



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Ave., Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@mail.nysed.gov
Twitter: @JohnKingNYSED
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

December 14, 2012

Renee Garrett, Superintendent
Wayne Central School District
6200 Ontario Center Road
Ontario Center, NY 14520

Dear Superintendent Garrett:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review Plan (APPR) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved for the 2012-2013 school year. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR. If any material changes are made to your approved APPR plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-c, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the student growth subcomponent and any other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness and/or if the teacher or principal scores or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,



John B. King, Jr.
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Michael Glover

NOTES: If your district/BOCES has provided for value-added measures (15 points vs. 20 points scale and categorization of your district/BOCES's grade configurations) in your APPR and no value-added measures are approved by the Board of Regents for a grade/subject and/or grade configuration for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly. Conversely, if your district/BOCES has not provided for value-added measures in your district/BOCES's APPR submission and value-added measures are approved for the 2012-13 school year, your district/BOCES will be required to revise and resubmit its APPR accordingly.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR form and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Reviews: 2012-13

Created Friday, May 04, 2012

Updated Friday, October 12, 2012

1

Disclaimers

The Department will review the contents of each school district's or BOCES' APPR plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law section 3012-c and subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's or BOCES' plan.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district or BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department reserves the right to request further information from the school district or BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation or otherwise that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1. SCHOOL DISTRICT INFORMATION

1.1) School District's BEDS Number :

If this is not your BEDS Number, please enter the correct one below

650801060000

1.2) School District Name:

If this is not your school district, please enter the correct one below

WAYNE CSD

1.3) School Improvement Grant (SIG) Districts Only

SIG districts only: Indicate whether this APPR plan is for SIG schools only or for the entire district. Other districts and BOCES, please skip this question.

(No response)

1.4) Award Classification

Please check if the district has applied for and/or has been awarded any of the following (if applicable):

(No response)

1.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

1.5) Assurances Assure that the content of this form represents the district/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district or BOCES website by September 10, or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later	Checked
1.5) Assurances Assure that it is understood that this district/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval	Checked

1.6) Is this a first-time submission, a re-submission, or a submission of material changes to an approved APPR plan?

Re-submission to address deficiencies

1.7) Is this submission for an annual or multi-year plan?

If the plan is multi-year, please write the years that are included.

Annual (2012-13)

2. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Teachers)

Created Monday, May 14, 2012

Updated Wednesday, October 31, 2012

Page 1

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

(25 points with an approved value-added measure)

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a value-added growth score. That score will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and will use special considerations for students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have state-provided measures, some may teach other courses in addition where there is no state-provided measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score from the State for the full Growth subcomponent score of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Growth subcomponent of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided measures AND SLOs.)

Please note that if the Board of Regents does not approve a value-added measure for these grades/subjects for 2012-13, the State-provided growth measure will be used for 20 points in this subcomponent. NYSED will provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 20 points.

2.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below:

2.1) Assurances Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable.	Checked
2.1) Assurances Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13.	Checked

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for teachers in the following grades and subjects. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For core subjects: grades 6-8 Science and Social Studies, high school English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies courses associated in 2010-11 with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

If no State assessment or Regents exam exists:

District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or

District, regional or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments provided that it is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

School- or BOCES-wide, group or team results based on State assessments

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 2.2 through 2.9, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, common branch teachers also teach 6th grade science and/or social studies and therefore would have State-provided growth measures, not SLOs; the district or BOCES does not have certain grades; the district does not offer a specific subject; etc.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

2.2) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	ELA	Assessment
K	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Reading Enterprise
1	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Reading Enterprise
2	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Reading Enterprise

	ELA	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this	K - 2: The SLOs for K - 2 will utilize state approved third party assessments. The same assessments will be used across all
--	---

subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	classrooms in the same grade levels. Individual growth targets will set collaboratively by the teachers and principals based on the pretest results of the students assigned to the teacher. Student pretest scores will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scaled score of 0 - 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers are able to achieve all scaled points from 0 to 20. Grade 3: The SLOs for grade 3 will utilize state approved third party assessments as pretests and state assessments as post tests. The same assessments will be used across all classrooms in the same grade levels. Individual growth targets will set collaboratively by the teachers and principals based on the pretest results of the students assigned to the teacher. Student pretest scores will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scaled score of 0 - 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers are able to achieve all scaled points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	K - 2: A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his or her students meet the growth target. Grade 3: A teacher will be rated highly effective if 81% or greater of his or her students meet the growth target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	K - 2: A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his or her students meet the growth target. Grade 3: A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 80% of his or her students meet the growth target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	K - 2 : A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% his or her students meet the growth target. Grade 3: A teacher will be rated developing if 27% to 64% his or her students meet the growth target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	K - 2: A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% his or her students meet the growth target. Grade 3: A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 26% his or her students meet the growth target.

2.3) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where applicable.

	Math	Assessment
K	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Math Enterprise
1	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Math Enterprise
2	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Math Enterprise
	Math	Assessment
3	State assessment	3rd Grade State Assessment

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable

Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.</p>	<p>K - 2: The SLOs for K - 2 will utilize state approved third party assessments. The same assessments will be used across all classrooms in the same grade levels. Individual growth targets will set collaboratively by the teachers and principals based on the pretest results of the students assigned to the teacher. Student pretest scores will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scaled score of 0 - 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers are able to achieve all scaled points from 0 to 20. Grade 3: The SLOs for grade 3 will utilize state approved third party assessments as pretests and state assessments as post tests. The same assessments will be used across all classrooms in the same grade levels. Individual growth targets will set collaboratively by the teachers and principals based on the pretest results of the students assigned to the teacher. Student pretest scores will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scaled score of 0 - 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers are able to achieve all scaled points from 0 to 20.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>K - 2: A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his or her students meet the growth target. Grade 3: A teacher will be rated highly effective if 81% or greater of his or her students meet the growth target.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>K - 2: A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his or her students meet the growth target. Grade 3: A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 80% of his or her students meet the growth target.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>K - 2: A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% his or her students meet the growth target. Grade 3: A teacher will be rated developing if 27% to 64% his or her students meet the growth target</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).</p>	<p>K - 2: A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% his or her students meet the growth target. Grade 3: A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 26% his or her students meet the growth target.</p>

2.4) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Science	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Wayne Finger Lakes BOCES Regional Developed Grade 6 Science Final Assessment
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Wayne Finger Lakes BOCES Regional Developed Grade 7 Science Final Assessment
	Science	Assessment
8	State assessment	8th Grade State Science Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for Grades 6-7 Science will utilize the WFL BOCES Developed Science Final Exams. The SLO for 8th grade Science will utilize the 8th Grade State Science assessment. The same assessments will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively by the principal and teachers and will be based on the pre-assessment performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This pre-assessment performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.

2.5) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. State assessments must be used where available.

	Social Studies	Assessment
6	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Wayne Finger Lakes BOCES Regional Developed Grade 6 Social Studies Final Assessment
7	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Wayne Finger Lakes BOCES Regional Developed Grade 7 Social Studies Final Assessment
8	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Wayne Finger Lakes BOCES Regional Developed Grade 8 Social Studies Final Assessment

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for Grades 6-8 Social Studies will utilize the WFL BOCES Developed Social Studies Final Exams. The same assessments will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively by the principal and teachers and be based on pre-assessment performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This assessment performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be
---	--

	converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.

2.6) High School Social Studies Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

		Assessment
Global 1	District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment	Wayne Finger Lakes BOCES Regionally Developed Global I Social Studies Final Assessment

	Social Studies Regents Courses	Assessment
Global 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
American History	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Social Studies Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for High School Social Studies Regents courses will utilize the WFL BOCES Developed pre-assessments to establish the baseline. The same assessments will be used across all classrooms in the same grade level. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively between the principal and teachers and be based on pre-assessment performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This assessment performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. Global I will utilize the Wayne Finger Lakes BOCES developed final assessment. Global 2 and American History will utilize the Regents Final Assessments. Again, the individual growth targets will be established collaboratively between the principal and the teachers. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
---	--

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
---	---

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.

2.7) High School Science Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessments must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Science Regents Courses	Assessment
Living Environment	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Earth Science	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Chemistry	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment
Physics	Regents Assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Science Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for high school Regents Science Courses will be rigorous and comparable. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same course. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively between the principal and the teachers and be based on the Wayne Finger Lakes BOCES pre-assessment performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This pre-assessment performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the Regents assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.

2.8) High School Math Regents Courses

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Math Regents Courses	Assessment
Algebra 1	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Geometry	Regents assessment	Regents assessment
Algebra 2	Regents assessment	Regents assessment

For High School Math Regents Courses: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for high school Regents Math Courses will be rigorous and comparable. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same course. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively by the principal and teachers and be based on the Wayne Finger Lakes BOCES pre-assessment performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This pre-assessment performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the Regents assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.

2.9) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, please first select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment. Regents assessment must be used where available. Be sure to select the English Regents assessment in at least one grade in Task 2.9 (9, 10, and/or 11).

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	High School English Courses	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Wayne Finger Lakes BOCES Regionally Developed Final Grade 9 ELA Assessment
Grade 10 ELA	District, regional or BOCES-developed assessment	Wayne Finger Lakes BOCES Regionally Developed Final Grade 10 ELA Assessment
Grade 11 ELA	Regents assessment	ELA Regents Assessment

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for high school English Language Arts Courses will be rigorous and comparable. The Wayne Finger Lakes BOCES Developed ELA Final Examinations will be used for grades 9 and 10. The ELA Regents will be used for grade 11. The same assessment will be used across all classrooms in the same course. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively between the principal and teachers and be based on the Wayne Finger Lakes BOCES pre-assessment performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This pre-assessment performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.

2.10) All Other Courses

Fill in, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have Student Learning Objectives. If you need additional space, duplicate this form and upload (below) as an attachment to your APPR plan. You may combine into one line any groups of teachers for whom the answers in the boxes are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above" .

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Option	Assessment
All Music Courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Wayne CSD Developed Course Specific Final Music Assessments
All Art Courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Wayne Finger Lake BOCES Regional Developed Course Specific Final Art Assessments
All Physical Education Courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Wayne CSD Developed Course Specific Final Physical Education Assessments
All Health Courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Wayne Finger Lake BOCES Regional Developed Course Specific Final Health Assessments
All Technology	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Wayne CSD Developed Course Specific Final Technology Assessments
All Other Secondary ELA Courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Wayne Finger Lake BOCES Regional Developed Course Specific Final ELA Assessments
All Other Secondary Math Courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Wayne Finger Lake BOCES Regional Developed Course Specific Final Math Assessments
All Other Secondary Science Courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Wayne CSD Developed Course Specific Final Science Assessments

All Other Secondary Social Studies Courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Wayne CSD Developed Course Specific Final Social Studies Assessments
All Other Secondary Foreign Language Courses	District, Regional or BOCES-developed	Wayne CSD Developed Course Specific Final Foreign Language Assessments
ESL K-12	State Assessment	NYSESLAT
Reading k - 2	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR EARly Literacy Enterprise
Reading 3 - 8	State Assessment	ELA 3 - 8 State Assessments

For all other courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to teachers based on SLO results consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 2.11, below.	The SLOs for the courses listed in 2.10 will utilize pre-assessments to establish the baseline. The same assessments will be used across all classrooms in the same courses. Individual growth targets will be set collaboratively between the principal and teachers and be based on pre-assessment performance of the students assigned to the teacher. This assessment performance will be the baseline and will be compared to the final assessment score on the identified assessments to determine growth. The percentage of students meeting the growth target will be converted to a scale score of 0 to 20. The scale is shown in 2.11. Teachers can achieve all scale points from 0 to 20.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well-above District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or greater of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well-below District goals for similar students.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% his or her students meet the growth target. See scale 2.11.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 2.10: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 2.10. (MS Word)

(No response)

2.11) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 2.2 through 2.10 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5364/129085-TXEttx9bQW/Conversion Chart_3.docx

2.12) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: student prior academic history, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

There are no allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures.

2.13) Teachers with more than one growth measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI rating and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Common branch teacher with state-provided value-added measures for both ELA and Math in 4th grades; Middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which Districts must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

2.14) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

2.14) Assurances Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by SED (see: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html).	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that past academic performance and/or baseline academic data of students will be taken into account when developing an SLO.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
2.14) Assurances Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

3. Local Measures (Teachers)

Created Monday, May 14, 2012

Updated Monday, November 05, 2012

Page 1

Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

"Comparable across classrooms" means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district or BOCES.

Please note: If your district or BOCES does not have grade/subject-specific teachers for one or more of the rows in questions 3.1 through 3.11, choose "Not applicable" from the drop-down box and type N/A in the assessment box. This would be appropriate if, for example, the district does not have certain grades, the district does not offer a specific subject, etc.

Locally selected measures for common branch teachers: This form calls for locally selected measures in both ELA and math in grades typically served by common branch teachers. Districts may select local measures for common branch teachers that involve subjects other than ELA and math. Whatever local measure is selected for common branch teachers, please enter it under ELA and/or math and describe the assessment used, including the subject. Use N/A for other lines in that grade level that are served by common branch teachers. Describe the HEDI criteria for the measure in the same section where you identified the locally selected measure and assessment.

.Please note: Only one locally-selected measure is required for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for all teachers within a grade/subject. Also note: Districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of teachers **within a grade/subject** if the district/BOCES verifies comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. This APPR form only provides space for one measure for teachers in the same grade/subject across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all teachers in any grades or subject, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR TEACHERS IN GRADES FOR WHICH THERE IS AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

1) The change in percentage of a teacher’s students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students’ level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students’ performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher’s students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students’ performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)

2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher’s students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally

3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in subclause 1) or 2) of this clause

4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment

5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:

(i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or

(ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

3.1) Grades 4-8 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
5	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise

For Grades 4-8 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.</p>	<p>Local measures of student achievement are intended to provide a more holistic view of a teacher’s instructional efforts and overall student achievement. All teachers in grade k - 8 are focused on literacy within and across curricular areas. The specific assessments and metrics used to determine the local measures of student achievement for any particular school year will be reviewed annually by the APPR Committee. The Committee will operate within the parameters established by the District and the WTA. The Committee will review comparability and rigor as defined by SED, current best practices, research, the conversion charts, and the actual metrics and formulas used to determine the local measures score. The Committee will also revisit whether specific assessment choices should be added, deleted or amended. The Committee will then make any recommendations to the Superintendent, and the WTA President. Final decisions regarding the local measures will be determined by the District and the WTA. Each student will be preassessed and individual achievement targets will be set for each grade level within each individual building collaboratively between the principal and teachers based on preassessment scores and district expectations. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students in each individual building meeting their achievement growth targets.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of the students in their building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.</p>
<p>Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of the students in their building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% of the students in their building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% of the students in their building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.</p>

3.2) Grades 4-8 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
4	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
5	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise

7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise

For Grades 4-8 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.3, below.	Local measures of student achievement are intended to provide a more holistic view of a teacher’s instructional efforts and overall student achievement. All teachers in grade k - 8 are focused on literacy within and across curricular areas. The specific assessments and metrics used to determine the local measures of student achievement for any particular school year will be reviewed annually by the APPR Committee. The Committee will operate within the parameters established by the District and the WTA. The Committee will review comparability and rigor as defined by SED, current best practices, research, the conversion charts, and the actual metrics and formulas used to determine the local measures score. The Committee will also revisit whether specific assessment choices should be added, deleted or amended. The Committee will then make any recommendations to the Superintendent, and the WTA President. Final decisions regarding the local measures will be determined by the District and the WTA. Each student will be preassessed and individual achievement targets will be set for each grade level within each individual building collaboratively between the principal and teachers based on preassessment scores and district expectations. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students in each individual building meeting their achievement growth targets.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more the students in their building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of the students in their building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% of the students in their building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% of the students in their building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.3.

3.3) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.1 and 3.2 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER TEACHERS (20 points)

Growth or achievement measure(s) from these options.

One or more of the following types of local measures of student growth or achievement may be used for the evaluation of teachers.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

Measures based on:

- 1) The change in percentage of a teacher's students who achieve a specific level of performance as determined locally, on such assessments/examinations compared to those students' level of performance on such assessments/examinations in the previous school year (e.g., a three percentage point increase in students earning the proficient level (three) or better performance level on the 7th grade math State assessment compared to those same students' performance levels on the 6th grade math State assessment, or an increase in the percentage of a teacher's students earning the advanced performance level (four) on the 4th grade ELA or math State assessments compared to those students' performance levels on the 3rd grade ELA or math State assessments)
- 2) Teacher specific growth score computed by the Department based on the percent of the teacher's students earning a State determined level of growth. The methodology to translate such growth into the State-established sub-component scoring ranges shall be determined locally
- 3) Teacher specific achievement or growth score computed in a manner determined locally based on a measure of student performance on the State assessments, Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations other than the measure described in 1) or 2), above
- 4) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State-approved 3rd party assessment
- 5) Student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms
- 6) A school-wide measure of either student growth or achievement based on either:
 - (i) A State-provided student growth score covering all students in the school that took the State assessment in ELA or Math in Grades 4-8; or
 - (ii) A school-wide measure of student growth or achievement computed in a manner determined locally based on a State, State-approved 3rd party, or district, regional or BOCES developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

7) Student Learning Objectives (only allowable for teachers in grades/subjects without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a district, regional or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

3.4) Grades K-3 ELA

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise
1	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise
2	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
3	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise

For Grades K-3 ELA: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Local measures of student achievement are intended to provide a more holistic view of a teacher’s instructional efforts and overall student achievement. All teachers in grade k - 8 are focused on literacy within and across curricular areas. The specific assessments and metrics used to determine the local measures of student achievement for any particular school year will be reviewed annually by the APPR Committee. The Committee will operate within the parameters established by the District and the WTA. The Committee will review comparability and rigor as defined by SED, current best practices, research, the conversion charts, and the actual metrics and formulas used to determine the local measures score. The Committee will also revisit whether specific assessment choices should be added, deleted or amended. The Committee will then make any recommendations to the Superintendent, and the WTA President. Final decisions regarding the local measures will be determined by the District and the WTA. Each student will be preassessed and individual achievement targets will be set for each grade level within each individual building collaboratively between the principal and teachers based on preassessment scores and district expectations. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students in each individual building meeting their achievement growth targets.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

3.5) Grades K-3 Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
K	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise
1	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise
2	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
3	6(ii) School-wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise

For Grades K-3 Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Local measures of student achievement are intended to provide a more holistic view of a teacher’s instructional efforts and overall student achievement. All teachers in grade k - 8 are focused on literacy within and across curricular areas. The specific assessments and metrics used to determine the local measures of student achievement for any particular school year will be reviewed annually by the APPR Committee. The Committee will operate within the parameters established by the District and the WTA. The Committee will review comparability and rigor as defined by SED, current best practices, research, the conversion charts, and the actual metrics and formulas used to determine the local measures score. The Committee will also revisit whether specific assessment choices should be added, deleted or amended. The Committee will then make any recommendations to the Superintendent, and the WTA President. Final decisions regarding the local measures will be determined by the District and the WTA. Each student will be preassessed and individual achievement targets will be set for each grade level within each individual building collaboratively between the principal and teachers based on preassessment scores and district expectations. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students in each individual building meeting their achievement growth targets.
Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

Effective (9-17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District -or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

3.6) Grades 6-8 Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise

For Grades 6-8 Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Local measures of student achievement are intended to provide a more holistic view of a teacher’s instructional efforts and overall student achievement. All teachers in grade k - 8 are focused on literacy within and across curricular areas. The specific assessments and metrics used to determine the local measures of student achievement for any particular school year will be reviewed annually by the APPR Committee. The Committee will operate within the parameters established by the District and the WTA. The Committee will review comparability and rigor as defined by SED, current best practices, research, the conversion charts, and the actual metrics and formulas used to determine the local measures score. The Committee will also revisit whether specific assessment choices should be added, deleted or amended. The Committee will then make any recommendations to the Superintendent, and the WTA President. Final decisions regarding the local measures will be determined by the District and the WTA. Each student will be preassessed and individual achievement targets will be set for each grade level within each individual building collaboratively between the principal and teachers based on preassessment scores and district expectations. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students in each individual building meeting their achievement growth targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

3.7) Grades 6-8 Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
6	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
7	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise

For Grades 6-8 Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	Local measures of student achievement are intended to provide a more holistic view of a teacher’s instructional efforts and overall student achievement. All teachers in grade k - 8 are focused on literacy within and across curricular areas. The specific assessments and metrics used to determine the local measures of student achievement for any particular school year will be reviewed annually by the APPR Committee. The Committee will operate within the parameters established by the District and the WTA. The Committee will review comparability and rigor as defined by SED, current best practices, research, the conversion charts, and the actual metrics and formulas used to determine the local measures score. The Committee will also revisit whether specific assessment choices should be added, deleted or amended. The Committee will then make any recommendations to the Superintendent, and the WTA President. Final decisions regarding the local measures will be determined by the District and the WTA. Each student will be preassessed and individual achievement targets will be set for each grade level within each individual building collaboratively between the principal and teachers based on preassessment scores and district expectations. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students in each individual building meeting their achievement growth targets.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for	A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at

grade/subject.	3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

3.8) High School Social Studies

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Global 1	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June
Global 2	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June
American History	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June

For High School Social Studies: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	In grades 9 -12 (the high school building) we set a group building achievement target collaboratively between the principal and teachers and based it on all Regents exams taken by students of James A. Beneway High School in the given school year (January and June). The achievement target will be based on the percentage of scores at the proficiency or higher range (65 or better). HEDI points will be allocated based on the percentage of exams in the building that meet achievement target set collaboratively between the principal and teachers and all teachers in the building will receive the same HEDI score. The building wide goal at the high school regarding student passing rates on all Regents Exams administered at the high school reflects the districts philosophy that all teachers at the high school play a role in student success on these measures. Local measures of student achievement are intended to provide a more holistic view of a teacher's instructional efforts and overall student achievement. The building wide goal regarding student passing rates on all Regents Exams administered at the high school reflects the districts philosophy that all teachers at
---	---

the high school play a role in student success on these measures. The specific assessments and metrics used to determine the local measures of student achievement for any particular school year will be reviewed annually by the APPR Committee (the Committee). The Committee will operate within the parameters established by the District and the WTA. The Committee will review comparability and rigor as defined by SED, current best practices, research, the conversion charts, and the actual metrics and formulas used to determine the local measures score. The Committee will also revisit whether specific assessment choices should be added, deleted or amended. The Committee will then make any recommendations to the Superintendent, and the WTA President. Final decisions regarding the local measures will be determined by the District and the WTA.

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.

3.9) High School Science

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school science courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Living Environment	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June
Earth Science	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June
Chemistry	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June
Physics	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June

For High School Science: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.</p>	<p>In grades 9 -12 (the high school building) we set a group building achievement target collaboratively between the principal and teachers and based it on all Regents exams taken by students of James A. Beneway High School in the given school year (January and June). The achievement target will be based on the percentage of scores at the proficiency or higher range (65 or better). HEDI points will be allocated based on the percentage of exams in the building that meet achievement target set collaboratively between the principal and teachers and all teachers in the building will receive the same HEDI score. The building wide goal at the high school regarding student passing rates on all Regents Exams administered at the high school reflects the districts philosophy that all teachers at the high school play a role in student success on these measures. Local measures of student achievement are intended to provide a more holistic view of a teacher's instructional efforts and overall student achievement. The building wide goal regarding student passing rates on all Regents Exams administered at the high school reflects the districts philosophy that all teachers at the high school play a role in student success on these measures. The specific assessments and metrics used to determine the local measures of student achievement for any particular school year will be reviewed annually by the APPR Committee (the Committee). The Committee will operate within the parameters established by the District and the WTA. The Committee will review comparability and rigor as defined by SED, current best practices, research, the conversion charts, and the actual metrics and formulas used to determine the local measures score. The Committee will also revisit whether specific assessment choices should be added, deleted or amended. The Committee will then make any recommendations to the Superintendent, and the WTA President. Final decisions regarding the local measures will be determined by the District and the WTA.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18-20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.</p>
<p>Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.</p>
<p>Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.</p>

3.10) High School Math

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school math courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Algebra 1	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June
Geometry	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June
Algebra 2	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June

For High School Math: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.</p>	<p>In grades 9 -12 (the high school building) we set a group building achievement target collaboratively between the principal and teachers and based it on all Regents exams taken by students of James A. Beneway High School in the given school year (January and June). The achievement target will be based on the percentage of scores at the proficiency or higher range (65 or better). HEDI points will be allocated based on the percentage of exams in the building that meet achievement target set collaboratively between the principal and teachers and all teachers in the building will receive the same HEDI score. The building wide goal at the high school regarding student passing rates on all Regents Exams administered at the high school reflects the districts philosophy that all teachers at the high school play a role in student success on these measures. Local measures of student achievement are intended to provide a more holistic view of a teacher's instructional efforts and overall student achievement. The building wide goal regarding student passing rates on all Regents Exams administered at the high school reflects the districts philosophy that all teachers at the high school play a role in student success on these measures. The specific assessments and metrics used to determine the local measures of student achievement for any particular school year will be reviewed annually by the APPR Committee (the Committee). The Committee will operate within the parameters established by the District and the WTA. The Committee will review comparability and rigor as defined by SED, current best practices, research, the conversion charts, and the actual metrics and formulas used to determine the local measures score. The Committee will also revisit whether specific assessment choices should be added, deleted or amended. The Committee will then make any recommendations to the Superintendent, and the WTA President. Final decisions regarding the local measures will be determined by the District and the WTA.</p>
<p>Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.</p>
<p>Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for</p>	<p>A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement</p>

grade/subject.	target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.

3.11) High School English Language Arts

Using the drop-down boxes below, select the assessment that will be used for the locally-selected measure for the grade/subject listed. Then name the specific assessment, listing the full name of the assessment.

Note: Additional high school English courses may be listed below in the "All Other Courses" section of this form.

	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
Grade 9 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June
Grade 10 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June
Grade 11 ELA	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June

For High School English Language Arts: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.	In grades 9 -12 (the high school building) we set a group building achievement target collaboratively between the principal and teachers and based it on all Regents exams taken by students of James A. Beneway High School in the given school year (January and June). The achievement target will be based on the percentage of scores at the proficiency or higher range (65 or better). HEDI points will be allocated based on the percentage of exams in the building that meet achievement target set collaboratively between the principal and teachers and all teachers in the building will receive the same HEDI score. The building wide goal at the high school regarding student passing rates on all Regents Exams administered at the high school reflects the districts philosophy that all teachers at the high school play a role in student success on these measures. Local measures of student achievement are intended to provide a more holistic view of a teacher's instructional efforts and overall student achievement. The building wide goal regarding student passing rates on all Regents Exams administered at the high school reflects the districts philosophy that all teachers at
---	---

the high school play a role in student success on these measures. The specific assessments and metrics used to determine the local measures of student achievement for any particular school year will be reviewed annually by the APPR Committee (the Committee). The Committee will operate within the parameters established by the District and the WTA. The Committee will review comparability and rigor as defined by SED, current best practices, research, the conversion charts, and the actual metrics and formulas used to determine the local measures score. The Committee will also revisit whether specific assessment choices should be added, deleted or amended. The Committee will then make any recommendations to the Superintendent, and the WTA President. Final decisions regarding the local measures will be determined by the District and the WTA.

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% of the Regents exams administered in his/her building meet the achievement target (result in a score of 65 or higher). See scale at 3.13.

3.12) All Other Courses

Fill in for additional grades/subjects, as applicable. If you need additional space, complete additional copies of this form and upload (below) as attachments.

Course(s) or Subject(s)	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
All other courses k - 2	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise (k-1) STAR Reading Enterprise (grade 2)
All other courses 3-5	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
All other courses k -5	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
All other courses 6-8	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	STAR Reading Enterprise
All other courses 9-12	6(ii) School wide measure computed locally	All Regents Exams Administered in January and June

For all additional courses, as applicable: describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a teacher to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a teacher to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the general process for assigning HEDI categories for these grades/subjects in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic at 3.13, below.

In grades 9 -12 (the high school building) we set a group building achievement target collaboratively between the principal and teachers and based it on all Regents exams taken by students of James A. Beneway High School in the given school year (January and June). The achievement target will be based on the percentage of scores at the proficiency or higher range (65 or better). HEDI points will be allocated based on the percentage of exams in the building that meet achievement target set collaboratively between the principal and teachers and all teachers in the building will receive the same HEDI score. The building wide goal at the high school regarding student passing rates on all Regents Exams administered at the high school reflects the districts philosophy that all teachers at the high school play a role in student success on these measures. Local measures of student achievement are intended to provide a more holistic view of a teacher's instructional efforts and overall student achievement. The building wide goal regarding student passing rates on all Regents Exams administered at the high school reflects the districts philosophy that all teachers at the high school play a role in student success on these measures. The specific assessments and metrics used to determine the local measures of student achievement for any particular school year will be reviewed annually by the APPR Committee (the Committee). The Committee will operate within the parameters established by the District and the WTA. The Committee will review comparability and rigor as defined by SED, current best practices, research, the conversion charts, and the actual metrics and formulas used to determine the local measures score. The Committee will also revisit whether specific assessment choices should be added, deleted or amended. The Committee will then make any recommendations to the Superintendent, and the WTA President. Final decisions regarding the local measures will be determined by the District and the WTA.

K - 8: Local measures of student achievement are intended to provide a more holistic view of a teacher's instructional efforts and overall student achievement. All teachers in grade k - 8 are focused on literacy within and across curricular areas. The specific assessments and metrics used to determine the local measures of student achievement for any particular school year will be reviewed annually by the APPR Committee. The Committee will operate within the parameters established by the District and the WTA. The Committee will review comparability and rigor as defined by SED, current best practices, research, the conversion charts, and the actual metrics and formulas used to determine the local measures score. The Committee will also revisit whether specific assessment choices should be added, deleted or amended. The Committee will then make any recommendations to the Superintendent, and the WTA President. Final decisions regarding the local measures will be determined by the District and the WTA. Each student will be preassessed and individual achievement targets will be

set for each grade level within each individual building collaboratively between the principal and teachers based on preassessment scores and district expectations. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students in each individual building meeting their achievement growth targets.

Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES -adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated developing if 55% to 64% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

A teacher will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% of the students in his/her building meet the achievement target. See scale at 3.13.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 3.12: All Other Courses" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 3.12. (MS Word)

(No response)

3.13) HEDI Tables or Graphics

For questions 3.4 through 3.12 above, if you are using tables or other graphics to explain your general process for assigning HEDI categories, please combine all such tables or graphics into a single file, labeling each so it is clear which grades/subjects it applies to, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5139/129086-y92vNseFa4/Local Conversion Charts Based on 85_2.docx

3.14) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

There are no allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures.

3.15) Teachers with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score. Examples may include: 4th grade teacher with locally-selected measures for both ELA and Math; High School teacher with more than 1 SLO.

A schoolwide measure is one measure so we have no need to describe how we would combine multiple measures

3.16) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

3.16) Assurances	Assure the application of locally-developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that use of locally-developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the locally-selected measures subcomponent.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Checked
3.16) Assurances	Assure that all locally-selected measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Checked

4. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teachers)

Created Monday, May 14, 2012

Updated Wednesday, October 31, 2012

Page 1

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on NYS Teaching Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is required for districts that have chosen an observation-only rubric (CLASS or NYSTCE) from the State-approved list.

(Note: Any district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.)

Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2011 Revised Edition)

(No response)

4.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points (if any) that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not using a particular measure, enter 0.

This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for teachers. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of teachers, enter the points assignment for one group of teachers below. For the other group(s) of teachers, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment applicable to all teachers?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of teachers covered (e.g., "probationary teachers"):

(No response)

Multiple (at least two) classroom observations by principal or other trained administrator, at least one of which must be unannounced [at least 31 points]	40
One or more observation(s) by trained independent evaluators	0
Observations by trained in-school peer teachers	0
Feedback from students using State-approved survey tool	0
Feedback from parents/caregivers using State-approved survey tool	0
Structured reviews of lesson plans, student portfolios and other teacher artifacts	20

If the above points assignment is not for "all teachers," fill out an additional copy of "Form 4.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of teachers, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 4.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

4.3) Survey Tools (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

If the district plans to use one or more of the following surveys of P-12 students from the menu of State-approved surveys, please check all that apply. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu. Note: As the State-approved survey lists are updated, this form will be updated with additional approved survey tools.

[SurveyTools.0] Tripod Early Elementary Student Perception Survey K-2	(No response)
[SurveyTools.1] Tripod Elementary Student Perception Survey 3-5	(No response)
[SurveyTools.2] Tripod Secondary Student Perception Survey	(No response)
[SurveyTools.3] District Variance	(No response)

4.4) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

4.4) Assurances Assure that all NYS Teaching Standards not addressed in classroom observations are assessed at least once a year.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that it is possible for an educator to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
4.4) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district.	Checked

4.5) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the teacher practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

The teacher's overall weighted average rating will drive how many points the teacher will receive toward the composite score. Teachers will be rated based on a four point scale for each of the components of Danielson's four domains. Domain scores will be the result of the average score for each of the components in that domain. Once each domain has a single score established a weighted average is computed using the following method: Average rubric score is computed by adding domain I and domain IV and two times domain II and two times domain III and dividing the total by six. The final component score is then converted using the conversion chart in 4.5 to determine the total points out of 60 that each teacher will be allocated. If the final rubric score converts to HEDI score with a decimal we will use standard rounding rules when putting it into the composite score (ie: 55.6 plus 10 plus 10 = 76.6 which would be rounded to 77).

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5091/129087-eka9yMJ855/Teacher Effects Conversion Scale From Total Average Rubric Score to 60 Point Distribution.docx

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.	3.5 to 4 points on a 4 point scale converts to a rating of highly effective.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.	2.5 to 3.4 points on a 4 point scale converts to a rating of effective.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.	1.5 to 2.4 points on a 4 point scale converts to a rating of developing.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.	1.0 to 1.4 points on a 4 point scale converts to a rating of ineffective.

Provide the ranges for the 60-point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Formal/Long	1
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Informal/Short	1
4.6) Observations of Probationary Teachers Enter Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of probationary teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers

Enter the minimum number of observations of each type, making sure that the number of observations "by building principal or other trained administrators" totals at least 2. If your APPR plan does not include a particular type of observation, enter 0 in that box.

By building principals or other trained administrators

4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Formal/Long	0
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Informal/Short	2
4.7) Observations of Tenured Teachers Total	2

By trained in-school peer teachers or other trained reviewers

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Independent evaluators

Formal/Long	0
Informal/Short	0

Will formal/long observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

Will informal/short observations of tenured teachers be done in person, by video, or both?

-
- In Person
-

5. Composite Scoring (Teachers)

Created Monday, May 14, 2012

Updated Friday, October 12, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed NYS Teaching Standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet NYS Teaching Standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for student growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet NYS Teaching Standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

5.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of

growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness subcomponent (same as question 4.5), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59-60
Effective	57-58
Developing	50-56
Ineffective	0-49

5.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for educators for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

**Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement**

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

6. Additional Requirements - Teachers

Created Monday, May 14, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 18, 2012

Page 1

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below:

6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
6.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that TIP plans shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas	Checked

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

[assets/survey-uploads/5265/129090-Df0w3Xx5v6/Teacher Improvement Plan Form.pdf](assets/survey-uploads/5265/129090-Df0w3Xx5v6/Teacher%20Improvement%20Plan%20Form.pdf)

6.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

An appeal of an APPR is limited to only those teachers who receive a composite score of "Ineffective" or "Developing". The purpose of the internal appeal process is to foster and nurture growth of the professional staff in order to maintain a highly qualified and effective workforce. The appeal procedure shall provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of the appeal. A teacher may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or TIP.

An appeal may be filed challenging the APPR based upon one or more of the following grounds:

- 1. The school district's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c.*
- 2. The adherence to the Commissioner's regulations, as applicable to such reviews.*
- 3. Compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans.*
- 4. The school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan under Education Law section 3012-c.*

Note: The District does not relinquish its rights in regard to the employment of probationary teachers subject to education law 3012-c.

BURDEN OF PROOF

In an appeal, the teacher has the burden of demonstrating a clear legal right to the relief requested and the burden of establishing the facts upon which the teacher seeks relief.

EXCLUSIVITY OF SECTION 3012-C APPEAL PROCEDURE

The 3012-c appeal procedure shall constitute the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a teacher performance review and/or improvement plan. A teacher may not resort to any other contractual grievance procedures for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to a professional performance review and/or improvement plan, except as otherwise authorized by law.

A. Phase I: Initiating

- The teacher must write a formal response to the building principal and submit it within seven (7) calendar days.*
- When filing an appeal, the teacher must submit a detailed written description of the specific area(s) of disagreement over his or her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his or her improvement plan and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The performance review and/or improvement plan being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal. Any information not submitted at the time the appeal is filed shall not be considered.*

B. Phase II: Reviewing

- The building principal has seven (7) calendar days to formulate a formal written response.*
 - o The response must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of disagreement that support the District's response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. Any such information that is not submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal.*
 - o The teacher initiating the appeal shall receive a copy of the response filed by the building principal and any and all additional information submitted with the response, at the same time the building principal files their response.*
- The teacher is responsible for scheduling a meeting with the building principal no later than five (5) calendar days from the building principal's response.*
 - o Union representation is available upon request of the teacher.*
 - o The building principal may include other administrators to support and/or dispute the appeal.*

C. Phase III: Resolving

- If there is no agreement between the teacher and the building principal, the Assistant Superintendent for Instruction (ASI) will review the evidence within five (5) calendar days and responds by setting up a meeting with all parties involved.*
- The ASI will provide a written decision within five (5) calendar days of the meeting.*

DECISION-MAKER ON APPEAL

A decision shall be rendered by the building principal and/or the ASI. An appeal may not be decided by the same individual who was responsible for making the final rating decision. In such case, the Superintendent shall appoint another person to decide the appeal.

DECISION

A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than thirty (30) calendar days from the date upon which the teacher filed his or her appeal. The appeal shall be based on a written record, comprised of the teacher's appeal papers and any documentary evidence accompanying the appeal, as well as the District's response to the appeal and additional documentary evidence submitted with such papers. Such decision shall be final.

The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the teacher's appeal. If the appeal is sustained, the reviewer may set aside a rating. If it has been affected by substantial error or defect, modify a rating, or order a new evaluation if procedures have been violated. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the teacher and the evaluator or the person responsible for either issuing or implementing the terms of an improvement plan, if that person is different.

6.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

The Western Wayne Network Team has provided monthly training to all lead evaluators regarding evidence based observations. Turnkey training has been a central part of this curriculum. Lead evaluators from five area schools participated in activities which included group observations, rubric deconstruction (Danielson), and inter-rater reliability and validity. Additionally, the Wayne Central School District has purchased Teachscape to allow us to build on this training and develop our skills. All lead evaluators will have completed the teachscape training modules and assessments prior to the start of the 2012 school year.

Administrators will be certified by the BOE at the recommendation of the superintendent and will be recertified annually.

6.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this

Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher’s or principal’s overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

• Checked

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher's performance is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
6.6) Assurances -- Teachers Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

6.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
6.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

7. Growth on State Assessments or Comparable Measures (Principals)

Created Monday, May 14, 2012

Updated Wednesday, October 31, 2012

Page 1

7.1) STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH (25 points with an approved Value-Added Measure)

For principals in buildings with Grades 4-8 ELA, Math and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments, (or principals of programs with any of these assessments), NYSED will provide value-added measures. NYSED will also provide a HEDI subcomponent rating category and score from 0 to 25 points.

In order for a principal to receive a State-provided value-added measure, at least 30% of the students in the principal's school or program must take the applicable State or Regents assessments. This will include most schools in the State.

Value-Added measures will apply to schools or principals with the following grade configurations in this district (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12):

K-5 Elementary Building
3-5 Intermediate Building
6-8 Middle School Building
9-12 High School Building
(No response)
(No response)
(No response)

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth

Please check the boxes below:

7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the value-added growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where applicable	Checked
7.2) Assurances -- State-Provided Measures of Student Growth Assure that the State-provided growth measure will be used if a value-added measure has not been approved for 2012-13	Checked

7.3) STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES AS COMPARABLE GROWTH MEASURES (20 points)

Student Learning Objectives will be the other comparable growth measures for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, Math, and/or High School courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the assessment covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. District-determined assessments from the options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

State assessments, *required if one exists*

District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessments that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms

List of State-approved 3rd party assessments

First, list the school or program type this SLO applies to. Then, using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for SLOs for the school/program listed. Finally, name the specific assessment listing the full name of the assessment. Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Please remember that State assessments must be used with SLOs if applicable to the school or program type.

School or Program Type	SLO with Assessment Option	Name of the Assessment
K-2	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise/STAR Reading Enterprise
K-2	State-approved 3rd party assessment	STAR MATH Enterprise

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of performance required for each HEDI rating category and the process for assigning points to principals based on SLO results, consistent with regulations and assurances in the Comparable Growth Measures subcomponent. Include any district-determined expectations for student performance.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories in this subcomponent. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	There will be one SLO for math and one SLO for ELA which will be established collaboratively between the principal and the superintendent and be based on individual student growth targets. Scores from ELA and Math SLOs will be averaged together to determine the percentage of students achieving the target. 85% of Ontario Primary students will demonstrate a minimum of one year’s worth of growth as determined by the pre-assessment in the fall and the post-assessment in the spring for both the math and the ELA SLO. HEDI points will be allocated based on the percentage of students in the building meeting the growth target.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A principal will be rated as Highly Effective if between 85% and 100% of the students in his/her building meet the target.
Effective (9 - 17 points) Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A principal will be rated as Effective if between 65% and 84% of the students in his/her building meet the target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A principal will be rated as Developing if between 55% and 64% of the students in his/her building meet the target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).	A principal will be rated as Ineffective if between 0% and 54% of the students in his/her building meet the target.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

7.4) Special Considerations for Comparable Growth Measures

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

Note: The only allowable controls or adjustments for Comparable Growth Measures are those used in State Growth measures, which include: prior student achievement results, students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents.

We are not making any adjustments, controls or other special considerations in setting targets for Comparable Growth Measures

7.5) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one state-provided growth or value-added measure, those measures will be combined into one HEDI category and score for the growth subcomponent according to a formula determined by the Commissioner. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and Math grades 4-8.)

If Principals have more than one SLO for comparable growth (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO for comparable growth), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points and Districts will weight each in proportion to the number of students covered by the SLO to reach a combined score for this subcomponent.

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures

Please check all of the boxes below:

7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent and only those used for State Growth will be used for Comparable Growth Measures.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students in accordance with applicable civil rights laws.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that district will develop SLOs according to the rules established by NYSED for principal SLOs: http://usny.nysed.gov/rttt/teachers-leaders/slo/home.html .	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that the process for assigning points for SLOs for the Growth Subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educator performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for SLOs in the Growth subcomponent scoring range.	Checked
7.6) Assurances -- Comparable Growth Measures Assure that processes are in place to monitor SLOs to ensure rigor and comparability across classrooms.	Checked

8. Local Measures (Principals)

Created Monday, May 14, 2012

Updated Monday, November 05, 2012

Page 1

Locally-Selected Measures of Student Achievement or Growth

Locally comparable means that the same locally-selected measures of student achievement or growth must be used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.

Please note: only one locally-selected measure is required for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations, but some districts may prefer to have more than one measure for principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations. This APPR form therefore provides space for multiple locally-selected measures for each principal in the same or similar program or grade configuration across the district. Therefore, if more than one locally-selected measure is used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration, districts must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

Also note: districts may use more than one locally-selected measure for **different** groups of principals **within the same or similar programs or grade configurations** if the district/BOCES prove comparability based on Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing. If a district is choosing different measures for different groups of principals within the same or similar programs or grade configurations, they must complete additional copies of this form and upload as attachments for review.

8.1) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRINCIPALS WITH AN APPROVED VALUE-ADDED MEASURE (15 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list:

- (a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)
- (b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)
- (c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
3 - 5	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Reading Enterprise
k - 5	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise / STAR Reading Enterprise
6 - 8	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Reading Enterprise
9 - 12	(g) % achieving specific level on Regents or alternatives	Passing Rate (65 or better) for all Regents Exams administered in the high school

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

<p>Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.</p>	<p>In grades 9 -12 (the high school building) we set a principal building achievement target collaboratively between the principal and superintendent and based it on all Regents exams taken by students of James A. Beneway High School in the given school year (January and June). The achievement target will be based on the percentage of scores at the proficiency or higher range (65 or better). HEDI points will be allocated based on the percentage of exams in the building that meet achievement target set collaboratively between the principal and superintendent. 3 - 5, k-5, and 6 - 8 buildings: Each student will be preassessed and individual achievement targets will be set for each grade level within each individual building collaboratively between the principal and superintendent and be based on preassessment scores and district expectations. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students in each</p>
--	--

	individual building meeting their achievement growth targets. See chart.
Highly Effective (14 - 15 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A principal will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of the students in their building meet the established achievement target.
Effective (8- 13 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A principal will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of the students in their building meet the established achievement target.
Developing (3 - 7 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A principal will be rated developing if 55% to 64% of the students in their building meet the establish achievement target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A principal will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% of the students in their building meet the achievement target.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.1: Locally Selected Measures for Principals with an Approved Value-Added Measure" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.1. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

[assets/survey-uploads/5366/129092-qBFVOWF7fC/Local Conversion Charts Based on 85_1.docx](#)

8.2) LOCALLY SELECTED MEASURES OF STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL OTHER PRINCIPALS (20 points)

In the table below, list all of the grade configurations used in your district or BOCES (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12). Then for each grade configuration, select a local measure from the menu.

Note: Districts and BOCES may select one or more types of growth or achievement measures for each grade configuration. If you are using more than one type of local measure for the evaluation of principals in a given grade configuration, list that grade configuration multiple times. If more space is needed, duplicate this portion of the form and upload additional pages (below) as an attachment.

The options in the drop-down menus below are abbreviated from the following list: <!--

(a) student achievement levels on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 (e.g., percentage of students in the school whose performance levels on State assessments are proficient or advanced)

(b) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students in each specific performance level (e.g., Level 1, Level 2)

(c) student growth or achievement on State assessments in ELA and/or Math in Grades 4-8 for students with disabilities and English Language Learners in Grades 4-8

- (d) student performance on any or all of the district-wide locally selected measures approved for use in teacher evaluations
- (e) four, five and/or six-year high school graduation and/or dropout rates for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (f) percentage of students who earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation and/or honors for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (g) percentage of a cohort of students that achieve specified scores on Regents examinations and/or Department approved alternative examinations (including, but not limited to, Advanced Placement examinations, International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.), for principals employed in a school with high school grades (e.g., the percentage of students in the 2009 cohort that scored at least a 3 on an Advanced Placement examination since entry into the ninth grade)
- (h) students' progress toward graduation in the school using strong predictive indicators, including but not limited to 9th and/or 10th grade credit accumulation and/or the percentage of students that pass 9th and/or 10th grade subjects most commonly associated with graduation and/or students' progress in passing the number of required Regents examinations for graduation, for principals employed in a school with high school grades
- (i) student learning objectives (only allowable for principals in programs/buildings without a Value-Added measure for the State Growth subcomponent). Used with one of the following assessments: State, State-approved 3rd party, or a District, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment that is rigorous and comparable across classrooms

Districts or BOCES that intend to use a district, regional, or BOCES-developed assessment must include the name, grade, and subject of the assessment. For example, a regionally-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment would be written as follows: [INSERT SPECIFIC NAME OF REGION]-developed 7th grade Social Studies assessment.

Grade Configuration	Locally-Selected Measure from List of Approved Measures	Assessment
k -2	(d) measures used by district for teacher evaluation	STAR Early Literacy Enterprise, STAR Reading Enterprise (for grade 2)

Describe the district-adopted expectations for the level of growth or achievement needed for a principal to earn each of the four HEDI rating categories and the process for assigning points within rating categories that ensures it is possible for a principal to earn any of the points in a scoring range, consistent with regulations and assurances.

Note: when completing the HEDI boxes below, it is not acceptable to just repeat the text descriptions from the regulations and/or assurances listed to the left of each box.

Use this box, if needed, to describe the process for assigning HEDI categories. If needed, you may upload a table or graphic below.	85% of second grade students will achieve scores in the Green or Blue score rankings on the STAR ELA assessment in the spring which equates to meeting or exceeding the grade level expectations. HEDI points will be assigned based on the percentage of students in the k - 2 building that meeting the achievement target. This is collaboratively set between the building principal and superintendent. See chart.
Highly Effective (18 - 20 points) Results are well above District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or	A principal will be rated highly effective if 85% or more of the students in their building meet the established achievement

achievement for grade/subject.	target.
Effective (9- 17 points) Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A principal will be rated effective if 65% to 84% of the students in their building meet the established achievement target.
Developing (3 - 8 points) Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A principal will be rated developing if 55% to 64% of the students in their building meet the establish achievement target.
Ineffective (0 - 2 points) Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.	A principal will be rated ineffective if 0% to 54% of the students in their building meet the achievement target.

If you need additional space, upload a copy of "Form 8.2: Locally Selected Measures for All Other Principals" as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 8.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning HEDI categories, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5366/129092-T8MIGWUVm1/Local Conversion Charts Based on 85_1.docx

8.3) Locally Developed Controls

Describe any adjustments, controls, or other special considerations that will be used in setting targets for local measures, the rationale for including such factors, and the processes that will be used to mitigate potentially problematic incentives associated with the controls or adjustments.

None

8.4) Principals with More Than One Locally Selected Measure

Describe the district's process for combining multiple locally selected measures where applicable for principals, each scored from 0-15 or 0-20 points as applicable, into a single subcomponent HEDI category and score.

None

8.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

8.5) Assurances Assure that the application of locally developed controls will be rigorous, fair, and transparent	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that use of locally developed controls will not have a disparate impact on underrepresented students, in accordance with any applicable civil rights laws.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that enrolled students are included in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for locally selected measures will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in	Check

ways that improve student learning and instruction.	
8.5) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the locally selected measures subcomponent.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.	Check
8.5) Assurances If more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, certify that the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	Check
8.5) Assurances Assure that all locally-selected measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the State assessment or other comparable measures subcomponent.	Check

9. Other Measures of Effectiveness (Principals)

Created Monday, May 14, 2012

Updated Wednesday, October 31, 2012

Page 1

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select the choice of principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards. If your district has been granted a variance by NYSED through the variance process, select "district variance" from the menu.

The "Second Rubric" space is optional. A district may use multiple rubrics, as long as the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district.

The Reeves Leadership Performance Matrix

(No response)

9.2) Points Within Other Measures

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Some districts may prefer to assign points differently for different groups of principals. This APPR form only provides one space for assigning points within other measures for principals. If your district/BOCES prefers to assign points differently for different groups of principals, enter the points assignment for one group of principals below. For the other group(s) of principals, fill out copies of this form and upload as an attachment for review.

Is the following points assignment for all principals?

Yes

If you checked "no" above, fill in the group of principals covered:

(No response)

State the number of points that will be assigned to each of the following measures, making sure that the points total 60. If you are not assigning any points to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, enter 0.

Broad assessment of principal leadership and management actions based on the practice rubric by the supervisor, a trained administrator or a trained independent evaluator. This must incorporate multiple school visits by supervisor, trained administrator, or trained independent evaluator, at least one of which must be from a supervisor, and at least one of which must be unannounced. [At least 31 points]	60
---	----

Any remaining points shall be assigned based on results of one or more ambitious and measurable goals set collaboratively with principals and their superintendents or district superintendents.	0
--	---

If the above points assignment is not for "all principals," fill out an additional copy of "Form 9.2: Points Within Other Measures" for each group of principals, combine them into a single file, and upload as an attachment for review. Click here for a downloadable copy of Form 9.2. (MS Word)

(No response)

9.3) Assurances -- Goals

Please check the boxes below (if applicable):

9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that if any points are assigned to goals, at least one goal will address the principal's contribution to improving teacher effectiveness based on one or more of the following: improved retention of high performing teachers; correlation of student growth scores to teachers granted vs. denied tenure; or improvements in proficiency rating of the principal on specific teacher effectiveness standards in the principal practice rubric.	Checked
9.3) Assurances -- Goals Assure that any other goals, if applicable, shall address quantifiable and verifiable improvements in academic results or the school's learning environment (e.g. student or teacher attendance).	Checked

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable)

If you indicated above that one or more points will be assigned to the "ambitious and measurable goals" measure, identify at least two of the following sources of evidence that will be utilized as part of assessing every principal's goal(s):

9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from teachers using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from students using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Structured feedback from families using a State-approved tool	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) School visits by other trained evaluators	(No response)
9.4) Sources of Evidence (if applicable) Review of school documents, records, and/or State accountability processes (all count as one source)	(No response)

9.5) Survey Tool(s) (if applicable)

If you indicated above that 1 or more points will be assigned to feedback using a State-approved survey tool, please check the box below:

(No response)

Note: When the State-approved survey list is posted, this form will be updated with dropdown menus of approved survey tools.

(No response)

(No response)

(No response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below:

9.6) Assurances Assure that all ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards are assessed at least one time per year.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the process for assigning points for the "other measures" subcomponent will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate principals' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that it is possible for a principal to earn each point, including 0, for the "other measures" subcomponent.	Checked
9.6) Assurances Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district or BOCES.	Checked

9.7) Process for Assigning Points and Determining HEDI Ratings

Describe the process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings using the principal practice rubric and/or any additional instruments used in the district. Include, if applicable, the process for combining results of multiple "other measures" into a single result for this subcomponent.

1. Principal is rated numerically HEDI (1 - 4) for each of the components within each of the ten domains on the Reeves' Leadership Performance Matrix based on the data collected throughout the year (domain composite scores will be rounded to the hundredth place value). The component scores within each of the domains are averaged to yield a signal score for each of the ten domains.
2. The ten domains are averaged and a single score is determined.
3. The conversation chart (Appendix) is used to determine the point allocation earned.
4. A HEDI rating is determined for the 60% other measures.
5. All decimals will be rounded to a whole number using standard rounding rules (ie .5 rounds up).

The Superintendent will make informed decisions and assign points based on a review of the principal's yearly performance and evidence collected at the Annual Summative Review meeting.

At the Summative Review the principal will provide a written reflection based on Reeves' Matrix and annual goals.

Refer to Appendix __ for Scoring Methodology for the 60% Teacher Effects Principal Effectiveness.

If you are using tables or other graphics to explain your process for assigning points and determining HEDI ratings, please clearly label them, combine them into a single file, and upload that file here.

assets/survey-uploads/5143/129093-pMADJ4gk6R/Principal Effects Conversion Scale From Total Average Rubric Score to 60 Point Distribution_1.docx

Describe the level of performance required for each of the HEDI rating categories, consistent with the narrative descriptions in the regulations for the "other measures" subcomponent. Also describe how the points available within each HEDI category will be assigned.

Highly Effective: Overall performance and results exceed standards.	An average rubric rating score of 3.5 to 4.0 will convert to a highly effective rating.
Effective: Overall performance and results meet standards.	An average rubric rating score of 2.5 to 3.4 will convert to a effective rating.
Developing: Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet standards.	An average rubric rating score of 1.5 to 2.4 will convert to developing rating.
Ineffective: Overall performance and results do not meet standards.	An average rubric rating score of 1 to 1.4 will convert to a ineffective rating.

Please provide the locally-negotiated 60 point scoring bands.

Highly Effective	59 - 60
Effective	57 - 58
Developing	50 - 56
Ineffective	0 - 49

9.8) School Visits

Enter the minimum number of school visits that will be done by each of the following evaluators, making sure that the number of visits "by supervisor" is at least 1 and the total number of visits is at least 2, for both probationary and tenured principals. If your APPR plan does not include visits by a trained administrator or independent evaluator, enter 0 in those boxes.

Probationary Principals

By supervisor	3
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	3

Tenured Principals

By supervisor	3
By trained administrator	0
By trained independent evaluator	0
Enter Total	3

10. Composite Scoring (Principals)

Created Monday, May 14, 2012

Updated Friday, October 12, 2012

Page 1

Standards for Rating Categories

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness (Teacher and Leader standards)

Highly

Effective

Results are well above state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well above District- or BOCES- adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results exceed ISLLC leadership standards.

Effective

Results meet state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results meet District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Developing

Results are below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results need improvement in order to meet ISLLC leadership standards.

Ineffective

Results are well below state average for similar students (or District goals if no state test).

Results are well below District- or BOCES-adopted expectations for growth or achievement for grade/subject.

Overall performance and results do not meet ISLLC leadership standards.

For the 2013-2014 school year and beyond, the Commissioner shall review the specific scoring ranges for each of the rating categories annually before the start of each school year and shall recommend any changes to the Board of Regents for consideration.

10.1) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is no approved Value-Added measure of student growth will be:

2012-13 where there is no Value-Added measure

Growth or Comparable Measures

**Locally-selected Measures of
growth or achievement**

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

18-20

18-20

Ranges determined locally--see below

91-100

Effective

9-17

9-17

75-90

Developing

3-8

3-8

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

Insert district's or BOCES' negotiated HEDI scoring ranges for the Other Measures of Effectiveness Subcomponent (same as question 9.7), from 0 to 60 points

Highly Effective	59 - 60
Effective	57 - 58
Developing	50 - 56
Ineffective	0 - 49

10.2) The 2012-13 scoring ranges for principals for whom there is an approved Value-Added measure for student growth will be:

2012-13 where Value-Added growth measure applies

Growth or Comparable Measures

Locally-selected Measures of growth or achievement

Other Measures of Effectiveness

(60 points)

Overall

Composite Score

Highly Effective

22-25

14-15

Ranges determined locally--see above

91-100

Effective

10-21

8-13

75-90

Developing

3-9

3-7

65-74

Ineffective

0-2

0-2

0-64

11. Additional Requirements - Principals

Created Monday, May 14, 2012

Updated Thursday, October 18, 2012

Page 1

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans

Please check the boxes below.

11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) within 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year	Checked
11.1) Assurances -- Improvement Plans Assure that PIPs shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas	Checked

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in your school district or BOCES. For a list of supported file types, go to the Resources folder (above) and click Technical Tips.

[assets/survey-uploads/5276/129095-Df0w3Xx5v6/Principal Improvement Plan Form_BLANK.pdf](#)

11.3) Appeals Process

Pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review

(2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-c

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

APPEAL PROCEDURES

Appeals of APPRs are limited to only those principals who receive a composite score of "Ineffective" or "Developing". The purpose of the internal APPR appeal process is to foster and nurture growth of the professional staff in order to maintain a highly qualified and effective work force. The appeal procedure shall provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of the appeal. A principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or PIP.

An appeal may be filed challenging the APPR based upon one or more of the following grounds:

1. The District's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-c.
2. The adherence to the Commissioner's regulations, as applicable to such reviews.
3. Compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans.
4. The District's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the PIP under Education Law section 3012-c.

BURDEN OF PROOF

In an appeal, the principal has the burden of demonstrating a clear legal right to the relief requested and the burden of establishing the facts upon which the principal seeks relief.

EXCLUSIVITY OF SECTION 3012-C APPEAL PROCEDURE

The 3012-c appeal procedure shall constitute the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a principal performance review and/or improvement plan. A principal may not resort to any other contractual grievance procedures for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to an APPR and/or improvement plan, except as otherwise authorized by law.

A. Phase I: Initiating

- *Write a formal response to the Superintendent and submit it within seven (7) calendar days.*
- *When filing an appeal, the principal must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his/ her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his/her improvement plan and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The APPR and/or improvement plan being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal. Any information not submitted at the time the appeal is filed shall not be considered.*

B. Phase II: Reviewing

- *The Superintendent has seven (7) calendar days to formulate a formal written response.*
 - *The response must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of disagreement that support the District's response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. Any such information that is not submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal.*
 - *The principal initiating the appeal shall receive a copy of the response filed by the Superintendent, and any and all additional information submitted with the response at the same time the Superintendent files his/her response.*
- *The principal is responsible for scheduling a meeting with the Superintendent no later than five (5) calendar days from the Superintendent's response.*
 - *Union representation is available upon request of the principal.*
 - *The Superintendent may include other administrators to support and/or dispute the appeal.*

C. Phase III: Resolving

- *If there is no agreement between the principal and the Superintendent, a panel will be formed. The panel will consist of the Assistant Superintendent for Instruction and the president or vice president. The panel will review the evidence within five (5) calendar days and respond by setting up a meeting with all parties involved.*
- *The panel will provide a written decision within five (5) calendar days of the meeting.*

DECISION-MAKER ON APPEAL

A decision shall be rendered by the panel. An appeal may not be decided by the same individual who was responsible for making the final rating decision. In such case, the panel will decide the appeal.

DECISION

A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than thirty (30) calendar days from the date upon which the

principal filed his/her appeal. The appeal shall be based on a written record, comprised of the principal's appeal papers and any documentary evidence accompanying the appeal, as well as the District's response to the appeal and additional documentary evidence submitted with such papers. Such decision shall be final.

The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the principal's appeal. If the appeal is sustained, the Superintendent may set aside a rating if it has been affected by substantial error or defect, modify a rating if it is affected by substantial error or defect or order a new evaluation if procedures have been violated. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the principal and the Superintendent responsible for either issuing or implementing the terms of an improvement plan, if that person is different.

11.4) Training and Certification of Lead Evaluators and Evaluators

Describe the process by which evaluators will be trained and the process for how the district will certify and re-certify lead evaluators. Describe the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability. Describe the duration and nature of such training.

Administrators and members of the Teacher's Association will receive training regarding the APPR expectations, programs, and protocols. Administrators will engage in and be assessed through the Teachscape/Frameworks. Additionally, all administrators engaged in a monthly training lead by the Network Team regarding APPR throughout the 2011 - 2012 school year and such topics as evidence based observations, opinion, bias, validity, inter-rater reliability etc. Lead evaluators will be recertified annually.

11.5) Assurances -- Evaluators

Please check the boxes below:

-
- Checked
-

(1) the New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and the value-added growth model as defined in section 30-2.2 of this Subpart

(4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district or BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice

(5) application and use of any assessment tools that the school district or BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals, including but not limited to, structured portfolio reviews; student, parent, teacher and/or community surveys; professional growth goals and school improvement goals, etc.

(6) application and use of any State-approved locally selected measures of student achievement used by the school district or BOCES to evaluate its teachers or principals

(7) use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) the scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district or BOCES to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including how scores are generated for each subcomponent and the composite effectiveness score and application and use of the scoring ranges prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their subcomponent ratings

(9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

• Checked

11.6) Assurances -- Principals

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the entire APPR plan will be completed for each principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the building principal's performance is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the district will provide the principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of principal effectiveness subcomponent for a principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the APPR will be put on the district website by September 10 or within 10 days after approval, whichever is later.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.	Checked
11.6) Assurances -- Principals Assure the district has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.	Checked

11.7) Assurances -- Data

Please check all of the boxes below:

11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure that the NYSED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.	Checked
11.7) Assurances -- Data Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the composite rating, as per NYSED requirements.	Checked

12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan

Created Monday, May 14, 2012

Updated Thursday, December 13, 2012

Page 1

12.1) Upload the Joint Certification of the APPR Plan

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form

<assets/survey-uploads/5581/129096-3Uqgn5g9Iu/APPR.pdf>

File types supported for uploads

PDF (preferred)

Microsoft Office (.doc, .ppt, .xls)

Microsoft Office 2007: Supported but not recommended (.docx, .pptx, .xlsx)

Open Office (.odt, .ott)

Images (.jpg, .gif)

Other Formats (.html, .xhtml, .txt, .rtf, .latex)

Please note that .docx, .pptx, and .xlsx formats are not entirely supported.

Please save your file types as .doc, .ppt or .xls respectively before uploading.

Conversion Chart

0-100 to 20	
Conversion Charts	
Percentage of students achieving the target Based on a 100 Point Scale	HEDI Points 20 Point Conversion
Ineffective	
0	0
1 - 15	1.0
16 - 54	2.0
Developing	
55	3
56 - 57	4
58 - 59	5
60	6
61 - 62	7
63 - 64	8
Effective	
- 67	10
68 - 69	11
70 - 71	12
72 - 73	13
74 - 77	14
78 - 79	15
80 - 82	16
83 - 84	17
Highly Effective	
85 - 88	18
89 - 94	19
95 - 100	20

20 Point State HEDI Chart / No Value Added

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
20 - 18	17 - 9	8 - 3	2 - 0

25 Point State HEDI Chart / Value Added Model

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
25 - 22	21 - 10	9 - 3	2 - 0

Local Conversion Charts Based on 85% Targets for Building Goals

Table VIII

0-100 to 20 & 15 Point Scale Conversion Charts		
Percentage of students meeting achievement target	Student Achievement Measure Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement / Growth Score	
Based on a 100 Point Scale	20 Point Conversion	15 point Conversion
Ineffective		
0	0	0
1 - 15	1	1
16 - 54	2	2
Developing		
55	3	3
56 - 57	4	4
58 - 59	5	5
60	6	6
61 - 62	7	7
63 - 64	8	7
Effective		
65	9	8
66 - 67	10	9
68 - 69	11	9
70 - 71	12	10
72 - 73	13	10
74 - 75	14	11
76 - 77	14	12
78 - 79	15	12
80 - 82	16	13
Highly Effective		
85 - 88	18	14
89 - 91	19	14
92 - 94	19	15
95 - 100	20	15

20 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
20 - 18	17 - 9	8 - 3	2 - 0

15 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
15 - 14	13 - 8	7 - 3	2 - 0

Local Conversion Charts Based on 85% Targets for Building Goals
 Table VIII

0-100 to 20 & 15 Point Scale Conversion Charts		
Percentage of students meeting achievement target	Student Achievement Measure Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement / Growth Score	
Based on a 100 Point Scale	20 Point Conversion	15 point Conversion
Ineffective		
0	0	0
1 - 15	1	1
16 - 54	2	2
Developing		
55	3	3
56 - 57	4	4
58 - 59	5	5
60	6	6
61 - 62	7	7
63 - 64	8	7
Effective		
65	9	8
66 - 67	10	9
68 - 69	11	9
70 - 71	12	10
72 - 73	13	10
74 - 75	14	11
76 - 77	14	12
78 - 79	15	12
80 - 82	16	13
83 - 84	17	13
Highly Effective		
85 - 88	18	14
89 - 91	19	14
92 - 94	19	15
95 - 100	20	15

20 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
20 - 18	17 - 9	8 - 3	2 - 0

15 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
15 - 14	13 - 8	7 - 3	2 - 0

Local Conversion Charts Based on 85% Targets for Building Goals
 Table VIII

0-100 to 20 & 15 Point Scale Conversion Charts		
Percentage of students meeting achievement target	Student Achievement Measure Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement / Growth Score	
Based on a 100 Point Scale	20 Point Conversion	15 point Conversion
Ineffective		
0	0	0
1 - 15	1	1
16 - 54	2	2
Developing		
55	3	3
56 - 57	4	4
58 - 59	5	5
60	6	6
61 - 62	7	7
63 - 64	8	7
Effective		
65	9	8
66 - 67	10	9
68 - 69	11	9
70 - 71	12	10
72 - 73	13	10
74 - 75	14	11
76 - 77	14	12
78 - 79	15	12
80 - 82	16	13
83 - 84	17	13
Highly Effective		
85 - 88	18	14
89 - 91	19	14
92 - 94	19	15
95 - 100	20	15

20 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
20 - 18	17 - 9	8 - 3	2 - 0

15 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
15 - 14	13 - 8	7 - 3	2 - 0

Teacher Effects Conversion Scale From Total Average Rubric Score to 60 Point Distribution

Level	Overall Rubric Average Score	60 Point Distribution For Composite
Highly Effective	3.5 – 4	59 - 60
Effective	2.5 – 3.4	57 – 58
Developing	1.5 – 2.4	50 – 56
Ineffective	1-1.4	0-49

Rubric Score to Sub-Component Conversion Chart

The detailed conversion chart below converts all potential average rubric scores to a specific conversion score for that sub-component. Average rubric scores are computed by adding domain I and domain IV and two times domain II and two times domain III and dividing the total by six.

Table XII

Total Average Rubric Score	Conversion score for composite
INEFFECTIVE (0 – 49)	
1.000	0
1.008	1
1.017	2
1.025	3
1.033	4
1.042	5
1.050	6
1.058	7
1.067	8
1.075	9
1.083	10
1.092	11
1.100	12
1.108	13
1.115	14
1.123	15
1.131	16
1.138	17
1.146	18
1.154	19
1.162	20
1.169	21
1.177	22
1.185	23
1.192	24
1.200	25
1.208	26
1.217	27
1.225	28
1.233	29
1.242	30

1.250	31
1.258	32
1.267	33
1.275	34
1.283	35
1.292	36
1.300	37
1.308	38
1.317	39
1.325	40
1.333	41
1.342	42
1.350	43
1.358	44
1.367	45
1.375	46
1.383	47
1.392	48
1.400	49
DEVELOPING (50 – 56)	
1.5	50
1.6	50.7
1.7	51.4
1.8	52.1
1.9	52.8
2	53.5
2.1	54.2
2.2	54.9
2.3	55.6
2.4	56
EFFECTIVE (57 – 58)	
2.5	57
2.6	57.1
2.7	57.2
2.8	57.3
2.9	57.4
3	57.5
3.1	57.6
3.2	57.7
3.3	57.8
3.4	58
Highly Effective	
3.5	59
3.6	59.1
3.7	59.3
3.8	59.5

3.9	59.7
4	60

20 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
20 - 18	17 - 9	8 - 3	2 - 0

15 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
15 - 14	13 - 8	7 - 3	2 - 0

Wayne Central School District
Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP)

Name:

Tenure

Non-Tenure

School: FE OP OE

MS

HS

School Year:

Grade/Department:

Principal:

1. Areas in need of improvement:

2. Expectations to demonstrate improvement:

3. Recommended resources and activities to help the teacher's performance improve:

4. Assessment of the evidence to determine if expected improvement occurred:

5. Timeline to Demonstrate Improvement:

Teacher

Date

Principal

Date

Administrator for PPS

Date

Union Representative

Date

Conversion Chart

0-100 to 20 Conversion Charts	
Percentage of students achieving the target	HEDI Points
Based on a 100 Point Scale	20 Point Conversion
Ineffective	
0	0
1 - 15	1.0
16 - 54	2.0
Developing	
55	3
56 - 57	4
58 - 59	5
60	6
61 - 62	7
63 - 64	8
Effective	
65	9
66 - 67	10
68 - 69	11
70 - 71	12
72 - 73	13
74 - 77	14
78 - 79	15
80 - 82	16
83 - 84	17
Highly Effective	
85 - 88	18
89 - 94	19
95 - 100	20

20 Point State HEDI Chart / No Value Added			
Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
20 - 18	17 - 9	8 - 3	2 - 0

25 Point State HEDI Chart / Value Added Model			
Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
25 - 22	21 - 10	9 - 3	2 - 0

20 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
20 - 18	17 - 9	8 - 3	2 - 0

15 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
15 - 14	13 - 8	7 - 3	2 - 0

Local Conversion Charts Based on 85% Targets for Building Goals

Table VIII

0-100 to 20 & 15 Point Scale Conversion Charts		
Percentage of students meeting achievement target	Student Achievement Measure Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement / Growth Score	
Based on a 100 Point Scale	20 Point Conversion	15 point Conversion
Ineffective		
0	0	0
1 - 15	1	1
16 - 54	2	2
Developing		
55	3	3
56 - 57	4	4
58 - 59	5	5
60	6	6
61 - 62	7	7
63 - 64	8	7
Effective		
65	9	8
66 - 67	10	9
68 - 69	11	9
70 - 71	12	10
72 - 73	13	10
74 - 75	14	11
76 - 77	14	12
78 - 79	15	12
80 - 82	16	13
83 - 84	17	13
Highly Effective		
85 - 88	18	14
89 - 91	19	14
92 - 94	19	15
95 - 100	20	15

20 Point HEDI Chart			
Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
20 - 18	17 - 9	8 - 3	2 - 0

15 Point HEDI Chart			
Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
15 - 14	13 - 8	7 - 3	2 - 0

20 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
20 - 18	17 - 9	8 - 3	2 - 0

15 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
15 - 14	13 - 8	7 - 3	2 - 0

Local Conversion Charts Based on 85% Targets for Building Goals

Table VIII

0-100 to 20 & 15 Point Scale Conversion Charts		
Percentage of students meeting achievement target	Student Achievement Measure Locally Selected Measures of Student Achievement / Growth Score	
Based on a 100 Point Scale	20 Point Conversion	15 point Conversion
Ineffective		
0	0	0
1 - 15	1	1
16 - 54	2	2
Developing		
55	3	3
56 - 57	4	4
58 - 59	5	5
60	6	6
61 - 62	7	7
63 - 64	8	7
Effective		
65	9	8
66 - 67	10	9
68 - 69	11	9
70 - 71	12	10
72 - 73	13	10
74 - 75	14	11
76 - 77	14	12
78 - 79	15	12
80 - 82	16	13
83 - 84	17	13
Highly Effective		
85 - 88	18	14
89 - 91	19	14
92 - 94	19	15
95 - 100	20	15

20 Point HEDI Chart			
Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
20 - 18	17 - 9	8 - 3	2 - 0

15 Point HEDI Chart			
Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
15 - 14	13 - 8	7 - 3	2 - 0

20 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
20 - 18	17 - 9	8 - 3	2 - 0

15 Point HEDI Chart

Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
15 - 14	13 - 8	7 - 3	2 - 0

Principal Effects Conversion Scale From Total Average Rubric Score to 60 Point Distribution

Table XII

Level	Overall Rubric Average Score	60 Point Distribution For Composite
Highly Effective	3.5 – 4	59 - 60
Effective	2.5 – 3.4	57 – 58
Developing	1.5 – 2.4	50 – 56
Ineffective	1-1.4	0-49

Rubric Score to Sub-Component Conversion Chart

The detailed conversion chart below converts all potential average rubric scores to a specific conversion score for that sub-component. Average rubric scores are computed by adding the rubric scores for each of the ten domains and dividing by ten.

Table XII

Total Av Total Average Rubric Score	Conversion score for composite
INEFFECTIVE (0 – 49)	
1.000	0
1.008	1
1.017	2
1.025	3
1.033	4
1.042	5
1.050	6
1.058	7
1.067	8
1.075	9
1.083	10
1.092	11
1.100	12
1.108	13
1.115	14
1.123	15
1.131	16
1.138	17

1.146	18
1.154	19
1.162	20
1.169	21
1.177	22
1.185	23
1.192	24
1.200	25
1.208	26
1.217	27
1.225	28
1.233	29
1.242	30
1.250	31
1.258	32
1.267	33
1.275	34
1.283	35
1.292	36
1.300	37
1.308	38
1.317	39
1.325	40
1.333	41
1.342	42
1.350	43
1.358	44
1.367	45
1.375	46
1.383	47
DEVELOPING (50 – 56)	
1.5	50
1.6	50.7
1.7	51.4
1.8	52.1
1.9	52.8
2	53.5
2.1	54.2
2.2	54.9
2.3	55.6

2.4	56
EFFECTIVE (57 – 58)	
2.5	57
2.6	57.1
2.7	57.2
2.8	57.3
2.9	57.4
3	57.5
3.1	57.6
3.2	57.7
3.3	57.8
3.4	58
HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (59 – 60)	
3.5	59
3.6	59.1
3.7	59.3
3.8	59.5
3.9	59.7
4	60

Wayne Central School District
Principal Improvement Plan (PIP)

Name:

Tenure

Non-Tenure

School: FE

OP

OE

MS

HS

School Year:

1. Areas in
need of
improvement:

2.
Expectations
to
demonstrate
improvement:

3.
Recommended
resources and
activities to help
the teacher's
performance
improve:

4. Assessment
of the evidence
to determine if
expected
improvement
occurred:

5. Timeline to
Demonstrate
Improvement:

Principal

Date

Superintendent

Date

ASI

Date

Representative

Date

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions and teacher and principal development
- Assure that the entire APPR plan will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the teacher's or principal's score and rating on the locally selected measures subcomponent, if available, and on the other measures of teacher and principal effectiveness subcomponent for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured
- Assure that the APPR plan will be posted on the district's or BOCES' website by September 10 or within 10 days after it is approved by the Commissioner, whichever is later
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that the district or BOCES will report the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English Language Learners and students with disabilities
- Assure that educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a TIP or PIP plan, in accordance with the regulations, as soon as practicable but in no case later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the performance year
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with the regulations
- Assure that the district or BOCES has appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal
- Assure that, for teachers, all NYS Teaching Standards are assessed at least once per year, and, for principals, all Leadership Standards are assessed at least once per year
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0 for each subcomponent and that the APPR Plan describes the process for assigning points for each subcomponent
- Assure that locally-selected measures are rigorous and comparable across all classrooms (for teachers, the same locally-selected measure is used across a subject and/or grade level; for principals, the same locally-selected measure must be used for all principals in the same or similar program or grade configuration)

- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for different groups of teachers within a grade/subject, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that, if more than one type of locally-selected measure is used for principals in the same or similar grade configuration or program, the measures are comparable based on the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing
- Assure that the process for assigning points for all subcomponents and the composite scores will use the narrative HEDI descriptions described in the regulations to effectively differentiate educators' performance in ways that improve student learning and instruction
- Assure that district or BOCES will develop SLOs according to the rules and/or guidance established by SED and that past academic performance and / or baseline academic data of students is taken into account when developing an SLO
- Assure that Student Growth/Value Added Measure will be used where applicable
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval as soon as practicable and/or in a timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner
- Assure that this APPR Plan applies to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the regulation and SED guidance
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to the regulations
- If this APPR Plan is being submitted subsequent to July 1, 2012, assure that this was the result of unresolved collective bargaining negotiations

Signatures, dates


Superintendent Signature: Date:

12/13/12



Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

12/13/12



Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

12/13/12



Board of Education President Signature: Date:

12/13/12

