
--	----

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, label accordingly, and combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

### 4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

Assure that district/BOCES will use survey tool(s) from the State-approved list or approved through the NYSED survey variance process	(No response)
---	---------------

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
District Variance	(No response)
My Student Survey, LLC's Survey of Teacher Practice (STeP) survey for use in grades 3-12	(No response)

### 4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

### 4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Using the Danielson Framework for Teaching, each teacher will be evaluated on each domain throughout the school year. Multiple evidence based classroom observations and evidence of student development and performance through lesson plans, student portfolios, and other artifacts through a structured review process will inform the 60 point portion of the teachers' APPR. This is accomplished by

taking the HEDI rating for each element and averaging the result from each observation to determine the evaluation score for that element. The assignment of points within the rubric will be as follows: Each evaluated element will receive 1 for Ineffective, 2 for Developing, 3 for Effective, 4 for Highly Effective. The scores in each domain (elements that have been evaluated) will be averaged so that each domain will have a score from 1 to 4. Domains 1 and 4 will be evaluated using artifacts and will each be weighted at 20% while Domains 2 and 3 will be evaluated during observations and will each receive a weight of 30% for a total of 100%. The weighted average will be calculated for an overall final score between 1 and 4, then converted to a score between 0 and 60. The rubric score listed on the chart is the minimum score necessary to achieve the corresponding HEDI point value. Normal rounding rules will apply for the other measures of subcomponent scores, but in no case will rounding result in a teacher moving from one scoring band to another.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5091/146761-eka9yMJ855/Sub-Component Conversion Chart.docx>

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	The performance of teachers in the highly effective range is accomplished by achieving a total score of 3.5 or higher. It is an exemplary rating and contributes to the success of the whole school.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	The performance of teachers in the effective range is accomplished by achieving a total score between 2.5 and 3.4. The performance is evidenced in thorough content knowledge, solid understanding of student development, classroom environment that functions smoothly and fosters a culture for learning.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	The performance of teachers in the developing stage is at a basic level in the areas of planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities and is accomplished by achieving a total score of 1.5 through 2.4. The performance may be characterized as being minimally competent and having an understanding of the teaching standards and attempts to implement strategies that may not always be successful. Performance at this level requires additional support in order to fully meet teaching standards.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	Performance of teachers in the ineffective range is at an unsatisfactory level in the areas of planning and preparation, classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities and is accomplished by achieving a total score of 1 through 1.4. The performance may be characterized as not having an understanding of the teaching standards, including student development, classroom management, and assessment strategies and does not fulfill professional responsibilities. Performance at this level requires intervention strategies.

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

#### 4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

Formal/Long	3
Informal/Short	2
Enter Total	5

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

In Person

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

In Person

#### 4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

Formal/Long	1
Informal/Short	2
Total	3

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
-------------	---

Informal/Short

0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

In Person

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

In Person

# 5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Monday, June 03, 2013

---

## Page 1

### **Standards for Rating Categories**

#### **Growth or Comparable Measures**

#### **Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement**

#### **Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)**

#### **Highly Effective**

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

#### **Effective**

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

#### **Developing**

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

#### **Ineffective**

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

The Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

Where there is no Value-Added measure

**Growth or Comparable Measures**  
**Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement**  
**Other Measures of Effectiveness**  
**(60 points)**

**Overall Composite Score**  
**Highly Effective**  
**18-20**  
**18-20**  
**Ranges determined locally--see below**  
**91-100**  
**Effective**  
**9-17**  
**9-17**  
**75-90**  
**Developing**  
**3-8**  
**3-8**  
**65-74**  
**Ineffective**  
**0-2**  
**0-2**  
**0-64**

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

5.2) The scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

Where Value-Added growth measure applies  
**Growth or Comparable Measures**  
**Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement**  
**Other Measures of Effectiveness**  
**(60 points)**

**Overall Composite Score**  
**Highly Effective**  
**22-25**  
**14-15**  
**Ranges determined locally--see above**

**91-100**  
**Effective**  
**10-21**  
**8-13**  
**75-90**  
**Developing**  
**3-9**  
**3-7**  
**65-74**  
**Ineffective**  
**0-2**  
**0-2**  
**0-64**

## 6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 06/22/2015

See NYSED APPR Guidance sections C (APPR Plan Process; Teacher Improvement Plans), J (Evaluators, Training, and Certification, L (Appeals), and M (Data Management). NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on [www.EngageNY.org](http://www.EngageNY.org) at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

### Page 1

#### 6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

#### 6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. All TIP plans must include: 1) identification of needed areas of improvement, 2) a timeline for achieving improvement, 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips. Please be sure to update a document with a form layout, with fillable spaces and not just a narrative.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12193/512502-Df0w3Xx5v6/appeals%20process%20for%20review%20room.doc>

#### 6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review
- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Appeal of Annual Performance Review

Appeal Time Limit

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review
- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures.

Any teacher who receives an overall APPR annual rating of "Ineffective" or "Developing" may appeal the annual performance review to the Superintendent. That appeal must be within 15 calendar days of receiving such written annual performance review and will be responded to in a timely and expeditious manner.

#### Description of Appeal

A teacher may challenge the determination of their APPR pursuant to Section 3012-c of the Education Law.

- a. Only annual evaluations that have an overall rating of "developing" or "ineffective" may be appealed.
- b. Ratings may be appealed in writing to the Superintendent within 15 calendar days of receipt of the completed evaluation. Failure to appeal within this timeframe shall be considered a waiver of the right to appeal.
- c. The appeal must articulate the substantive areas of disagreement over the performance review and must include any documents or materials relevant to the appeal.
- d. A written decision shall be rendered by the Superintendent within 15 calendar days of the filing of the appeal, resulting in an appeals decision taking no more than 30 calendar days from receipt of the evaluation to the decision of the Superintendent
- e. The decision of the superintendent shall be final except when in regards to cases described in section h.
- f. The Superintendent's decision shall address the reasons and factual basis for the determinations found regarding the issues raised in the appeal in a timely and expeditious manner. Copies shall be provided to the teacher, the evaluator and anyone responsible for implementing an improvement plan.
- g. If the appeal is sustained, the Superintendent may set aside the original rating, modify the rating or order a new evaluation.
- h. In the event a tenured teacher receives and unsuccessfully appeals two consecutive ineffective ratings, he/she may appeal the Superintendent's determination on the second consecutive ineffective rating within 15 days of receiving the decision. The appeal shall be conducted by an arbitrator in a timely and expeditious manner, in accordance with the grievance provision set out in the teachers' collective bargaining agreement. The cost of the arbitration will be shared between the district and the STA. The sole issue before the arbitrator shall be whether or not the second ineffective rating accurately reflects the teacher's performance during the period covered.
- i. Nothing herein shall limit the right of a non-tenured teacher to exercise his/her right pursuant to Education Law Section 3031 and bring a grievance charging a procedural violation of the provisions of the collective bargaining agreement and APPR procedures.
- j. In the case that the Commissioner's Regulations, or State Law is repealed or changed, the parties agree to reopen the agreement for the limited purpose of negotiating an alternative procedure.

#### Waiver

Any issues not raised in the appeal shall be deemed waived. Failure to file such appeal timely shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal.

#### District's Response to Appeal

Within 5 calendar days of the District's receipt of the appeal, the administrator who issued the annual performance evaluation of the teacher or teacher improvement plan must submit a detailed written response to the appeal. The response should contain the reasons for the teacher's rating or Teacher Improvement Plan and any documents or materials that support the administrator's annual performance

evaluation of the teacher or Teacher Improvement Plan. Only information submitted with the administrator's response will be considered.

#### Decision of the Superintendent of Schools

The Superintendent shall issue a written decision on the teacher's appeal within 15 calendar days of receipt of the appeal. The decision must set forth the reasons and factual basis for the determination on each specific issue raised in the teacher's appeal and will be done in a timely and expeditious manner.

If the teacher's appeal is sustained, the Superintendent may set aside or modify a rating, which will be done in a timely and expeditious manner.

A copy of the decision shall be provided to the teacher and the administrator responsible for issuing the annual teacher evaluation or teacher improvement plan in a timely and expeditious manner.

#### Exclusive Remedy

This appeals procedure shall constitute the exclusive means for reviewing and resolving any challenge to a teacher's annual performance evaluation. With the exception of subsection H under the description of appeal, the Superintendent's decision shall be final and binding.

### **6.4) Training of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators and Certification of Lead Evaluators**

Describe the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators. Your description must include 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators, 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability, 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

The district will insure that all evaluators are trained as lead evaluators, and are properly trained and certified to complete an individual's performance review. Evaluator training will be conducted by appropriately qualified individuals or entities. Superintendent will certify lead evaluators upon receipt of proper documentation that the individual has fully completed training and will maintain records of certification. Ongoing recertification (10 hrs) of lead evaluators will be provided by Dutchess BOCES.

The district will establish a process to maintain inter-rater reliability over time in according to NYSED guidance and protocols recommended in training for lead evaluators. The district anticipates that these protocols will include measures such as: data analysis, periodic comparisons of assessments, and or annual calibration sessions across evaluators. If and when direct training from Duffy Miller's group is made available to districts, it is the intention of this district to have all lead evaluators partake in that training.

This training will include the following requirements for lead evaluators/evaluators:

NYS Teaching Standards and ISLLC Standards

Evidence Based Observation

Application and Use of Student Growth Percentile and Value Added Growth Model Data

Application and Use of the State Approved Teacher and Principal Rubrics

Application and Use of any assessment tools used to evaluate teachers and principals

Application and Use of State approved locally selected measures of student achievement

Use of state wide instructional reporting system

Scoring methodology used to evaluate teachers and principals

Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of ELL's,SWD's and students living in poverty

During the 2013-2014 school year and thereafter the Spackenkill School District will utilize the services of the Dutchess BOCES and the NYS Education Department's training for lead evaluators of teachers and principals respectively. For new Lead Evaluators and Evaluators, 25 hours of training will be required.

The Superintendent and her designees are trained and certified as lead evaluators according to the NYSED regulations. Lead evaluators will train and certify other evaluators in the district based on the same model. For the 2013-2014 school year and thereafter, all lead evaluators and other evaluators shall be appropriately trained and certified by October 30th of each school year or 60 days after appointment. The district will work to ensure that Lead Evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time and that they are re-certified on an annual basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations, or applicable collective bargaining agreements.

## 6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that all evaluators are properly trained and that lead evaluators, who complete an individual's performance review, will be "certified" to conduct evaluations in the following nine elements:

Checked

- (1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable
- (2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
- (3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart
- (4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice
- (5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.
- (6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals
- (7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
- (8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings
- (9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

Assure that the district will maintain inter-rater reliability of evaluators over time.

Checked

## 6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

### 6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

# 7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 06/22/2015

For guidance on the State Growth or Comparable Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections D, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on [www.EngageNY.org](http://www.EngageNY.org) at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

## Page 1

### 7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth or value-added measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.).

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

	3-5
	6-8
	9-12
	(No response)

### 7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that the value-added growth score(s) provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved	Checked

### 7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessments covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. The district must select the type of assessment that will be used with the SLO from the options below.

- If any grade/course in the building has a State-provided growth measure AND the principal must have SLOs because fewer than 30% of students in the building are covered, then the SLOs will begin first with the SGP/VA results.
- Additional SLOs will then be set based on grades/subjects with State assessments, where applicable.
- If additional SLOs are necessary, principals must begin with the grade(s)/course(s) that have the largest number of students using school-wide student results from one of the following assessment options: State-approved 3rd party or district/regional/BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3<sup>rd</sup> party assessments

First, list the grade configuration of the school or program the SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the type of assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: “[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment.” For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: “GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment.” For State-approved 3rd party assessments, please include the name of the assessment exactly as it appears in RED on the State-approved list. For State assessments or Regents examinations, please indicate as such in the assessment name.

Please note that no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

Please also note that, for students using 3d party assessments in this Task, the 2nd drop-down option applies to grades 3 and above and the 4th drop-down option applies to grades K-2.

	School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment
	k-2	Grades K-2: 3rd party non-“traditional standardized” assessment that meets NYSED guidance requirements	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)
	3-5	State assessment	NYS Grades 3-5 ELA and math assessments
	6-8	State assessment	NYS Grades 6-8 ELA and math assessments
	9-12	State assessment	All applicable NYS Regents assessments

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance. Please describe the process your district is using to measure student growth on the assessments listed for this Task. If applicable, please also include a description of the process for combining the State-provided growth score with the SLO(s) for this Task.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.</p>	<p>Baseline performance data will be compiled for each grade level and the individual growth targets will be set by the principal and the superintendent. Percentage meeting the target will be converted to a 0-20 HEDI score based on the uploaded chart in task 7.3 SLOs will be created for both ELA and math. If the State provides growth scores for the above listed principal(s), and such scores represent less than 30% of the students supervised by that principal, the district will set SLOs for the largest courses in the building until at least 30% of students are covered. Where such courses end in a State assessment, that assessment will be used with the SLO. The State-provided scores will then be weighted proportionately with the SLO result(s) for the final HEDI score for the principal(s). For SLOs, based on historical data, the principal in collaboration with the superintendent will set individual growth targets for each student. A principal will receive a HEDI score based upon the percent of students reaching their targets. The Superintendent has final approval of all growth targets.</p> <p>When both the Common Core Regents Exam and the 2005 Standards Regents Exams are offered, the district may administer both Regents Exams but will administer the Common Core Regents per NYS Guidelines. When students take a Common Core Regents Exam and a 2005 Standards Regents Exam for the same course, the higher scores will be used for teacher evaluations so long as allowed by SED.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>A principal's growth score will be in the highly effective range if 89%-100% of the students meet the established target.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>A principal's growth score will be in the effective range if 69%-88% of the students meet the established target.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>A principal's growth score will be in the developing range if 29%-68% of the students meet the established target.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>A principal's growth score will be in the ineffective range if 0%-28% of the students meet the established target.</p>

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

```
<a href="https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5365/146764-lha0DogRNw/Principal%20Hedi%2020%20.doc">https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5365/146764-lha0DogRNw/Principal%20Hedi%2020%20.doc</a>
```

### 7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a principal's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are the following: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, and students in poverty.

Not applicable

### 7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one State-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

## 7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: <a href="http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document">http://www.engageny.org/resource/student-learning-objectives-guidance-document</a> .	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked
Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Checked
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Checked

## 8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 06/22/2015

For guidance on locally selected measures of student achievement or growth, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections E, F, and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on [www.EngageNY.org](http://www.EngageNY.org) at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

### Page 1

#### **Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth**

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment in the following format: “[Name of your District/Region/BOCES] developed [Grade] [Subject] Assessment.” For example, a BOCES-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: “GVEP-Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Assessment.”

Also note: if your district/BOCES is using the same assessment for both the State growth or other comparable measures subcomponent and the locally-selected measures subcomponents, be sure that a *different* measure of student performance is being used with the assessment (e.g., achievement rather than growth; growth measured in a different manner).

Also note: no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing/>).

#### **8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)**

In the table below, please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal’s students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth or value-added measure (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a measure of growth or achievement from the drop-down menu. As a reminder, the grade configurations/programs listed in Task 8.1 should be the same as those listed in Task 7.1.

*Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.*

*The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:*

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school

- whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8
- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9<sup>th</sup> and/or 10<sup>th</sup> grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9<sup>th</sup> and/or 10<sup>th</sup> grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

	Grade Configuration/Program	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
	3-5	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA and Math)
	6-8	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Measures of Academic Progress (ELA, Math, Science)
	9-12	(e) 4, 5, and/or 6-year high school grad and/or dropout rates	Spackenkill UFSD 4-year Graduation Rate

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	Annual performance targets for student achievement will be set using prior student performance results, within State and local benchmark priorities. Each range of performance targets is associated with a possible score ranging from 0-15 points with value-added or 0-20 points when value-added is not used. These achievement targets will be set by the principal and the superintendent. Percentage of students meeting the target will be converted to a 0-15 HEDI score with value added based on the uploaded chart or a 0-20 point score in the absence of value-added. These achievement targets will be set by the principal and the superintendent using historical data. The 9-12 principal will be assigned points based on the 4-year graduation rate for students entering ninth grade 4 years ago. The Superintendent will have final approval of the target rate to insure a rigorous measure.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see attachment.

Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see attachment.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see attachment.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Please see attachment.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. \(MS Word \)](#)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<a href="https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12190/512504-qBFVOWF7fC/review%20room%208-1.docx">https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12190/512504-qBFVOWF7fC/review%20room%208-1.docx</a>

## 8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations/programs used in your district or BOCES in which the district/BOCES expects that fewer than 30% of students will receive a State-provided growth score (e.g., K-2, K-3, CTE). Then for each grade configuration, select a measure from the drop-down menu. As a reminder, the grade configurations/programs listed in Task 8.2 should be the same as those listed in Task 7.3.

**Note:** Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

**Also note:** no APPR plan shall be approved by the Commissioner for use in the 2014-2015 school year or thereafter that provides for the administration of traditional standardized assessments for use with students in kindergarten through grade two for APPR purposes (see: <http://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-the-approved-regulatory-amendments-to-appr-to-help-reduce-local-testing>).

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8
- student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9<sup>th</sup> and/or 10<sup>th</sup>

grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9<sup>th</sup> and/or 10<sup>th</sup> grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

**Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.**

	Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
	K-2	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	Measures of Academic Progress (Primary Grades)

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

*Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.*

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	Annual performance targets for student growth will be set using prior student performance results, within state and local benchmark priorities. Individual student targets from the assessment that differ from the complete assessment results used for state growth measures, but different from those used in task 7, will be set by the teacher and the principal based upon this data when indicated. Percentage of students meeting the target will be converted to a 0-20 point HEDI score based on the uploaded chart. Targets will be set at 85% reaching target. These growth targets will be set by the principal and the superintendent.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Principal will receive a score in the highly effective range if 89%-100% of students meet the performance target.
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Principal will receive a score in the effective range if 69%-88% of student meet the performance target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Principal will receive a score in the developing range if 29%-68% of students meet the performance target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	Principal will receive a score in the ineffective range if 0%-28% of student meet the performance target.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<a href="https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5366/146765-T8MIGWUVm1/Principal%20Hedi%202020%20.doc">https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5366/146765-T8MIGWUVm1/Principal%20Hedi%202020%20.doc</a>

### 8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in assigning points to a principal's score for this subcomponent, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Not applicable

### 8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

In the case of a principal needing to combine multiple local measures the HEDI scores will be weighted based upon the percentage of students in each category.

### 8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Check
Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check
If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check

Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.	Check
Assure that, as applicable, any third party assessment that is administered to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.	Check

## 9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 06/22/2015

For guidance on the Other Measures subcomponent, see NYSED APPR Guidance sections H and I. NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on [www.EngageNY.org](http://www.EngageNY.org) at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

### Page 1

#### 9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

Rubric	Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric
Second rubric (if applicable)	(No response)

#### 9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the point assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following point assignment for all principals?

Yes
-----

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)
---------------

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	40
---	----

Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents.	20
--	----

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, label accordingly, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 9.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

**9.3) Assurances -- Goals**

Please check the boxes below if assigning any points to "ambitious and measurable goals":

Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	Checked
Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	Checked

**9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)**

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
School visits by other trained evaluators	Checked
Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	Checked

**9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)**

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

Assure that district/BOCES will use survey tool(s) from the State-approved list or approved through the NYSED survey variance process	(No response)
---	---------------

Note: When the State-approved survey list is updated, this list will be updated within the drop-down menu of approved survey tools.

Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey for Teachers	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 3-5) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 6-12) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)

K12 Insight Parent Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Teacher/Staff Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
District variance	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Parent Survey)	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Student Surveys)	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Parent Survey	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Student Survey	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Teacher Survey	(No response)

## 9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

## 9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Through the collective bargaining process each of the six domains of the MPPR have a maximum point value that when combined total 40 points with an additional 20 points assigned for the goal setting portion being rated through the other domain of the MPPR. Through the evaluation process the evaluator will assign points based on observations, evidence of supporting artifacts, and collaborative review for each of the domains and elements in the MPPR resulting in a score ranging from 0-60 points. Elements as rated during multiple observations during the course of the school year will be averaged to determine the final element score. Rounding rules will be used when calculating the 60 points. The evaluation process will include timely and constructive feedback during the school year. The district will adhere to all timelines set by NYS Education Law and Regents Rules. The weighted average of the elements scored will be calculated for an overall final score between 1 and 4, then converted to a score between 0 and 60. Once the score is combined with the State and local growth measures, the total will be rounded to a whole number between 0 and 100. The rubric score listed on the chart is the minimum score necessary to achieve the corresponding HEDI point value. Normal rounding rules will apply for the other measures of subcomponent scores, but in no case will rounding result in a principal moving from one scoring band to another. The 20 points assigned to goals will be assessed based on the MPPR using a review of evidence and school visits by another trained evaluator.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

<a href="https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5143/146766-pMADJ4gk6R/principals%2060%20points%20revised.pdf">https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5143/146766-pMADJ4gk6R/principals%2060%20points%20revised.pdf</a>

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.	Utilizing the MPPR Leadership Evaluation Rubric, the majority of the principals' behaviors and evidence fall into the highly effective column in building and sustaining a culture of high student performance and success. This includes, but is not limited to supportive teacher leaders, student centered learning, involvement of diverse stakeholders, and productive use of data to inform decision making. Principals whose performance is in the highly effective range exceed ISLLC Leadership standards consistently in all domains.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	Utilizing the MPPR Leadership Evaluation Rubric, the majority of the principals' behaviors and evidence fall into the effective column in building and sustaining a culture of high student performance and success. Performance demonstrates a collaborative approach, the use data to assess achievement, and the advocacy for students and staff. Principals whose performance falls in the effective range meet ISLLC Standards in all domains
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	Utilizing the MPPR Leadership Evaluation Rubric, the majority of the principals' behaviors and evidence fall into the developing column in building and sustaining a culture of high student performance. Performance is inconsistent across domains with a fragmented approach and narrow focus. Consequently a number of areas for further development can be identified.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.	Utilizing the MPPR Leadership Evaluation Rubric, the majority of the principals' behaviors and evidence fall into the ineffective column in building and sustaining a culture of high student performance and success with significant areas of improvement identified. Performance is limited and reactionary.

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	55-56
Ineffective	0-54

### 9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

#### Probationary Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	1

By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	3

**Tenured Principals**

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	1
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	3

# 10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Tuesday, April 30, 2013

Updated Friday, June 07, 2013

---

## Page 1

### **Standards for Rating Categories**

#### **Growth or Comparable Measures**

##### **Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement**

##### **Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)**

#### **Highly Effective**

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

#### **Effective**

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

#### **Developing**

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

#### **Ineffective**

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

The Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

Where there is no Value-Added measure

**Growth or Comparable Measures**  
**Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement**  
**Other Measures of Effectiveness**  
**(60 points)**

**Overall Composite Score**  
**Highly Effective**  
**18-20**  
**18-20**  
**Ranges determined locally--see below**  
**91-100**  
**Effective**  
**9-17**  
**9-17**  
**75-90**  
**Developing**  
**3-8**  
**3-8**  
**65-74**  
**Ineffective**  
**0-2**  
**0-2**  
**0-64**

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	55-56
Ineffective	0-54

10.2) The scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

Where Value-Added growth measure applies  
**Growth or Comparable Measures**  
**Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement**  
**Other Measures of Effectiveness**  
**(60 points)**

**Overall Composite Score**  
**Highly Effective**  
**22-25**

**14-15**

**Ranges determined locally--see above**

**91-100**

**Effective**

**10-21**

**8-13**

**75-90**

**Developing**

**3-9**

**3-7**

**65-74**

**Ineffective**

**0-2**

**0-2**

**0-64**

# 11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 06/22/2015

See NYSED APPR Guidance sections C (APPR Plan Process; Principal Improvement Plans), J (Evaluators, Training, and Certification, L (Appeals), and M (Data Management). NYSED APPR Guidance is posted on [www.EngageNY.org](http://www.EngageNY.org) at <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations/>.

## Page 1

### 11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

### 11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. All PIP plans must include: 1) identification of needed areas of improvement, 2) a timeline for achieving improvement, 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips. Please be sure to update a document with a form layout, with fillable spaces and not just a narrative.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/5276/146768-Df0w3Xx5v6/PIP.doc>

### 11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review
- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Spackenkill UFSD  
Principal APPR Appeal Process  
Description of Appeal

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review
- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures.

A principal may challenge the determination of their APPR within 15 days of receipt pursuant to Section 3012-c of the Education Law and will be handled in a timely and expeditious manner.

a. Only the following annual evaluations may be appealed:

- the first ineffective rating for any principal, probationary or tenured;
- the second ineffective rating for any tenured principal;
- the second developing rating for any principal, probationary or tenured.

b. The Superintendent's designee (hearing officer) (who will be selected within 15 days of the Superintendent's receipt of the appeal from a pre-approved list) shall respond to the appeal with a written answer. The designee shall have the right to grant or deny the appeal in whole or in part. Such decision shall be made within 30 calendar days of the Superintendent's receipt of the appeal. The decision of the Superintendent's designee, so long as the decision is made within the timeframe set forth in this paragraph, shall be final and binding in all regards and shall not be subject to review at arbitration, before any administrative agency or in any court of law. If the decision is not made within the timeframe set forth, the principal may request arbitration, which will be completed in a timely and expeditious manner in compliance with education law section 3012c.

c. The appeal must articulate the substantive areas of disagreement over the performance review and must include any documents or materials relevant to the appeal.

d. The burden of proof shall rest with the principal.

e. A written decision shall be rendered by the Hearing Officer (Superintendent's designee) within 30 days of the filing of the appeal. The decision shall address the reasons and factual basis for the determinations found regarding the issues raised in the appeal. Copies shall be provided to the principal, the evaluator and anyone responsible for implementing an improvement plan.

f. The District shall bear the cost of the Hearing Officer (Superintendent's designee).

g. If the appeal is sustained, the Superintendent will set aside the original rating, and will modify the rating according to the appeal.

h. Nothing herein shall limit the right of a non-tenured principal to exercise his/her right pursuant to Education Law Section 3031 and bring a grievance charging a procedural violation of the provisions of the collective bargaining agreement and APPR procedures.

#### **11.4) Training of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators and Certification of Lead Evaluators**

Describe the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators. Your description must include 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators, 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability, 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

The district will insure that all evaluators are trained as lead evaluators, and are properly trained and certified to complete an individual's performance review. Evaluator training will be conducted by appropriately qualified individuals or entities. Superintendent will certify lead evaluators upon receipt of proper documentation that the individual has fully completed training and will maintain records of certification. A minimum of 10 hours of recertification training will be provided and required for all Lead Evaluators on an annual basis.

The district will establish a process to maintain inter-rater reliability over time in according with NYSUT guidance and protocols

recommended in training for lead evaluators. The district anticipates that these protocols will include measures such as: data analysis, periodic comparisons of assessments, and or annual calibration sessions across evaluators. Initial training and certification will be provided by TLS. This training will include the following requirements for lead evaluators/evaluators:

NYS Teaching Standards and ISLLC Standards

Evidence Based Observation

Application and Use of Student Growth Percentile and Value Added Growth Model Data

Application and Use of the State Approved Teacher and Principal Rubrics

Application and Use of any assessment tools used to evaluate teachers and principals

Application and Use of State approved locally selected measures of student achievement

Use of state wide instructional reporting system

Scoring methodology used to evaluate teachers and principals

Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of ELL's,SWD's and students living in poverty

During the 2013-2014 school year and thereafter the Spackenkill School District will utilize the services of the Dutchess BOCES and the NYS Education Department's training for lead evaluators of teachers and principals respectively when sessions are offered. New Lead Evaluators and Evaluators will be required to attend 25 hours of training.

The Superintendent and her designees will be trained and certified as lead evaluators according to NYSED regulations to insure consistency and defensibility. Lead evaluators will train and certify other evaluators in the district based on the same model. For the 2012-2013 school year and thereafter, all lead evaluators and other evaluators shall be appropriately trained and certified by October 30th of each school year or 60 days after appointment. The district will work to ensure that Lead Evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time and that they are re-certified on an annual basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations, or applicable collective bargaining agreements.

### 11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

Assure that all evaluators are properly trained and that lead evaluators, who complete an individual's performance review, will be "certified" to conduct evaluations in the following nine elements:	Checked
---	---------

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

Assure that the district will maintain inter-rater reliability of evaluators over time.	Checked
---	---------

**11.6) Assurances -- Principals**

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

**11.7) Assurances -- Data**

Please check all of the boxes below:

Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

## 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created: 04/30/2013

Last updated: 04/29/2015

---

### Page 1

#### 12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: [APPR District Certification Form](#). Please note that Review Room timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision.

<https://NYSED-APPR2.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/12158/512508-3Uqgn5g9lu/2015APPR%20Certification%20Form.pdf>

---

#### File types supported for uploads

- PDF (preferred)
- Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)
- Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)
- Open Office (.odt, .ott)
- Images (.jpg, .gif)
- Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

20% Growth

<b>HE</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>
97- 100	94- 96	91- 93	88- 90	86- 87	83- 85	82	81	80	79

<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
78	77	75- 76	73- 74	71- 72	69- 70	67- 68	65- 66	57- 64	46- 56	0- 45

20% Local

<b>HE</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>
97-100	94-96	91-93	88-90	86-87	83-85	82	81	80	79

<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
78	77	75-76	73-74	71-72	69-70	67-68	65-66	57-64	46-56	0-45

15% Value Added Local

<b>HE</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
96-100	91-95	89-90	86-88	83-85	80-82	78-79	77	73-76	71-72	69-70	67-68	65-66	57-64	46-56	0-45

20% Local

<b>HE</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>
97-100	94-96	91-93	88-90	86-87	83-85	82	81	80	79

<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
78	77	75-76	73-74	71-72	69-70	67-68	65-66	57-64	46-56	0-45

### Rubric Score to Sub-Component Conversion Chart

Total Average Rubric Score	Category	Conversion score for composite
	<b>Ineffective 0-49</b>	
1.000		0
1.008		1
1.017		2
1.025		3
1.033		4
1.042		5
1.050		6
1.058		7
1.067		8
1.075		9
1.083		10
1.092		11
1.100		12
1.108		13
1.115		14
1.123		15
1.131		16
1.138		17
1.146		18
1.154		19
1.162		20
1.169		21
1.177		22
1.185		23
1.192		24
1.200		25
1.208		26
1.217		27
1.225		28
1.233		29
1.242		30
1.250		31
1.258		32
1.267		33
1.275		34
1.283		35
1.292		36
1.300		37
1.308		38
1.317		39
1.325		40
1.333		41
1.342		42
1.350		43
1.358		44
1.367		45
1.375		46

1.383		47
1.392		48
1.400		49
<b>Developing 50-56</b>		
1.5		50
1.6		50.7
1.7		51.4
1.8		52.1
1.9		52.8
2		53.5
2.1		54.2
2.2		54.9
2.3		55.6
2.4		56.3
<b>Effective 57-58</b>		
2.5		57
2.6		57.2
2.7		57.4
2.8		57.6
2.9		57.8
3		58
3.1		58.2
3.2		58.4
3.3		58.6
3.4		58.8
<b>Highly Effective 59-60</b>		
3.5		59
3.6		59.3
3.7		59.5
3.8		59.8
3.9		60
4		60.25 (round to 60)

**Part III**  
**Criteria, Procedures, Recording Review Results**

**Teachers Encountering Difficulty**  
**(Teacher Improvement Plan – “TIP”)**

Teachers who are not performing at the overall “Effective” or “Highly Effective” level will have a Teacher Improvement Plan. This plan is designed to assist teachers. It is intended to support the teacher and move the teacher to the “Effective” and “Highly Effective” level.

Any teacher who was rated overall “Developing” or “Ineffective” on their annual professional performance review shall co-operate with the formulation and implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 days after the start of the school year.

A specific written plan will be developed which includes:

- Identification of needed areas of improvement
- Growth-promoting goals that are specific, measurable, action-oriented, realistic and time-bound
- Strategies for improving performance (examples may include, but are not limited to the following):
  - a. Retraining program/skill building training
  - b. Peer assistance/mentoring program
  - c. Intensive supervision
- Time lines
- Indicators of progress
- Resources and support needed
- Identification of Teacher Responsibilities
- Identification of Administrator Responsibilities

A form will be used by the district (Appendix F).

1. All costs associated with the implementation of a TIP including, but not limited to, tuition, fees, books, and travel shall be borne by the district in their entirety. No disciplinary action predicated upon ineffective performance shall be taken by the district against a teacher until a TIP has been fully implemented and its effectiveness in improving the teacher's performance has been evaluated. No disciplinary action for pedagogical incompetence shall be taken by the district against a teacher predicated upon an ineffective rating who has met the performance expectations set by a TIP; however, nothing shall be construed to restrict or limit the district's right to deny tenure, or to otherwise terminate a probationary teacher for constitutionally or statutorily permissible reasons other than the performance that is the subject of the pending appeal.
4. Upon reviewing the staff member's progress, one of the following recommendations will be made:
  - The teacher has achieved an “Effective” or “Highly Effective” rating on the next year's APPR.
  - The staff member achieves a “Developing” rating on the next year's APPR, so remains in the Teacher Improvement Plan with revised goals and time lines.

- A teacher maintains an “Ineffective” rating, and remains in the Teacher Improvement Plan with revised goals and time lines. However, a teacher demonstrating a “pattern of ineffective teaching,” defined as two consecutive “Ineffective” ratings, may be charged with incompetence with the District initiating expedited proceedings to discipline or remove the teacher under Education Law Section 3020a.
- Non-Tenured Teachers who are not achieving “Effective” or “Highly Effective” ratings after their first and/or second year may be subject to dismissal in keeping with education law. At no time will non-tenured staff be afforded additional rights to a position other than is legally allowed by education law.

A memo outlining the recommendation shall be provided to the staff member, the Superintendent, and Personnel file.

**Procedures to Ensure That all Personnel are Acquainted With Review Procedures**

- a. A copy of the Annual Professional Performance Review Process will be provided by administration to all personnel affected by the review process.
- b. The Annual Professional Performance Review Process will be reviewed at faculty meetings.
- c. The District will provide a copy of the book “Enhancing Professional Practice” by requiring that each faculty member signs a copy out of the school library and returns it at the end of each school year.

**Procedure to Ensure all Individuals Have an Opportunity for Written Feedback Regarding Their Annual Professional Performance Reviews**

All staff affected by the APPR Process will have an opportunity to provide written feedback in the following manner:

- a. An opportunity for written comments on the classroom observation reports
- b. An opportunity for written comments on the Summative Evaluation Form
- c. The superintendent and the leadership of the Spackenkill Teachers’ Association agree to amend the APPR, as necessary, based upon litigation surrounding the APPR at the state level, changes to New York State Educational Law, New York State Law, and/or local considerations that impact the member of the Spackenkill Teachers’ Association.

**Appendix F**

Spackenkill UFSD TIP Form

Name of teacher: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of principal: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Initial TIP \_\_\_\_\_ Second TIP \_\_\_\_\_

1. Areas of improvement based on the District's agreed upon Evaluation Form
2. List growth-promoting goals that are specific, measurable, action-oriented, realistic and time-bound
3. List strategies to be used for the improvement of performance (ex. Retraining or skill building training, peer assistance/mentoring program, intensive supervision, etc.)
4. List specific time lines for checking in with principal regarding progress and evidence
5. List indicators of progress
6. List resources and support needed
7. List specific teacher responsibilities
8. List specific administrator responsibilities

Signature of Teacher: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Principal: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

20% Growth and Local

<b>HE</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>
97- 100	93- 96	89- 92	86- 88	84- 85	82- 83	80- 81	78- 79	76- 77	74- 75

<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
72- 73	69- 71	65- 68	60- 64	55- 59	50- 54	40- 49	29- 39	17- 28	5- 16	0-4

Measures of Academic Progress: Grades 3-5, 6-8

20% Local

<b>HE</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>
97-100	94-96	91-93	88-90	86-87	83-85	82	81	80	79

<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
78	77	75-76	73-74	71-72	69-70	67-68	65-66	57-64	46-56	0-45

15% Local

<b>HE</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
96-100	91-95	89-90	86-88	83-85	80-82	78-79	77	73-76	71-72	69-70	67-68	65-66	57-64	46-56	0-45

Graduation Rate: Grades 9-12

The graduation rate target will be will be set by the principal and the superintendent using historical data. Points will be assigned based on the percentage above or below the graduation rate target. The graduation rate target, represented by 0% difference, will be placed at 15 points on the 20-point scale, and 11 points on the 15-point scale.

<b>HE</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>
More than 2.0% greater than the target	1.6% to 2.0% > than the target	1.1% to 1.5% > than the target	.6% to 1.0% > than the target	Up to .5% > than the target	0	Up to .2% < than the target	.3% or .4% < than the target	.5% or .6% < than the target	.7% or .8% < than the target

<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
.9% or 1.0% < than the target	1.1% or 1.2% < than the target	1.3% or 1.4% < than the target	1.5% or 1.6% < than the target	1.7% or 1.8% < than the target	1.9% or 2.0% < than the target	2.1% or 2.2% < than the target	2.3% or 2.4% < than the target	2.5% or 2.6% < than the target	2.7% to 3.0% < than the target	More than 3.0% < than the target

15% Local

<b>HE</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>
More than 2.0% greater than the target	1.5% to 2.0% > than the target	.7% to 1.4% > than the target	Up to .6% > than the target	0	Up to .2% < than the target	.3% or .4% < than the target	.5% or .6% < than the target

<b>D</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
.7% or .8% < than the target	.9% or 1.0% < than the target	1.1% to 1.5% < than the target	1.6% to 2.0% < than the target	2.1% to 2.3% < than the target	2.4% to 2.7% < than the target	2.8% or 3.0% < than the target	More than 3.0% < than the target

20% Growth and Local

<b>HE</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>
97- 100	93- 96	89- 92	86- 88	84- 85	82- 83	80- 81	78- 79	76- 77	74- 75

<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
72- 73	69- 71	65- 68	60- 64	55- 59	50- 54	40- 49	29- 39	17- 28	5- 16	0-4

## **Point Calculation for the MPPR**

Calculation Composite Score Points

*Calculation  
Composite  
Score for  
60 Points*

	(H4)	(E3)	(D2)	(I1)	Score	x Weight	=Point
<b>Domain 1: Shared Vision of Learning</b>							
1a) Culture					0	4	0
1b) Sustainability					0	2	0
<b>Domain 2: School Culture and Instructional Program</b>							
2a) Culture					0	4	0
2b) Instructional Program					0	8	0
2c) Capacity Building					0	6	0
2d) Sustainability					0	2	0
2e) Strategic Planning					0	2	0
<b>Domain 3: Safe, Efficient, Effective Learning Environment</b>							
3a) Capacity Building					0	2	0
3b) Culture					0	1	0
3c) Sustainability					0	1	0
3d) Instructional Program					0	1	0
<b>Domain 4: Community</b>							
4a) Strategic Planning					0	1	0
4b) Culture					0	1	0
4c) Sustainability					0	1	0
<b>Domain 5: Integrity, Fairness, Ethics</b>							
5a) Sustainability					0	1	0
5b) Culture					0	1	0

**Domain 6: Political, Social, Economic,  
Legal and Cultural Context**

6a) Sustainability	0	1	0
6b) Culture	0	1	0

**Total Points for Domains 1-6 MPPR  
(out of 160 points)**

**Other: Goal Setting and Attainment – 2 Goals (based on an examination of data)**      H (4)      E (3)      D(2)      I(1)      Score      x Weight      =Point

Goal 1: Uncovering Goals	0	2.5	0
Goal 1: Strategic Planning	0	2.5	0
Goal 1: Taking Action	0	2.5	0
Goal 1: Evaluating Attainment	0	2.5	0
Goal 2: Uncovering Goals	0	2.5	0
Goal 2: Strategic Planning	0	2.5	0
Goal 2: Taking Action	0	2.5	0
Goal 2: Evaluating Attainment	0	2.5	0

**Total Points for 2 goals (out of 80 points)**      0

**Total Points for Domains 1-6 MPPR  
and 2 Goals (out of 240 points divided  
by 60 is converted to a 1-4 score)**      0

**Conversion to 1-4 Score**      0

Total Average Rubric Score	Category	Conversion score for composite
<b>Ineffective 0-54</b>		
1		0
1.007		1
1.015		2
1.022		3

1.030		4
1.037		5
1.044		6
1.052		7
1.059		8
1.067		9
1.074		10
1.081		11
1.089		12
1.096		13
1.104		14
1.111		15
1.119		16
1.126		17
1.133		18
1.141		19
1.148		20
1.156		21
1.163		22
1.170		23
1.178		24
1.185		25
1.193		26
1.200		27
1.207		28

1.215		29
1.222		30
1.230		31
1.237		32
1.244		33
1.252		34
1.259		35
1.267		36
1.274		37
1.281		38
1.289		39
1.296		40
1.304		41
1.311		42
1.319		43
1.326		44
1.333		45
1.341		46
1.348		47
1.356		48
1.363		49
1.370		50
1.378		51
1.385		52
1.393		53

1.400		54
<b>Developing 55-56</b>		
1.5		55
1.6		55.2
1.7		55.4
1.8		55.6
1.9		55.8
2		56
2.1		56.2
2.2		56.4
2.3		56.6
2.4		56.8
<b>Effective 57-58</b>		
2.5		57
2.6		57.2
2.7		57.4
2.8		57.6
2.9		57.8
3		58
3.1		58.2
3.2		58.4
3.3		58.6
3.4		58.8
<b>Highly Effective 59-60</b>		

3.5		59
3.6		59.3
3.7		59.5
3.8		59.8
3.9		60
4		60.25 (round to 60)

40 of the 60 points assigned to this subcomponent shall be based on a broad assessment of the principal’s leadership and management actions by the superintendent.

Domain 1-6 40 points – Supervisory visits, APPR Annual Conference & Collection of Evidence

The remaining twenty (20) points shall be based on the results of the two (2) ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with the principal and the superintendent. At least one goal must address the principal’s contribution to improving teacher effectiveness. Any other goals shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school’s learning environment resulting from the principal’s leadership and commitment to their own professional growth.

<b>Element</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>Goal #1</b> Principal contribution to improving teacher effectiveness <b>10 Points</b>	Each principal will compose an annual SMART goal related to the principals contribution to improving teach effectiveness. The goal will be based on an examination of data, and will include actions/strategies the principal will undertake to achieve the goal. Progress on the goal will be reviewed at the end-of-the year annual APPR conference.
<b>Goal #2</b> Quantifiable & verifiable improvements in academic results or the school’s learning environment <b>10 Points</b>	Each principal will compose an annual SMART goal related to quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results and/or improvement in the school’s learning environment. The goal will be based on an examination of data, and will include actions/strategies the principal will undertake to achieve the goal. Progress on the goal will be reviewed at the end-of-year annual APPR conference.

## **SECTION V: IMPROVEMENT PLAN**

### **Spackenkill UFSD**

#### **Principal Improvement Plan Process**

Upon rating a principal as ineffective or developing, an improvement plan designed to rectify perceived or demonstrated deficiencies must be developed and commenced no later than ten (10) school days after the start of a school year. The superintendent, in conjunction with the principal, must develop an improvement plan that contains:

1. A clear delineation of the deficiencies that resulted in the ineffective or developing assessment.
2. Specific improvement goal/outcome statements.
3. Specific improvement action steps/activities.
4. A reasonable time line for achieving improvement including interval meetings with the Superintendent.
5. Required and accessible resources to achieve goal, for example, frequent meetings with the supervisor, professional development, and/or mentoring.
6. A formative evaluation process documenting meetings strategically scheduled throughout the year to assess progress. These meetings shall occur at least four times during the year: the first between November 1<sup>st</sup> and December 1<sup>st</sup>, the second between January 1<sup>st</sup> and February 1<sup>st</sup>, the third between March 1<sup>st</sup> and April 1<sup>st</sup> and the fourth between April 15<sup>th</sup> and May 15<sup>th</sup>. A written summary of feedback on progress shall be given within 5 business days of each meeting.
7. A clear manner in which improvement efforts will be assessed, including evidence demonstrating improvement.
8. A formal, final written summative assessment delineating progress made with an opportunity for comments by the principal by June 15<sup>th</sup>.

## Spackenkill UFSD Principal Improvement Plan

Name of Principal \_\_\_\_\_

School Building \_\_\_\_\_ Academic Year \_\_\_\_\_

Deficiency that promulgated the “ineffective” or “developing” performance rating:

Improvement Goal/Outcome:

Action Steps/Activities:

Timeline for completion:

Required and Accessible Resources, including identification of responsibility for provision:

Dates of formative evaluation on progress (lead evaluator and principal initial each date to confirm the meeting):

November:

January:

March:

May:

Evidence to be provided for Goal Achievement:

Assessment Summary: Superintendent is to attach a narrative summary of improvement progress, including verification of the provision of support and resources as outlined above no later than 10 days after the identified completion date. Such summary shall be signed by the superintendent and principal with the opportunity for the principal to attach comments.

## **DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form**

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan will be returned or forfeited to the State pursuant to Chapter 57 of the Laws of 2012 and/or 2013, as applicable.

### **The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:**

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR Plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) or Principal Improvement Plan (PIP), in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal

- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- Assure that any third party assessment that is administered for use to students in kindergarten, first, or second grade, and being used for APPR purposes, is consistent with the State's APPR Assessment Guidance and is not a traditional standardized assessment.

**Signatures, dates**

Superintendent Signature:    Date:

*Wes C. Powell*      4/28/15

Teachers Union President Signature:    Date:

*Chris M...*      4/28/15

Administrative Union President Signature:    Date:

*Wanda...*      4/28/15

Board of Education President Signature:    Date:

*John Z...*      4-28-15

**For APPR plans submitted to the Commissioner on or after March 2, 2014 for use in the 2014-15 school year and thereafter the school district or BOCES also makes the following specific assurance with respect to their APPR plan:**

Pursuant to Section 30-2.3(a)(4) of the Rules of the Board of Regents, the Superintendent, District Superintendent or Chancellor attests that for the 2014-15 school year and thereafter the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for the grade; and the amount of time devoted to test preparation using traditional standardized assessments under standardized testing conditions for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, performance assessments, formative assessments, and diagnostic assessments is not included in this calculation. Additionally, these calculations do not supersede the requirements of a section of the 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program (IEP) of a student with a disability.

Superintendent / District Superintendent / Chancellor Signature:      Date:

Wais C. Powell      4/28/15