



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Ave., Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@mail.nysed.gov
Twitter: @JohnKingNYSED
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

July 2, 2013

Dr. Charles Khoury, Superintendent
Ulster County BOCES
175 Route 32 North
New Paltz, NY 12561

Dear Superintendent Khoury:


Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved for the 2012-2013 school year. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,



John B. King, Jr.
Commissioner

Attachment

NOTES: If your district/BOCES has provided for value-added measures (15 points vs. 20 points scale and categorization of your district/BOCES's grade configurations) in your APPR and no value-added measures are approved by the Board of Regents for a grade/subject and/or grade configuration for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly. Conversely, if your district/BOCES has not provided for value-added measures in your district/BOCES's APPR submission and value-added measures are approved for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Reviews: 2012-13

Created Wednesday, August 08, 2012

Updated Friday, May 10, 2013

1

Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

1.1) School District's BEDS Number : 629000000000

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

629000000000

1.2) School District Name: ULSTER BOCES

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

ULSTER BOCES

1.3) School Improvement Grant (SIG) Districts Only

SIG districts only: Indicate whether this APPR plan is for SIG schools only or for the entire district. Other districts and BOCES, please skip this question.

Not applicable

1.4) Award Classification

Please check if the district has applied for and/or has been awarded any of the following (if applicable):

(No response)

1.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.5) Assurances Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

1.6) Is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan?

Re-submission to address deficiencies

1.7) Is this submission for an annual or multi-year plan?

If the plan is multi-year, please write the years that are included.

Annual (2012-13)

2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created Wednesday, August 08, 2012

Updated Friday, June 28, 2013

Page 1

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

(25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have state-provided measures, some may teach other courses in addition where there is no state-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects for 2012-13, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

2.1) Assurances Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
2.1) Assurances Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13.	Checked

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For core subjects: grades 6-8 Science and Social Studies, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*
If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or

District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	ELA	Assessment
K	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES ELA Developed Grade K
1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES Developed ELA Grade 1
2	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES Developed ELA Grade 2

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.

Each Administrator will consult with each teacher he/she supervises. Teacher and administrator will review baseline student data from the Ulster BOCES baseline assessment and other varied sources. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively. HEDI points will be assigned based upon the

	percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85 - 100% of students meet target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 - 84% of students meet the target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of students meet the target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0 - 15% of students meet the target

2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	Math	Assessment
K	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES developed Math Kindergarten
1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES developed Math Grade 1
2	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES developed Math Grade 2

	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each Administrator will consult with each teacher he/she supervises. Teacher and administrator will review baseline student data from the Ulster BOCES baseline assessment and other varied sources. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively . HEDI points will be assigned based upon the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of students meet target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 - 84% of students meet the target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of students meet the target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0- 15% of students meet the target

2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES developed Science Grade 6
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES developed Science Grade 7

	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each Administrator will consult with each teacher he/she supervises. Teacher and administrator will review baseline student data from the Ulster BOCES baseline assessment and other varied sources. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively . HEDI points will be assigned based upon the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of students meet target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 - 84% of students meet the target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of students meet the target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-15% of students meet the target

2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES Developed Social Studies Grade 6
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES Developed Social Studies Grade 7
8	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES Developed Social Studies Grade 8

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each Administrator will consult with each teacher he/she supervises. Teacher and administrator will review baseline student data from the Ulster BOCES baseline assessment and other varied sources. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively . HEDI points will be assigned based upon the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth targets.
---	--

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of students meet target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 - 84% of students meet the target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of students meet the target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-15% of students meet the target

2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

		Assessment
Global 1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES developed Global 1 Assessment

Social Studies Regents Courses		Assessment
Global 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each Administrator will consult with each teacher he/she supervises. Teacher and administrator will review baseline student data from the Ulster BOCES baseline assessment and other varied sources. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively . HEDI points will be assigned based upon the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of students meet target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 - 84% of students meet the target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of students meet the target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-15% of students meet the target

2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Not applicable	Not applicable
Physics	Not applicable	Not applicable

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each Administrator will consult with each teacher he/she supervises. Teacher and administrator will review baseline student data from the Ulster BOCES baseline assessment and other varied sources. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively . HEDI points will be assigned based upon the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of students meet target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 -84% of students meet the target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of students meet the target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 0-15% of students meet the target

2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each Administrator will consult with each teacher he/she supervises. Teacher and administrator will review baseline student data from the Ulster BOCES baseline assessment and other varied sources. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively . HEDI points will be assigned based upon the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of students meet target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 - 84% of students meet the target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of students meet the target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 0-15% of students meet the target

2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES Developed Assessment ELA Grade 9
Grade 10 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Ulster BOCES Developed Assessment ELA Grade 10
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	Comprehensive Regents English Assessment

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each Administrator will consult with each teacher he/she supervises. Teacher and administrator will review baseline student data from the Ulster BOCES baseline assessment and other varied sources. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively . HEDI points will be assigned based upon the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of students meet target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 - 84% of students meet the target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of students meet the target

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 0-15% of students meet the target
--	---

2.10) All Other Courses

Fill in, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have Student Learning Objectives. If you need additional space, duplicate this form and upload (below) as an attachment to your APPR plan. You may combine into one line any groups of teachers for whom the answers in the boxes are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above" .

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Option	Assessment
All CTE courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Ulster BOCES developed CTE Career Readiness Assessment
K-12 Physical Education	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Ulster BOCES Developed Physical Education K-12
K-12 Art	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Ulster BOCES Developed Art K-12
Technical Math	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Ulster BOCES developed CTE Career Readiness Assessment
Technical Science	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Ulster BOCES developed CTE Career Readiness Assessment
Health	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Ulster BOCES Developed Physical Education K-12

For all other courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	Each Administrator will consult with each teacher he/she supervises. Teacher and administrator will review baseline student data from the Ulster BOCES baseline assessment and other varied sources. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively . HEDI points will be assigned based upon the percentage of students meeting or exceeding their growth targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of students meet target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 - 84% of students meet the target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of students meet the target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 0-15% of students meet the target

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. (MS Word)

(No response)

2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5364/160703-TXEttx9bQW/Attachment 2.11 - Student Growth on State Assessments or Other Comparable Measures_2.doc

2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

(No response)

2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

2.14) Assurances Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html).	Checked

2.14) Assurances Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created Friday, August 17, 2012

Updated Tuesday, July 02, 2013

Page 1

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers. Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment.

.Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers. The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher’s students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students’ level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students’ performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher’s students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students’ performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher’s students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause

- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
 - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	Baseline assessment will be administered in the first month of school. Teachers in consultation with the administrator will develop individual growth targets based upon prior performance and baseline data. The total number of student who meet or exceed their targeted scores on the end-of-the-year i-Ready administration will be noted and converted to a percentage. That percentage will yield a HEDI score 0-20 or 0-15 based on the following conversions. Percentiles will be converted to a scale score of 0-20 (when value added is adopted will convert to 0-15). Teachers can achieve all scale points 0-20.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of his/her students meet the local target
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53-84% of his/her students meet the local target
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 15-52% of his/her students meet the local target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-14% of his/her students meet the local target

3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
5	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
6	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
7	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
8	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	Baseline assessment will be administered in the first month of school. Teachers in consultation with the administrator will develop individual growth targets based upon prior performance and baseline data. The total number of student who meet or exceed their targeted scores on the end-of-the-year i-Ready administration will be noted and converted to a percentage. That percentage will yield a HEDI score 0-20 or 0-15 based on the following conversions. Percentiles will be converted to a scale score of 0-20 (when value added is adopted will convert to 0-15). Teachers can achieve all scale points 0-20.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of his/her students meet the local target
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 -84% of his/her students meet the local target
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 15-52% of his/her students meet the local target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-14% of his/her students meet the local target

3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5139/164391-rhJdBgDruP/Attachment 3.3 - Local Measures for Teachers - 20 Point and 15 Point Charts.doc

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers. The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall

be determined locally

3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above

4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:

(i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
1	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
2	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
3	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this

Baseline assessments will be administered in the first month of school. Teachers in consultation with the administrator will

subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	develop individual growth targets based prior performance and baseline assessment data. Student performance on the I-Ready administered at the end of the year will be compared to the individual target goals. The total number of students meeting or exceeding their target goals will yield the percentage score for the teacher. That percentage will yield a HEDI score of 0-20 (0-15 when value added is adopted).
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of his/her students meet the local target
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53-84% of his/her students meet the local target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 16-52% of his/her students meet the local target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-15% of his/her students meet the local target

3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
1	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
2	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
3	4) State-approved 3rd party assessments	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Baseline assessments will be administered in the first month of school. Teachers in consultation with the administrator will develop individual growth targets based upon prior performance and baseline assessment data. Student performance on the I-Ready administered at the end of the year will be compared to the individual target goals. The total number of students meeting or exceeding their target goals will yield the percentage score for the teacher. That percentage will yield a HEDI score of 0-20 (0-15 when value added is adopted).
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of his/her students meet the local target

Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 -84% of his/her students meet the local target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 -52% of his/her students meet the local target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-15% of his/her students meet the local target

3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Baseline assessments will be administered in the first month of school. Teachers in consultation with the administrator will develop individual growth targets based upon prior performance and baseline assessment data. Science teachers grade 6-8 HEDI scores will be based upon the school wide measure based upon the grade specific aggregate student results. For example all 6 grade science teachers will receive the same HEDI score based upon the 6th grade I-Ready results. Student performance on the I-Ready administered at the end of the year will be compared to the individual target goals. The total number of students meeting or exceeding their target goals will yield the percentage score for the teacher. That percentage will yield a HEDI score of 0-20 (0-15 when value added is adopted).
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of his/her students meet the local target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53-84% of his/her students meet the local target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 -52% of his/her students meet the local target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-15% of his/her students meet the local target

3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Baseline assessments will be administered in the first month of school. Teachers in consultation with the administrator will develop individual growth targets based upon prior performance and baseline assessment data. Social Studies teachers grade 6-8 HEDI scores will be based upon the school wide measure based upon the grade specific aggregate student results. For example all 6 grade social studies teachers will receive the same HEDI score based upon the 6th grade I -Ready results. Student performance on the I-Ready administered at the end of the year will be compared to the individual target goals. The total number of students meeting or exceeding their target goals will yield the percentage score for the teacher. That percentage will yield a HEDI score of 0-20 (0-15 when value added is adopted).
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of his/her students meet the local target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53-84% of his/her students meet the local target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 16-52% of his/her students meet the local target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-15% of his/her students meet the local target

3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
---	------------

Global 1	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
Global 2	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
American History	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Baseline assessments will be administered in the first month of school. Teachers in consultation with the administrator will develop individual growth targets based upon prior performance and baseline assessment data. Social Studies teachers grade 9-12 HEDI scores will be based upon the school wide measure based upon the grade specific aggregate student results. For example all Global social studies teachers will receive the same HEDI score based upon the STAR Reading Enterprise. Student performance on the STAR Reading Enterprise 9-12 administered at the end of the year will be compared to the individual target goals. The total number of students meeting or exceeding their target goals will yield the percentage score for the teacher. That percentage will yield a HEDI score of 0-20 (0-15 when value added is adopted).
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85 -100% of his/her students meet the local target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 - 84% of his/her students meet the local target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of his/her students meet the local target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-15% of his/her students meet the local target

3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
Earth Science	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise

Chemistry	Not applicable	not applicable
Physics	Not applicable	not applicable

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Baseline assessments will be administered in the first month of school. Teachers in consultation with the administrator will develop individual growth targets based upon prior performance and baseline assessment data. Science teachers grade 9-12 HEDI scores will be based upon the school wide measure based upon the grade specific aggregate student results. For example all Living Environment science teachers will receive the same HEDI score based upon the STAR Reading Enterprise. Student performance on the STAR Reading Enterprise 9-12 administered at the end of the year will be compared to the individual target goals. The total number of students meeting or exceeding their target goals will yield the percentage score for the teacher. That percentage will yield a HEDI score of 0-20 (0-15 when value added is adopted).
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of his/her students meet the local target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 - 84% of his/her students meet the local target
Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of his/her students meet the local target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-15% of his/her students meet the local target

3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR MATH Enterprise
Geometry	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR MATH Enterprise
Algebra 2	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR MATH Enterprise

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Baseline assessments will be administered in the first month of school. Teachers in consultation with the administrator will develop individual growth targets based upon prior performance and baseline assessment data. Math teachers grade 9-12 HEDI scores will be based upon the school wide measure based upon the grade specific aggregate student results. Student performance on the STAR Math Enterprise 9-12 administered at the end of the year will be compared to the individual target goals. The total number of students meeting or exceeding their target goals will yield the percentage score for the teacher. That percentage will yield a HEDI score of 0-20 (0-15 when value added is adopted).
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of his/her students meet the local target
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 53 - 84% of his/her students meet the local target
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of his/her students meet the local target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-15% of his/her students meet the local target

3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
Grade 10 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
Grade 11 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.</p>	<p>Baseline assessments will be administered in the first month of school. Teachers in consultation with the administrator will develop individual growth targets based upon prior performance and baseline assessment data. ELA teachers grade 9-12 HEDI scores will be based upon the school wide measure based upon the grade specific aggregate student results. Student performance on the STAR Reading Enterprise 9-12 administered at the end of the year will be compared to the individual target goals. The total number of students meeting or exceeding their target goals will yield the percentage score for the teacher. That percentage will yield a HEDI score of 0-20 (0-15 when value added is adopted).</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of his/her students meet the local target</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated effective if 53 - 84% of his/her students meet the local target</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of his/her students meet the local target</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-15% of his/her students meet the local target</p>

3.12) All Other Courses

Fill in for additional grades/subjects, as applicable. If you need additional space, complete additional copies of this form and upload (below) as attachments.

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
All CTE courses	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
K-12 Physical Education	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
K -12 Art	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
Technical Math	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
Technical Science	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
Health High School 9-12	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
Health Middle School 6-8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	i- Ready Diagnostic Assessment

For all additional courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.</p>	<p>Baseline assessments will be administered in the first month of school. Teachers in consultation with the administrator will develop individual growth targets based upon prior performance and baseline assessment data. All other course teachers grade 9-12 HEDI scores will be based upon the school wide measure based upon the grade specific aggregate student results. Student performance on the STAR Reading Enterprise 9-12, STAR Math 11-12, or I-Ready Diagnostic Assessments Grades 6-8 Reading as course appropriate will be administered at the end of the year and will be compared to the individual target goals. The total number of students meeting or exceeding their target goals will yield the percentage score for the teacher. That percentage will yield a HEDI score of 0-20 (0-15 when value added is adopted).</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES -adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of his/her students meet the local target</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated effective if 53 - 84% of his/her students meet the local target</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated developing if 16 - 52% of his/her students meet the local target</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0-15% of his/her students meet the local target</p>

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 3.12: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 3.12. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5139/164391-y92vNseFa4/Attachment 3.13 - Local Measures for Teachers - 20 Point Chart.doc

3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

(No response)

3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

Teachers have only on locally selected measure.

3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

3.16) Assurances	Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked

4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created Thursday, December 13, 2012

Updated Friday, May 10, 2013

Page 1

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2011 Revised Edition)

(No response)

4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	60
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	(No response)
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	(No response)
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	(No response)
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	(No response)
Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	(No response)

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

[SurveyTools.0] Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
[SurveyTools.1] Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
[SurveyTools.2] Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
[SurveyTools.3] District Variance	(No response)

4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

4.4) Assurances Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Sixty (60) points of each teacher's evaluation will be based on evidence collected during the classroom observation process and reviews as they are applied to the approved Danielson 2011 rubric. Based on an analysis of this evidence collected by trained evaluators during the above noted observation and between review process, teachers will be awarded between 0-3 points on each of the following Danielson Elements.

- Domain 1 – Elements a, b,d, e
- Domain 2 – Elements a, b, c, d, e
- Domain 3 – Elements a, b, c, d, e
- Domain 4 – Elements b, e

- 3.0 points would represent Distinguished Performance (Highly Effective)
- 2.8 points would represent Proficient Performance (Effective)
- 2.5 points would represent Basic Performance (Developing)
- 0.0 points would represent Unsatisfactory Performance (Ineffective)

Based on the evidence collected vis-à-vis the critical attributes described in the rubric.

Based on an analysis of this evidence collected by trained evaluators during the above noted observation and between review process, teachers will be awarded between 0-2 points on each of the following Danielson Elements.

- Domain 1 – Elements c,f
- Domain 4 – Elements a,c,d,f

- 2.0 points would represent Distinguished Performance (Highly Effective)
- 1.8 points would represent Proficient Performance (Effective)
- 1.5 points would represent Basic Performance (Developing)
- 0.0 points would represent Unsatisfactory Performance (Ineffective)

For the summative teacher evaluation, the sum of all rubric points earned will be obtained – Traditional/common rounding rules shall apply (> .50 round up <.50 round up)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	A score is calculated for each Domain. These scores are combined for a total rubric score. A total score of 57-60 is Highly Effective.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A score is calculated for each Domain. These scores are combined for a total rubric score. A total score of 50-56 is Effective.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A score is calculated for each Domain. These scores are combined for a total rubric score. A total score of 43-49 is Developing
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	A score is calculated for each Domain. These scores are combined for a total rubric score. A total score of 0-42 is Ineffective.

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	57-60
Effective	50-56
Developing	43-49
Ineffective	0-42

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Formal/Long	2
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Informal/Short	2
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Enter Total	4

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Formal/Long	2
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Informal/Short	0
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
-------------	---

Informal/Short	0
----------------	---

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- Both
-

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- Both
-

5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Thursday, December 13, 2012

Updated Tuesday, June 18, 2013

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (60 points)

Overall Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	57-60
Effective	50-56
Developing	43-49
Ineffective	0-42

5.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

**Other Measures of Effectiveness
(60 points)**

**Overall
Composite Score**

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created Sunday, January 13, 2013

Updated Tuesday, March 12, 2013

Page 1

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

assets/survey-uploads/5265/318089-Df0w3Xx5v6/6.2 Attachment - Teacher Improvement Plan.docx

6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

APPEALS OF INEFFECTIVE AND DEVELOPING RATINGS ONLY

Any teacher may appeal an ineffective rating on their annual composite APPR. Tenured teachers may also appeal a "Developing" rating.

WHAT MAY BE CHALLENGED IN AN APPEAL

Appeal procedures should limit the scope of appeals under Education Law §3012-c to the following subjects:

- (1) the school district's or board of cooperative educational services' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c;*
- (2) the adherence to the Commissioner's regulations, as applicable to such reviews;*
- (3) compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans; and*
- (4) the school district's or board of cooperative educational services' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan under Education Law §3012-c.*

PROHIBITION AGAINST MORE THAN ONE APPEAL

A teacher may not file multiple appeals regarding the same APPR rating or teacher improvement plan. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within one appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time the appeal is filed shall be deemed waived.

BURDEN OF PROOF

In an appeal, the teacher has the burden of demonstrating by preponderance of the evidence a right to the relief requested and the burden of establishing the facts upon which petitioner seeks relief.

TIMEFRAME FOR FILING APPEAL

All appeals will be handled in an expeditious manner in accordance with 3012C.

All appeals must be submitted in writing no later than 15 calendar days of the date when the teacher receives his or her composite score. A teacher may request in writing to the superintendent an extension due to extenuating circumstances. The superintendent may extend the timelines no less than 5 days and not more than 10 days beyond the expiration of the extenuating circumstances. Such request for an extension will not be unreasonably withheld. The failure to file an appeal within these timeframes shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned.

When filing an appeal, the teacher must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his or her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his or her improvement plan and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The performance review and/or improvement plan being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal. Any information not submitted at the time the appeal is filed shall not be considered.

TIMEFRAME FOR DISTRICT/BOCES RESPONSE

Within 10 school days of receipt of an appeal, the BOCES supervisor who issued the performance review is responsible for either the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher's improvement plan must submit a detailed written response to the appeal. The response must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of disagreement that support the BOCES' response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. Any such information that is not submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal. The teacher initiating the appeal shall receive a copy of the response filed by BOCES, and any and all additional information submitted with the response, at the same time BOCES files its response.

DECISION-MAKER ON APPEAL

A decision shall be rendered by the superintendent of schools or the superintendent's designee except that an appeal may not be decided by the same individual who was responsible for making the final rating decision. In such case, President of the Board of Education shall appoint another person to decide the appeal.

The appeals plan shall be completed expeditiously and shall conform with in all manner with section 3012-c of the Education Law.

DECISION

A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than 30 calendar days from the date upon which the teacher or principal filed his or her appeal. The appeal shall be based on a written record, comprised of the teacher's appeal papers and any documentary evidence accompanying the appeal, as well as the school district or BOCES' response to the appeal and additional documentary evidence submitted with such papers. Such decision shall be final.

The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the teacher's appeal. If the appeal is sustained, the reviewer may set aside a rating if it has been affected by substantial error or defect, modify a rating if it is affected by substantial error or defect or order a new evaluation if procedures have been violated. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the teacher or principal and the evaluator or the person responsible for either issuing or implementing the terms of an improvement plan, if that person is different.

Notwithstanding the above, in the event that a tenured teacher has received two consecutive ineffective APPR evaluation ratings, and chooses to appeal the second composite rating, that appeal shall be sent to an arbitrator selected randomly from a group of approved arbitrators proposed by each party (3 chosen by Ulster BOCES superintendent and 3 chosen by Ulster BOCES Teacher's

Organization), the name of the proposed arbitrators shall be placed in a "hat" with the first drawn being the "primary" arbitrator and the second drawn "backup arbitrator" to be used in case the first drawn is unavailable. If neither of the first two drawn re available then the drawing will be conducted again from the remaining four proposed arbitrators and so on until an available arbitrator is found. The process of selecting an arbitrator shall be completed within five school days from the receipt of the appeal. The arbitrator shall issue their decision no later than 30 days of their receipt of the appeal.

EXCLUSIVITY OF §3012-C APPEAL PROCEDURE

The 3012-c appeal procedure shall constitute the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a teacher performance review and/or improvement plan. A teacher may not resort to any other contractual grievance procedures for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to a professional performance review and/or improvement plan, except as otherwise authorized by law.

6.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

The Ulster BOCES Board of Education will ensure that all lead evaluators and evaluators are trained and certified/recertified in accordance with regulation. It is our goal that all evaluators are trained as lead evaluators. The BOCES will utilize the Ulster BOCES Network team evaluator/ lead evaluator training in accordance with SED procedures and processes.

Certification and recertification training for evaluators and lead evaluators will consist of a minimum of two days in July and/or August of each year. As part of the training, each evaluator and lead evaluator will receive training on the nine elements listed below and on inter-rater reliability. Recertification is required annually.

- (1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable*
- (2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research*
- (3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart*
- (4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice.*
- (5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.*
- (6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals*
- (7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System*
- (8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings*
- (9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities*

6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

• Checked

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
---	---------

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
---	---------

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
--	---------

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created Friday, January 11, 2013

Updated Friday, May 10, 2013

Page 1

7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

Special Education K-12
Alternative Education 9-12
(No response)

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13	Checked

7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessment covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. District-determined assessments from the options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the school or program type this SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Please remember that State assessments must be used with SLOs if applicable to the school or program type.

School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment
Career & Technical Education Grade 11-12. This program does not have at least 30% of students taking a state assessment, therefore the principal will not have a value added score	District, regional, or BOCES-developed	Ulster BOCES Developed CTE Career Readiness Assessment

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	Each lead evaluator will consult with each principal/supervisor of Special Education, Alternative Education, and Career & Technical Education to review the growth targets set by the principal/supervisor and their teachers based on the review of the appropriate pre-assessment data performance. (Ulster BOCES developed subject specific pre-assessments Grades K-12 and Ulster BOCES CTE Career Readiness 11-12.) Individual student growth targets were collaboratively established. Based on the percentage of students who meet or exceed their individual growth target scores, a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 20 point HEDI conversion chart. Traditional rounding rules shall apply. (> .50 round up <.50 round down)
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A principal will be rated highly effective if 85-100% of students meet the growth target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A principal will be rated effective if 53-84% of students meet the growth target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A principal will be rated developing if 16-52% of students meet the growth target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A principal will be rated ineffective if 0-15% of students meet the growth target.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5365/315890-lha0DogRNw/Attachment 7.3 - Local Measures - Principal-Administrators 20 points.doc

7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

(No response)

7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html .	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created Monday, January 14, 2013

Updated Tuesday, July 02, 2013

Page 1

Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8
- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)

(h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
CTE 11-12	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Reading Enterprise & STAR MATH Enterprise
9-12 Alt. Ed	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Reading Enterprise & STAR MATH Enterprise
K-12 Spec. Ed	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	i-Ready Diagnostic Assessment & NYSAA

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	<p>Individual student growth targets will be established using pre-assessment baseline data. These targets will be determined by the principal in collaboration with their supervisor. Based on the overall percentage of students who meet or exceed their individual student growth targets a corresponding 0-15 HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 8.1 conversion chart.</p> <p>We will utilize multiple measures for our K-12 supervisor/principal. We will utilize the same individual student growth process for each measure. Once the percentage of students meeting their targets for each of the measures the three percentages will be averaged equally resulting in a final percentage point. This percentage data point will convert to the 0-15 HEDI 8.1 conversion chart.</p> <p>Traditional rounding rules shall apply. (>.50 round up, .50 round down)</p>
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	85-100 % of students meet target

Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	53-84 % of students meet target
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	15-52 % of students meet target
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	0-14 % of student meet target

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5366/318683-qBFVOWF7fC/Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement - Principals Attachment Task 8.doc

8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

(a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)

(b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)

(c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

(d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations

(e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at

least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)

(h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

(i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Career & Technical Education Grade 11-12	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Reading Enterprise & STAR MATH Enterprise

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	Individual student growth targets will be established using pre-assessment baseline data. These targets will be determined by the principal in collaboration with their supervisor. Based on the overall percentage of students who meet or exceed their individual student growth targets a corresponding 0-20 HEDI score will be determined using the uploaded 8.2 conversion chart. Traditional rounding rules shall apply. (>.50 round up, .50 round down)
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See Chart 8.2
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See Chart 8.2
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	See Chart 8.2

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

See Chart 8.2

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5366/318683-T8MIGWUVm1/Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement - Principals Attachment Task 8.doc

8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

(No response)

8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

See applicable HEDI Description Task 8 Attachment.

8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

8.5) Assurances Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check

8.5) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check

9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created Wednesday, December 19, 2012

Updated Friday, June 28, 2013

Page 1

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric

(No response)

9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the points assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	60
---	----

Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents.	0
--	---

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. [Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 9.2. \(MS Word\)](#)

(No response)

9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below (if applicable):

9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	(No response)
9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	(No response)

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) School visits by other trained evaluators	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	(No response)

9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is posted, this form will be updated with dropdown menus of approved survey tools.

Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey for Teachers	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 3-5) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Student Survey (Grades 6-12) for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Parent Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
K12 Insight Teacher/Staff Survey for Principal Evaluation in New York	(No response)
District variance	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Parent Survey)	(No response)
Principal Evaluation Tripod School Perception Survey (Combined Student Surveys)	(No response)

NYC School Survey-2012 Parent Survey	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Student Survey	(No response)
NYC School Survey-2012 Teacher Survey	(No response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

9.6) Assurances Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

Through the collective bargaining process each of the six domains of the MPPR have a maximum point value that when combined, total 60 points.

Each of the components of Domain 1: Shared Vision of Learning, Domain 2: School Culture and Instructional Program, Domain 3: Safe, Efficient Effective Learning Environment and Domain 5: Integrity, Fairness and Ethics Item a: Strategic Planning Process: Inquiry will be rated on a 0 to 4 point scale (4 points: Highly Effective, 3.84 points: Effective, 3.30 points: Developing and 0 points: Ineffective).

Each of the components of Domain 4: Community, Item a: Strategic Planning Process: Inquiry and Domain 5: Integrity, Fairness and Ethics Item b: Culture, will be rated on a 0 to 3 point scale (3 points: Highly Effective, 2.84 points: Effective, 2.50 points: Developing and 0 points: Ineffective).

Each of the components of Domain 4: Community, Item b: Culture and Item c: Sustainability, will be rated on a 0 to 2 point scale (2 points: Highly Effective, 1.95 points: Effective, 1.50 points: Developing and 0 points: Ineffective).

Each of the components of Domain 6: Political, Social, Economic, Legal & Cultural Context, will be rated on a 0 to 1 point scale (1 points: Highly Effective, 0.95 points: Effective, 0.50 points: Developing and 0 points: Ineffective).

Through the evaluation process, the evaluator will assign points based on observations, evidence of supporting artifacts, and collaborative review for each of the domains and elements in the Multidimensional Principal Performance Review these element scores will be added resulting in a score ranging from 0-60 points. The total composite score will be compared to the HEDI bands as described (H = 59-60, E = 57-58, D = 50-56, I = 0-49) and the appropriate HEDI rating will be assigned. Traditional rounding rules shall apply. (> .50 round up < .50 round down)

The evaluation process will include timely and constructive feedback during the school year. The district will adhere to all timelines set by NYS Education Law and Regents rules. .

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

(No response)

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

<p>Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.</p>	<p>A highly effective rating is achieved by demonstrating exemplary performance in the following areas: creating a shared vision of learning, school culture and instructional program; safe, efficient, effective learning environment; community; integrity, fairness, ethics, and political, social, economic, legal and cultural context. The overall composite score, determined by adding all the element scores earned on the MPPR. Traditional rounding rules shall apply. (> .50 round up < .50 round down). The overall composite score for a rating of highly effective will range from 59-60 points.</p>
<p>Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.</p>	<p>An effective rating is achieved by demonstrating strong performance in the following areas: creating a shared vision of learning; school culture and instructional program; safe, efficient, effective learning environment; community; integrity, fairness, ethics; and political, social, economic, legal and cultural context. The overall composite score, determined by adding all the element scores earned on the MPPR. Traditional rounding rules shall apply. (> .50 round up < .50 round down). The overall composite score for a rating of effective will range from 57-58 points.</p>
<p>Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.</p>	<p>A developing rating is achieved by demonstrating a need for improvement in performance in the following areas: creating a shared vision of learning; school culture and instructional program; safe, efficient, effective learning environment; community; integrity, fairness, ethics; and political, social, economic, legal and cultural context. The overall composite score, determined by adding all the element scores earned on the MPPR. Traditional rounding rules shall apply. (> .50 round up < .50 round down). The overall composite score for a rating of developing will range from 50-56 points.</p>
<p>Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.</p>	<p>An ineffective rating is achieved by poor performance in the following areas: creating a shared vision of learning; school culture and instructional program; safe, efficient, effective learning environment; community; integrity, fairness, ethics; and political, social, economic, legal and cultural context. The overall composite score, determined by adding all the element scores earned on the MPPR. Traditional rounding rules shall apply. (> .50 round up < .50 round down). The overall composite score for a rating of ineffective will range from 0-49 points.</p>

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

Probationary Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

Tenured Principals

By supervisor	2
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	2

10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Monday, January 14, 2013

Updated Friday, June 28, 2013

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (60 points)

Overall Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

10.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

**Other Measures of Effectiveness
(60 points)**

**Overall
Composite Score**

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created Wednesday, December 19, 2012

Updated Wednesday, January 23, 2013

Page 1

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in your school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

[assets/survey-uploads/5276/286781-Df0w3Xx5v6/APPENDIX 11.2- Improvement Plan.doc](#)

11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

Appeals of Ineffective and Developing Ratings Only

All appeals will be addressed in a timely and expeditious manner in accordance with the regulations. Any principal/administrator may appeal an ineffective rating on their annual composite APPR score, and tenured principals/administrators may appeal a "developing" rating based upon their annual composite APPR score.

What May Be Challenged in an Appeal

Appeal procedures should limit the scope of appeals under Education Law §3012-c to the following subjects:

- 1. The Ulster County Board of cooperative educational services' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c;*
- 2. The adherence to the Commissioner's Regulations, as applicable to such reviews;*
- 3. Compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans; and*
- 4. The school district's or Board of Cooperative Educational Services' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal/administrator improvement plan under Education Law §3012-c.*

Prohibition Against More than one Appeal

A principal/administrator may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or improvement plan. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within one appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time the appeal is filed shall be deemed waived.

Burden of Proof

In an appeal, the principal/administrator has the burden of demonstrating a clear legal right to the relief requested and the burden of establishing the facts upon which petitioner seeks relief.

Timeframe For Filing Appeal

All appeals must be submitted in writing no later than 15 calendar days of the date when the principal/administrator receives his or her annual professional performance review. If a principal/administrator is challenging the issuance of a principal/administrator improvement plan, appeals must be filed with 15 days of issuance of such plan. The failure to file an appeal within these timeframes shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned.

When filing an appeal, the principal/administrator must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his or her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his or her improvement plan and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The performance review and/or improvement plan being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal. Any information not submitted at the time the appeal is filed shall not be considered

Timeframe for District Response

Within 15 calendar days of receipt of an appeal, the school district or BOCES staff member(s) who issued the performance review are responsible for either the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principals/administrators improvement plan must submit a detailed written response to the appeal. The response must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of disagreement that support the BOCES' response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. Any such information that is not submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal. The principal/administrator initiating the appeal shall receive a copy of the response filed by the BOCES, and any and all additional information submitted with the response, at the same time the BOCES files its response.

Decision-Maker on Appeal

A decision shall be rendered by the District Superintendent or his/her designee. The appeal may not be decided by the same individual who was responsible for making the final rating decision. In such case, the Board of Education shall appoint another person to decide the appeal.

Decision

A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than 30 calendar days from the date upon which the principal/administrator filed his or her appeal. The appeal shall be based on a written record, comprised of the principals/administrators appeal papers and any documentary evidence accompanying the appeal, as well as the BOCES' response to the appeal and additional documentary evidence submitted with such papers. Such decision shall be final.

The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the principals/administrators appeal. If the appeal is sustained, the reviewer may set aside a rating if it has been affected by substantial error or defect, modify a rating if it is affected by substantial error or defect or order a new evaluation if procedures have been violated. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the principal/administrator and the evaluator or the person responsible for either issuing or implementing the terms of an improvement plan, if that person is different.

Exclusivity of §3012-c Appeal Procedure

The 3012-c appeal procedure shall constitute the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing, and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a principal/administrator performance review and/or improvement plan. A principal/administrator may not resort to any other contractual grievance procedures for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to a professional performance review and/or improvement plan, except as otherwise authorized by law.

11.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

The Ulster BOCES Board of Education will ensure that all lead evaluators and evaluators are trained and certified/recertified in accordance with regulation. It is our goal that all evaluators are trained as lead evaluators. The BOCES will utilize the Ulster BOCES Network team evaluator/ lead evaluator training in accordance with SED procedures and processes. Specifically, certification and recertification training for evaluators and lead evaluators will consist of a minimum of two days in July and August of each year. As part of the training, each evaluator and lead evaluator will receive training on the nine elements listed below and on inter-rater reliability. Recertification is required annually.

- (1) the nine NYS Teaching Standards and their related elements, and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards (ISLLC Standards) and their related functions, as applicable*
- (2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research*
- (3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart*
- (4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice.*
- (5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.*
- (6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals*
- (7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System*
- (8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings*
- (9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities*

11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

-
- Checked
-

11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course,	Checked
---	---------

and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

11.7) Assurances -- Data | Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them. Checked

11.7) Assurances -- Data | Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements. Checked

12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created Thursday, December 20, 2012

Updated Tuesday, July 02, 2013

Page 1

12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form

assets/survey-uploads/5581/288957-3Uqgn5g9Iu/Signature Page - Submission July 2, 2013.pdf

File types supported for uploads

PDF (preferred)

Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)

Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)

Open Office (.odt, .ott)

Images (.jpg, .gif)

Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

2.11 Attachment

Teachers

Student Growth on State Assessments or Other Comparable Measures

When a SLO is used in the "Student Growth on State Assessments or other Comparable Measures" portion of the teacher's performance evaluation is **20 points** of his/her complete evaluation, the following chart will be used for assigning HEDI categories:

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE		EFFECTIVE										DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE			
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
94-100	90-93	85-89	77-84	74-76	71-73	68-70	65-67	62-64	59-61	56-58	53-55	44-52	36-43	28-35	24-27	20-23	16-19	10-15	5-9	0-4

- Top number is HEDI score
- Bottom number is percentage of students who meet their established targets

APPENDIX 11.2

**Ulster BOCES
Principal/Administrator Improvement Plan**

Principal/Administrator: _____ Supervisor: _____ Date(s) of Meetings: _____

Identified Goal Area(s) in Need of Improvement	Evidence of Need	Action steps to be taken by Principal/Administrator to include Professional Learning Activities	Date/Timeline in which Learning will be Implemented	Documentation of Evidence (Improvement of Learning)	Support to be provided by Supervisor (Differentiated Activities)

The Principal/Administrator, _____, agrees to follow through on the plan as outlined above.

The Supervisor, _____, agrees to provide the appropriate support for the principal/administrator to achieve his/her goal(s).

Principal/Administrator Signature: _____ Date: _____

Supervisors Signature: _____ Date: _____

7.3 Attachment

Principals/Administrators

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement

When the “Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement” portion of the principal’s/administrator’s performance evaluation is 20 points of his/her complete evaluation, the following chart will be used for assigning HEDI categories:

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE										DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE		
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
94-100	90-93	85-89	77-84	74-76	71-73	68-70	65-67	62-64	59-61	56-58	53-55	44-52	36-43	28-35	24-27	20-23	16-19	10-15	5-9	0-4

- Top number is HEDI score
- Bottom number is percentage of students who meet their established targets

3.3 Attachment

Teachers

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement

When the "Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement" portion of the teacher's performance evaluation is **20 points** of his/her complete evaluation, the following chart will be used for assigning HEDI categories:

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE		EFFECTIVE										DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE			
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
94-100	90-93	85-89	77-84	74-76	71-73	68-70	65-67	62-64	59-61	56-58	53-55	44-52	36-43	28-35	24-27	20-23	16-19	10-15	5-9	0-4

- Top number is HEDI score
- Bottom number is percentage of students who meet their established targets

When the "Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement" portion of the teachers performance evaluation is **15 points** (upon implementation of Value Added Model) of his/her complete evaluation, the following chart will be used for assigning HEDI categories:

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE		EFFECTIVE							DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE	
15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
93-100	85-92	78-84	73-77	68-72	63-67	58-62	53-57	47-52	39-46	31-38	23-30	15-22	11-14	6-10	0-5

- Top number is HEDI score
- Bottom number is percentage of students who meet their established individual growth targets.

3.13 Attachment

Teachers

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement

When the “Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement” portion of the teachers’ performance evaluation is 20 points of his/her complete evaluation, the following chart will be used for assigning HEDI categories:

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE				EFFECTIVE								DEVELOPING				INEFFECTIVE				
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
94-100	90-93	85-89	77-84	74-76	71-73	68-70	65-67	62-64	59-61	56-58	53-55	44-52	36-43	28-35	24-27	20-23	16-19	10-15	5-9	0-4

- Top number is HEDI score
- Bottom number is percentage of students who meet their established targets

**Attachment Task 8
Principals/Administrators**

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement

When the “Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement” portion of the principal’s/administrator’s performance evaluation is **20 points** of his/her complete evaluation, the following chart will be used for assigning HEDI categories:

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE								DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE				
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
94-100	90-93	85-89	77-84	74-76	71-73	68-70	65-67	62-64	59-61	56-58	53-55	44-52	36-43	28-35	24-27	20-23	16-19	10-15	5-9	0-4

- Top number is HEDI score
- Bottom number is percentage of students who meet their established targets

When the “Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement” portion of the principal’s/administrator’s performance evaluation is **15 points** (upon implementation of Value Added Model) of his/her complete evaluation, the following chart will be used for assigning HEDI categories:

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE		EFFECTIVE						DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE		
15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
93-100	85-92	78-84	73-77	68-72	63-67	58-62	53-57	47-52	39-46	31-38	23-30	15-22	11-14	6-10	0-5

- Top number is HEDI score
- Bottom number is percentage of students who meet their established individual growth targets.

**Attachment Task 8
Principals/Administrators**

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement

When the “Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement” portion of the principal’s/administrator’s performance evaluation is **20 points** of his/her complete evaluation, the following chart will be used for assigning HEDI categories:

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE								DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE				
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
94-100	90-93	85-89	77-84	74-76	71-73	68-70	65-67	62-64	59-61	56-58	53-55	44-52	36-43	28-35	24-27	20-23	16-19	10-15	5-9	0-4

- Top number is HEDI score
- Bottom number is percentage of students who meet their established targets

When the “Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement” portion of the principal’s/administrator’s performance evaluation is **15 points** (upon implementation of Value Added Model) of his/her complete evaluation, the following chart will be used for assigning HEDI categories:

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE		EFFECTIVE						DEVELOPING					INEFFECTIVE		
15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
93-100	85-92	78-84	73-77	68-72	63-67	58-62	53-57	47-52	39-46	31-38	23-30	15-22	11-14	6-10	0-5

- Top number is HEDI score
- Bottom number is percentage of students who meet their established individual growth targets.

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a TIP or PIP plan, in accordance with the regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with the regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal
- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)

- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the regulation and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- If this APPR Plan is being submitted subsequent to July 1, 2012, assure that this was the result of unresolved collective bargaining negotiations

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date:

Charles V. Murray 7/2/13

Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

Kathy L. Linder 7/2/13

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

Debra Brown 7/2/13

Board of Education President Signature: Date:

Robert J. [Signature] 7/2/13